



Decision _____

FILED

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11/18/22

04:59 PM

A2107009

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Authorization to Recover Costs Recorded in Its Customer Service Re-Platform Memorandum Account.	Application 21-07-009 (Filed July 21, 2021)
---	--

**INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM OF THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
AND DECISION ON INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM OF THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK**

NOTE: After electronically filing a PDF copy of this Intervenor Compensation Claim (Request), please email the document in an MS WORD and supporting EXCEL spreadsheet to the Intervenor Compensation Program Coordinator at Icompcoordinator@cpuc.ca.gov.

Intervenor: The Utility Reform Network (“TURN”)	For contribution to Decision (D.) 22-09-015	
Claimed: \$78,341.88	Awarded: \$	
Assigned Commissioner: Genevieve Shiroma	Assigned ALJ: Ehren Seybert	
I hereby certify that the information I have set forth in Parts I, II, and III of this Claim is true to my best knowledge, information and belief. I further certify that, in conformance with the Rules of Practice and Procedure, this Claim has been served this day upon all required persons (as set forth in the Certificate of Service attached as Attachment 1).		
Signature:		/S/
Date: 11/18/2022	Printed Name:	David Cheng

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES
(to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)

A. Brief description of Decision:	D.22-09-015 adopted a Settlement Agreement between Southern California Edison Company (“SCE”) and TURN addressing the costs recorded in SCE’s Customer Service Re-Platform (“CSR”) Memorandum Account through April 2021, as well as the processes to recover the revenue requirements associated with these amounts. The total revenue requirement authorized though the decision was
--	--

	\$388.330 million, consisting of \$12.851 million for the initial revenue requirement through April 2021, and approximately \$375.479 million for the on-going revenue requirement from May 2021 through December 2024.
--	---

B. Intervenor must satisfy intervenor compensation requirements set forth in Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812¹:

	Intervenor	CPUC Verification
Timely filing of notice of intent to claim compensation (NOI) (§ 1804(a)):		
1. Date of Prehearing Conference:	10/1/2021	
2. Other specified date for NOI:	N/A	
3. Date NOI filed:	11/01/2021	
4. Was the NOI timely filed?		
Showing of eligible customer status (§ 1802(b) or eligible local government entity status (§§ 1802(d), 1802.4):		
5. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	R.20-08-021	
6. Date of ALJ ruling:	December 11, 2020	
7. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):	N/A	
8. Has the Intervenor demonstrated customer status or eligible government entity status?		
Showing of “significant financial hardship” (§1802(h) or §1803.1(b)):		
9. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	R.20-08-021	
10. Date of ALJ ruling:	December 11, 2020	
11. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):	N/A	
12. Has the Intervenor demonstrated significant financial hardship?		
Timely request for compensation (§ 1804(c)):		
13. Identify Final Decision:	D.22-09-015	
14. Date of issuance of Final Order or Decision:	9/19/2022	
15. File date of compensation request:	11/18/2022	
16. Was the request for compensation timely?		

¹ All statutory references are to California Public Utilities Code unless indicated otherwise.

C. Additional Comments on Part I: (use line reference # as appropriate)

#	Intervenor’s Comment(s)	CPUC Discussion

PART II: SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION
(to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)

A. Did the Intervenor substantially contribute to the final decision (see § 1802(j), § 1803(a), 1803.1(a) and D.98-04-059): (For each contribution, support with specific reference to the record.)

Intervenor’s Claimed Contribution(s)	Specific References to Intervenor’s Claimed Contribution(s)	CPUC Discussion
<p><u>1. Potential Imprudent Management</u></p> <p>TURN submitted testimony which recommended a \$238 million disallowance to SCE’s Track 1 request corresponding to a 12-month extension of the CSRP project in late 2019. TURN contended that this extension and budget increase were caused by SCE’s imprudent management of the CSRP project. In support of this contention, TURN: (1) highlighted that HCL, a key vendor and lead system integrator for the CSRP project, had underperformed on the project since 2018 but was not replaced by SCE until December 2019; (2) pointed to internal SCE documents concerning delays due to solution gaps and complexities encountered; (3) contended that the \$238 million budget increase was not cost-effective; and (4) argued that TMG Consulting’s opinion, which found SCE’s</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ex. TURN-02, pp. 4-13. • D.22-09-015, p. 5. 	

