BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED 01/31/24 11:37 AM R2211013

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ELAINE LAU, presiding

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Consider) EVIDENTIARY Distributed Energy Resource Program) HEARING Cost-Effectiveness Issues, Data Access) and Use, and Equipment Performance Standards.

) Rulemaking) 22-11-013

)

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT Virtual Proceeding January 25, 2024 Pages 377 - 527 Volume 3

Reported by: Karly Powers, CSR No. 13991 Ashleigh E. Button, CSR No. 14013 Jacquelyn Haupt, CSR No. 13964

378

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES JAN STRACK	PAGE
3	Cross-Examination Resumed By Ms. White	382
4	LONNIE MANSI	4.0.0
5	Direct Examination By Mr. Newlander Cross-Examination By Mr. Lin	400 402 422
6	Cross-Examination By Ms. White WILLIAM SAXE	422
7	Direct Examination By Mr. Newlander	436
8	Cross-Examination By Ms. White Redirect Examination By Mr. Newlander	438 444
9	ROSALINDA MAGANA	4.4.0
10	Direct Examination By Mr. Shu Cross-Examination By Ms. White	449 451
11	JAIME MCGOVERN	468
12	Direct Examination By Mr. Cheng Cross-Examination By Mr. Lin	470
13	Cross-Examination By Ms. White	486
14	EXHIBITS MARKE	D RECEIVED
15	CA-04 50 PCF-10E 50	5 516 5 516
15 16	CA-0450PCF-10E50IOU-0450SoCalGas-01E50	5 516 5 516 5 518 5 517
	CA-04 50 PCF-10E 50 IOU-04 50 SoCalGas-01E 50 CLE-01 50 CLE-02 50	5 516 5 516 5 518 5 517 506 506
16	CA-04 50 PCF-10E 50 IOU-04 50 SoCalGas-01E 50 CLE-01 50 CLE-02 CBD-01 CLC-01 CLC-01	5 516 5 516 5 518 5 517 506 506 506 506 507
16 17	CA-04 50 PCF-10E 50 IOU-04 50 SoCalGas-01E 50 CLE-01 50 CLE-02 CBD-01 CLC-01 CLC-02 CUE-01 CLC-02 CUE-01 50	5 516 5 518 5 517 506 506 506 506 506 507 507 507
16 17 18	CA-04 50 PCF-10E 50 IOU-04 50 SoCalGas-01E 50 CLE-01 50 CLE-02 50 CBD-01 50 CLC-02 50 CUE-01 50 CUE-01 50 SoCalGas-01E 50	5 516 5 518 5 517 506 506 506 506 506 507 507 507 508 508 508 508
16 17 18 19	CA-04 50 PCF-10E 50 IOU-04 50 SoCalGas-01E 50 CLE-01 50 CLE-02 60 CBD-01 60 CLC-02 60 CUE-01 60 CUE-01 70 CUE-02 70 CUE-01 70 CUE-02 70 CUE-01 70 IOU-01 70 IOU-02 70	5 516 5 518 5 517 506 506 506 506 506 507 507 507 508 508 508 509 509 509
16 17 18 19 20	CA-04 50 PCF-10E 50 IOU-04 50 SoCalGas-01E 50 CLE-01 50 CLE-02 50 CBD-01 50 CLC-02 50 CUE-01 50 CUE-01 50 IOU-02 50 IOU-03 NRDC-01	5 516 5 518 5 517 506 506 506 506 506 507 507 507 507 507 508 508 508 509 509 509 509 509 509
16 17 18 19 20 21	CA-04 50 PCF-10E 50 IOU-04 50 SoCalGas-01E 50 CLE-01 50 CLE-02 CBD-01 CLC-01 CLC-02 CUE-01 CUE-02E GGL-01 IOU-01 IOU-02 IOU-03 NRDC-01 NRDC-02 PCF-01 V	5 516 5 518 5 517 506 506 506 506 506 507 507 507 507 507 508 509 509 509 509 509 509 509 509 509 509
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CA-04 50 PCF-10E 50 IOU-04 50 SoCalGas-01E 50 CLE-01 50 CLE-02 CBD-01 CLC-01 CLC-02 CUE-01 CUE-02E GGL-01 IOU-03 NRDC-01 NRDC-02 PCF-01 PCF-02 PCF-03 Social Statements	5 516 5 518 5 517 5 506 5 06 5 06 5 06 5 07 5 07 5 07 5 07 5 07 5 08 5 09 5 09 5 09 5 09 5 09 5 09 5 09 5 09
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CA-04 50 PCF-10E 50 IOU-04 50 SoCalGas-01E 50 CLE-01 50 CLE-02 CBD-01 CLC-01 CLC-02 CUE-01 CUE-02E GGL-01 IOU-03 INRDC-01 NRDC-02 PCF-03 PCF-04 PCF-05 So	5 516 5 518 5 518 5 517 506 506 506 506 507 507 507 507 508 509 509 509 509 509 509 509 509 509 509
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CA-04 50 PCF-10E 50 IOU-04 50 SoCalGas-01E 50 CLE-01 50 CLE-02 CBD-01 CLC-02 CUE-01 CUE-02E GGL-01 IOU-03 NRDC-01 NRDC-02 PCF-01 PCF-03 PCF-04	5 516 5 518 5 517 5 506 5 06 5 06 5 06 5 07 5 07 5 07 5 07 5 08 5 09 5 09 5 09 5 09 5 09 5 09 5 09 5 09

	Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024	379
1	PCF-07 PCF-08	511 512
2	PCF-09	512
-	PCF-11	512
3	PCF-12 PCF-13	512 512
4	CA-01	512
5	CA-01E CA-02	512 512
J	CA-03	512
6	SBUA-01	513
7	SBUA-02 SEIA-01	513 513
,	SEIA-02	513
8	SEIA-03	513
9	SEIA-04 SoCalGas-01	513 514
9	SoCalGas-02	514
10	TURN-01	515
11	TURN-02 PCF-16	515 517
ΤT		JT/
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFO	

Evidentiary		Hearing
January	25,	2024

	January 25, 2024 380
1	VIRTUAL PROCEEDING
2	JANUARY 25, 2024 - 10:02 A.M.
3	* * * * *
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LAU: Let's go back on
5	the record. The Commission will come to order.
6	This is day three of the evidentiary hearing in
7	Order Instituting Rulemaking R.22-11-013, to Consider
8	Distributed Energy Resource, DER, Program
9	Cost-Effectiveness Issues, Data Access and Use and
10	Equipment Performance Standards. For this evidentiary
11	hearing, we are examining the facts related to the 2024
12	Avoided Cost Calculator, ACC, update.
13	Good morning. My name is Administrative Law
14	Judge Elaine Lau, and the presiding officer for this
15	proceeding.
16	The assigned officer sorry. The assigned
17	commissioner is Commissioner Darcie Houck. But she will
18	not be joining us.
19	We are resuming cross-examination of
20	Mr. Strack. Mr. Strack, can you introduce yourself for
21	the record again?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm Jan Strack with San
23	Diego Gas & Electric Company, representing the Joint
24	Utilities.
25	ALJ LAU: And the Joint Utilities are can

		50.
1	you explain what who are the	
2	THE WITNESS: The joint	
3	(Crosstalk.)	
4	THE WITNESS: They are Pacific Gas and	
5	Electric, Southern California Edison Company, and San	
6	Diego Gas & Electric Company.	
7	ALJ LAU: Thank you.	
8	Mr. Strack, do you see the set of witness	
9	attestations put forth on the screen?	
10	Yesterday, you	
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.	
12	ALJ LAU: Sorry. Sorry. I spoke over you.	
13	Yesterday, you agreed to abide by these	
14	attestations. Do you remember and agree to abide by	
15	them today?	
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.	
17	ALJ LAU: Thank you.	
18	Can we bring forth Ms. White to the stage?	
19	(Crosstalk.)	
20	ALJ LAU: Ms. White, you may begin	
21	cross-examination of Mr. Strack.	
22	Before you begin, please introduce yourself.	
23	Thank you.	
24	MS. WHITE: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.	
25	So, I'm Andrea White. And I'm representing t	he

	Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024 382
1	Protect Our Communities Foundation.
2	JAN STRACK,
3	resumed the stand and testified further as
4	follows:
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION RESUMED
6	BY MS. WHITE:
7	Q Okay. So, if you're ready, Mr. Strack, for my
8	first question, I would like to turn to page 20 of your
9	rebuttal testimony. And this refers to lines 9 through
10	11. Okay. So, I will read the sentence.
11	So, you testify that:
12	Updating avoided transmission costs for the
13	2024 ACC update cycle, using the existing
14	methodologies prior to completion of the
15	Commission-directed study is unnecessary
16	and would simply compound the
17	uncertainties.
18	So, are you suggesting that we should reuse the
19	2022 calculated avoided transmission values for the 2024
20	update?
21	A Yes. That's that's our recommendation.
22	Q Okay. So, next, I want to turn to a sentence
23	on the same page. It's beginning on line 1.
24	So, here you state:
25	These variations and calculated avoided

	January 25, 2024 505
1	transmission costs raise legitimate
2	questions as to the accuracy of the results
3	and, subsequently, the veracity of DER
4	program cost-effectiveness determination
5	and the validity of ACC-based compensation.
6	So, in your opinion, do you think it's it's
7	acceptable to use these previously-calculated avoided
8	transmission costs, even though you state that they
9	raise legitimate questions as to the accuracy of the
10	results?
11	A Yes. That's the data we have now. It's gone
12	through some vetting. The division's accepted them for
13	purposes of the 2022 Avoided Cost Calculator. So, I
14	think it's what we have now. I just don't think we need
15	to layer on any more uncertainty, so so, yes.
16	Q Okay. So, just to clarify, this you're
17	proposing reusing the 2022 values for 2024, not the
18	methodology the values?
19	A No, I I I guess, I'm saying use the same
20	numbers. The same numbers came out of the results of
21	both inputs and methodology. We're saying, let's stick
22	with that for now. Let's wait and what the avoided T&D
23	cost study comes up with, in terms of both, perhaps, you
24	know, changed methodologies, changed input assumptions
25	don't know where it's what's going to come out of

	January 25, 2024 384
1	that whole effort. But we want to see what it is. And
2	I I think that's the proven course of action at this
3	point.
4	Q Okay. So, next, I want to turn to PCF
5	Exhibit-06, which was sent out last night. And let me
6	know when you have it up.
7	MR. NEWLANDER: Your Honor, I'm going to object
8	to questioning based on this exhibit. The this was
9	the same issue that came up yesterday that Mr. Sung
10	discussed, there was a batch of exhibits that had been
11	served without any identification of the witness that
12	was intended to be crossed. And then, you know, last
13	night at I think it was about 10:45 p.m
14	Ms. White served an email that indicated that she
15	intended to use this this exhibit and a few others in
16	her cross-examination of Mr. Strack and other witnesses.
17	That that doesn't afford any time for us to confer
18	and prepare, and it's potentially prejudicial. And I
19	objection on that basis.
20	MS. WHITE: So
21	ALJ LAU: Okay
22	MS. WHITE: is it okay if I clarify, your
23	Honor?
24	ALJ LAU: Okay. You can clarify.
25	MS. WHITE: Okay. So, I did I did this

	January 25, 2024 505
1	is not a new document. I did already serve it on
2	January 12th. And, additionally, this is also a
3	document that's widely circulated on the Commission's
4	website. It's on the DER cost-effectiveness page. So I
5	think it should be pretty common knowledge. But
6	ALJ LAU: Okay.
7	MS. WHITE: Yeah. It's a
8	ALJ LAU: I I will agree with Mr. Newlander.
9	It is kind of late, at 10:00, to advise the witness to
10	prepare for it for the morning, at least, you know. So,
11	I think I had asked earlier that, you know, this be
12	done. And I would have preferred that this is done at
13	least, you know, around the close of business or, if
14	not, like, you know, preferably on the first day of
15	hearing. I already kind of asked that.
16	So, I will sustain the objection of
17	Mr. Newlander.
18	MS. WHITE: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.
19	So, just to clarify, for later in the day
20	for example, I have some exhibits for Rosalinda Magana.
21	Do you think it would be appropriate to include
22	those exhibits?
23	ALJ LAU: Just so you know, Ms. White, before
24	we went virtual and in-person, we do require a 24-hour
25	service to the witnesses before their cross. And only

	January 25, 2024 38
1	when if it's an impeachment that we use less than
2	24 hours. I I want you to just work with
3	Mr. Newlander. If they are okay with it, I'm okay with
4	it maybe for the lunch ones, you know, after-lunch
5	ones.
6	But that was the rule. And I've bended (sic)
7	the rules a lot. I mean, for the virtual hearings, I
8	I most ALJs even use longer than 24 hours. So,
9	in-person was 24 hours. And after we went virtual, for
10	some reason, we made it even longer just so that people
11	have access to it on Internet. So, please talk to
12	Mr. Newlander.
13	I will say that I have set the rules on, you
14	know, and spec reiterated it. I set the rules in
15	the ruling and I reiterated it on the first day of
16	hearing. So, yeah, I will agree with Mr. Newlander's
17	objection.
18	MS. WHITE: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.
19	So, if you'll allow me, then I will just
20	proceed to my questions that don't address the exhibit.
21	(No response.)
22	BY MS. WHITE:
23	Q Okay. Okay.
24	So I'm, instead, going to proceed to questions
25	about PCF-16, which I did serve in advance. Okay.

