

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

08/10/22 04:59 PM **A2208003**

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of Zonal Electrification Pilot Project.

Application No. 22-08-____

(U 39 G)

APPLICATION OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 G) FOR APPROVAL OF ZONAL ELECTRIFICATION PILOT PROJECT AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED SCHEDULE

ALEXANDRA J. WARD JONATHAN D. PENDLETON

Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street B30A San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (628) 219-4171 E-Mail: ali.ward@pge.com

Dated: August 10, 2022

Attorneys for PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of Zonal Electrification Pilot Project.

Application No. 22-08-____

(U 39 G)

APPLICATION OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 G) FOR APPROVAL OF ZONAL ELECTRIFICATION PILOT PROJECT AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED SCHEDULE

I. INTRODUCTION

By this *Application for Approval of Zonal Electrification Pilot Project* (Application), pursuant to Rules 2.1 and 3.2 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission), and relevant Commission decisions, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) requests approval for a zonal electrification project (CSU Decarbonization Project) requested by its customer, California State University Monterey Bay (CSU Monterey Bay) and authorization of PG&E's ratemaking proposal. The CSU Decarbonization Project will replace the current gas distribution service provided by PG&E's existing pipeline distribution system with behind-the-meter electrical investments, thereby significantly reducing greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs) at a reasonable cost to PG&E gas customers.¹

As detailed in the Application and supporting testimony, PG&E's Distribution Integrity Management Program has identified the gas distribution system at CSU Monterey Bay (formerly the gas distribution system serving the United States Army's Fort Ord) as requiring near-term replacement in five phases if a zonal electrification alternative is not authorized. The proposed CSU Decarbonization Project instead would convert 391 of 484 services for students and faculty in Phases 2 through 5 to all-electric service, representing the largest ever zonal electrification project in California. PG&E estimates that the cost to gas customers to complete this alternative zonal electrification work will be less than the cost to replace the gas system.

¹ "Zonal electrification" is the strategic decommissioning of the natural gas system in broad areas (zones) rather than customer by customer.

The CSU Decarbonization Project therefore represents a unique opportunity to address customer safety needs, long-term rate affordability, customer energy preference, and alignment with California's climate goals. PG&E hereby requests the Commission approve the Application and authorize PG&E to recover the regulatory asset-related revenue requirements associated with behind-the-meter expenditures up to \$17.224 million in estimated expenditures. PG&E requests approval that actual regulatory asset-related revenue requirements associated with the CSU Decarbonization Project expenditures up to \$17.224 million are deemed reasonable without the need for *ex post facto* review.

PG&E requests an expedited schedule pursuant to Rule 2.9, which is further described below and

in Attachment A.

II. SUMMARY OF APPLICATION

This Application requests Commission authorization of the following:

- Cost Recovery of actual regulatory asset-related revenue requirements associated with up to \$17.224 million of expenditures for zonal electrification of the CSU Decarbonization Project, phases two through five that are determined to be cost-effective, through a balancing account (Decarbonization Balancing Account) as described in Chapter 4. These costs will be offset by the avoided gas pipeline replacement capital revenue requirements included in PG&E's 2023 General Rate Case (GRC) totaling \$2.4 million that would be credited back to customers through the balancing account.
- Commission approval of PG&E's proposal by the Summer of 2023 so that PG&E may begin implementation of the CSU Decarbonization Project in Fall of 2023. Approval of this Application on an expedited basis to avoid higher greenhouse gas emissions and higher overall costs with foregoing the zonal electrification project if not authorized in a timely manner.

A. Context of Expedited Application in Light of State Policy Goals

In 2018, Governor Brown signed Assembly Bill (AB) 3232 into law, which required the California Energy Commission, in collaboration with the Commission, to develop an assessment for reducing the emissions associated with California's buildings to 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030.² One of PG&E's strategies to achieve a net zero energy system and reduce CO₂ includes retirement of gas pipelines where electrification is both cheaper and a better environmental and safety outcome for customers. This pilot project will help meet California's climate goals while promoting

² Pub. Resources Code section 25403.

long-term affordability for customers. The CSU Decarbonization Project would be the largest such project and learnings will be applied to future electrification projects.

As Energy and Environmental Economics (E3) points out in *The Challenge of Retail Gas in California's Low-Carbon Future*, "a managed gas transition would likely require some amount of targeted or zonal electrification, to enable a reduction in the gas distribution infrastructure. Without a managed gas transition and without any effort to target electrification, it would be difficult to reduce the size or scale of gas system investments and costs."³ The cost to maintain the existing gas system will remain relatively fixed, however, as the majority of gas system costs are related to safety and reliability standards rather than based on customer load. As an increasing number of gas appliances are replaced with electric appliances, the cost of the existing gas system will be spread across fewer customers and create upward rate pressure on remaining customers. Higher gas rates would be particularly impactful to low-income customers who may not be able to afford the upfront costs associated with electrification of their homes or businesses. The "managed transition" approach recommended by E3 can help mitigate the affordability and equity challenges of decarbonization: in some situations, electrification can eliminate or reduce the need for a gas system project. When targeted in this way, electrification can result in avoided gas system costs and help promote customer affordability.

PG&E has already taken steps to advance zonal electrification, offering dozens of customers the opportunity to cease gas service as an alternative to continuing to operate and maintain the gas facilities necessary to provide service to their property. In June 2022, PG&E issued our Climate Strategy Report, which established our commitment to achieve a net zero energy system in 2040—five years ahead of the California carbon neutrality goal established in Executive Order B-55-18—and includes a goal to "execute zonal electrification and create a repeatable model on how to do it."⁴ Current opportunities to scale zonal electrification, are limited, however, given regulatory and financial barriers. In the prepared testimony supporting this Application, PG&E describes activities to date and the need for an expedited decision to enable the CSU Decarbonization Project. Approval of this Application could provide a

³ California Energy Commission, *The Challenge of Retail Gas in California's Low-Carbon Future*, (Apr. 2020) at p. 6.

⁴ PG&E Climate Strategy Report June, 2022), p. 10, <<u>https://www.pge.com/pge_global/common/pdfs/about-pge/environment/what-we-are-doing/pge-climate-goals/PGE-Climate-Strategy-Report.pdf</u>> (as of Aug. 2, 2022).

model for zonal electrification enablement for other customers that could be incorporated into the planning for future projects and utilized in Long-Term Gas System Planning Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR) (R.20-01-007).