<p>CSRP costs to be reasonable, was biased and not credible.</p> <p>TURN also argued that SCE should remove \$34.3 million of capital investments from rate base associated with investments to SCE’s legacy Customer Service System (CSS), which became “stranded” through SCE’s decision to replace CSS.</p> <p>TURN and SCE reached a settlement agreement that includes a capital reduction of \$47 million for SCE’s capital expenditure request. The settlement agreement also states that \$38 million will be excluded from rate base and be recovered as an expense instead.</p> <p>In D.22-09-015, the Commission adopted the settlement agreement in its entirety.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ex. TURN-02, pp. 1-4. • D.22-09-015, p. 5. • Settlement Agreement, Section 4.A. • D.22-09-015, p. 8. • D.22-09-015, p. 12. 	
<p><u>2. Expense Treatment of Certain Capital Expenditures</u></p> <p>TURN submitted testimony that recommended SCE be directed to account for CSRP cloud-based software costs as expenses, rather than capitalizing these costs as proposed by SCE. While acknowledging that the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) updated its accounting guidance in 2018 to allow companies to capitalize certain cloud-hosted software costs, TURN argued that: (1) the Commission should not be compelled to comply with the updated FASB guidance, which would unnecessarily increase ratepayer costs over the long term; (2) capitalization of cloud-based hosted software was not universally agreed upon by all of the FASB members, and SCE’s proposed ratemaking treatments lacked Commission precedent; and (3) SCE had not provided sufficient descriptions to justify its capitalization of certain identified cost categories.</p> <p>TURN and SCE reached a settlement agreement that includes a capital reduction of \$47 million for SCE’s capital expenditure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ex. TURN-01, pp. 4-17. • D.22-09-015, pp. 5-6. • Settlement Agreement, Section 4.A. 	

<p>request. The settlement agreement also states that \$38 million will be excluded from rate base and be recovered as an expense instead. In D.22-09-015, the Commission adopted the settlement agreement in its entirety.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • D.22-09-015, p. 8. • D.22-09-015, p. 12. 	
---	---	--

B. Duplication of Effort (§ 1801.3(f) and § 1802.5):

	Intervenor’s Assertion	CPUC Discussion
<p>a. Was the Public Advocate’s Office of the Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) a party to the proceeding?²</p>	Yes	
<p>b. Were there other parties to the proceeding with positions similar to yours?</p>	No	
<p>c. If so, provide name of other parties:</p>		
<p>d. Intervenor’s claim of non-duplication: Even though Cal Advocates was granted party status in this proceeding, Cal Advocates did not actively participate in the proceeding. It did not submit testimony or participate in the settlement agreement between TURN and SCE. Thus, TURN was the primary and only party that provided testimony in this case and actively negotiated with SCE to reach a settlement agreement.</p> <p>The Commission should find that TURN’s participation did not result in undue duplication. And consistent with such a finding, the Commission should determine that all of TURN’s work is compensable consistent with the conditions set forth in Section 1802.5.</p>		

C. Additional Comments on Part II: *(use line reference # or letter as appropriate)*

#	Intervenor’s Comment	CPUC Discussion

² The Office of Ratepayer Advocates was renamed the Public Advocate’s Office of the Public Utilities Commission pursuant to Senate Bill No. 854, which the Governor approved on June 27, 2018.

PART III: REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION
(to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)