1	So, Mr. Strack, are you aware that in
2	Decision 22-05-002 the Commission stated that it was
3	prudent to conduct a study as soon as practicable?
4	A Yes. I'm aware of that.
5	Q Okay. So, next, I want to turn to Ordering
6	Paragraph 8D?
7	A I'm sorry. Which
8	Q Which page? Yes. So this is on page 124, on
9	the last page of the document.
10	Okay. So, I'll read oh, I I'll let you
11	are you there?
12	A Yes. I'm there.
13	Q Okay. So I will read Ordering Paragraph 8D.
14	So this says:
15	The director of the Commission's Energy
16	Division is authorized to conduct analysis
17	on avoided transmission and distribution
18	costs to aid in the development during the
19	successor proceeding of the improved
20	methods to calculate these values.
21	So, just to clarify, do you think this is the
22	successor proceeding?
23	A I agree this is the successor proceeding. But
24	I would just note that this particular section has been
25	superseded by an ALJ ruling on October 13th of 2023.

And --1 Okay. Okay. 2 0 3 So -- so, based on that, you do not think that the Commission is obligated to update avoided 4 transmission values in this proceeding? 5 1 6 Α Well, it's ultimately, of course, the 7 Commission's call on what they're going to require their 8 Energy Division to do but, again, as the 9 October 13, 2023, ruling indicated, they've adopted the 10 Energy Division staff proposal to defer to the -- to -deferring further analysis until the 2026 ACC cycle 11 12 when, presumably, we will have the results of the T&D 13 study available. My hope is that, you know, the study will shed 14 15 some light on what is the best way to estimate avoided 16 transmission cost for purposes of the ACC. We will have 17 to wait and see, and I am pretty confident the -- the Commission is going to allow the stakeholders to weigh 18 19 in on that study, both the scope and hopefully the 20 results. We will see, but that's our hope. 21 0 Okay. So, do you think the Commission has 22 followed the appropriate procedures for, I guess, 23 overruling this decision? MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. 24 Calls 25 for a legal conclusion.

	Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024 389
1	ALJ LAU: Sustained.
2	MS. WHITE: Okay.
3	Q So, let's see.
4	Okay. So, next, I am going to turn to your
5	rebuttal testimony. Let's see. So, this is on page 29.
6	Okay, and it's lines 26 through 30.
7	Okay. So, I will read the sentence. So, it
8	says:
9	Energy and Environmental Economics, E3,
10	appropriately multiplied the Alberhill
11	avoided costs by the percentage of SCE
12	system load served by the Alberhill
13	Substation to convert the locational
14	avoided costs to a system-wide basis.
15	So, would you agree that multiplying the total
16	project marginal costs by 4.45 percent results in a
17	significantly smaller value for the avoided transmission
18	costs? Avoided yeah.
19	A Well, numerically, yeah, multiplying it by that
20	produces a much smaller number. I think it's an
21	appropriate reduction, but I would agree with you, it's
22	a much smaller number.
23	Q Okay. So, next, I it's okay if you don't
24	know the answer to this question, but isn't it true that
25	the justification for the Alberhill project was a seven

1	megawatts per year load growth in the Alberhill
2	sub-area?
3	A That number sounds about right. That's what I
4	remember, yes.
5	Q Okay. So, if seven megawatts of equivalent
6	DERs capacity per year was added that would address the
7	forecasted seven megawatts per year growth used to
8	justify the Alberhill project, correct?
9	A Well, I am not I am not sure I can answer
10	that. I mean, it depends on what kind of DERs are being
11	added and the output profile and I think your
12	statement is a little broad, frankly. I guess I would
13	be uncomfortable dealing with that specifically.
14	Q Okay. So, then to clarify, what would you say?
15	A Well, I I think it's fair to say that if you
16	had, you know, the the right renewables at the right
17	location at the right time, there's a possibility you
18	could defer some infrastructure. I don't you know, I
19	think that's a fact.
20	But, again, whether whether the right ones
21	are here at the right right time you know, and I
22	just note this is very locational in nature, and the
23	avoided calc the Avoided Cost Calculator is applied
24	on a systemwide basis, so we can look at one location
25	and what is happening there, then the question becomes

1	is that applicable to the entire system, which is where
2	the ACC results are are really applicable; and I
3	think that's why the you know, the adjustment was
4	made that you indicated. A significant adjustment.
5	You've got the spread the impact systemwide, because
6	that's how the ACC is based, and that's how it's applied
7	in cost effectiveness calculations and compensation and
8	so forth.
9	Q Okay. So, if you're talking about systemwide,
10	what are the systemwide benefits?
11	A Systemwide benefits of of what?
12	Q I guess of the Alberhill project?
13	A I am not sure I understand your question. Let
14	let me just say this. By spreading it systemwide
15	with with that adjustment, that that reduction
16	that significant reduction you mentioned. I think it
17	affects what what the what you're saying is, if we
18	had load growth throughout the system of this magnitude,
19	you know, then this would be an appropriate way to
20	you know, as far as the calculation goes, it would be
21	appropriate to incorporate the resulting cost as an
22	avoided transmission cost.
23	But, again, you know, the whole point is, you
24	need to assume that this event takes place on a whole
25	systemwide basis. It's not reasonable to assume that

1	the Alberhill project itself is going to be built in
2	every location on the Edison system. That is just not
3	going to happen. That is not reasonable, so significant
4	adjustment is needed in order to to spread it to a
5	on a systemwide basis, which is the basis of the Avoided
6	Cost Calculator.
7	Q Okay. So, are you aware that over the last
8	several years, including in 2023, that DERs have
9	consistently gone into the Alberhill sub-area at a rate
10	equivalent to or greater than the seven megawatts per
11	year of the forecasted load growth?
12	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. Assumes
13	facts not in evidence.
14	ALJ LAU: Ms. White, if you want to point to
15	somewhere in his testimony that kind of relates to what
16	you're saying.
17	MS. WHITE: Sure. I guess, it relates to the
18	sentence on page 29, line 23 to 26. So, here here
19	Mr. Strack is saying:
20	POC is assuming, without support, that DERs
21	located throughout SCE's entire territory
22	would avoid the same costs as those located
23	within the Alberhill sub-area, even though
24	no similar upgrade projects are planned for
25	the rest of SCE's system.

So, the reason my question relates is well,
I think I I don't want to speak for Mr. Powers,
but I I think my question sort of relates to we
are talking about systemwide but, you know, if there are
DERs that are in the Alberhill sub-area, couldn't those
replace Alberhill? So and then, I can just repeat my
question.
MR. NEWLANDER: Well, your Honor, at this
point yeah, I mean, I would appreciate the question
being repeated or rephrased, but I I heard the word
"if" in there, which suggests that she's calling for the
witness to speculate; and so, I object on that basis.
ALJ LAU: I want to
MR. NEWLANDER: In addition to the prior
objection.
ALJ LAU: I want to try to hear I want to
hear the question first.
MS. WHITE: Okay.
Q Let's see. So, are you aware that over the
last several years, including 2023, that DERs have
consistently gone into the Alberhill sub-area at a rate
equivalent to or greater than seven megawatts per year
of the forecasted load growth?
MR. NEWLANDER: Object same objection, your
Honor. There's the question assumes facts not in

evidence. 1 2 ALJ LAU: Well --3 MS. WHITE: I --4 ALJ LAU: Go ahead, Ms. White. 5 MS. WHITE: I think I can rephrase the question 6 where I can just ask for Mr. Strack's opinion. 7 ALJ LAU: Okay. 8 BY MS. WHITE: 9 Okay. So, Mr. Strack, in your opinion, if DERs 0 are going into the Alberhill sub-area at a rate 10 equivalent to or greater than seven megawatts per year 11 12 of the forecasted load growth, would that change your 13 mind about the necessity of the Alberhill project? 14 Α I -- I am not going to comment on the need for 15 the Alberhill project. That is not something I'm 16 involved with, and that -- that's completely outside of my knowledge, so I -- I am not going to comment on that 17 18 further. 19 I think the -- the central issue we are dealing 20 with here is is Alberhill representative of the entire 21 system? And I think, as I've indicated, it is not. An 22 adjustment is needed, and a significant adjustment is 23 needed. That's what was done. 24 0 Okay. Let's see. I am going to -- ah, okay. 25 So, are you -- or do you know what the

	January 25, 2024 395
1	assumption is for SCE's forecast for the DERs in the
2	Alberhill sub-area?
3	A I do not know specifically what SCE's
4	assumptions are, no.
5	Q Okay. So, then do you know whether the
6	seven-megawatt justification for the Alberhill project
7	involves anticipated DERs?
8	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. Can
9	counsel point to a source for the seven-megawatt figure?
10	MS. WHITE: I can. It's in PCF-04, though,
11	which is the ACC; and so, I am concerned about
12	referencing one of my exhibits.
13	ALJ LAU: Well, isn't it on the rebuttal
14	testimony on page 30 that Mr. Strack has acknowledged
15	that it
16	MS. WHITE: Oh, yes.
17	ALJ LAU: is?
18	MS. WHITE: Yes, that's true. Yes. Thank you,
19	your Honor.
20	Okay, I I guess, I should repeat my question
21	then?
22	ALJ LAU: Yeah.
23	MS. WHITE: Okay.
24	ALJ LAU: So, if you want Mr. Strack to look at
25	his own testimony, page 30, lines 2 to 6.

1	MS. WHITE: Okay, yeah.
2	Q So, based on this, do you acknowledge the seven
3	megawatts of load growth, Mr. Strack?
4	A What what I acknowledge is that that
5	there was a forecast of seven megawatts per year of load
6	growth in this sub-area. I don't know when that
7	particular forecast was put together or who put it
8	together, any details around that but, yeah, that's the
9	number that was presented.
10	Q Okay. So, then do you know where whether
11	the seven megawatts justification for the Alberhill
12	project involves anticipated DERs?
13	A I am going to assume it does but, again, I
14	don't know any details behind how that specific number
15	was arrived at, so I I can't comment further.
16	Q Okay. I I am going to turn back to page 29
17	of the rebuttal, and this is lines 23 through 26, which
18	I already mentioned.
19	So, it says:
20	PCF is assuming, without support, DERs
21	located throughout SCE's entire territory
22	would avoid the same costs as those located
23	within the Alberhill sub-area, even though
24	no similar upgrade projects are planned for
25	the rest of SCE's system.

	Solution 25, 2024
1	So, isn't it true that there are currently DERs
2	located in the Alberhill sub-area?
3	A I think that's a fair assumption, sure.
4	Q Okay. So, I think you've already mentioned
5	this but, in your opinion, should the Alberhill sub-area
6	project be viewed as a systemwide project?
7	A Can you repeat the question, please?
8	Q Yes.
9	So, Mr. Strack, should the Alberhill in
10	your in your opinion, should the Alberhill sub-area
11	project be viewed as a systemwide project?]
12	A You said, "Alberhill sub-area projects." Are
13	you referring to the substation project? I'm confused
14	what you mean by "project" here, I guess.
15	Q Oh, okay. Yeah. I just mean the
16	Alberhill Project as as its referenced in the ACC.
17	A Okay. So as I understand it at least, the
18	Alberhill substation is a major is a new substation,
19	I believe, a greenfield substation project, intended to
20	at least address the seven megawatts per year of load
21	growth in that subarea, primarily. There may be other
22	purposes that I'm not familiar with. But that's my
23	understanding of what's going on there.
24	And, again, the question becomes is that
25	representative of the entire Edison system? And, again,

397

	January 25, 2024 398
1	it is not. And so an adjustment is needed.
2	MS. WHITE: Okay. Let's see.
3	Okay. I think I don't have any further
4	questions. So thank you, Mr. Strack.
5	And thank you, your Honor.
6	ALJ LAU: Thank you.
7	Let's see. Actually, Mr. Strack, I think
8	you're done. Thanks for your for appearing yesterday
9	and today and being so flexible. We're done with your
10	cross-examination.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	MR. NEWLANDER: And I do not have any redirect
13	for Mr. Strack, your Honor.
14	ALJ LAU: Oh, sorry, Mr. Newlander. Yes. It's
15	been a long three days. Did you have any redirect for
16	Mr. Strack? And I guess you said you did not.
17	So okay. Sorry. Let's take Mr. Strack off
18	stage. And yeah, feel free to prompt me if I forget
19	something. But we have not had any redirect for this
20	proceeding, which I thought was amazing.
21	So let us bring forth Mr. Lonnie Mansi.
22	Let's go off the record first then.
23	(Off the record.)
24	ALJ LAU: Let's go on the record.
25	So on stage we have Mr. Newlander and Mr. Mansi

1	as well as a set of witness attestations.
2	Mr. Mansi, can you introduce yourself by
3	spelling your full name and the organization you're
4	representing? And if you're representing the
5	Joint IOUs, please elaborate which are the utilities you
6	are representing today.
7	MR. MANSI: Thank you, Judge. My name is
8	Lonnie Mansi. First name L-o-n-n-i-e. Last name M, as
9	in Mary, -a-n-s-i. I represent the joint utilities.
10	The joint utilities are PG&E, Southern Cal Edison, and
11	San Diego Gas & Electric.
12	ALJ LAU: And for which utility do you work
13	for?
14	MR. MANSI: I work for San Diego Gas &
15	Electric.
16	ALJ LAU: Thank you, Mr. Mansi.
17	Do you see the set of witness attestations set
18	forth on the screen?
19	MR. MANSI: Yes, I do.
20	ALJ LAU: Have you had the opportunity to
21	review them in full?
22	MR. MANSI: Yes, I did.
23	ALJ LAU: Do you agree to abide by them?
24	MR. MANSI: Yes.
25	ALJ LAU: Thank you, Mr. Mansi.