B. Near-Term Cost-Effective Zonal Electrification Represents an Opportunity to Avoid Gas Infrastructure Investments

The CSU Decarbonization Project is unique in that it represents a zonal electrification "nonpipeline alternative" to planned gas investments that are included in PG&E's 2020 and 2023 General Rate Cases. PG&E has been performing enhanced leak survey since September 2020 and PG&E Gas Operations determined that future safe, reliable gas service to the area requires replacing the approximately eight miles of distribution pipeline over a 5-phase project beginning in 2022 and continuing through 2025. As shown in Chapter 3 of the prepared testimony, PG&E estimates that the present value of this electrification alternative is more cost-effective than the gas repair work, and thus ratepayers would save by PG&E implementing the CSU Decarbonization Project.⁵ In essence, approval of this Application will reduce prospective gas-related distribution revenue requirements subsequent to the 2020 and 2023 General Rate Cases (GRCs) that would have been expended to repair existing gas distribution infrastructure at CSU Monterey Bay and replace those investments with an electrification alternative that helps meet California's climate goals while promoting long-term affordability for our ratepayers.

While we anticipate working with the Commission and other stakeholders within the Long-Term Gas System Planning OIR to define the meaning of "cost effective" in the context of zonal electrification projects and the mechanisms for submittal and review of such projects,⁶ the CSU Decarbonization Project represents a near-term and cost-effective opportunity to advance zonal electrification at a previously un-tested scale on a beneficial pilot basis.

⁵ The net present value of cash costs of electrification for Phases 2 through 5 of the Project have a value of \$14.4 million, and the value of the benefits of the Project (i.e. avoided costs of conventional gas pipe replacement) are approximately \$15.4 million, resulting in a net benefit of approximately \$1.0 million to customers.

⁶ Assigned Commissioner's Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling (Jan. 5, 2022) R. 20-01-007.

C. Precedent for Expedited Applications in Transportation Electrification Framework.

PG&E submits this Expedited Application to treat the CSU Decarbonization Project as a costeffective example from which the Commission and other stakeholders can learn to inform the Long-Term Gas System Planning OIR. The Commission has offered expedited treatment to proposals that offered guidance and a framework for near-term utility investments in infrastructure so as to meet California's climate goals. In particular, the Commission's Decision Setting Near-Term Priorities for Transportation Electrification Investments by the Electrical Corporations (R.18-12-006) prioritized nearterm transit electrification projects that "address equity" and include a "clear justification for ratepayer investment (i.e., near-term priority proposal should not propose new investment in areas where the market shows signs of private sector engagement)."⁷

In the recent Proposed Decision in the Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Building Decarbonization (R.19-01-001), Commissioner Clifford Rechtschaffen described California's decarbonization goals as "urgent" and highlighted the "need to reduce gas rates to ensure affordability, and the long term need to minimize future stranded investment."⁸ Commissioner Rechtschaffen also affirmed the need to pursue carbon neutrality with "unprecedented urgency and commitment as California is already behind in meeting its 2030 emission reduction targets."⁹

Where the present value costs to retire a pipeline system and electrify the associated meters is less than the comparable costs to repair and/or replace the pipeline, it is in the best interest of ratepayers that the Gas Utility be able to pursue electrification as an alternative to the planned gas pipeline project. For this particular project, PG&E proposes net present value and the present value of revenue requirements as the measurements of financial viability, as described in Chapter 3 of the supporting testimony. The ratepayer savings associated with the electrification alternative in this Application demonstrate the need for an accelerated application in order to "resolve a financial matter expeditiously to avoid ratepayer harm," per CPUC Rule of Practice and Procedure 2.9(c).

⁷ D.21-07-028, p. 17.

⁸ Commissioner Rechtschaffen's Proposed Phase III Decision Eliminating Gas Line Extension Allowances, Ten-Year Refundable Payment Option, and Fifty Percent Discount Payment Option Under Gas Line Extension Rules (R. 19-01-011), pp. 27, 49, 74.

⁹ *Id.* at p. 36.

III. ORGANIZATION OF SUPPORTING TESTIMONY

Concurrent with the filing of this Application, PG&E is serving supporting Prepared Testimony demonstrating why this Application for approval of its CSU Decarbonization Project is reasonable and should be approved:

Chapter 1 - Customer Requested Decarbonization Pilot Project Overview

Chapter 2 – Project Cost Estimate

Chapter 3 - Net Present Value of Cashflow Comparison

Chapter 4 – Cost Recovery

This Prepared Testimony demonstrates why PG&E's Application is reasonable and should be

approved.

IV. SUMMARY OF RELIEF AND AUTHORITY SOUGHT

A. Estimated Gas Revenue Requirements

Table 1 sets forth PG&E's estimated gas revenue requirements associated with the estimated

\$17.224 million in CSU Decarbonization Project expenditures:

Line No.	Project Phase	Scope of Work in Phase	Estimated Costs	Total Regulatory -Asset Related Revenue Requirement
1	2	Electrification of 154 Customers	\$4,224,990	\$7,286,758
2	3	Electrification of 260 Customers	7,133,100	12,273,508
3	4	Electrification of 206 Customers	5,651,610	9,747,290
4	5	Retire Existing Gas Pipeline	213,800	<u>(a)</u>
5		Total	\$17,223,500	\$29,307,556

TABLE 1 TOTAL REGULATORY ASSET-RELATED REVENUE REQUIREMENT

(a) There are no incremental costs associated with retiring existing gas pipelines since recovery of those expenditures are included in the 2023 GRC.

These gas revenue requirements would be offset by the \$2.45 million of avoided gas pipeline capital revenue requirement amounts included in the 2023 GRC that would be credited back to customers.

B. Cost Recovery

PG&E requests that the Commission adopt its ratemaking proposal for the CSU Decarbonization Project. PG&E proposes that the cost-effective non-pipeline alternative project phases should be recovered over a 15-year period from gas distribution ratepayers, including "behind-the-meter" electrification costs, as a regulatory asset. This allows the electrification non-pipeline alternative to have a similar financial treatment to planned gas capital projects, greatly increasing the scope and scale of non-pipeline projects that PG&E can accomplish. Under a regulatory asset structure, PG&E would not take ownership of the behind-the-meter equipment. As explained in Chapter 4, PG&E requests to establish a cost cap of \$17.224 million for the total incremental behind-the-meter electrification expenditures and establish a new balancing account to record the proposed regulatory asset-related revenue requirements associated with the behind-the-meter electrification expenditures, and record the credit to customers for the avoided gas pipeline replacement capital revenue requirements adopted as part of PG&E's 2023 GRC application. PG&E proposes regulatory asset treatment for the behind-themeter electrification expenditures that includes a rate of return based on PG&E's currently adopted cost of capital for timely recovery in rates of the reasonable costs for this project.

V. STATUTORY AND PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

PG&E files this Application pursuant to Sections 451 and 454 of the Public Utilities Code.

A. Legal Name and Principal Place of Business (Rule 2.1(a))

The legal name of the Applicant is Pacific Gas and Electric Company. PG&E's principalplace of business is 77 Beale Street, San Francisco, California, 94105. PG&E is duly organized under the State of California.