A. General Claim of Reasonableness (§ 1801 and § 1806):

	CPUC Discussion
<p>a. Intervenor’s claim of cost reasonableness:</p> <p>TURN’s request for intervenor compensation seeks an award of approximately \$78,000 as the reasonable cost of our participation in this proceeding. TURN submits that these costs are reasonable in light of the importance of the issues TURN addressed and the savings to ratepayers as a result of TURN’s participation.</p> <p>As the Commission noted in D.22-09-015, ratepayers are expected to receive savings of \$53 million over the life of the CSRP assets as a result of the settlement agreement. The savings of \$53 million far exceeds the cost of TURN’s participation at approximately \$78,000.</p> <p>As such, the Commission should find that TURN's efforts have been productive and the requested amount of compensation is reasonable in light of the benefits achieved through those efforts.</p>	
<p>b. Reasonableness of hours claimed:</p> <p>This Request for Compensation includes around 170 hours of TURN’s attorney and expert time, the equivalent of a little more than four weeks of full-time work for an individual attorney. TURN’s efforts reflected herein resulted in significant contributions to D.22-09-015, detailed above, and encompass the preparation of filings and testimonies by TURN as well as participation in settlement negotiations with SCE.</p> <p>TURN assigned this proceeding to Staff Attorney David Cheng and Energy Analyst Jennifer Dowdell. This request for compensation includes approximately 100 hours of Mr. Cheng’s time and 76 hours of Ms. Dowdell’s time.</p> <p>TURN suggests that the Commission should find that the number of issues addressed by TURN in this proceeding, the time necessary for preparing various pleadings and testimonies, and the time required by settlement discussions warrant finding that the hours spent were reasonable. However, should the Commission believe that more information is needed or that a different approach to discussing the reasonableness of the</p>	

requested hours is warranted here, TURN requests the opportunity to supplement this section of the request.		
<p>c. Allocation of hours by issue:</p> <p>TURN has allocated all of our attorney and expert time by issue area or activity, as is evident on our attached timesheets (Attachment 2) and in Attachment 3, which shows the allocation of TURN's time included in this request by attorney or expert and issue / activity area. The following codes relate to specific substantive issue and activity areas addressed by TURN.</p>		
Code	Description	Allocation of Time
#	Work related to multiple substantive issue areas that is not easily allocated to specific issues	8.2%
Acct	Work related to the proper accounting (expense or capital) of select CSRP costs	42.3%
Coord	Work related to coordination with other parties	0.8%
Disc	Work related to conducting discovery	9.5%
GP	Work related to general participation in the proceeding	6.1%
Imp	Work related to costs related to potential imprudent management	30.6%
PD	Work related to the Proposed Decision where not easily allocated to specific issue areas	0.1%
Settle	Work related to settlement discussions and preparation	2.4%

B. Specific Claim:*

CLAIMED						CPUC AWARD		
ATTORNEY, EXPERT, AND ADVOCATE FEES								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
David Cheng, TURN Attorney	2021	15.50	\$425	D.22-05-027	\$6,587.50			

David Cheng, TURN Attorney	2022	83.50	\$440	2021 rate plus 3.3% COLA	\$36,740.00			
Jennifer Dowdell, TURN Energy Analyst	2022	76.00	\$415	2021 rate plus 3.3% COLA	\$31,540.00			
Robert Finkelstein, TURN General Counsel	2021	0.75	\$780	D.22-06-018	\$585.00			
Robert Finkelstein, TURN General Counsel	2022	1.00	\$805	2021 rate plus 3.3% COLA	\$805.00			
Subtotal: \$76,257.50						Subtotal: \$		
OTHER FEES								
Describe here what OTHER HOURLY FEES you are Claiming (paralegal, travel **, etc.):								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate	Total \$
Subtotal: \$						Subtotal: \$		
INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM PREPARATION **								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate	Total \$
David Cheng, TURN Attorney	2021	0.75	\$212.50	½ of 2021 rate	\$159.38			
David Cheng, TURN Attorney	2022	8.75	\$220	½ of 2022 rate	\$1,925.00			
Subtotal: \$2,084.38						Subtotal: \$		

COSTS				
#	Item	Detail	Amount	Amount
1.				
2.				
3.				
			<i>Subtotal: \$</i>	<i>Subtotal: \$</i>
			<i>TOTAL REQUEST: \$78,341.88</i>	<i>TOTAL AWARD: \$</i>
<p>*We remind all intervenors that Commission staff may audit the records and books of the intervenors to the extent necessary to verify the basis for the award (§1804(d)). Intervenors must make and retain adequate accounting and other documentation to support all claims for intervenor compensation. Intervenors' records should identify specific issues for which it seeks compensation, the actual time spent by each employee or consultant, the applicable hourly rates, fees paid to consultants and any other costs for which compensation was claimed. The records pertaining to an award of compensation shall be retained for at least three years from the date of the final decision making the award.</p> <p>**Travel and Reasonable Claim preparation time are typically compensated at ½ of preparer's normal hourly rate</p>				
ATTORNEY INFORMATION				
Attorney	Date Admitted to CA BAR ³	Member Number	Actions Affecting Eligibility (Yes/No?) If "Yes", attach explanation	
David Cheng	June 2015	303794	No	
Robert Finkelstein	June 1990	146391	No	

