FILED 10/15/21

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

01:49 PM

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Broadband Infrastructure Deployment and to Support Service Providers in the State of California.

Rulemaking 20-09-001

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY'S (U 338-E) PHASE III REPLY **COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO SEPTEMBER 9, 2021 ALJ RULING**

CLAIRE TORCHIA GLORIA M. ING

Attorneys for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

> 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770 Telephone: (626) 302-1999 E-mail: Gloria.Ing@sce.com

Dated: October 15, 2021

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Broadband Infrastructure Deployment and to Support Service Providers in the State of California.

Rulemaking 20-09-001

<u>SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY'S (U 338-E) PHASE III REPLY</u> <u>COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO SEPTEMBER 9, 2021 ALJ RULING</u>

Pursuant to the procedural schedule set forth in Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Glegola's September 9, 2021 email ruling (Ruling), which relates to Phase III of this proceeding on the creation of a statewide open-access middle mile network, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) respectfully submits the following reply comments to Sonic Telecom, LLC's (Sonic) October 1, 2021 Opening Comments filed on October 1, 2021 on Topic 7 (Other Issues Not Covered). Regarding Topic 7, Sonic asserts that there are thousands of existing utility poles that are unsafe and that pole owners are not correcting the safety violations.¹ As a result, Sonic recommends that the Commission order pole owners to fix pole violations within 90 days of a request for access to a pole.²

Sonic's proposal is outside the scope of Phase III, which addresses the state's creation of an open-access, middle-mile network.³ Further, the Commission should not adopt Sonic's proposal because it is based on an unsupported assertion that utility owners are not fixing safety violations and an incorrect assumption that the pole owners have caused and are responsible for the safety violations, as opposed to attachers who may have unauthorized attachments or

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Sonic's October 1, 2021 comments, p. 13.

² Sonic's October 1, 2021 comments, p. 14.

³ Pole Attachment Rules are being adjudicated in R.17-06-028, Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Requesting Comments on "One-Touch Make Ready" Requirements in California (March 9, 2021).

attachments that do not conform to General Order 95 or the utilities' safety requirements.

For the above reasons, the Commission should reject Sonic's recommendation.

Respectfully submitted, CLAIRE TORCHIA GLORIA M. ING

/s/ Gloria M. Ing.

By: Gloria M. Ing

Attorneys for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

> 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770 Telephone: (626) 302-1999 E-mail: Gloria.Ing@sce.com

October 15, 2021