B. Correspondence, Communications, and Service (Rule 2.1(b))

All correspondence, communications, and service of papers regarding this Applicationshould be directed to:

Alexandra J. Ward Pacific Gas and Electric Company P.O. Box 7442 (B30A) San Francisco, CA 94120-7442 Telephone: (628) 219-4171 E-mail: <u>Ali.Ward@pge.com</u> Jacob Frigard Pacific Gas and Electric Company P.O. Box 770000 (B23A) San Francisco, CA 94177-0001 Telephone: (925) 813-9695 E-mail: Jacob.Frigard@pge.com

C. Proposed Categorization and Need for Hearings (Rule 2.1(c))

PG&E proposes that this Application be categorized as a ratesetting proceeding.

D. Need for Hearings (Rule 2.1(c))

PG&E anticipates that evidentiary hearings may be requested by other parties to this proceeding, but the need for evidentiary hearings will depend on the degree to which and grounds on which other parties might contest the proposals contained in this Application. WhilePG&E hopes to resolve the issues raised in this Application without hearings, such as through more informal procedures including discovery, evidentiary hearings may be necessary. Issues to be Considered (Rule 2.1(c))

The principal issue presented in this Application is whether the Commission should approve PG&E's request for approval of its CSU Decarbonization Project as reasonable, supported by facts and in the public interest, including approval of its forecast capital and revenue requirement changes, in compliance with the requirements of the Public Utilities Code and Commission decisions, orders and resolutions.

E. Relevant Safety Consideration (Rule 2.1(c))

In D.16-01-017, the Commission amended Rule 2.1(c) requiring an applicant to identify all relevant safety considerations implicated by an Application to which the assigned Commissioners and presiding officer could refer to during the proceeding. As demonstrated in this application and the prepared testimony, PG&E's proposals in this proceeding support the safety and reliable provision of gas service and establish predictable rates, all of which can help facilitate public safety.

F. Procedural Schedule (Rule 2.1(c))

PG&E proposes the following expedited procedural schedule for this Application to enable PG&E to implement the CSU Decarbonization Project which will allow for electrification non-pipeline alternatives in lieu of pipeline repair or replacement. The expedited schedule will enable PG&E to avoid the phases of gas replacement alternative and implement decarbonization through this zonal electrification pilot safely and affordably by the Fall of 2023.

Activity	Date
PG&E files Application	August 10, 2022
Protests and Responses filed and served	30 days after Daily Calendar Notice
Reply filed and served	10 days after Protests and Responses
Notice of PHC	September 9, 2022
Prehearing Conference	September 22, 2022
Scoping Memo	October 10, 2022
Intervenor Reply Testimony	November 16, 2022
PG&E Rebuttal Testimony	January 17, 2023
Evidentiary Hearings, if needed	February 2023
Concurrent Opening Briefs	March 3, 2023
Concurrent Reply Briefs	April 3, 2023
Proposed Decision	Within 12 months of application filing date (June 2023)
Final Decision	July 2023

G. Articles of Incorporation (Rule 2.2)

PG&E is, and since October 10, 1905, has been, an operating public utility corporation organized under California law. It is engaged principally in the business of furnishing electric and gas services in California. A certified copy of PG&E's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, effective June 22, 2020, was filed with the Commission on July 1, 2020, with PG&E's Application 20-07-002. These articles are incorporated herein by reference pursuant toRule 2.2 of the Commission's Rules.

H. Balance Sheet and Income Statement (Rule 3.2(a)(1))

Attachment B of this Application presents PG&E's balance sheet and income statement for the period ending June 30, 2022.

I. Statement of Presently Effective Rates (Rule 3.2(a)(2))

PG&E's presently effective gas rates are attached as Attachment C.

J. Statement of Proposed Changes and Results of Operation at Proposed Rates(Rule 3.2(a)(3))

Approval of this Application would increase gas rates for distribution customers by less than one percent; therefore, a statement setting forth PG&E's proposed increases or changes to gas rates in not needed.

K. General Description of PG&E's Property and Equipment (Rule 3.2(a)(4))

Because this submittal is not a general rate application, this requirement is not applicable.

L. Summary of Earnings (Rule 3.2(a)(5) and (6))

A summary of recorded year 2021 revenues, expenses, rate bases, and rate of return for

PG&E's Electric and Gas departments was filed with the Commission on July 22, 2022, in A.21-

06-021 and is incorporated herein by reference.

M. Statement of Election Method of Computing Depreciation Deduction for Federal Income Tax (Rule 3.2(a)(7))

Because this submittal is not a general rate application, this requirement is not applicable.

N. Most Recent Proxy Statement (Rule 3.2(a)(8))

Because this submittal is not a general rate application, this requirement is not applicable.

O. Type of Rate Change Requested (Rule 3.2(a)(10))

Results of operations at proposed rates are not required because the proposed rates do not exceed one percent.

P. Notice to Governmental Entities (Rule 3.2(b))

Within twenty days of filing this application, PG&E will mail or send electronically a notice stating in general terms the proposed revenues, rate changes, and ratemaking mechanisms requested in this Application to parties listed in Attachment D, including the State of California and cities and counties served by PG&E.

Q. Publication (Rule 3.2(c))

Within twenty days of filing this Application, PG&E will publish in newspapers of general circulation in each county in its service territory a notice of filing.

R. Notice to Customers (Rule 3.2(d) and (e))

PG&E is serving this Application and its Prepared Testimony on the service lists in the Order Instituting Rulemaking to Establish Policies, Processes, and Rules to Ensure Safe and Reliable Gas Systems in California and perform Long-Term Gas System Planning (R. 20-01-007); Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Building Decarbonization (R.19-01-011); and the Order Instituting Rulemaking to Establish a Framework and Processes for Assessing the Affordability of Utility Service. (R.18-07-006). Within 45 days of filing this Application, PG&E will include notices with the regular bills mailed or emailed to all customers affected by the proposed changes. Within twenty days of completion of mailing, PG&E will file proof of compliance pursuant to Rule 3.2(e).

VI. CONCLUSION AND REQUEST FOR COMMISSION ORDERS

PG&E respectfully requests that the Commission expeditiously issue appropriate orders

pursuant to Sections 451 and 454 of the Public Utilities Code:

- 1. Approving PG&E's Zonal Electrification Pilot Program Application as reasonable and in the public interest;
- 2. Approving as reasonable PG&E's proposed ratemaking mechanisms and forecasted revenue requirements to support the CSU Decarbonization Project in accordance with this Application including the following:
 - a. A balancing account to record the regulatory asset-related revenue requirements based on actual expenditures up to proposed \$17.224 million estimated expenditures and to record the credit to customers of the CSU Monterey Bay gas pipeline replacement revenue requirements totaling \$2.45 million that is embedded in PG&E's adopted revenue requirements in the 2023 GRC application (subject to true-up if the adopted 2023 GRC revenue requirement is different from PG&E's proposal);
 - b. continuing the balancing account to record the regulatory asset-related revenue requirements based on actual expenditures until they are subsumed into a future GRC; and
 - c. allocating the regulatory asset related revenue requirements among gas distribution customers using the adopted gas distribution allocation factor in effect at the time the costs are allocated between core and noncore customers.