C. Attachments Documenting Specific Claim and Comments on Part III:
(Intervenor completes; attachments not attached to final Decision)

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment
Attachment 1	Certificate of Service
Attachment 2	Timesheets for TURN's Attorneys and Experts
Attachment 3	TURN Hours Allocated by Issue
Comment 1	
Comment 2	

³ This information may be obtained through the State Bar of California's website at <http://members.calbar.ca.gov/fal/MemberSearch/QuickSearch> .

Comment 3	
-----------	--

D. CPUC Comments, Disallowances, and Adjustments *(CPUC completes)*

Item	Reason

PART IV: OPPOSITIONS AND COMMENTS

Within 30 days after service of this Claim, Commission Staff or any other party may file a response to the Claim (*see* § 1804(c))

A. Opposition: Did any party oppose the Claim?	
---	--

If so:

Party	Reason for Opposition	CPUC Discussion

B. Comment Period: Was the 30-day comment period waived (<i>see</i> Rule 14.6(c)(6))?	
--	--

If not:

Party	Comment	CPUC Discussion

(Green items to be completed by Intervenor)

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK [has/has not] made a substantial contribution to D.22-09-015.
2. The requested hourly rates for THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK’s representatives [, as adjusted herein,] are comparable to market rates paid to experts and advocates having comparable training and experience and offering similar services.

3. The claimed costs and expenses [, as adjusted herein,] are reasonable and commensurate with the work performed.
4. The total of reasonable compensation is \$_____.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. The Claim, with any adjustment set forth above, [satisfies/fails to satisfy] all requirements of Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812.

ORDER

1. **THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK** shall be awarded \$_____.
2. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, _____ shall pay **THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK** the total award. [for multiple utilities: “Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, ^, ^, and ^ shall pay **THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK** their respective shares of the award, based on their California-jurisdictional [industry type, for example, electric] revenues for the ^ calendar year, to reflect the year in which the proceeding was primarily litigated. If such data is unavailable, the most recent [industry type, for example, electric] revenue data shall be used.”] Payment of the award shall include compound interest at the rate earned on prime, three-month non-financial commercial paper as reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15, beginning [date], the 75th day after the filing of **THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK**’s request, and continuing until full payment is made.
3. The comment period for today’s decision [is/is not] waived.
4. This decision is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.

APPENDIX

Compensation Decision Summary Information

Compensation Decision:		Modifies Decision?	
Contribution Decision(s):	D.22-09-015		
Proceeding(s):	A.21-07-009		
Author:			
Payer(s):			

Intervenor Information

Intervenor	Date Claim Filed	Amount Requested	Amount Awarded	Multiplier?	Reason Change/Disallowance
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK	November 18, 2022	\$78,341.88		N/A	

Hourly Fee Information

First Name	Last Name	Attorney, Expert, or Advocate	Hourly Fee Requested	Year Hourly Fee Requested	Hourly Fee Adopted
David	Cheng	Attorney	\$425	2021	
David	Cheng	Attorney	\$440	2022	
Jennifer	Dowdell	Expert	\$415	2022	
Robert	Finkelstein	Attorney	\$780	2021	
Robert	Finkelstein	Attorney	\$805	2022	

(END OF APPENDIX)

Attachment 1
Certificate of Service

(Filed electronically as a separate document pursuant to Rule 1.13(b)(iii))

(Served electronically as a separate document pursuant to Rule 1.10(c))