1	Mr. Newlander, you may begin your direct
2	examination of Mr. Mansi.
3	MR. NEWLANDER: Thank you, your Honor.
4	LONNIE MANSI,
5	called as a witness on behalf of San Diego
6	Gas & Electric, having attested, testified as
7	follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. NEWLANDER:
10	Q Mr. Mansi, do you have the exhibits that have
11	been marked and identified as Exhibits IOU-01 and
12	IOU-02, which are the prepared opening and rebuttal
13	testimonies of the joint utilities in this proceeding?
14	A Yes, I did.
15	Q Are you sponsoring the portion of Exhibit
16	IOU-01 at section B3 and the portion of Exhibit IOU-02
17	at section B6?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Were the portions of the joint testimonies you
20	are sponsoring prepared by you or under your direction?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do you have any changes or corrections to make
23	to that material?
24	A No.
25	Q Do you adopt the reference material as your

	January 25, 2024 401
1	testimony in this proceeding?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Are the factual statements in your testimony
4	true and correct to the best of your knowledge?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Insofar as statements in your testimony reflect
7	opinion or judgment, do such statements reflect your
8	best professional opinion or judgment?
9	A Yes.
10	MR. NEWLANDER: Your Honor, the witness is
11	available for cross-examination.
12	ALJ LAU: Thank you, Mr. Newlander.
13	Can we bring forth Mr. Roger Lin on stage?
14	Let's go off the record while we're doing that.
15	(Off the record.)
16	ALJ LAU: Okay. Let's go back on the record.
17	We have on stage Mr. Roger Lin actually,
18	Mr. Lin, can you introduce yourself before you begin the
19	cross-examination of Mr. Mansi?
20	MR. LIN: Yes. Roger Lin on behalf of the
21	Center for Biological Diversity.
22	ALJ LAU: And you may proceed.
23	MR. LIN: Thank you, your Honor.
24	///
25	///

January 25, 2024 402
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. LIN:
Q Good morning, Mr. Mansi.
In your opening testimony at page 49, line 10,
you discuss non-energy benefits and say that they should
be used as informational only. What do you mean by
"informational only"?
A The the phrase "informational only" applies
to qualitative analysis of any NEB or NEI as opposed to
any calculated quantitative values. In addition, the
NEBs are at a higher level of assessment as opposed to
the more detailed value-based concept currently.
Q And do you propose that because non-energy
benefits like avoided harms to air quality or water
quality are you proposing information only because
those benefits are hard to quantify?
A I think currently the the notion of
non-energy benefits are essentially issues before the
Commission. They have sort of a higher level
assessment, especially for guidance and policy. There's
quite a lot of issues or components of non-energy
benefits that still currently have to be assessed going
forward.
Q Okay. And can that informational only use that
you describe be used to inform procurements or

	January 25, 2024 403
1	investment decisions?
2	A I have no opinion with respect to how NEBs
3	guide investments.
4	Q Okay. Thank you.
5	And moving sticking on your opening I'm
6	only covering your opening testimony today. Page 49,
7	line 19. You discuss, "Participants are not expected to
8	receive enough benefits to offset the full costs."
9	A I see that.
10	Q And this is in a cost-effective program or
11	programs that do not score above 1.0 on the total
12	resource cost test; is that correct?
13	A Generally that's correct.
14	Q Okay. And then hopefully we can have a quicker
15	answer than from Cal Advocates yesterday. But generally
16	speaking, do most programs that serve disadvantaged
17	communities score higher than or sorry score lower
18	than 1.0 on the total resource cost test?
19	A It depends what proceeding you define these
20	disadvantaged, I guess, sectors. There are proceedings
21	where individual technologies are cost effective, but
22	perhaps the program in total may be not cost effective
23	and vice versa. There are other programs where the
24	Commission has specific goals or legislations that wish
25	to provide sort of a plain level for people who are

1	disadvantaged. Inherently, they provide not
2	requirements but guidance in terms of maybe a value to
3	obtain enters of the total resource cost test.
4	Q Okay. And then those for sticking with
5	those programs where cost effectiveness is not
6	considered, the disadvantaged is it correct that the
7	disadvantaged community-targeted programs that score
8	less than 1.0 have to be counterbalanced by other
9	programs in the service territory that do score above
10	1.0 so that overall in the service territory, all the
11	programs collectively are scoring above 1.0?
12	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. Counsel
13	is testifying, and there was a lot loaded into that
14	question.
15	ALJ LAU: I will agree with that objection.
16	Mr. Lin, can you try to break it down and
17	BY MR. LIN:
18	Q Well, how then how do we make sure that in a
19	service territory in SDG&E's service territory, for
20	instance, if we have all of these cost-ineffective
21	programs that serve disadvantaged communities, how do we
22	ensure that collectively in SDG&E's service territory,
23	the score is above 1.0 the TRC score is above 1.0?
24	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. There's
25	no hook to Mr. Mansi's testimony, so I question whether

Evidenti	lary	Hearing
January	25,	2024

	January 25, 2024 405
1	it goes beyond the scope.
2	ALJ LAU: I'm going to allow it.
3	Mr. Mansi, just answer to the best of your
4	ability based on your opinion.
5	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question
6	again?
7	BY MR. LIN:
8	Q Yes. So you talk about these programs some
9	equity-focused programs that are exempt from the
10	requirement to score above 1.0 on the total resource
11	cost test. To make sure in SDG&E's all-service
12	territory that programs are generally on average scoring
13	above 1.0, how do we account for the programs that
14	score how do we make sure that the total resource
15	cost test still stays at 1.0 or above?
16	A This all depends on the particular DER program
17	that you're referring to. The Commission has realized
18	that the inequity for disadvantaged communities they
19	set guidelines for either not adjusting the TRC because
20	of other legislative mandates to enhance the
21	opportunities for those particular sectors. And then
22	there's a portion that, essentially, are programs where
23	are not disadvantaged, and the test is required.
24	I think your question is whether or not how
25	does the whole territory ensure a TRC test of 1 point

	January 25, 2024 400
1	and I don't think within the utility company, they
2	assure that total territory cost-effectiveness test,
3	that the utilities address specific mandates and goals
4	of different programs as guided by the Commission.]
5	Q Okay. Thank you.
6	And when you so these programs that are
7	exempt because the benefits do not offset the full
8	costs, these costs and benefits, are you referring to
9	only finance role or economic benefits? and that's,
10	again, at line 19 of your testimony at page 49.
11	A I'm I'm addressing the issue of the test
12	itself, the cost-effectiveness test. And that test,
13	essentially, is one of the one of the tests in the
14	standard practice manual to for the Commission to
15	have utilities use all those tests, as they relate to
16	ratepayers in our service territory.
17	Q Okay. And then, moving along the same the
18	same section, at line 19 to line 21, you say:
19	In these cases, generally, the participants
20	are not expected to receive enough benefits
21	to offset the full costs, or the programs
22	are not expected to create the amounts and
23	types of benefits to the grid at large.
24	That would offset the costs to
25	non-participants.

	January 25, 2024 40
1	Same question: For those benefits to the grid
2	at large and cost to non-participants, are you talking
3	about are you only referring to financial and
4	economic costs?
5	A Can you define what you mean by "financial and
6	economic"?
7	Q Well, I guess it's probably the same answer as
8	your your previous answer was that it's the factors
9	in the total resource cost test.
10	A That's correct.
11	Q When you said cost and benefits here, are you
12	also saying referring to the same thing, like the
13	factors in the tot in the current total resource
14	cost test?
15	A Yes. The current position is, the standard for
16	tests at least for the if the ratepayers is the
17	TRC test for non-equity types of DER programs currently.
18	Q Okay. And moving on to page 50, lines 2 or
19	lines 2 and 3 say talk about the legislature
20	evaluating what was needed to achieve greater deployment
21	of solar in disadvantaged communities. And
22	cost-effectiveness was not to be considered a factor.
23	Are you referring to the net metering program
24	there?
25	A I believe this is the the green tariff

	January 25, 2024 408
1	proceeding and which would address the disadvantaged
2	solar versus non-disadvantaged solar.
3	Q Okay. And those are the programs authorized
4	under line as you say in line 5, decision CPUC
5	Decision 18-06-027?
6	A That is correct.
7	Q And that decision is the designing specific
8	alternatives for disadvantaged communities and the
9	specific alternatives; what are those specific
10	alternatives to?
11	A I think, in general, for that particular
12	proceeding, there was a parsing out of disadvantaged
13	of budgets and dollars, I believe and as opposed to
14	non-disadvantaged. So I I think that's I think
15	that's what that particular decision entails.
16	Q Okay.
17	Your Honor, just for clarity, it is the
18	decision to authorize specific alternatives in
19	disadvantaged communities, alternatives to the net
20	metering program. And I think it's I think the
21	decision is in the footnote. I don't think there's a
22	need to provide an exhibit with the decision, right,
23	because the decision is cited to.
24	But those
25	ALJ LAU: Correct.

1	BY MR. LIN:
2	Q Those three alternatives to the net metering
3	program, are you aware of the three programs generally
4	as it's the the disadvantaged communities green
5	tariff one you're talking about, the DAC-SASH program,
6	the singe family solar on single-family homes, and
7	then the community solar green tariff program.
8	Are those the three programs in that decision?
9	A Per the decision, I believe you're correct.
10	Q Are you aware of the performance of those
11	programs, as far as how many customers they have
12	enrolled, how quickly customers have enrolled in those,
13	whether they have oversubscribed, undersubscribed?
14	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. It's a
15	compound question.
16	ALJ LAU: Can you break it down, Mr. Lin?
17	BY MR. LIN:
18	Q Mr. Mansi, are you aware of how well those
19	programs have done with enrolling customers? And we can
20	take each one at a time, if you'd like?
21	A I am not aware in terms of the numbers.
22	Q And you say that's one example of where we do
23	carve-outs, where we say X percents, we have to get,
24	say, 25 percent subscription to this program is for
25	disadvantaged communities.

	January 25, 2024 410
1	Are you aware of the performance of those
2	programs that have carve-outs or prioritize
3	disadvantaged communities?
4	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. Asked
5	and answered.
6	MR. LIN: Oh, sorry
7	ALJ LAU: I
8	MR. LIN: Counsel, I was referring to other
9	carve-out programs, except for these three.
10	ALJ LAU: Yeah.
11	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection on the ground that
12	it's vague. What other programs is Counsel referring
13	to?
14	ALJ LAU: Yeah. Mr. Lin, can you just restate
15	your question?
16	BY MR. LIN:
17	Q So, let's see. On page 49 of your testimony,
18	line 16, you use the term "carve-out" referring to some
19	equity programs.
20	MR. NEWLANDER: Is is that a question,
21	Mr. Lin?
22	MR. LIN: I'm just making sure Mr. Mansi knows
23	what I'm talking about before I ask my question.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay. I I understand the word
25	carve-out here.