- 3. Regulatory asset treatment for the electrification expenditures that includes using PG&E's adopted rate of return at the time the revenue requirements are recorded;
- 4. Actual electrification regulatory asset related revenue requirements associated with actual expenditures up to \$17.224 million are deemed reasonable without the need for *ex post facto* review
- 5. Granting such additional relief the Commission deems appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Alexandra J. Ward ALEXANDRA J. WARD

Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (628) 219-4171 E-Mail: Ali.Ward@pge.com

Attorney for PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Dated: August 10, 2022

VERIFICATION

I, the undersigned, say:

I am an officer of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, a corporation, and am authorized, pursuant to Rule 2.1 and Rule 1.11 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the CPUC, to makethis Verification for an on behalf of said Corporation, and I make this Verification for that reason. I have read the foregoing Application, and I am informed and believe that the matters therein concerning Pacific Gas and Electric Company are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that theforegoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed on August 10, 2022, at Oakland, California.

By: /s/ Christine Cowsert Christine Cowsert

Senior Vice President, Gas Engineering

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

ATTACHMENT A

REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED SCHEDULE

PG&E respectfully requests that the Commission consider this *Application for Approval of Zonal Electrification Pilot Project* (Application) on an expedited basis to enable the proposed zonal electrification project requested by its customer, California State University Monterey Bay (CSU Monterey Bay), to move forward prior to the identified need to repair or replace PG&E's existing pipeline distribution system. The opportunity for this size and scale zonal electrification project warrants expedited review. The proposed zonal electrification pilot project will replace the current gas distribution service with retail electricity service, thereby significantly reducing greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs) at a reasonable cost to PG&E electric and gas customers. A delay in approving the Application could result in the need to replace the existing gas pipeline distribution system for safety and reliability reasons. PG&E estimates that the present value of this electrification alternative is more cost-effective than the gas repair work. To capture all of the benefits, PG&E seeks a decision before moving to the second phase of the project. This also allows for time to work collaboratively with CSU management on reaching resolution on items required for electrification.

An expedited application process is warranted under Rule 2.9 to avoid ratepayer harm by acting on this opportunity to address customer safety needs, higher greenhouse gas emissions, and higher overall costs with foregoing the zonal electrification project if not authorized in a timely manner. If the Application is granted expedited treatment, it will be able to be implemented by Fall of 2023.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

ATTACHMENT B

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (in millions)

	(Unaudited)							
	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,		
		2022		2021		2022		2021
Operating Revenues								
Electric	\$	3,690	\$	3,951	\$	7,848	\$	7,346
Natural gas		1,428		1,264		3,068		2,585
Total operating revenues		5,118		5,215		10,916		9,931
Operating Expenses								
Cost of electricity		780		847		1,282		1,437
Cost of natural gas		359		187		920		494
Operating and maintenance		2,210		2,581		5,317		4,912
SB 901 securitization charges, net		40				40		
Wildfire-related claims, net of recoveries		145		(5)		144		167
Wildfire Fund expense		117		118		235		237
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning		941		851		1,913		1,739
Total operating expenses		4,592		4,579		9,851		8,986
Operating Income		526		636		1,065		945
Interest income		20		15		29		17
Interest expense		(353)		(342)		(717)		(690)
Other income, net		132		124		288		257
Reorganization items, net		—		(10)		—		(12)
Income Before Income Taxes		325		423		665		517
Income tax benefit		(275)		(17)		(465)		(100)
Net Income		600		440		1,130		617
Preferred stock dividend requirement		4		4		7		7
Income Available for Common Stock	\$	596	\$	436	\$	1,123	\$	610

See accompanying Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	(Unaudited)							
		Three Months	End	ed June 30,		Six Months E	nded	June 30,
(in millions)		2022		2021		2022		2021
Net Income	\$	600	\$	440	\$	1,130	\$	617
Other Comprehensive Income								
Pension and other post-retirement benefit plans obligations (net of taxes of \$0, \$0, \$0, and \$0, respectively)	t	_		_		1		
Net unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities (net of taxes of \$2, \$0, \$2, and \$0, respectively)		(5)		_		(5)		_
Total other comprehensive loss		(5)		_		(4)		—
Comprehensive Income	\$	595	\$	440	\$	1,126	\$	617

See accompanying Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in millions)

	(Unaudited) Balance At			
	Jun	e 30, 2022	Dec	ember 31, 2021
ASSETS				
Current Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	122	\$	165
Restricted cash (includes \$54 million and \$4 million related to VIEs at respective dates)		76		16
Accounts receivable				
Customers (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$184 million and \$171 million at respective dates) (includes \$1.89 billion and \$2.06 billion related to VIEs, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$184 million and \$171 million at respective dates)		2,203		2,345
Accrued unbilled revenue (includes \$845 million and \$1.09 billion related to VIEs at respective dates)		962		1,207
Regulatory balancing accounts		3,870		2,999
Other		1,756		1,932
Regulatory assets		438		496
Inventories				
Gas stored underground and fuel oil		74		44
Materials and supplies		615		552
Wildfire Fund asset		461		461
Other		778		869
Total current assets		11,355		11,086
Property, Plant, and Equipment				
Electric		72,635		69,482
Gas		27,240		25,979
Construction work in progress		3,842		3,480
Financing lease		18		18
Total property, plant, and equipment		103,735		98,959
Accumulated depreciation		(30,240)		(29,131
Net property, plant, and equipment		73,495		69,828
Other Noncurrent Assets				
Regulatory assets		15,215		9,207
Customer credit trust		478		
Nuclear decommissioning trusts		3,291		3,798
Operating lease right of use asset		1,285		1,232
Wildfire Fund asset		5,082		5,313
Income taxes receivable		7		7
Other (includes noncurrent accounts receivable of \$73 million and \$187 million related to VIEs, net of noncurrent allowance for doubtful accounts of \$7 million and \$15 million at respective dates)		2,872		2,706
Total other noncurrent assets		28,230		22,263
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	113,080	\$	103,177

See accompanying Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in millions, except share amounts)

