



**FILED**  
05-14-12  
04:59 PM

**PROPOSED QUESTIONS TO BE ANSWERED  
IN THE SECOND PHASE OF THIS PROCEEDING**

1. Should PG&E be allowed to use SmartMeters that emit twice as much RF pulsed radiation than it told its customers and the Commission would be necessary to implement the AMI?
2. Should PG&E be required to identify SmartMeters that are wired incorrectly and/or have defective components so that they can be replaced?
3. Should PG&E be required to explain why the Underwriters Laboratories (UL) registration on the SmartMeters was canceled by the manufacturer?
4. Should PG&E be required to explain why it is using customers' premises to serve as relay stations to transmit other customers' data to its collection points without their consent and compensation for such use?
5. Should PG&E be required to resolve violations of FCC rules governing how much RF interference can be conducted onto a customer's electrical wiring by a SmartMeter?
6. Should PG&E be required to initiate a plan (a practice) to promptly resolve customer complaints about health concerns relating to SmartMeters?
7. Should PG&E be required to explain why it gave preference to certain customers by installing an analog meter for them while at the same time it refused to do the same thing for other customers with health problems associated with SmartMeters?
8. Should PG&E be required to inform its customers that the FCC does not have guidelines concerning maximum permissible exposure to RF radiation emitted by SmartMeters?

9. Should PG&E be required to warn customers about potential health issues relating to implementation of the HAN feature of the SmartMeter system?

10. Should PG&E be required to provide an accommodation to customers that qualify for such consideration under the Americans with Disabilities Act?