BY MR. LIN:
Q Okay. Do you are you do you have any
examples of programs equity programs that do have
this carve-out prioritization model?
A I think there's a couple. There's the the
ESA program, which is our energy efficiency program.
That's that's carved out. That that, I believe,
has a a goal threshold for a cost-effectiveness.
It's not a requirement. Our EE programs currently,
based on our business plan portfolio are carved out now
to resource market-related and an equity segmentation.
Segmentation does not require a cost-effectiveness
that's segmentation is a carve-out.
Q Okay. Taking the ESA program, as far as for
equity customers or disadvantaged targeted at
disadvantaged communities, are you aware of the
performance of those of that program?
A No, I don't.
Q And going back to page 50 let's see. I want
to find the exact line number for you. Sorry. Oh, I'm
sorry.
On page 49, at line 2, you talk about the
you mention cost shifts. And the cost shifts that you
mention are related to well, actually, I'll ask you a
question.

	January 25, 2024 412
1	When you talk about cost shifts here, are you
2	talking about the net metering program or DERs,
3	generally?
4	A I would refer to DER, generally.
5	Q Okay. Has SDG&E conducted analysis on whether
6	and I'm just picking energy efficiency causes a
7	cost shift?
8	A It's a specific study that shows the impact of
9	energy efficiency. Related to your question of cost
10	shift, I don't know of a specific study.
11	Q What about for demand response?
12	A I'm not aware of one
13	Q Uh
14	A that's not to say that there may be one.
15	But I'm not aware of it.
16	Q Okay. Let's go with electric vehicles.
17	A I'm not familiar with the (indecipherable)
18	proceeding.
19	(Reporter clarification.)
20	ALJ LAU: Let's go off the record.
21	Let's go back on the record.
22	Mr. Lin, can you repeat your question again?
23	MR. LIN: Yes, your Honor.
24	Q Mr. Mansi, has SDG&E conducted analysis of
25	whether electric vehicles, as the DER, create a cost

	January 25, 2024 413
1	shift?
2	A I don't know that. I don't know.
3	Q And now, going to where I know SDG&E has
4	conducted analysis on the cost shift is through the
5	in the net metering program. Page 50 of your opening
6	testimony, line it's throughout the page but
7	specifically at line 16 and 17, you discuss the cost
8	shift and the well, in our opinion, the alleged cost
9	shift in the net metering program?
10	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. Counsel
11	is testifying.
12	ALJ LAU: Why don't we just have Mr. Mansi read
13	page 50. I forgot which line is it?
14	MR. LIN: Oh, it's okay, your Honor. I think
15	just as long as Mr. Mansi knows which I'm talking
16	about. At line 17, he discusses cost shifts. And I
17	just want to make sure we're on the same page that that
18	cost shift is referring to the net metering program.
19	ALJ LAU: And let's be careful not to
20	characterize the witness' testimony and allow him speak
21	to himself or herself as appropriate. Thank you.
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah. It yes. That statement
23	is in respect to the the solar proceeding, I believe,
24	the NEM.
25	///

Evidenti	lary	Hearing
January	25,	2024

	January 25, 2024 41
1	BY MR. LIN:
2	Q The solar one. Okay. Let's see.
3	On page 53, line 4 of page 53, you discuss the
4	national standard practice manual for benefit cost
5	analysis of DERs. I have a question on that one.
6	Are you familiar with the national standard
7	practice manual?
8	A I'm familiar to what has been provided by them
9	via, I believe, a handbook.
10	Q Okay. Does the handbook document non-energy
11	benefits as benefits of DERs to potentially include in
12	cost-effectiveness tests?
13	A Subject to check, I think they discuss it I
14	think they have a brief discussion on it.
15	Q Okay. And are you aware of the companion
16	Methods, Tools, and Resources, or MTR, Handbook that
17	accompanies the national standard practice manual?
18	A I'm not familiar with that.
19	Q Okay. Then moving on to your your
20	discussion of distributional equity analysis. Do I need
21	to find a page number or I'm not going to represent
22	anything specific, but just distributional equity
23	analysis.
24	When you refer, in your testimony, to using
25	distributional equity analysis, are you referring to the

	Ualluary 25, 2024 415
1	distribution of only financial or economic benefits?
2	A Could you define "financial and economic" in
3	your question?
4	Q Well, we could just go to the flip side.
5	Are you referring to the distribution of
6	non-energy benefits?
7	A The DEA, the Distributional Equity Analysis, at
8	least for my testimony, is a consideration by the
9	Commission, among other analysis, which would provide in
10	complement to the total standard practice manual of TRC
11	and other tests to to determine, basically, whether
12	or not there's a a finding of maybe, perhaps, a
13	distribution that's between a particular host DER and
14	the impact for the non-host. And it would just give
15	more insight for the Commission to provide policy.
16	Q And then, you at the top of page 53, line 1
17	well, continuing from the end of page 52 sorry.
18	The Distributional Equity Analysis can
19	provide an understanding of how DER impacts
20	will affect different populations to
21	determine if any one population experiences
22	a disproportionate burden because of the
23	program.
24	That disproportionate burden, are you referring
25	to the cost shift?

1	A I think "burden" probably has several
2	definitions or several, I guess, concepts. The
3	burden could be yeah. The cause of what the impact
4	to to the rates they what's the impact to their
5	bill. And then, what's the impact to, maybe,
6	participation rates by the more or less
7	Q Okay. And in footnotes just above Footnote
8	91, at the bottom of page 52, you talk about the
9	Distributional Equity Analysis Advisory Committee.
10	Are you aware of the activities of that
11	committee?
12	A I'm not I'm not I'm not directly involved
13	in that particular committee on I only know,
14	essentially, what has been currently provided by those
15	by that committee.
16	Q Okay. So you track their recommendations and
17	whatnot?
18	A I've reviewed some of the documents, as
19	provided here on from that committee.
20	Q Okay. Have you seen the committee's case study
21	on Washington State?
22	A No, I haven't.
23	Q Are you familiar with the guidance documents
24	from that advisory committee?
25	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. It's

	January 25, 2024 417
1	beyond the scope of the witness' testimony. He doesn't
2	reference that material.
3	ALJ LAU: I'm going to sustain that. He
4	this witness just says he's tracking it. And he's not
5	cannot speak for that committee.
6	BY MR. LIN:
7	Q Okay. No problem. And, just two more
8	questions.
9	And the first is, the cost benefit analyses,
10	plus the distributional equity analysis, as you proposed
11	in your testimony, you say that those address
12	distributional justice.
13	Do those together again, the cost benefit
14	analysis and distributional equity analysis do those
15	discuss restorative justice?
16	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. Could
17	we get a cite to a page and line number to where that
18	phraseology was used?
19	ALJ LAU: I agree with Mr. Newlander, if
20	Mr. Lin can point to a citation.
21	MR. LIN: Let's see. Hold on one second.
22	ALJ LAU: Maybe even a definition to
23	restorative justice.
24	MR. LIN: Restorative justice is correcting the
25	past wrongs; and in this case, the past environmental

1	injustices of our energy system. And these are from the
2	advisory committee. These terms are from the advisory
3	committee that Mr. Mansi says he's been following
4	somewhat. Also, recognition just recognitional
5	justice, procedural justice as well.
6	ALJ LAU: So maybe you can break it down to
7	Mr. Mansi, that question, and even establish a
8	foundation.
9	BY MR. LIN:
10	Q Okay. So, Mr. Mansi, you say that you somewhat
11	follow the advisory committee on distributional equity
12	analysis. That committee talks about restorative
13	justice.
14	Are you aware of that discussion?
15	A Not specifically with in context of that
16	committee.
17	Q Same questions for recognitional justice and
18	procedural justice. I can do one at a time to avoid a
19	compound, if you want.
20	So, recognitional justice first.
21	MR. NEWLANDER: Your Honor, Counsel's this
22	is all hearsay referring to documents that haven't been
23	put before Mr. Mansi. And, you know, I was a little
24	slow on the uptake on the previous question. But I'm
25	not missing it here.

	January 25, 2024 419
1	ALJ LAU: So, why don't we just Mr. Lin,
2	define one of the terms you want to use. And ask
3	Mr. Mansi to opine on how, you know, his proposals
4	affect those impact or be affected by those terms
5	or concept.
6	MR. LIN: Okay. Thank you, your Honor. That's
7	helpful.
8	Q Mr. Mansi, in your testimony, you propose using
9	both benefit cost-benefit analyses, plus distribution
10	equity analyses to address distributional justice.
11	Restorative justice and recognitional justice
12	is correcting the past injustices on somewhat, like,
13	restitution.
14	Does your proposal address that?
15	A My proposal only provides the the analysis
16	to other parties that would be, essentially, the
17	cost-effectiveness and the de distributional equity
18	analysis to complement that. Those two would be among
19	other issues that the Commission possibly could look at,
20	with respect to the issue of how to address equity and
21	cost-effectiveness. It does not my our my
22	testimony does not provide any way or remedies as a
23	result of those those that type of information.
24	Q Okay. Thank you.
25	And the last question on page page 56 of

	January 25, 2024 420
1	your testimony, line 4 or line 5 or line 3:
2	Non-energy benefits should be extremely
3	limited needs to be extremely limited to
4	be considered carefully without
5	overburdening ratepayers.
6	Has SDG&E done an analysis on whether including
7	non-energy benefits in cost-effectiveness determinations
8	would burden ratepayers?
9	A Not that I'm aware of, of a specific study.
10	Q And has SDG&E done a study on how or or
11	analyzed how including non-energy benefits in the
12	Avoided Cost Calculator could burden ratepayers?
13	A My testimony only re applies to what falls
14	out of the Avoided Cost Calculator, with respect to
15	cost-effectiveness and equity. I believe the issue
16	would be out of scope of my testimony.
17	Q Okay. Thank you for your time, Mr. Mansi.
18	That was actually, was a pleasure compared to
19	yesterday's debacle. I appreciate that.
20	A Okay.
21	Q And, Mr. Newlander, not a ball at all, if you
22	saw yesterday's. So thank you for your time.
23	ALJ LAU: Mr. Newlander, do you have any
24	redirected for Mr. Mansi?
25	MR. NEWLANDER: May I have a brief break to

Evidentiary Hearing 421 January 25, 2024 confer with the witness? 1 2 ALJ LAU: Okay. Yes. Let's take a five-minute break. 3 4 MR. NEWLANDER: Thank you, your Honor. 5 ALJ LAU: So, Mr. Lin, you should still stay 6 on. (Off the record.) 7] ALJ LAU: Back on the record. 8 9 Mr. Newlander, do you have any redirect for Mr. Mansi? 10 11 MR. NEWLANDER: I do not, your Honor. 12 Thank you. 13 ALJ LAU: All right. Mr. Mansi, I believe you 14 are excused from the -- from your -- you're done for 15 today. Thank you for your participation. 16 And we can also bring Mr. Lin off the stage as 17 well. Well, actually, I am really tired today. 18 19 Mr. Mansi, you are not excused. Let's bring Ms. White 20 on the stage. Apologies. There is one more cross for 21 you, Mr. Mansi. 22 THE WITNESS: You got my hopes up, your Honor. 23 ALJ LAU: Ms. White, can you introduce yourself 24 before you proceed with examining Mr. Mansi? 25 ///

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	January 25, 2024 422
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. WHITE:
3	Q Yes. Hello, I am Andrea White, and I am from
4	the Protect our Communities Foundation.
5	Okay. One second. Okay. So, I would like to
6	begin with your opening testimony on page 46 at about
7	line 23.
8	Okay. So, on page 46 of your opening
9	testimony, you testify about concerns of equity and
10	costs to ratepayers, correct?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q Okay. But you do not address shareholder
13	profits in your testimony, correct?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q Okay. And then, on lines 29 through 30 oh,
16	sorry, I have an interruption.
17	ALJ LAU: We can go off the record.
18	(No response.)
19	ALJ LAU: Let's go back on the record.
20	Ms. White, can you repeat your question?
21	MS. WHITE: Yes.
22	Q Okay. So, on lines 29 through 30 on page 46 of
23	your opening testimony, you testify about the fair and
24	just distribution of benefits and costs within the
25	energy system.