	(Unaudited)		
	Balance At		
	June 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current Liabilities			
Short-term borrowings	\$ 2,134	\$ 2,184	
Long-term debt, classified as current (includes \$96 million and \$18 million related to VIEs at respective dates)	1,968	4,455	
Accounts payable			
Trade creditors	3,028	2,853	
Regulatory balancing accounts	1,594	1,121	
Other	664	648	
Operating lease liabilities	453	467	
Interest payable (includes \$36 million and \$3 million related to VIEs at respective dates)	500	430	
Wildfire-related claims	2,187	2,722	
Other	1,923	2,430	
Total current liabilities	14,451	17,310	
Noncurrent Liabilities			
Long-term debt (includes \$5.36 billion and \$1.82 billion related to VIEs at respective dates)	39,584	33,632	
Regulatory liabilities	16,946	11,999	
Pension and other postretirement benefits	639	764	
Asset retirement obligations	6,166	5,298	
Deferred income taxes	3,318	3,409	
Operating lease liabilities	959	810	
Other	4,559	4,345	
Total noncurrent liabilities	72,171	60,257	
Shareholders' Equity			
Preferred stock	258	258	
Common stock, \$5 par value, authorized 800,000,000 shares; 264,374,809 shares			
outstanding at respective dates	1,322	1,322	
Additional paid-in capital	28,498	28,286	
Reinvested earnings	(3,607)	(4,247)	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(13)	(9)	
Total shareholders' equity	26,458	25,610	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 113,080	\$ 103,177	

See accompanying Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

ATTACHMENT C

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY COMPARISON OF GAS TRANSPORTATION RATES

				AV 63 A		
Rate Schedules	04/01/2022 (2)	Average Rate No PPP	08/01/2022 (1)	% Chg from April 1, 2022	Average Rate No PPP	% Chg from April 1, 2022
Comparison of Gas Accord Tariffs - C		es - effect			SE RATES	
as Schedule G-AA Path	1/1/2021 (2) Usage Rate		1/1/2022 (1) Usage Rate	% Chg From 1/1/21		% Chg From 1/1/21
Redwood to On-System (Per Dth)	\$0.8753		\$0.9022	3.07%		
Baja to On-System (Per Dth) Silverado to On-System (Per Dth)	\$1.0793 \$0.6878		\$1.1182 \$0.7103	3.60% 3.27%		
Mission to On-System (Per Dth)	\$0.0000		\$0.0000	0.00%		
Schedule G-AAOFF						
Path Redwood to Off-System (Per Dth)	S0.8753		Usage Rate \$0.9022	3.07%	_	
Baja to Off-System (Per Dth)	\$1.0793		\$1.1182	3.60%		
Silverado to Off-System (Per Dth) Mission to Off-System (Per Dth)	\$0.8753 \$0.8753		\$0.9022 \$0.9022	3.07% 3.07%		
Mission to Off-System Storage Withdrawls (Per Dth)	\$0.0000		\$0.0000	0.00%		
s Schedule G-AFT	Reservati	on Rate		Reservat	tion Rate	
Path	MFV Rates	SFV Rates	MFV Rates \$16,7183	0.079/	SFV Rates	2.079/
Redwood to On-System (Per Dth) Redwood to On-System Core Procurement Groups Only (Per Dth)	\$16.3309 \$15.0130	\$22.0809 \$20.8302	\$16./183 \$15.4990	2.37% 3.24%	\$22.7597 \$21.7537	3.07% 4.43%
Baja to On-System (Per Dth)	\$20.1370	\$27.2272	\$20.7209	2.90%	\$28.2087	3.60%
Baja to On-System Core Procurement Groups Only (Per Dth) Silverado to On-System (Per Dth)	\$0.0000 \$12.6452	\$0.0000 \$17.3534	\$0.0000 \$12.9629	0.00%	\$0.0000 \$17.9215	0.00% 3.27%
Mission to On-System (Per Dth)	\$12.6452	\$17.3534	\$12.9629	2.51%	\$17.9215	3.27%
	Usage	Rate		Usage	Rate	
Path Badward to On Swatern (Bar Dill)	MFV Rates	SFV Rates	MFV Rates	-	SFV Rates	2.0.40/
Redwood to On-System (Per Dth) Redwood to On-System Core Procurement Groups Only (Per Dth)	\$0.1925 \$0.1939	\$0.0035 \$0.0027	\$0.2022 \$0.2084	5.03% 7.47%	\$0.0036 \$0.0028	3.04% 3.97%
Baja to On-System (Per Dth)	\$0.2374	\$0.0043	\$0.2506	5.57%	\$0.0044	3.57%
Baja to On-System Core Procurement Groups Only (Per Dth) Silverado to On-System (Per Dth)	\$0.0000 \$0.1574	\$0.0000 \$0.0026	\$0.0000 \$0.1657	0.00% 5.28%	\$0.0000 \$0.0027	0.00% 3.06%
Mission to On-System (Per Dth)	\$0.1574	\$0.0026	\$0.1657	5.28%	\$0.0027	3.06%
Mission to On-System Storage Withdrawls (Conversion option from Firm ON-System Rewood or Baja Path only)	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	0.00%	\$0.0000	0.00%
		_		_		
as Schedule G-AFTOFF Path	Reservati MFV Rates	on Rate SFV Rates	MFV Rates	Reservat	tion Rate SFV Rates	
Redwood to Off-System (Per Dth)	\$16.3309	\$22.0809	\$16.7183	2.37%	\$22.7597	3.07%
Baja to Off-System (Per Dth) Silverado to Off-System (Per Dth)	\$20.1370 \$16.3309	\$27.2272 \$22.0809	\$20.7209 \$16.7183	2.90% 2.37%	\$28.2087 \$22.7597	3.60% 3.07%
Mission to Off-System (Per Dth)	\$16.3309	\$22.0809	\$16.7183	2.37%	\$22.7597	3.07%
	Usage	Rate		Usage	Rate	
Path Redwood to Off-System (Per Dth)	MFV Rates \$0.1925	SFV Rates \$0.0035	MFV Rates \$0.2022	5.03%	SFV Rates \$0.0036	3.04%
Baja to Off-System (Per Dth)	\$0.2374	\$0.0043	\$0.2506	5.57%	\$0.0044	3.57%
Silverado to Off-System (Per Dth) Mission to Off-System (Per Dth)	\$0.1925 \$0.1925	\$0.0035 \$0.0035	\$0.2022 \$0.2022	5.03% 5.03%	\$0.0036 \$0.0036	3.04% 3.04%
	00.1725	90.0055	00.2022	5.6576	90.0050	5.0170
s Schedule G-BAL Self-Balancing Credit Paragraph Section	\$0.0360		\$0.0368	2.22%		
s Schedule G-CFS						
Reservation Charge per Dth per month	\$0.4392		\$0.4417	0.57%		
s Schedule G-LEND						
Minumum Rate (per transaction)	\$57.00		\$57.00	0.00%		
Maximum Rate (per Dth per day)	\$1.1650		\$1.1650	0.00%		
s Schedule G-NAS						
Injection Maximum Rates (Per Dth/Day) Withdrawl Maximum Rates (Per Dth/Day)	\$5.7236 \$26.1629		\$5.7236 \$26.1629	0.00%		
as Schedule G-NFS Injection Maximum Rates (Per Dth/Day)	\$5.7236		\$5.7236	0.00%		
Inventory (Per Dth)	\$3.5541 \$26.1629		\$3.5541 \$26.1629	0.00%		
Withdrawl Maximum Rates (Per Dth/Day)	320.1029		\$20.1629	0.00%		
as Schedule G-PARK Minumum Rate (per transaction)	\$57.00		\$57.0000	0.00%		
Maximum Rate (per transaction) Maximum Rate (per Dth per day)	\$1.1650		\$1.1650	0.00%		
as Schedule G-SFS (5)						
Reservation Charge per Dth per month	\$0.0000		\$0.0000	0.00%		
s Schedule G-SFT						
	Reservati			Reservat		
Path Redwood to On-System (Per Dth)	MFV Rates \$19.59708	SFV Rates \$26.49712	MFV Rates \$20.0620	2.37%	SFV Rates \$27.3116	3.07%
Baja to On-System (Per Dth)	\$24.16444	\$32.67264	\$24.8651	2.90%	\$33.8504	3.60%
Baja to On-System Core Procurement Groups Only (Per Dth) Silverado to On-System (Per Dth)	\$22.47025 \$15.17418	\$31.17699 \$20.82408	\$23.2616 \$15.5555	3.52% 2.51%	\$32.6488 \$21.5059	4.72% 3.27%
Mission to On-System (Per Dth)	\$15.17418	\$20.82408	\$15.5555	2.51%	\$21.5059	3.27%
	Usage	Rate		Usage	Rate	
Path	MFV Rates	SFV Rates	MFV Rates	-	SFV Rates	
Redwood to On-System (Per Dth) Baja to On-System (Per Dth)	\$0.2310 \$0.2848	\$0.0042 \$0.0051	\$0.2426 \$0.3007	5.03% 5.57%	\$0.0043 \$0.0053	3.04% 3.57%
Baja to On-System Core Procurement Groups Only (Per Dth)	\$0.2903	\$0.0040	\$0.3128	7.77%	\$0.0042	4.25%
Silverado to On-System (Per Dth) Mission to On-System (Per Dth)	\$0.1889 \$0.1889	\$0.0031 \$0.0031	\$0.1989 \$0.1989	5.28% 5.28%	\$0.0032 \$0.0032	3.06% 3.06%
			40.1909		50.0032	
Sas Schedule G-XF SFV Reservation Rates (Per Dth Per Month)	5.6163		\$5.7262	1.96%		
SFV Usage Rates Rates (Per Dth)	0.0002		\$0.0002	1.96%		
tes:						