Attachment 2

Daily Time Records for Attorneys and Experts

**A.21-07-009 (SCE CRSP) TURN Compensation Claim
Attorney Time Sheets**

Staff	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
Robert Finkelstein	A21-07-009	#	Review DCheng draft of protest, provide feedback	8/23/21	0.25
Robert Finkelstein	A21-07-009	#	Review draft protest, provide feedback	8/23/21	0.50
Robert Finkelstein	A21-07-009	Disc	E-mail exchange w/ DCheng re: SCE claim of privilege on CSRP proceeding	2/15/22	0.50
Robert Finkelstein	A21-07-009	Disc	E-mail exchange w/ DCheng re: getting utility to produce deemed "confidential" materials	2/16/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review SCE motion	7/27/21	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review SCE application & testimony	8/20/21	3.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	#	Draft protest	8/21/21	2.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	#	Draft protest	8/22/21	3.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	#	Draft protest	8/23/21	2.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review SCE reply to protest	9/2/21	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Participate in PHC	10/1/21	0.75
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Comp	Draft NOI	11/1/21	0.75
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review Scoping Memo	11/5/21	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review SCE Errata	11/5/21	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	#	Review SCE testimony & research issues	11/8/21	3.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review SCE supplemental testimony	12/10/21	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	#	Review testimony & draft data request	1/28/22	2.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft data request to SCE	2/1/22	0.75
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft data request to SCE	2/2/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Coord	Draft memo to SoCal CCAs re testimony	2/3/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Coord	Call with SoCal CCAs re testimony	2/3/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Coord	Reach out to PAO re testimony	2/7/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft data request to SCE	2/7/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	#	Draft memo to JD re testimony	2/8/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review SCE NDA	2/8/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review and execute NDA from SCE	2/10/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	#	Call with JD to discuss issues to address in testimony	2/14/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review SCE responses to DR	2/14/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft data request to SCE	2/14/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft meet and confer email to SCE	2/15/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review SCE email re disc issues	2/16/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review SCE response to data request	2/16/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Meet and confer with SCE re discovery	2/16/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft data request to SCE	2/16/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Acct	Call with JD to discuss testimony	2/17/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Coord	Draft email to SCE re modification to schedule	2/17/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review SCE response to data request	2/18/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Draft email to ALJ re modification to schedule	2/18/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft data request to SCE	2/19/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Draft outline for testimony	2/23/22	3.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Acct	Call with JD to discuss testimony	2/24/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review SCE response to data request	2/24/22	1.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review SCE response to data request	2/25/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review SCE response to data request	2/28/22	0.75
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Draft testimony	3/4/22	2.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Draft testimony	3/7/22	3.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft email to SCE re public versions of confidential documents	3/7/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Acct	Call with JD to discuss testimony	3/8/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Draft testimony	3/8/22	2.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Continued email discussion with SCE re public versions of conf docs	3/8/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review SCE response to data request	3/8/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft and serve DR TURN-007	3/8/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Draft testimony	3/9/22	2.75
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Acct	Call with JD to discuss testimony	3/10/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Draft testimony	3/10/22	3.75
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review SCE response to data request	3/10/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Draft testimony	3/11/22	2.75
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft email to SCE re inappropriate redactions	3/13/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Acct	Call with JD to discuss testimony	3/14/22	0.75
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Draft testimony	3/14/22	4.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review SCE response to data request	3/14/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Draft testimony	3/15/22	4.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review SCE response to DR	3/15/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Acct	Call with JD to discuss testimony	3/16/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Acct	Review and edit JD testimony	3/16/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Draft testimony	3/16/22	3.00