1	So, would you consider utility shareholders as
2	part of that energy system?
3	A The the language that I am supporting in my
4	opening statements are related to the issue of fair and
5	equity proposals with for essentially
6	disadvantaged disadvantaged sectors as they relate to
7	additional analysis beyond the standard practice, and
8	the standard practice effectively addresses the impacts
9	particularly to to ratepayers only.
10	Q Okay. So, in your opinion, do utility
11	shareholders benefit from the energy system?
12	A I am unable to answer that question on
13	benefits. I have no knowledge.
14	Q Okay. Next, I will turn to page 47, line 3
15	through 6.
16	Okay. So, this states:
17	In each separate proceeding, such as those
18	dedicated to approving individual end-use
19	portfolios and programs such as energy
20	storage, demand response and EE as
21	examples, the IOUs are then required to
22	layer additional factors over the ACC
23	outputs to arrive at a more nuanced
24	cost-effectiveness score.
25	So, here, you are testifying about various

	January 25, 2024 42
1	proceedings the ACC is used in, and you provide several
2	examples, correct?
3	A That is correct.
4	Q Okay. So, would you be able to provide the
5	names of the existing proceedings the utilities use the
6	ACC in?
7	A Could you repeat that again.
8	Q Yes.
9	So, I was wondering if you could list some of
10	the names of the existing proceeding utilities use the
11	ACC in?
12	A Is this is a requirement to me to provide a
13	list, or do you want me to list a few?
14	Q You can list a few.
15	A The ACC is utilized in the currently energy
16	efficiency programs. The ACC is utilized in the demand
17	response programs. To a certain extent, the the ACC
18	or what comes out of the ACC or either what comes out
19	of the ACC includes some of the low-income programs is
20	an example.
21	Q Okay. Do you have any other examples you can
22	think of off the top of your head?
23	A Not off the top of my head. These are some of
24	the other ones.
25	Q Okay. Thank you.

Evidentiary Hearing 425 January 25, 2024 Okay. So, in the next lines on page 47, line 7 1 2 and 8. You say that: These methods are the application of 3 4 certain CPUC approved protocols for 5 determining cost effectiveness. Okay. So, how many of these protocols do you 6 think exist? 7 8 Α This particular protocol, based on footnote 83, 9 applies to demand-response protocols specifically. 10 If your question is whether or not this particular program applies to other DER programs, I 11 12 don't believe this particular program protocol applies 13 to the other DER programs. Okay. So, you list one of the protocols at 83. 14 0 15 So, do you think there are any other protocols 16 that you can think of? 17 MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. If she's asking for his knowledge or, you know, whether he 18 19 knows that something exists, you know, that's one thing, 20 but the phrasing was asking him to speculate. 21 ALJ LAU: Can you ask the question again? 22 Sorry. 23 MS. WHITE: Oh, yeah, sure. Okay. So, one 24 second. 25 Mr. Mansi lists an example of the DER -- the DR

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	January 25, 2024 420
1	cost-effectiveness protocol in footnote 83, so I I
2	was wondering if he could list any other examples of
3	similar protocols.
4	ALJ LAU: I am going to overrule the objection.
5	Mr. Mansi, just answer the to the best of
6	your knowledge.
7	THE WITNESS: An example would be energy
8	efficiency. The the energy efficiency test utilizes
9	the ACC as part of the test. In that test, there are
10	additional factors that go into, specifically, the
11	the calculation of of the the TRC value. Those
12	values are predicated on different variables based on
13	particular program.
14	BY MS. WHITE:
15	Q Okay. So so, then on lines 8 through 10,
16	you talk about these additional factors on lines 8
17	through 10 on page 47; and so, you say that:
18	The additional factors are determined
19	portfolio by portfolio, or end use by end
20	use.
21	So, based on this, could you provide an
22	estimate of how many additional factors there are?
23	A In terms of, like, a numerical value of the
24	amount? I think you're assuming this assumes I
25	I am not aware of all the DER programs that have

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	January 25, 2024 427
1	additional additional values, I guess, related to
2	that protocol, so I can't really provide the numeric
3	estimate, since I don't know those additional values
4	that may be in other DER programs.
5	Q Okay. Could you provide some examples of
6	additional factors then?
7	A For the energy efficiency programs, an example
8	would be the assessment of a particular EE technology.
9	There is factors that that are outside the Avoided
10	Cost Calculator, and that would include issues of of
11	the the what we call the free ridership, the
12	(indecipherable) technology, the nuance of the actual
13	unit savings of that particular technology, what we call
14	realization rates and other factors that would be
15	applied to that particular technology in the EE program.
16	Those are factors that are outside the output
17	of the Avoided Cost Calculator.
18	Q Okay. Thank you.
19	So, are you aware if there's a list of factors
20	written down somewhere?
21	A Are you referencing specific DER programs?
22	Q I am not. I am just referring to generally.
23	A I am not really sure, and I really can't attest
24	to other DER programs where respect to a a published
25	set of other factors that you you have referenced.

	Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024 428
1	Q Okay. So, I will move onto page 48. Starting
2	at line 7, and this is the opening testimony.
3	Okay. Let's see. So, on page 48, starting on
4	line 7, you testify:
5	Such an adder may or may not apply to all
6	DERs, but it is included in the demand
7	response protocols to meet Commission
8	goals, i.e., to encourage the IOUs to
9	utilize DR in ways that supports T&D
10	capacity.
11	So, what do you mean by the phrase, "Supports
12	T&D capacity," when you use it at lines 9 and 10?
13	A I I think the Commission, through the 2016
14	DR protocol says to recognize the the somewhat of
15	a uniqueness about demand response that that is not
16	captured in the ACC model. The AC model tends to be
17	somewhat standardized. When you add a DR application,
18	there are specific at attributes of the actual demand
19	response program, which the Commission, based on the
20	2016 protocols, provides adjustments to those particular
21	values coming out of the ACC in determining the cost
22	effectiveness of the particular program.
23	Q Okay. So, to clarify, when you say, "Supports
24	T&D capacity," do you mean maintain existing T&D
25	capacity?

	42)
1	A I believe that in my I think this really
2	means it's in what is the relationship or the impact
3	to that portion of the avoided cost and the relation to
4	demand response.
5	Q Okay. So, you mean adding T&D capacity?
6	A No, I am not saying that. It's the program,
7	it's, in itself, is not meant to determine addition or a
8	subtraction to a transmission distribution facility.
9	Q Okay. Thank you.
10	A It's sorry.
11	Q No, no, you can proceed.
12	A That's it.
13	Q Oh, good. Okay. So, I I will move onto
14	page 48. So so, start let's see. At so, on
15	line 8 yes, line 8, you identify Commission goals, so
16	as you sit here today, can you think of any other
17	Commission goals?
18	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor, that
19	misstates the testimony. The testimony speaks for
20	itself, but it does not identify Commission goals, it
21	refers well, other than the one that was identified
22	as an you know, an i.e.
23	ALJ LAU: Can you restate your question,
24	Ms. White? I am going to sustain the objection.
25	MS. WHITE: Yes, yes. And I think it would be

	January 25, 2024 430
1	helpful if I just read the whole sentence.
2	So, starting on line 7, it says:
3	Such an adder may or may not apply to all
4	DERs, but it is included in the DR
5	protocols to meet Commission goals, i.e.,
6	to encourage the IOUs to utilize DR in ways
7	that support T&D capacity.
8	Q So, Mr. Mansi, you provide an example of the
9	Commission goals, so I am wondering if you can provide
10	any other examples of Commission goals similar to this
11	one, and it's okay if you can't.
12	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. It's
13	vague. Is is counsel referring to only Commission
14	goals in the context of DR protocols or DERs generally?
15	If she can be more specific.
16	ALJ LAU: Ms. White, if you just want to
17	specify.
18	MS. WHITE: Yes.
19	Q I think probably DERs generally would be the
20	most useful.
21	A I I think based on the Commission's guidance
22	on the the various DER programs, each of those
23	programs have within their guidance a probably set a
24	of of goals and attainments or which are
25	more or less address the Commission's statewide goals in

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1 general. 2 0 Okay. Thank you. 3 So, I am going to move onto page 54 of your 4 opening testimony, starting at line 3. 5 Okay. So here you testify: 6 Distributional equity analysis can also 7 improve other comparisons between DER 8 participants and non-DER participants. 9 So, the distributional equity analysis you're 10 testifying about does not include any comparisons between ratepayers and shareholders, correct? 11 12 Α That's correct. 13 0 Okay. So, does the ratio to capital expenses 14 to noncapital expenses ever enter into the analysis? 15 MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. Is there a reference? It -- it -- I don't see a reference 16 17 to that in the -- in the testimony. If -- if counsel can point somewhere, that 18 19 would be helpful. 20 ALJ LAU: Yeah, I agree with Mr. Newlander. 21 MS. WHITE: Okay. So, I think it would be 22 helpful to provide a background explanation for my 23 question. 24 So, I think as part of the -- you -- you know, 25 I am just trying to understand Mr. Mansi 's proposal the

	Ganuary 25, 2024 45.
1	distributional equity analysis, so I just want to
2	understand if it includes capital expenses and
3	noncapital expenses.
4	MR. NEWLANDER: And and, your Honor, I I
5	would just respond, if I may, that I mean, there was
6	an opportunity for discovery in this proceeding.
7	If counsel had that question, we could have
8	respond to responded to it, you know, in due course
9	but, you know, there's it's a new subject with no
10	hook to the testimony being brought up on
11	cross-examination, so I I object.
12	ALJ LAU: Well, I am going to allow the
13	question and have Mr. Mansi answer to the best of his
14	ability.
15	THE WITNESS: So, our proposal on
16	distributional equity analysis, it it it stems
17	from various various publications and about the
18	concept of DEA, distributional analysis of the
19	intention, again, is to determine a fair distribution
20	between targets and non-targets, and it I don't
21	believe, and I that the issue of the specific
22	question you had cap the capital issue is
23	specifically addressed in these proposals.
24	BY MS. WHITE:
25	Q Okay. So

432

	-			
1	ALJ LAU: And I also I also want to note			
2	that, even though I allowed the question, please			
3	like, for the future Mr. Newlander has a point that			
4	if you really wanted a better answer to provide data			
5	requests to SDG&E in advance for discovery during			
6	discovery.			
7	MS. WHITE: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.			
8	Q Okay. I am going to move onto my last			
9	question.			
10	Okay. So, this is on page 55, lines 13 and 14.			
11	Okay. So, here you state:			
12	A holistic view of any DER program and who			
13	it may assist or leave behind.			
14	So, when you're referring to this holistic			
15	view, does that include shareholders?			
16	A The holistic view really relates to the people			
17	who potentially could be participating in this			
18	particular program, and those who were not participating			
19	in the programs.			
20	Q Okay. So, would you be open to considering			
21	that certain projects will assist shareholders more than			
22	other projects?			
23	A Could you repeat the question?			
24	Q Yes.			
25	So, would you be open to considering that			

	January 25, 2024 434
1	certain projects will assist shareholders more than
2	other projects?
3	MR. NEWLANDER: Objection, your Honor. It's
4	beyond the scope of the witness's testimony.
5	ALJ LAU: Sustained, Ms. White. Yeah,
6	sustained.
7	MS. WHITE: Sustained? Okay. That concludes
8	my questions then.
9	Thank you, Mr. Mansi, and thank you, your
10	Honor; and thank you, Mr. Newlander.
11	ALJ LAU: Mr. Newlander, do you have any
12	redirect for Mr. Mansi?
13	MR. NEWLANDER: I do not, your Honor. Thank
14	you.
15	ALJ LAU: All right. Mr. Mansi, for now or
16	now, you are certainly excused from the witness stand.
17	Thank you for your participa
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
19	ALJ LAU: Thank you for participating today.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21	ALJ LAU: Let's take off the witness, and let's
22	go off the record.
23	(Off the record.)]
24	ALJ LAU: Let's go back on the record.
25	On the stage is Mr. Newlander and

1	Mr. William Saxe as well as a set of witness	
2	attestations.	
3	Mr. Saxe, can you introduce yourself and the	
4	organization you're representing? And if it's the joint	
5	IOUs, please explain which IOUs you're talking about and	
6	which company do you work for.	
7	MR. SAXE: Yes. My name is William Saxe. Last	
8	name spelled S-a-x-e. I work for San Diego Gas &	
9	Electric, sometimes we refer to as SDG&E. And I'm	
10	sponsoring the Joint IOU opening testimony and rebuttal	
11	testimony. And the Joint IOUs are Pacific Gas and	
12	Electric, Southern California Edison Company, and	
13	San Diego Gas & Electric.	
14	ALJ LAU: All right. Thank you, Mr. Saxe.	
15	Do you see the set of witness attestations put	
16	forth on the screen?	
17	MR. SAXE: I do.	
18	ALJ LAU: Have you had the opportunity to	
19	review them in full?	
20	(Line muted.)	
21	ALJ LAU: I did not hear you. I think you	
22	muted yourself, Mr. Saxe. I'm going to ask the question	
23	again.	
24	Have you had the opportunity to review these	
25	sets of attestations in full?	

	Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024 436
1	MR. SAXE: I have.
2	ALJ LAU: Do you agree to abide by them?
3	MR. SAXE: I do.
4	ALJ LAU: All right. Mr. Newlander, you may
5	begin your direct examination of Mr. Saxe.
6	MR. NEWLANDER: Thank you, your Honor.
7	WILLIAM SAXE,
8	called as a witness by San Diego Gas &
9	Electric, having attested, testified as
10	follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. NEWLANDER:
13	Q Good morning, Mr. Saxe. Do you have the
14	exhibits that have been marked and identified as
15	Exhibits IOU-01 and IOU-02, which are the prepared
16	opening and rebuttal testimonies of the joint utilities
17	in this proceeding?
18	A I do.
19	Q Are you sponsoring on behalf of SDG&E the
20	portion of Exhibit IOU-01 at section B1 B1 and
21	Attachment A and the portion of Exhibit IOU-02 at
22	section B4?
23	A Iam.
24	Q Were the portions of the joint testimony that
25	you are sponsoring prepared by you or under your

Evidentiary		Hearing
January	25,	2024

direction? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 0 Do you have any changes or corrections to make 4 to that material? I do not. 5 Α 6 0 Do you adopt the reference material as your 7 testimony in this proceeding? 8 Α Yes, I do. 9 0 Are factual statements in your testimony true and correct to the best of your knowledge? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 0 And insofar as statements in your testimony 13 reflect opinion or judgment, do such statements reflect your best professional opinion or judgment? 14 15 Α Yes, they do. 16 MR. NEWLANDER: Your Honor, the witness is 17 available for cross-examination. 18 ALJ LAU: Thank you, Mr. Newlander. 19 Let's bring forth Ms. White. Can we bring 20 forth Ms. White to the stage? Thank you. 21 Ms. White, you may begin your cross of 22 Mr. Saxe, but please introduce yourself before you 23 begin. /// 24 25 ///

	January 25, 2024 438
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. WHITE:
3	Q Yes. Hello. I'm Andrea White, and I represent
4	the Protect Our Communities Foundation or PCF.
5	Okay. So I will try not to ask too many
6	questions. But first I would like to begin with PCF-16,
7	which is D.22-05-002, on page 123, ordering paragraph 7.
8	A Yes. I have that in front of me.
9	Q Okay. So here it states, "San Diego Gas &
10	Electric Company (SDG&E) and Southern California Edison
11	Company (SCE) shall work together to develop secondary
12	distribution costs estimates based on the Pacific Gas
13	and Electric (PG&E) distribution final line transformer
14	approach approved in Decision 21-11-016."
15	Okay. And I know you were one of the authors
16	for this study attached to the opening testimony, which
17	I established yesterday was in response to this ordering
18	paragraph. So do you think SDG&E has adequately
19	developed these secondary distribution costs?
20	A Yes, we have. And in answering this question,
21	I'll have you turn to the Attachment A. So if you turn
22	to
23	Q Yes.
24	A What's that?
25	If you turn to Attachment A and specifically

	January 25, 2024 439
1	I'm looking at AtachA-15, which is shown as page 11 on
2	the attachment.
3	ALJ LAU: For the
4	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
5	ALJ LAU: For the clarity of the record, it's
6	Attachment A in your opening testimony in IOU-01.
7	THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. Let me
8	know when you're at that page.
9	BY MS. WHITE:
10	Q I'm at the page.
11	A So if you go in it's section 3.3 SDG&E. And
12	if you go to the second paragraph, it says: Although
13	SDG&E does not define distribution capacity costs
14	separately for FLTs like PG&E, SDG&E can breakout the
15	FLT costs that are part of SDG&E's feeder and local
16	distribution costs using an approach similar to PG&E.
17	And if you look at Table 11, it shows the
18	breakout. So it shows the final marginal distribution
19	costs from SDG&E and then the adjusted marginal
20	distribution costs. So just like PG&E, we basically
21	we basically split out our circuit costs our feeder
22	and local distribution costs and identified what the FLT
23	distribution capacity rate or costs are for the FLT.
24	So the answer to your question is yes. We did
25	follow the decision, and we did develop the FLT costs

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	consistent with how PG&E did it.
2	Q Okay. So can you so are there any
3	differences that you can identify between SDG&E's
4	approach and PG&E's approach?
5	A Not in breaking up. We followed the same
6	approach PG&E did. I mean, the difference between SDG&E
7	and PG&E is that SDG&E, our service territory isn't as
8	big. We're not broken up as much as PG&E where they
9	have different regions.
10	So PG&E actually goes in and splits out their
11	marginal costs by region; whereas we look at our system
12	as a whole. So I think we follow the same approach as
13	PG&E. We follow the same break out of the FLT costs.
14	And so I think we're consistent with the way that $PG\&E$
15	did it.
16	Q Okay. So now I'm going to turn to your summary
17	of recommendations, which is on page Attachment A-25.
18	A At page 25 of the opening testimony?
19	Q Yes.
20	A Okay. One second.
21	Q Page A-25, yes.
22	A Yes. I'm there.
23	Q Okay. So you state in the first sentence of
24	this section that non-coincident demand-based avoided
25	cost benefits be estimated and cost effectiveness

1	studies on a case-by-case basis at a program or more
2	granular level rather than having these costs be
3	uniformly estimated in the ACC on an hourly basis.
4	So in your opinion, would estimating
5	non-coincident demand-based avoided costs on a more
6	granular level cause delays in issuing these
7	distribution costs?
8	MR. NEWLANDER: Apologies, your Honor. I lost
9	the page reference. Is it 25 of the testimony itself or
10	A-25 of the attachment? Because both you know,
11	there's a 25 in both.
12	ALJ LAU: I believe Ms. White was reading
13	Attachment A-25. And let's go off the record so counsel
14	can take the time to read it.
15	(Off the record.)
16	ALJ LAU: Let's go back on record.
17	Ms. White, can you repeat your question?
18	MS. WHITE: Yes.
19	Q Okay. So, Mr. Saxe, in your opinion, would
20	estimating non-coincident demand-based avoided costs on
21	a more granular level cause delays in issuing these
22	distribution costs?
23	A I think, again, they it would have to be
24	evaluated, as you said, on a you know, like, a
25	DER-type basis, which would take time. We haven't even

1	figured out the scope of such a study. And I think
2	that's part of the reason why in the opening testimony
3	the IOUs had originally proposed to remove the
4	non-coincident demand costs from the ACC calculator.
5	But in the rebuttal testimony, we changed that.
6	And we stated that we would agree with SEIA that just
7	change the allocation from an hourly to an
8	equal-cents-per-kilowatt/hour basis because I think we
9	realized that it's probably better not to remove those
10	costs from the ACC calculator and that so let's leave
11	the costs in the calculator. Even though it's not
12	perfect allocation, it's better than if it was based on
13	the hourly. So let's leave them in there on a temporary
14	basis. And then once we complete the study, then we can
15	hopefully update the allocation.
16	So I agree with you that I think it would delay
17	things, but that's the reason why the Joint IOUs, I
18	think, changed their position on that issue.
19	Q Okay. Okay. So to clarify, could you restate
20	SDG&E's current position?
21	A Yes. Well, it's not it's really the
22	Joint IOU's current position.
23	Q Apologies.
24	A That's okay. So I was going to say if you turn
25	to page 33 of the rebuttal testimony

1	Q Yes.
2	A And if you look starting on line 3, it
3	states: The Joint IOUs would not oppose SEIA's proposal
4	to allocate such costs, which are the non-coincident
5	demand costs, across the hours of the day, which means
6	kind of an equal they propose an
7	equal-cents-per-kilowatt/hour. So it's not
8	differentiated by hours.
9	But then we go on to say: However, using each
10	utility's represented marginal costs methodology, as a
11	temporary basis. And then we go on to state that we
12	want a study to be done to look at as you were
13	mentioning, to look at the allocations and what DERs can
14	appropriately avoid.
15	So that's again, that's the Joint IOUs'
16	current position. I think we again, we changed it
17	because, I think, of what you stated, that doing the
18	study will if we remove the costs, doing the study
19	would delay the time before DERs could benefit from
20	non-coincident demand costs avoided non-coincident
21	demand costs.
22	Q Okay. Well, that concludes my questions then,
23	Mr. Saxe. Thank you very much.
24	A Thank you.
25	ALJ LAU: Mr. Newlander, do you have any

January 25, 2024 444
redirect for Mr. Saxe?
MR. NEWLANDER: I just have one question for
the witness, your Honor.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. NEWLANDER:
Q Mr. Saxe, you recall the questioning that
Ms. White was just going through with you and the
reason and the Joint IOUs' change in position;
correct?
A Yes, I do.
Q Okay. And you outlined a concern. You said
that the reason for the change in the position was
that well, could you restate what the basis for the
change in position was?
A Yes. I mean, I think I know where you're
going. I don't want to speak for the other two
utilities. I believe the change in the position where
we had originally proposed to remove the non-coincident
demand costs from the ACC calculator and we kind of
changed our position to say we were agreeable to SEIA's
revised allocation approach, I think it was due to the
fact that we understood parties' concerns about removing
non-coincident demand costs from the ACC calculator,
considering the fact that some of those costs are
could be avoidable by DERs.

	January 25, 2024 445
1	So that was my what I stated. And I you
2	know, I do agree that I shouldn't be speaking for
3	even though it's a Joint IOU testimony, I shouldn't be
4	speaking for the other two IOUs on why the position was
5	changed.
6	Q Would you characterize the the effect of the
7	change in position as beneficial for DERs through the
8	Avoided Cost Calculator?
9	A Oh, absolutely. As I said, I mean, part of the
10	problem is that as we tried to outline and explain in
11	the testimony, is that non-coincident demands are not
12	time differentiated, so there's no time basis for it.
13	So that's why allocating it as we currently do based on
14	the hourly factors is not going to not appropriately
15	allocate those costs.
16	SEIA's approach where we basically use, like,
17	an equal-cents-per-kilowatt-hour we apply the same
18	costs in every hour. We don't it's not
19	differentiated by hour. It's a better way to allocate
20	it, but it's still it's probably overcompensating
21	DERs for non-coincident demand costs.
22	But by leaving it in there if we remove the
23	cost, then obviously DERs are going to be that
24	provide non-coincident demand avoidance of
25	non-coincident demand are not going to be getting the

	January 25, 2024 446
1	benefit they should be getting. By leaving it in, even
2	though we might be overstating the value of the
3	avoidance of non-coincident demand costs, it continues
4	to provide a benefit to DERs. So I agree with you that
5	by leaving it in, it's beneficial to DERs.
6	MR. NEWLANDER: Thank you, your Honor. I have
7	no further questions.
8	ALJ LAU: Ms. White, do you have any recross?
9	MS. WHITE: I do not have any recross. Thank
10	you, your Honor.
11	ALJ LAU: Thank you, Ms. White.
12	I have a quick question for clarification based
13	on the redirect of Mr. Newlander.
14	So, Mr. Saxe, you're stating that the
15	Joint IOUs' position is what is stated in the testimony
16	but that the reasoning for the change in position in
17	rebuttal the reason you stated was more SDG&E's
18	position, and you're not speaking on behalf of the
19	Joint IOUs; is that correct?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. I don't recall the exact
21	reasoning for the change. You know, I think I was
22	involved in some discussions. And so I think that I
23	what I said is for all three IOUs, but I realize that I
24	probably don't want to speak for them because, again, I
25	can't remember exactly the specifics of the or the

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	reasons why we decided to make that change.
2	ALJ LAU: All right. That sounds good. I
3	subject to check because I've been making some mistakes
4	today, I believe, Mr. Saxe, that you are excused from
5	the witness stand, that we are done with your cross.
6	Thank you for appearing today.
7	I don't see any raised hands. So yeah, thank
8	you, Mr. Saxe.
9	MR. SAXE: Thank you.
10	ALJ LAU: We are approaching 12:05. Let us
11	have a one-hour lunch break. And when we are back from
12	the lunch break, we have on schedule
13	Ms. Rosalinda Magana from SoCalGas. And I believe she
14	is represented by Edward Hsu. And we have cross
15	scheduled for Ms. White first and then Mr. Lin oh,
16	and that's and that's it. Just Ms. White.
17	So right now it's about a little couple
18	minutes after 12:00. Let us try for a 1-hour-15-minute
19	lunch break since we are ahead of schedule. Let's
20	resume at 1:15 p.m.
21	Let's go off the record.
22	(At the hour of 12:03 p.m., a recess was
23	taken until 1:25 p.m.)
24	* * * * *
25]

AFTERNOON SESSION - 1:25 P.M. 1 2 3 4 ALJ LAU: Let's go on the record. 5 We are resuming from our lunch recess. We will 6 begin the cross-examination of Ms. Rosalinda Magana. 7 Ms. Magana, can you introduce yourself and the 8 organization you're representing? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is 10 Rosalinda Magana, that's M-a-g-a-n-a. And I am the Manager of Distributed Energy Resources Strategy at 11 Southern California Gas Company. 12 13 ALJ LAU: And the testimony you're sponsoring, is it on behalf of the joint utilities? 14 15 THE WITNESS: No, it is not. It is only on 16 behalf of Southern California Gas Company. ALJ LAU: All right. Thank you. 17 Ms. Magana, do you see the set of witness 18 19 attestations set forth on the stage? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 ALJ LAU: Have you had a chance to review them 22 in its entirety? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 ALJ LAU: Do you agree to abide by them? 25 THE WITNESS: I do.