Notes:
1) Rates are based on 1/1/2022 - Nonsore, AL 4543-G for noncore tariffs and AL 4542-G for core tariffs.
2) Rates are based on 1/1/2021 - AGT, AL 4348-G for noncore tariffs and AL 4347-G for core tariffs.
5) Service no longer offered under adopted NGSS, however, previous January 2020 rate of \$0.3930 still shown on Tariff until the seven-year step-down period is adopted.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY COMPARISON OF GAS TRANSPORTATION RATES

		1		
	Average Rate	% Ct	hg from Average Rate	% Chg from
Rate Schedules	04/01/2022 ⁽²⁾ No PPP	08/01/2022 ⁽¹⁾ April	1, 2022 No PPP	April 1, 2022
	Compariso	on of Core Schedules	5:	
Residential (G-1, GM, GS, GT)				
Transportation Charge (\$/Therm) Tier 1	\$1.32828 \$1.50948	\$1.36082 2.4	45% \$1.54620	2.4% Avg. Summer (Apr-Oct)
Tier 2	\$1.78536 \$1.48225		43% \$1.51835	2.4% Avg. Winter (Jan-Mar, Nov-Dec)
Average Rate from RTP	\$1.49190		\$1.52823	2.4% Avg. Annual
California Natural Gas Climate Credit ^V Greenhouse Gas Compliance Cost ⁴	(\$47.83) \$0.10235	(\$47.83) \$0.10235		
Residential Natural Gas Vehicle (G1-NGV)				
Customer Charge	\$0.41425		00%	
Transportation Charge (\$/therm) implemented 2/1/06 California Natural Gas Climate Credit ^{3/}	\$0.88576 (\$47,83)	\$0.92055 3.9 (\$47,83)	93%	
Greenhouse Gas Compliance Cost ⁴	\$0.10235	\$0.10235		
Small Commercial (G-NR1)	\$0.27048	\$0.27048 0.0	00%	
Customer Charge 0 - 5.0 therms (\$/day) Customer Charge 5.1 - 16.0 therms (\$/day)	\$0.52106		00%	
Customer Charge 16.1 - 41.0 therms (\$/day)	\$0.95482	\$0.95482 0.0	00%	
Customer Charge 41.1 - 123.0 therms (\$/day)	\$1.66489		00%	
Customer Charge >123.1 therms (\$/day)	\$2.14936	\$2.14936 0.0	00%	
Transportation Charge (\$/Therm) Summer (1st 4,000)	\$0.90167 \$0.93070		24% \$0.95948	3.1% Avg. Summer
Summer (Excess)	\$0.55673		67%	
Winter (1st 4,000) Winter (Excess)	\$1.06048 \$1.03619 \$0.65479		25% \$1.07006 68%	3.3% Avg. Winter
Greenhouse Gas Compliance Cost ⁴	\$0.05475	\$0.10235	\$1.02123	3.2% Avg. Annual
Large Commercial (G-NR2)	30.10233	30.10233		
Customer Charge (\$/Day)	\$4.95518	\$4.95518 0.0	00%	
Transportation Charge (\$/Therm) Summer (1st 4.000)	\$0.90167 \$0.59423	\$0.93090 3.2	24% \$0.62054	4.4% Avg. Summer
Summer (Excess)	\$0.55673		67%	4.4% Avg. Summer
Winter (1st 4,000)	\$1.06048 \$0.69612	\$1.09498 3.2	25% \$0.72713	4.5% Avg. Winter
Winter (Excess)	\$0.65479	\$0.68545 4.0	68%	-
Greenhouse Gas Compliance Cost4'	\$0.10235	\$0.10235	\$0.66634	4.4% Avg. Annual
Residential Transport-Only (G-CT)				
Transportation Charge (\$/Therm) Tier 1	\$1.32828	\$1.36082 2.4	45%	
Tier 2	\$1.78536		43%	
California Natural Gas Climate Credit ^{3/}	(\$47.83)	(\$47.83)		
Greenhouse Gas Compliance Cost ^{4/}	\$0.10235	\$0.10235		
Small Commercial Transport-Only (G-CT) <u>Transportation Charge (\$/Therm)</u>				
Summer (1st 4,000)	\$0.90167		24%	
Summer (Excess)	\$0.55673		67%	
Winter (1st 4,000) Winter (Excess)	\$1.06048 \$0.65479		25% 68%	
Greenhouse Gas Compliance Cost ^{4/}	\$0.10235	\$0.10235	0070	
Large Commercial Transport-Only (G-CT) Transportation Charge (\$/Therm)				
Summer (1st 4,000)	\$0.90167	\$0.93090 3.2	24%	
Summer (Excess)	\$0.55673	\$0.58273 4.6	67%	
Winter (1st 4,000) Winter (Excess)	\$1.06048		25%	
Winter (Excess) Greenhouse Gas Compliance Cost ^{4/}	\$0.65479 \$0.10235	\$0.68545 4.6 \$0.10235	68%	
Natural Gas Vehicle - Uncompressed (G-NGV1) Customer Charge (\$/Day)	\$0.44121	\$0.44121 0.0	00%	
Towns which a Channel (CThannel)	80 (2812 80 (2/12	E0.00257 4.	479/ 80.7/452	4.59/
Transportation Charge (\$/Therm) Greenhouse Gas Compliance Cost ^{4/}	\$0.63517 \$0.63612 \$0.10235	\$0.66357 4.4 \$0.10235	\$0.66452	4.5%
Natural Gas Vehicle - Compressed (G-NGV2)				
Customer Charge (\$/Day)	\$0.00	\$0.00 0.0	00%	
Transportation Charge (\$/Therm)	\$2.20572 \$2.20572		70% \$2.24323	1.7%
Greenhouse Gas Compliance Cost4	\$0.10235	\$0.10235		
G-PPP CORE CUSTOMERS Residential Non-Care	\$0.10346	\$0.10346 0.0	00%	
Residentail CARE	\$0.06215	\$0.06215 0.0	00%	
Small Commercial	\$0.06237		00%	
Large Commercial Natural Gas Vehicle	\$0.05611 \$0.04380		00% 00%	
ivatural Gas venicie	30.04360	30.04380 0.0	0070	