**A.21-07-009 (SCE CRSP) TURN Compensation Claim
Attorney Time Sheets**

Staff	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Acct	Review and edit JD testimony	3/17/22	0.75
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Draft testimony	3/17/22	3.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft email to SCE re redactions for public version	3/17/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Prepare public version of testimony & attachments	3/21/22	1.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Review redacted versions of confidential documents	3/21/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review SCE second errata	4/8/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Research issues and prep for discovery & hearings	4/19/22	1.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review SCE rebuttal testimony	4/19/22	2.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Research issues and prep for discovery & hearings	4/20/22	2.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Research issues and prep for discovery & hearings	4/22/22	2.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Research issues and prep for discovery & hearings	4/25/22	3.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Research issues and prep for discovery & hearings	4/26/22	2.75
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Coord	Rule 13.9 Meet and Confer	4/26/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Coord	Review Rule 13.9 draft report	4/26/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Research issues and prep for discovery & hearings	4/27/22	4.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Imp	Research issues and prep for discovery & hearings	4/28/22	2.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	#	Draft internal memo re hearings	5/3/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review SCE Phase 2 testimony	5/3/22	1.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review SCE errata to rebuttal	5/6/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review SCE track 2 WP	5/10/22	1.00
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Call with JD to discuss potential settlement offer	5/11/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft DR to SCE	5/12/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Disc	Draft DR to SCE	5/13/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Call with SCE to discuss settlement offer	5/13/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Draft email to SCE re settlement offer	5/13/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	GP	Review ALJ ruling re evidentiary hearings	5/17/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Settlement call with SCE	5/18/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Settlement call with SCE	5/19/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Draft email to SCE re terms of settlement	5/19/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Settlement call with parties	5/26/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Review settlement agreement and provide feedback	5/27/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Review draft settlement agreement and motion for approval of settlement	6/1/22	0.50
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Review draft settlement agreement and provide feedback	6/13/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Provide feedback to SCE re figues in settlement agreement	6/14/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Call with JD to discuss settlement agreement terms	6/14/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Settle	Review settlement agreement and motion to adopt settlement	6/16/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	PD	Review PD	9/2/22	0.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Comp	Draft comp request	11/16/22	3.75
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Comp	Draft comp request	11/17/22	3.25
David Cheng	A21-07-009	Comp	Draft comp request	11/18/22	1.75
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Research to support testimony for SCE CIS Replacement	2/10/22	4.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Disc	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony and prepare DR questions	2/13/22	3.75
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony and prepare testimony issues	2/14/22	3.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Research to support testimony for SCE CIS Replacement	2/17/22	6.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Research to support testimony for SCE CIS Replacement	2/18/22	4.25
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Research to support testimony for SCE CIS Replacement	2/22/22	2.50
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Draft testimony for SCE CIS	2/25/22	4.25
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/4/22	3.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/5/22	3.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/6/22	4.50
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/7/22	2.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Disc	SCE CIS Replacement DR follow up	3/8/22	1.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/8/22	6.50
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement Research FASB statements	3/9/22	3.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/10/22	2.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/11/22	5.75
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/12/22	2.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/13/22	3.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/14/22	3.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/15/22	4.00
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/16/22	3.50
Jennifer Dowdell	A21-07-009	Acct	Analyze SCE CIS Replacement testimony	3/17/22	2.00
Substantial Total					176.75

**A.21-07-009 (SCE CRSP) TURN Compensation Claim
Attorney Time Sheets**

Staff	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
Comp Total					9.50
Grand Total					186.25

Attachment 3

TURN Hours Allocated by Issue

A.21-07-009 (SCE CRSP)) TURN Compensation Claim
TURN Hours Allocated by Issue

	Billing Period	Hourly Rate	GP	#	Acct	Imp	Coord	Settle	Disc	PD					Substantive Hours	Substantive \$\$\$	Comp	Compensation (iComp)	Travel	Compensation (Travel)
																			1/2 of hourly rate	
Robert Finkelstein	2021	\$780	-	0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.75	\$ 585.00	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
Robert Finkelstein	2022	\$805	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	\$ 805.00	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
David Cheng	2021	\$425	5.25	10.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.50	\$ 6,587.50	0.75	\$ 159.38	-	\$ -
David Cheng	2022	\$440	5.50	3.50	3.50	54.00	1.50	4.25	11.00	0.25	-	-	-	-	83.50	\$ 36,740.00	8.75	\$ 1,925.00	-	\$ -
Jennifer Dowdell	2022	\$415	-	-	71.25	-	-	-	4.75	-	-	-	-	-	76.00	\$ 31,540.00	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
TOTAL			10.75	14.50	74.75	54.00	1.50	4.25	16.75	0.25	-	-	-	-	176.75	\$ 76,257.50	9.50	\$ 2,084.38	-	\$ -
TOTAL % HOURS ALLOCATED			6.08%	8.20%	42.29%	30.55%	0.85%	2.40%	9.48%	0.14%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%					

Substantial Contribution	\$ 76,257.50
Intervenor iComp Compensation	\$ 2,084.38
Travel Time Compensation	\$ -
Expenses Compensation	\$ -
Grand Total	\$ 78,341.88