	January 25, 2024 449
1	ALJ LAU: Thank you, Ms. Magana.
2	Mr. Shu, you may begin your direct examination
3	of Ms. Magana.
4	MR. SHU: Thank you, your Honor.
5	ROSALINDA MAGANA,
6	called as a witness by Southern California
7	Gas Company, having been sworn, testified as
8	follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. SHU:
11	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Magana. I want to bring
12	your attention do you have before you SoCalGas-01 and
13	SoCalGas-02?
14	And for the record, as well as for your
15	understanding, Ms. Magana, when I'm referring to
16	SoCalGas-01, I'm not going to be referring to sections
17	2-D.2 which is, instead, sponsored by
18	Anders Danryd I'll be referring to the remainder of
19	SoCalGas-01.
20	For SoCalGas-02, I will not be referring to
21	sections 2-A-3, which again is sponsored by
22	Anders Danryd. And I will only be referring to the
23	remainder of that testimony.
24	Do you understand?
25	A I do.

	January 25, 2024 450	
1	Q And was SoCalGas-01 and -02, as we just	
2	referenced, prepared by you or at your direction?	
3	A Yes, it was.	
4	Q And do you have any changes today to make to	
5	that testimony?	
6	A There is a footnote that we need to correct, a	
7	typo. And that would be on at page RN/AD-3, Footnote	
8	5. And it should be corrected to say D.22-05-002,	
9	App 26.	
10	Q Thank you.	
11	And that citation you just provided, was that	
12	to SoCalGas-01?	
13	A That is correct. Yes.	
14	Q Thank you.	
15	Do you have any more corrections to either that	
16	exhibit or the other exhibit?	
17	A No.	
18	Q Thank you.	
19	And do you adopt with these revisions, do	
20	you adopt this as your testimony today in this	
21	proceeding?	
22	A I do.	
23	Q Thank you.	
24	Your Honor, the witness is ready for	
25	cross-examination.	

	January 25, 2024 451
1	ALJ LAU: Thank you, Mr. Shu.
2	Let's bring forth Ms. Andrea White.
3	Ms. White, you may begin cross-examination of
4	Ms. Magana. But before you begin, please introduce
5	yourself again.
6	MS. WHITE: Yes, your Honor.
7	So, my name is Andrea White. And I represent
8	the Protect our Communities Foundation.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. WHITE:
11	Q Okay. So, Ms. Magana; correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. So, I want to begin with let's see
14	one second. Okay. So, I'm going to begin with your
15	rebuttal testimony. So and this is on page 1.
16	So, this is lines 12 through 14. And you
17	testify:
18	As the State's energy and environmental
19	goals continue to evolve, low-carbon fuels
20	will become increasingly important as a
21	tool to maintain a reliable and resilient
22	decarbonizing electric grid as electric
23	demand increases.
24	So, I'm just so, you don't have any evidence
25	to support this statement; correct?

	Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024 452
1	A I'm sorry. Was that you cut off a bit.
2	Was that a question?
3	Q Yes. Yes. Yes.
4	So, you don't cite to any evidence to support
5	this statement; correct?
6	A There's no citation on that statement, no.
7	Q Okay. So, then, next on the same page, you
8	refer to low-carbon fuels.
9	So, when you refer to low-carbon fuels, does
10	that include hydrogen fuel?
11	MR. SHU: Objection. Counsel, can you be a
12	little more specific on the reference to low-carbon
13	fuels; if you have a citation, please?
14	ALJ LAU: I agree
15	MS. WHITE: Yes, so
16	ALJ LAU: Go ahead.
17	MS. WHITE: Yes.
18	So, it's 115 on page 1 of your rebuttal
19	testimony.
20	ALJ LAU: So, specifically, Ms. White, are you
21	referring to the sentence that that states:
22	Developing a more accurate adder could
23	provide information on how to better
24	evaluate avoided ratepayer costs from the
25	use of low-carbon fuels.

	January 25,	2024 4	53
1	MS	S. WHITE: Yes, I am, your Honor.	
2	TH	IE WITNESS: Can you re-ask your question,	
3	please?		
4	BY MS. WHIT	Έ:	
5	Q Of	course.	
6	Sc	, Ms. Magana when you referred to low-carbon	
7	fuels at li	ne 16, does that include hydrogen fuel?	
8	A Th	e statement that we're addressing at the	
9	moment in r	reference to low-carbon fuels is specific to	
10	the fuels t	hat we are delivering through our system now,	,
11	which inclu	des natural gas and renewable natural gas.	
12	Q Ok	ay. So, it doesn't include hydrogen fuel	
13	then?		
14	A Th	nat would be correct, in that statement.	
15	Q Ok	ay. Let's see. Okay.	
16	I'	m just going to pull up my notes.	
17	AI	J LAU: Let's go off	
18	MS	3. WHITE: Okay. So	
19	AI	J LAU: the record.	
20		(Off the record.)	
21	AI	J LAU: Back on the record.	
22	MS	3. WHITE: Yes. Yes. Sorry. It just took me	ž
23	a second.	Thank you, your Honor.	
24	Q Ok	ay. So now I want to continue to refer to	
25	your rebutt	al testimony at page 2, Footnote 3.	

	January 25, 2024 454
1	And are let me know when you're there.
2	A I'm there.
3	Q Okay. Thank you.
4	Okay. So, here you say:
5	Behind the meter residential methane
6	leakage is likely to affect individual
7	customer bills because of increased natural
8	gas throughput through the meter. But
9	environmental and/or remediation costs
10	associated with leaking methane into the
11	atmosphere from behind-the-meter
12	applications is not borne by ratepayers or
13	customers.
14	Okay. So, I'm I'm wondering if you could
15	explain the steps you took to reach that conclusion.
16	A The extent of the testimony provided here was
17	to address address transparency and suggest that the
18	methane leakage adder needs to be reviewed. And we're
19	providing the statement that you read is an example
20	of what was already stated. And in that context, when a
21	customer has a leak behind the meter, it is a an
22	end-user impact that is not necessarily, at the moment,
23	tied or associated or calculated, rather into the
24	methane leakage adder, the way that I understand.
25	Q Okay. So, to form your conclusions, did you

	Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024 455
1	use did you review SoCalGas's GRC application?
2	A No, I did not.
3	Q Okay. Okay. Let's see. I'm going to move to
4	line 12 on the same page.
5	Okay. So, here you say:
6	SoCalGas agrees, generally, with the Joint
7	IOU explanation that activities that reduce
8	natural gas usage while leaving the
9	relevant pipelines intact and in use would
10	not reduce methane leakage because gas
11	system pipelines need continuous
12	pressurization to serve other demand and
13	maintain a safe and reliable service.
14	So, are are there programs that reduce
15	methane leaks while allowing for continued use of the
16	pipeline?
17	MR. SHU: Objection. I'm this is outside of
18	the scope of the witness' testimony, what she's focusing
19	on here.
20	ALJ LAU: I will try I will allow it,
21	actually.
22	Ms. Magana, just try to answer to the best of
23	your ability, if you're aware.
24	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question,
25	please?

Evidenti	lary	Hearing
January	25,	2024

	January 25, 2024 456
1	BY MS. WHITE:
2	Q Yes.
3	So, are there programs that reduce methane
4	leaks while allowing for the continued use of the
5	pipeline?
6	A To the extent of my knowledge, and subject to
7	verification, there are programs that allow customers
8	address their leaks. In context of Avoided Cost
9	Calculator or methane leakage adder, I don't understand
10	the connection between the two. So, I I if your
11	question is to ask if there are programs that include a
12	methane leakage adder as a benefit to that program, or
13	to that customer, in a way of a program, I am not aware
14	of one.
15	Q Okay. Okay. Thank you.
16	So then, are there and then, just to
17	confirm, are you aware of federal regulations that
18	detail the inspections and repairs that must be
19	conducted on a pipeline in order to maintain safe and
20	reliable service?
21	MR. SHU: Objection. Outside the scope of this
22	witness' testimony.
23	ALJ LAU: I agree.
24	MS. WHITE: So
25	ALJ LAU: Okay. Ms. White, why don't you make

Evident	lary	Hearing
January	25,	2024

	January 25, 2024 457
1	your case, first?
2	MS. WHITE: Okay. So here
3	ALJ LAU: So okay. If you're not going to
4	make your case, then I agree with Mr. Shu's objections.
5	Are you making a case, or are you going to ask
6	another question?
7	MS. WHITE: Oh, no. No. Sorry, your Honor. I
8	was going to make my case. I was just taking a moment
9	to gather my thoughts.
10	ALJ LAU: Okay. Why don't you make your case.
11	MS. WHITE: Okay. So so, Ms. Magana is
12	referring to safe and reliable service. So I think,
13	based on that, it's relevant whether federal regulations
14	that require safe and reliable service should whether
15	whether Ms. Magana's aware of them.
16	ALJ LAU: I
17	MS. WHITE: And
18	ALJ LAU: Actually, I will allow this one.
19	This does, kind of, pertain to her expertise as an
20	expert witness. And she is opining on the gas you
21	know on the gas system.
22	MS. WHITE: Okay. And, your Honor, would it be
23	appropriate if I asked my question again?
24	ALJ LAU: Yes. Can you ask your question
25	again?

	430 anuary 23, 2024
1	MS. WHITE: Yes. Okay. Thank you, your Honor.
2	Q Okay. So, Ms. Magana, there are federal
3	regulations that detail the inspections and repairs that
4	must be conducted on a pipeline in order to maintain
5	safe and reliable service; correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. So on the same page of the rebuttal
8	testimony, at line 15, you testify that a recalculated
9	methane leakage adder should only be used in cases where
10	program activity is directly responsible for mitigating
11	methane leakage of natural gas T&D pipelines.
12	So, I was hoping you could clarify what you
13	mean by "directly responsible"?
14	A Sure. So, I'm going to try to answer as as
15	clearly as possible, with an example. Customer
16	programs, DER programs, have goals; and there's
17	requirements that they must meet. And most programs are
18	there to help customers reduce or manage their energy
19	more efficient, which a byproduct of that is to reduce
20	the use of gas or electricity. And that's what the
21	program goal would be, as opposed to a program that
22	requires the customers to eliminate transmission and
23	distribution pipes that would transport natural gas.
24	And the intent of that statement is to provide
25	clarity that programs that do not have a goal to reduce

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	Ganuary 25, 2024 45,
1	the pipes are probably not best positioned to use a
2	methane leakage adder, because they are not essentially
3	reducing the flow of gas simply because they are
4	reducing their energy consumption. Because the pipe
5	works in the way that it is explained in testimony on
6	page on line sorry taking you back to line 14.
7	They need continuous pressure.
8	Does that help?
9	Q Yes. Thank you.
10	A Mm-hm.
11	Q Okay. So now I'm going to turn to page 4 of
12	the hold on. Sorry. I need one second.
13	Okay. Okay. I clarified what I'm planning on
14	referring to. So, it's on your opening testimony on
15	page 4, starting at line 8. Okay.
16	So, have you have both of you found the
17	the relevant page? Okay.
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. So here, Ms. Magana, you testify:
20	SoCalGas believes rate bill impacts and the
21	distribution of these impacts amongst
22	participants, ratepayers, and
23	non-participants are also key metrics in
24	determining equity.
25	So, should shareholder benefits also be

	• · ·
1	considered, in your opinion?
2	A In my opinion, the Avoided Cost Calculator does
3	not currently include the shareholder impacts. And my
4	comment here or my testimony is in reference to an
5	example of the DEA and ESP method. And what I was
6	what I attested to here is to highlight the use of a DA
7	EEA approach to look at the benefits that the DEA
8	tool already uses. I did not address shareholders in
9	this statement.
10	Q Okay. So then, just to clarify, do you think
11	shareholder benefits should be considered, in your
12	opinion?
13	A I I don't have an opinion on whether
14	shareholders should be included. I I was in this
15	testimony, I was answering the question about equity as
16	it is framed in front of us today and how the avoidance
17	cost calculator does it.
18	Q Okay. Thank you. Okay. Let's see.
19	And then, in your opening testimony, again, on
20	page 6, starting at line 10, you say:
21	The ACC currently relies on an interim
22	greenhouse gas adder that was adopted in
23	D.22-05-002, with the forethought that a
24	more comprehensive adder would be added
25	would be developed later.

