



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**FILED**  
10-02-14  
04:59 PM

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Determine Violations of Public Utilities Code Section 451, General Order 112, and Other Applicable Standards, Laws, Rules and Regulations in Connection with the San Bruno Explosion and Fire on September 9, 2010.

I.12-01-007  
(Filed January 12, 2012)  
(Not Consolidated)

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and Electric Company with Respect to Facilities Records for its Natural Gas Transmission System Pipelines.

I.11-02-016  
(Filed February 24, 2011)  
(Not Consolidated)

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Natural Gas Transmission Pipeline System in Locations with High Population Density.

I.11-11-009  
(Filed November 10, 2011)  
(Not Consolidated)

**REQUEST FOR REVIEW**

Pursuant to Title 20 of the California Code of Regulation, Rule 14.4(b), I hereby request review of the decision of the presiding officers, mailed September 2, 2014, in these dockets. The specific grounds on which I request review are as follows:

The Presiding Officers' Decision (POD) on fines and remedies in these proceedings imposes on Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) a fine of \$950,000,000 payable to the State General Fund, in addition to other remedies. The POD does not require additional PG&E shareholder funding of safety improvements to PG&E's gas pipelines and related infrastructure that are being implemented pursuant to the Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan (PSEP).

As a policy matter, I believe the Commission should consider: 1) whether the total amount of penalties and remedies to be paid by PG&E shareholders is adequate, and 2) whether a portion of the PG&E shareholder payments should be applied towards improvements in pipeline safety, either instead of or in addition to a penalty paid to the State General Fund.

Accordingly, I request review to consider the adequacy of the total amount of penalties and remedies to be paid by PG&E shareholders, and whether a portion of the PG&E shareholder payments should be used to pay for improvements to the safety of PG&E's gas pipelines and related infrastructure.

Dated \_\_October 2, 2014\_\_\_\_\_, at San Francisco, California.

  
Commissioner Catherine J.K. Sandoval