Notes: 1) Rates are based on 8/1/2022 - Advice Letter 4639-G for noncore tariffs and Advice Letter 4638-G for core tariffs.

Rates are based on #1/2022 - Advice Letter 4599-G for noncore tarifis and Advice Letter 4589-G for core tarifis.
 Rets are based on #1/2022 - Advice Letter 4599-G for noncore tarifis and Advice Letter 4589-G for core tarifis.
 Residential Annual Credit in April Bill cycle.
 Covered Entities (i.e., customers that currently have a direct obligation to pay for allowance directly to the Air Resources Board for their Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions) will see a line item credit on their bill equal to \$0.10235 per therm times their monthly billed volumes.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY COMPARISON OF GAS TRANSPORTATION RATES

					1	
		Average Rate		% Chg from	Average Rate	% Chg from
ate Schedules	04/01/2022 ⁽²⁾	No PPP	08/01/2022 (1) Noncore Sch	April 1, 2022 edules	No PPP	April 1, 202
adustrial (G-NT)		-				
Customer Access Charge (\$/Day)						
0 to 5,000 therms	\$0.94553		\$0.94553	0.0%		
5,001 to 10,000 therms	\$2.81688		\$2.81688	0.0%		
10,001 to 50,000 therms	\$5.24318 \$6.88110		\$5.24318 \$6.88110	0.0%		
50,001 to 200,000 therms 200,001 to 1,000,000 therms	\$9.98367		\$9.98367	0.0%		
1,000,001 therms and above	\$84.68745		\$84.68745	0.0%		
Transportation Charge (\$/Therm)						
Backbone	0.13520	\$0.13599	0.14367	6.26%	\$0.14446	6.2
Transmission	\$0.26374	\$0.26446	\$0.27813	5.46%	\$0.27885	5.4
Distribution (Summer) Tier 1	\$0.61039	\$0.52064	\$0.62755	2.81%	\$0.53746	3.2
Distribution (Summer) Tier 2	\$0.48964		\$0.50636	3.41%		
Distribution (Summer) Tier 3	\$0.46515		\$0.48178	3.58%		
Distribution (Summer) Tier 4	\$0.44629		\$0.46285	3.71%		
Distribution (Summer) Tier 5	\$0.26374		\$0.27813	5.46%		
Distribution (Winter) Tier 1	\$0.72679		\$0.74435	2.42%		
Distribution (Winter) Tier 2	\$0.56377		\$0.58076	3.01%		
Distribution (Winter) Tier 3	\$0.53071		\$0.54758	3.18%		
Distribution (Winter) Tier 4	\$0.50525		\$0.52202	3.32%		
Distribution (Winter) Tier 5	\$0.26374		\$0.27813	5.46%		
Greenhouse Gas Compliance $Cost^{V}$	0.10235		\$0.10235			
-PPP Noncore Customers						
Backbone/Transmission	\$0.04920		\$0.04920	0.00%		
Distribution	\$0.06270		\$0.06270	0.00%		
lectric Generation G-EG						
Transportation Charge: Backbone Transportation Charge (\$/therm)	0.12923	\$0.12949	0.13772	6.57%	\$0.13798	6.6
Distribution/Transmission Charge (\$/Therm)	\$0.24779	\$0.24862	\$0.26212	5.78%	\$0.26295	5.8
Greenhouse Gas Compliance Cost ^{3/}	0.10235		\$0.10235			
	0.10235		30.10235			
/holesale G-WSL Customer Access Charge (\$/Day)						
Palo Alto	\$72.81107		\$72.81107	0.00%		
Coalinga	\$21.83737		\$21.83737	0.00%		
West Coast Gas-Mather	\$11.59266		\$11.59266	0.00%		
West Coast Gas - Castle	\$12.68515		\$12.68515	0.00%		
Island Energy Alpine Natural Gas	\$14.79584 \$4.93742		\$14.79584 \$4.93742	0.00%		
			•			
Transportation Charge (\$/Therm) Palo Alto	\$0.24398	\$0.14252	\$0.25828	5.86%	\$0.15682	10.09
Coalinga	\$0.24398	\$0.14232 \$0.14541	\$0.25828	5.86%	\$0.15682 \$0.15972	9.8
West Coast Gas - Mather (Transmission)	\$0.24398	\$0.14639	\$0.25828	5.86%	\$0.16069	9.8
West Coast Gas - Mather (Distribution)	\$0.77880	\$0.68121	\$0.79853	2.53%	\$0.70094	2.9
West Coast Gas - Castle (Distribution)	\$0.55518	\$0.46031	\$0.57249	3.12%	\$0.47761	3.8
Island Energy	\$0.24398	\$0.15400	\$0.25828	5.86%	\$0.16831	9.39
Alpine Natural Gas	\$0.24398	\$0.14507	\$0.25828	5.86%	\$0.15937	9.9
Greenhouse Gas Compliance $Cost^3$	0.10235		\$0.10235			
atural Gas Vehicle - Uncompressed (G-NGV4)						
Customer Access Charge (\$/Day)	00 0 100°		60.04552	0.001		
0 to 5,000 therms 5,001 to 10,000 therms	\$0.94553 \$2.81688		\$0.94553 \$2.81688	0.0%		
5,001 to 10,000 therms 10,001 to 50,000 therms	\$2.81688 \$5.24318		\$2.81688 \$5.24318	0.0%		
50,001 to 200,000 therms	\$6.88110		\$6.88110	0.0%		
200,001 to 1,000,000 therms	\$9,98367		\$9.98367	0.0%		
1,000,001 therms and above	\$84.68745		\$84.68745	0.0%		
Transportation Charge (\$/Therm)						
Transmission	\$0.25319	\$0.25391	\$0.26750	5.65%	\$0.26822	5.6
Distribution (Summer) Tier 1	\$0.61039	\$0.52064	\$0.62755	2.81%	\$0.53746	3.2
Distribution (Summer) Tier 2	\$0.48964		\$0.50636	3.41%		0.2
Distribution (Summer) Tier 3	\$0.46515		\$0.48178	3.58%		
Distribution (Summer) Tier 4	\$0.44629		\$0.46285	3.71%		
Distribution (Summer) Tier 5	\$0.25319		\$0.26750	5.65%		
Distribution (Winter) Tier 1	\$0.72679		\$0.74435	2.42%		
Distribution (Winter) Tier 2	\$0.56377		\$0.58076	3.01%		
Distribution (Winter) Tier 3	\$0.53071		\$0.54758	3.18%		
Distribution (Winter) Tier 4	\$0.50525		\$0.52202	3.32%		
Distribution (Winter) Tier 5	\$0.25319		\$0.26750	5.65%		
Distribution (white) field						
Greenhouse Gas Compliance Cost ^{3/}	0.10235		\$0.10235			
	0.10235 \$0.39033		\$0.10235 \$0.40464	3.67%		

