

FILED 08/15/23 08:21 AM R2207005

ATTACHMENT B Preliminary Assessment of VCE's Agricultural Pumping Dynamic Rate Pilot

(a) A set (a) and (b) and (c) and (

the second s

(a) A set of the se

(a) A set (a) and (b) and (b) and (b) and (b) and (b) and (b)

and the second second

the second s

and the second second

and a second second

.

.

.

and a second second

and the second second

and the second second

Preliminary Assessment of Valley Clean Energy's (VCE) Agricultural Pumping Dynamic Rate Pilot

Dan Hansen

Mike Clark

May 26, 2023



Key takeaways for two agricultural participants during PY2022.

- The pilot makes it simple for participants to decide when to purchase load based on price.
 - The pricing method had complex elements not fully reflected in the user interface.
- Automation enables load response for **BOTH** TOU and Dynamic Pricing tariffs.
 - On TOU with automation, participant response is concentrated during peak pricing hours.
 - On dynamic pricing with automation, participant response to high prices is spread out across more hours than TOU.
 - Both participants responded to TOU price signals when pumps were automated.
 - Under dynamic pricing, one participant responded more on high-priced days than low-priced days, while the other participant did not differentiate its response across those day types.
- Subscription pricing does not accurately reflect intermittent Ag pumping loads.
 - Can still provide a good hedge against persistent high prices.
 - May not provide a good hedge against more isolated price spikes.



AgFIT Pilot Description.

- Three-year pilot from 2022 through 2024.
- Large agricultural participants are given incentives to automate irrigation pumps.
- Participants on TOU are provided automation technology, then shift to dynamic pricing.
- There are no demand charges and participants have bill protection.
- Customer receives dynamic offer prices for 1 to 7 days ahead and can schedule pump run times accordingly.
- AgFIT participants had a subscription component to their 2022 bills based on 2021 usage.

Study Period.

- One month, 8/15/2022 9/15/2022, which is the first complete billing month in which dynamic prices were offered.
- The time period had low and high dynamic prices, which allows us to examine participant usage decisions at different price levels.
- Two participants were enrolled during the period, accounting for 19 service accounts (typically a service account is associated with one pump).



Participants need only take two actions: schedule ahead of time and make day-of usage decisions.



Christensen ASSOCIATESI

Customers view a heat map of dynamic offer prices when scheduling load.

Hour Ending	Sunday		Monday		Tuesday		Wednesday	/	Thursday		Friday	
12	\$ 0.3	10	\$	0.10	\$	0.10	\$ 0.	.10	\$0	.10	\$	0.10
13	\$ 0.3	10	\$	0.15	\$	0.15	\$ 0.	.10	\$0	.15	\$	0.10
14	\$ 0.3	10	\$	0.20	\$	0.20	\$ 0.	.10	\$0	.20	\$	0.10
15	\$ 0.	10	\$	0.30	\$	0.30	\$ 0.	.10	\$0	.30	\$	0.10
16	\$ 0.	15	\$	0.40	\$	0.40	\$ 0.	.15	\$0	.40	\$	0.15
17	\$ 0.3	20	\$	0.50	\$	0.50	\$ 0.	.20	\$ 0	.50	\$	0.20
18	\$ 0.3	30	\$	0.50	\$	0.50	\$ 0.	.30	\$ 0	.50	\$	0.30
19	\$ 0.	50	\$	0.50	\$	0.50	\$ 0.	.40	\$ 0	.50	\$	0.50
20	\$ 0.4	40	\$	0.40	\$	0.40	\$ 0.	.40	\$ 0	.40	\$	0.40
21	\$ 0.3	30	\$	0.30	\$	0.30	\$ 0.	.30	\$ 0	.30	\$	0.30
22	\$ 0.	20	\$	0.20	\$	0.20	\$ 0.	.20	\$0	.20	\$	0.20
23	\$ 0.	20	\$	0.20	\$	0.20	\$ 0.	.20	\$0	.20	\$	0.20
24	\$ 0.	20	\$	0.20	\$	0.20	\$ 0.	.20	\$0	.20	\$	0.20

Note: This figure is for demonstration purposes only and does not reflect actual dynamic offer prices.



Automation enables participants to respond to TOU.



We don't have data to determine whether customers respond to dynamic pricing in the absence of automation.



Dynamic pricing facilitates a load response outside of TOU peak period.



Before automation technology and dynamic pricing, August 2021,

usage shows no reduction in TOU peak period

After automation technology **and** dynamic pricing, August 2022, usage shows *reduction* in TOU peak period and surrounding hours

Figure Note: The August 2022 loads illustrate a partial month after dynamic pricing was in place on August 15th.



Compared to TOU, dynamic pricing can improve price response outside of the peak period.





Participant #2 did not differentiate its response on high- vs. low-price days.



Observed transactions show that subscription quantity did not accurately track the intermittent demand of pumps.



- Subscription load is an average of last year's monthly usage.
- Pumping loads are intermittent (i.e., running or not running) throughout each week.
- As a result:
 - On average, the subscription quantity is a good reflection of observed usage. (I.e., the figure shows that total Usage is close to the Subscription quantity.)
 - *In any given hour*, the subscription quantity is not likely to match observed usage. (I.e., the figure shows relatively high Buy and Sell amounts at the dynamic offer prices and ex-post prices.)

The subscription pricing model may not provide a good hedge against isolated dynamic price spikes but may provide a good hedge against persistently high dynamic prices (such as week-long heat waves).



RECAP: Key takeaways for two agricultural participants during PY2022.

- The pilot makes it simple for participants to decide when to purchase load based on price.
 - The pricing method had complex elements not fully reflected in the user interface.
- Automation enables load response for **BOTH** TOU and Dynamic Pricing tariffs.
 - On TOU with automation, participant response is concentrated during peak pricing hours.
 - On dynamic pricing with automation, participant response to high prices is spread out across more hours than TOU.
 - Both participants responded to TOU price signals when pumps were automated.
 - Under dynamic pricing, one participant responded more on high-priced days than low-priced days, while the other participant did not differentiate its response across those day types.
- Subscription pricing does not accurately reflect intermittent Ag pumping loads.
 - Can still provide a good hedge against persistent high prices.
 - May not provide a good hedge against more isolated price spikes.



Recommendations.

- Automation technology facilitates participant response to TOU and dynamic pricing.
 - Incentivizing the adoption of automation technologies for agricultural customers may provide benefits under both rate structures.
 - It may be useful to compare the potential benefits from response to TOU pricing vs. dynamic rates.
 - E.g., TOU customers may reduce usage during all Peak hours, including when marginal energy costs are low.
 - The pilot is not designed to systematically test this comparison (i.e., no "control group" with automation and TOU rates to compare to customers with automation and dynamic pricing).
- Conclusions may evolve as more participants join the pilot, participants learn over time, and more price day-types are experienced.
 - The revised (2.0) pricing method may affect customer behavior and satisfaction. It replaces the subscription pricing model with a per-kWh adjustment to dynamic prices.
 - 6 customers are currently enrolled in the Pilot, accounting for 49 pumps.



Questions and Discussion.

- Contact
 - Dan Hansen
 - dghansen@CAEnergy.com
 - 608-231-2266
 - Mike Clark
 - mtclark@CAEnergy.com
 - 608-231-2266



R.22-07-005 ALJ/SW9/jnf

(END OF ATTACHMENT B)