



ALJ/PPE/TRP/mef 8/10/2022

FILED

08/10/22

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12 PM

A2205022

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U39E) for Review of the Disadvantaged Communities - Green Tariff, Community Solar Green Tariff and Green Tariff Shared Renewables Programs.

Application 22-05-022

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U902E) to Review Green Access Programs Pursuant to Decisions 18-06-027 and 21-12-036.

Application 22-05-023

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) For Review of the Disadvantaged Communities Green Tariff (DAC-GT), Community Solar Green Tariff (CSGT), and Green Tariff Shared Renewables (GTSR) Programs.

Application 22-05-024

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING GRANTING PUBLIC
ADVOCATES OFFICE'S MOTIONS TO CONSOLIDATE PROCEEDINGS**

On May 31, 2022, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed Application (A.) 22-05-022, San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) filed A.22-05-023 and Southern California Edison Company (SCE) filed A.22-05-024 to review their Green Tariff Programs. Collectively, PG&E, SDG&E, and SCE are the Applicants. Collectively, A.22-05-022, A.22-05-023 and A.22-05-024 are the Applications. Applicants seek review of their Disadvantaged Community Green

Tariff (DAC-GT), Community Solar Green Tariff (CSGT) and the Green Tariff Shared Renewables (GTSR) Programs pursuant to Decision (D.) 18-06-027 and D.21-12-026.

On July 26, 2022, the Public Advocates Office of the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) filed Motions to consolidate the Applications (Motions). The Motions are substantively identical and are based on Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules) 7.4, and seek to consolidate A.22-05-022, A.22-05-023 and A.22-05-024 into a single proceeding. In support of its Motions, Cal Advocates asserts that each Application presents closely related questions of law and fact and that consolidation would allow for more efficient party engagement.¹

Under Rule 7.4, proceedings involving related questions of law or fact may be consolidated. Consolidation promotes efficiency, minimizes conflicts, and promotes timely resolution of related proceedings.² These Applications involve closely related questions of law and fact regarding the performance, rules, and viability of multiple Green Tariff Programs as described above. As such, these Applications are intertwined with common issues of fact and law. Furthermore, several entities have filed similar Protests or Responses in each Application. As such, judicial economy is furthered by granting Cal Advocates' Motions.

Pursuant to Rule 11.1, a ruling on a motion is permitted before responses or replies are filed.

Having reviewed Cal Advocates' Motions and finding good cause exists; Cal Advocates' Motions are granted.

¹ Motions, at 1.

² Decision 19-09-051 at 6.

IT IS SO RULED:

1. Application (A.) 22-05-022, A.22-05-023 and A.22-05-024 are consolidated.

Dated August 10, 2022, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ PATRICK PETERSEN

Patrick Petersen
Administrative Law Judge

/s/ THOMAS R. PULSIFER

Thomas R. Pulsifer
Administrative Law Judge