Notes:
 1) Rates are based on 8/1/2022 - Advice Letter 4639-G for noncore tariffs and Advice Letter 4638-G for core tariffs.
 2) Rates are based on 4/1/2022 - Advice Letter 4590-G for noncore tariffs and Advice Letter 4589-G for core tariffs.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

ATTACHMENT D

SERVICE OF NOTICE OF APPLICATION

In accordance with Rule 3.2(b), Applicant will mail a notice to the following, stating in general terms its proposed change in rates.

State of California

To the Attorney General and the Department of General Services.

State of California Office of Attorney General 1300 I St Ste 1101 Sacramento, CA 95814

and

Department of General Services Office of Buildings & Grounds 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2012 San Francisco, CA 94102

Counties

To the County Counsel or District Attorney and the County Clerk in the following

counties:

Alameda	Mariposa	Santa Clara
Alpine	Mendocino	Santa Cruz
Amador	Merced	Shasta
Butte	Modoc	Sierra
Calaveras	Monterey	Siskiyou
Colusa	Napa	Solano
Contra Costa	Nevada	Sonoma
El Dorado	Placer	Stanislaus
Fresno	Plumas	Sutter
Glenn	Sacramento	Tehama
Humboldt	San Benito	Trinity
Kern	San Bernardino	Tulare
Kings	San Francisco	Tuolumne
Lake	San Joaquin	Yolo
Lassen	San Luis Obispo	Yuba
Madera	San Mateo	
Marin	Santa Barbara	

Municipal Corporations

To the City Attorney and the City Clerk of the following municipal corporations:

Alameda	Colusa	Hanford
Albany	Concord	Hayward
Amador City	Corcoran	Healdsburg
American Canyon	Corning	Hercules
Anderson	Corte Madera	Hillsborough
Angels Camp	Cotati	Hollister
Antioch	Cupertino	Hughson
Arcata	Daly City	Huron
Arroyo Grande	Danville	Ione
Arvin	Davis	Isleton
Atascadero	Del Rey Oakes	Jackson
Atherton	Dinuba	Kerman
Atwater	Dixon	King City
Auburn	Dos Palos	Kingsburg
Avenal	Dublin	Lafayette
Bakersfield	East Palo Alto	Lakeport
Barstow	El Cerrito	Larkspur
Belmont	Elk Grove	Lathrop
Belvedere	Emeryville	Lemoore
Benicia	Escalon	Lincoln
Berkeley	Eureka	Live Oak
Biggs	Fairfax	Livermore
Blue Lake	Fairfield	Livingston
Brentwood	Ferndale	Lodi
Brisbane	Firebaugh	Loupoc
Buellton	Folsom	Loomis
Burlingame	Fort Bragg	Los Altos
Calistoga	Fortuna	Los Altos Hills
Campbell	Foster City	Los Banos
Capitola	Fowler	Los Gatos
Carmel	Fremont	Madera
Ceres	Fresno	Manteca
Chico	Galt	Maricopa
Chowchilla	Gilroy	Marina
Citrus Heights	Gonzales	Mariposa
Clayton	Grass Valley	Martinez
Clearlake	Greenfield	Marysville
Cloverdale	Gridley	McFarland
Clovis	Grover Beach	Mendota
Coalinga	Guadalupe	Menlo Park
Colfax	Guadalupe Gustine	Merced
Colma	Half Moon Bay	Mill Valley
Conna	Hall WIOOII Day	will valley

Millbrae **Milpitas** Modesto Monte Sereno Monterey Moraga Morgan Hill Morro Bay Mountain View Napa Newark Nevada City Newman Novato Oakdale Oakland Oakley Orange Cove Orinda Orland Oroville Pacific Grove Pacifica Palo Alto Paradise Parlier Paso Robles Patterson Petaluma Piedmont Pinole Pismo Beach Pittsburg Placerville Pleasant Hill Pleasanton Plymouth Point Arena Portola Portola Valley Rancho Cordova Red Bluff Redding Redwood City Reedley Richmond

Ridgecrest Rio Dell Rio Vista Ripon Riverbank Rocklin **Rohnert Park** Roseville Ross Sacramento Saint Helena Salinas San Anselmo San Bruno San Carlos San Francisco San Joaquin San Jose San Juan Bautista San Leandro San Luis Obispo San Mateo San Pablo San Rafael San Ramon Sand City Sanger Santa Clara Santa Cruz Santa Maria Santa Rosa Saratoga Sausalito Scotts Valley Seaside Sebastopol Selma Shafter Shasta Lake Soledad Solvang Sonoma Sonora South San Francisco Stockton Suisun City

Sunnyvale Sutter Creek Taft Tehama Tiburon Tracy Trinidad Turlock Ukiah Union City Vacaville Vallejo Victorville Walnut Creek Wasco Waterford Watsonville West Sacramento Wheatland Williams Willits Willows Windsor Winters Woodland Woodside Yountville Yuba City