	January 25, 2024 461
1	And then, you go on to state:
2	The staff proposal defers the development
3	of the greenhouse gas adder until the 2026
4	ACC update, however, which would delay
5	assessment of a more accurate greenhouse
6	gas adder for the for the gas sector.
7	And then okay. Sorry. I feel like I'm
8	reading too much. But your next sentence says:
9	Particularly, the staff proposal notes that
10	the current adder is only a very rough
11	estimate of the likely value as reducing
12	fossil gas (indecipherable) greenhouse gas
13	emissions.
14	Okay. So, would your analysis so you you
15	conclude that the interim greenhouse gas adder was not
16	intended to delay the development of a more accurate gas
17	sector, specific greenhouse gas adder.
18	So, would this statement analysis apply to the
19	staff proposal's recommendation to defer to 2026 the
20	development of more accurate avoided transmission cost
21	calculation?
22	MR. SHU: I'm sorry. I'm going to object to
23	that one. That was a bit compound. And I think you
24	might have misstated some testimony at the beginning
25	there.

	Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024 462
1	ALJ LAU: Yeah. I think
2	MR. SHU: Sorry.
3	ALJ LAU: Can you rephrase your sentence
4	Ms. White? I actually got confused with the
5	MS. WHITE: Okay.
6	(Crosstalk.)
7	BY MS. WHITE:
8	Q Okay. So let's see. Okay. I'm going to
9	look at the specific reference that I think would help.
10	One second.
11	Okay. So, at the beginning of page 6, you
12	testify that:
13	SoCalGas recommends that the 2024 ACC
14	update its scope to include work streams to
15	begin the process of developing a natural
16	gas specific greenhouse gas adder.
17	So, would you apply this same analysis to the
18	staff proposal's recommendation to defer to 2026 the
19	development of more accurate avoidant transmission cost
20	calculations?
21	MR. SHU: Objection, your Honor. I'm having
22	trouble following it.
23	Can Counsel, are you referencing the staff
24	proposal, as well as our testimony here?
25	MS. WHITE: I am just well

1	ALJ LAU: How about, Counsel Ms. White, can
2	you just, like, state your question what you want to
3	ask? Since we've read those test statement, can you
4	just, like, ask your you know, without having to kind
5	of do just try to ask your question. We'll try from
6	there.
7	BY MS. WHITE:
8	Q Okay. So, essentially, SoCalGas is
9	recommending that the 2024 ACC begin developing a
10	natural-gas-specific greenhouse gas adder; correct,
11	Ms. Magana?
12	A That is correct.
13	Q Okay. And I'm assuming you developed some sort
14	of analysis, right, to come to that conclusion?
15	A Can you refer me in my testimony where you
16	might be seeing that?
17	ALJ LAU: How about Ms. Magana, how did you
18	come to that conclusion?
19	THE WITNESS: Okay. In that my testimony,
20	it was referencing to the decision and the previous
21	decision stating that they are adopting an interim
22	adder, and that a more permanent adder would later be
23	developed. We were commenting or referencing to that.
24	BY MS. WHITE:
25	Q Okay. So and then, my my question that

1	my question is then, there's going to be a study
2	about more accurate avoided transmission costs.
3	So, were your assessments that the 2020 that
4	the 2024 ACC update include a process for developing a
5	natural-gas-specific greenhouse gas adder, would that
6	change based on your knowledge of the 2026 transmission
7	updates?
8	ALJ LAU: I think that's a little compound.
9	I'm sorry, Counsel. How about just
10	MS. WHITE: Okay.
11	ALJ LAU: just the first part
12	MS. WHITE: Okay.
13	ALJ LAU: The first part. Can I I forgot
14	what the first part is.
15	MS. WHITE: Okay. I'll I'll try to ask a
16	simpler question, your Honor.
17	Q So, when recommending that the 2024 ACC update
18	its scope to include the process of developing a
19	natural-gas-specific greenhouse gas adder, did you
20	consider that there is going to be a study in 2026
21	that's going to develop more accurate avoided
22	transmission cost calculations?
23	A If I understand your question to be that if I
24	have I've taken into consideration a transmission
25	update in 2026 to provide testimony to an updated GHG

Evidentiary Hearing 465 January 25, 2024 adder, then no, we did not consider that. 1 Okay. Okay. 2 0 3 So, to clarify, you wouldn't want to defer the natural-gas-specific greenhouse gas adder until 2026, to 4 align with the avoided transmission study? 5 6 MR. SHU: Objection. I -- I think that's not 7 entirely accurate paraphrasing. I think she answered 8 the question the way she answered the question. 9 Do you want to ask it another way? 1 10 ALJ LAU: Why don't you try, Ms. White, to ask it another way. 11 12 MS. WHITE: Okay. 13 ALJ LAU: If -- if Ms. Magana disagrees, that's 14 fine too. 15 MS. WHITE: Yeah. Yeah. So, do you think SoCalGas would recommend 16 0 17 delaying the natural gas specific greenhouse gas adder until 2026 to align with the transmission study? 18 19 Α I would like to direct you back to my testimony to ensure that we -- I -- I can offer you some clarity 20 21 on what I testified. 22 If you refer back to page, in my opening 23 testimony, page RM- -- /AD-6, line 17: 24 It is prudent to adopt an interim natural 25 qas specific greenhouse gas adder. While

	January 25, 2024 466
1	additional study and discussion can occur
2	to the development of a nat of a
3	permanent natural gas specific greenhouse
4	gas adder.
5	Our position is that we should get started on
6	that. When it will be completed, or when it will be
7	approved is not something that I provided testimony to.
8	MS. WHITE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Magana.
9	Okay. I think that concludes my questions, so
10	thank you, Ms. Magana. Thank you, your Honor.
11	ALJ LAU: Mr. Hsu, do you have any redirect for
12	Ms. Magana?
13	MR. HSU: Your Honor, may we take a couple of
14	moments here on our side to confer?
15	ALJ LAU: Yeah. Let's go off the record, and
16	we will break for five minutes.
17	MR. HSU: Thank you.
18	(Off the record.)
19	ALJ LAU: Let's go back on the record.
20	Mr. Hsu, do you have any redirect for
21	Mr. Magana?
22	THE WITNESS: No. Thank you, your Honor.
23	ALJ LAU: All right. Thanks, Mr. Magana
24	sorry Ms. Magana, I am sorry, thank you for your
25	participation today. You may be excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. 1 2 ALJ LAU: Let's bring forth Jaime McGovern, and 3 we can take Mr. Hsu off the stage, too. Let's bring forth the set of witness 4 5 attestations. Let's bring forth attorney for TURN -should be David Cheng, correct? If I am wrong, someone 6 7 should -- Ms. McGovern said yes, so. 8 Okay, let's go off the record. 9 (Off the record.) 10 ALJ LAU: Let's go back on record. 11 On the stage, we have Ms. McGovern representing 12 TURN. Mr. Cheng and a set of witness attestations. 13 Ms. McGovern, can you introduce yourself and 14 the organization you are representing? 15 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I am Jaime McGovern, and I represent TURN, The Utility Reform Network in 16 California. 17 Thank you, Ms. McGovern. Can -- do 18 ALJ LAU: 19 you see the set of witness attestations set forth on the 20 screen? 21 THE WITNESS: I do. 22 ALJ LAU: Have you had the opportunity to 23 review them in full? 24 THE WITNESS: (inaudible), your Honor. 25 ALJ LAU: Can you say that again?

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Evidenti	lary	Hearing
January	25,	2024

	January 25, 2024 468
1	THE WITNESS: (inaudible), your Honor.
2	ALJ LAU: You said "yes," right?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have read them. My
4	apologies.
5	ALJ LAU: And do you agree to abide by them?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
7	JAIME MCGOVERN,
8	called as a witness by The Utility Reform
9	Network, having been sworn, testified as
10	follows:
11	ALJ LAU: All right. Thank you, Mr. Cheng, you
12	may begin direct examination of Ms. McGovern, but before
13	that, can you introduce yourself and the organization
14	you're representing?
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. CHENG:
17	Q Thank you, your Honor. My name is David Cheng,
18	and I am representing The Utility Reform Network or
19	TURN.
20	Good afternoon, Ms. McGovern. Do you have
21	before you the documents that have been identified as
22	Exhibit TURN-01 and TURN-02?
23	A I do.
24	Q Were these documents prepared by you or under
25	your supervision?

1	A Yes, they were.
2	Q To the extent these testimonies contain factual
3	assertions, are those true and correct to the best of
4	your knowledge?
5	A Yes.
6	Q To the extent your testimony expressed your
7	opinions, are those opinions consistent with your best
8	professional judgment?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Ms. McGovern, do you have any corrections to
11	make to your testimony at this time?
12	A No, I do not.
13	MR. CHENG: Your Honor, Ms. McGovern is ready
14	for cross-examination.
15	ALJ LAU: Thank you, Mr. Cheng.
16	Let's put forth Mr. Roger Lin. We may remove
17	the okay, thank you.
18	Mr. Lin, you may begin your cross, but before
19	you do that, please introduce yourself again.
20	MR. LIN: Yes, Roger Lin on behalf of the
21	Center for Biological Diversity. And one quick
22	procedural question, your Honor. I don't know if we
23	have to go off record
24	ALJ LAU: We can go off the record.
25	(Off the record.)

	January 25, 2024 470
1	ALJ LAU: Let's go back on the record.
2	Mr. Lin, you may begin your cross of
3	Ms. McGovern.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. LIN:
6	Q Great. Thank you for the time today
7	Ms. McGovern and counsel as well.
8	I am going to start with your opening
9	testimony, and then go to your rebuttal testimony, and
10	then land on the proposed decision.
11	In your opening testimony page 14, beginning at
12	line 18, you state that:
13	The customer removing usage from the system
14	in the form of a DER not only has the
15	effect of relieving the customer of
16	responsibility for some their incremental
17	costs to the system, but also relieving
18	themselves of some of their share of fixed
19	costs of the system.
20	ALJ LAU: Mr. Lin, let's give Ms. McGovern some
21	time to find her testimony.
22	THE WITNESS: Mr. Lin, can you provide the
23	the page and line again?
24	BY MR. LIN:
25	Q Yes, sorry. Page 14, beginning at line 18.

1	
1	A Thank you.
2	Q So, this statement, though, relieving
3	themselves of some of their share of fixed costs of the
4	system, is this this share of fixed costs of the
5	system part, does this assume that the DER is not
6	avoiding any build out of infrastructure that could
7	create fixed costs in the future?
8	A This statement does not make any assumptions.
9	This statement is given various rate structures and
10	rate design, this can happen with or without DER.
11	Q Okay. Can a DER avoid ratepayer costs by
12	avoiding the need to build out other infrastructure?
13	A Can you ask the question again?
14	Q Well, I will just break it apart, sorry.
15	Can a DER avoid other utility infrastructure?
16	A I'm having a little bit of a struggle
17	considering can a DER avoid other utility
18	infrastructure.
19	Are you asking whether the adoption or
20	construction of the DER can allow the avoidance of their
21	utility infrastructure?
22	Q Yes. Whether you look at a at a DER as a
23	load modifier or a generation source, does can a DER
24	avoid the need for other generation sources?
25	A So, this de this depends on how far in

	Ganuary 25, 2024 472
1	advance the DER is is planned for; and so, I don't
2	have the exact citation in our testimony, but we did
3	discuss the difference between DER and DER that is
4	acknowledged in IRPs; and so
5	Q And
6	A It's hard to
7	(Crosstalk.)
8	Q I see. And can a DER avoid the avoid
9	transmission build out?
10	A I so, in in my in my analysis,
11	incremental DER is is much smaller than a
12	transmission project, so the the method of accounting
13	for incremental transmission and incremental DER is
14	is not apples to apples or synchronist in that way.
15	They're they're they're different increments.
16	Q Okay. And so, on this question still, if we
17	have build out of DERs not just one, but build out of
18	DERs generally can that avoid the need for future
19	transmission?
20	A So, yeah, potentially planned DER can be
21	accounted for in IRP planning.
22	Q And if this is out of the scope of your
23	testimony, that is fine, but has the CPUC identified
24	transmission build out as the number one cause of rate
25	increases?

	January 25, 2024 473
1	MR. CHENG: Objection, your Honor. I believe
2	that's outside of her testimony.
3	ALJ LAU: I am going to sustain the objection.
4	BY MR. LIN:
5	Q And towards the end of page 14, line 25 to 26:
6	TURN recommends that the Commission
7	continue to explore cost shift mitigation
8	policies.
9	Should the existence of a cost shift be based
10	on data versus a theoretical assumption?
11	A So, the existence cost shift, there is or
12	isn't, and the analysis to explore the degree of cost
13	shift can be done through numerical analysis.
14	Q In your expert opinion, should should it
15	should that is that numerical analysis essential to
16	verifying whether the the existence or degree of the
17	cost shift?
18	A Okay. Yeah, I I I think that identifying
19	the existence of cost shifting in various companies and
20	rate designs requires analysis.
21	Q Okay. Thank you. And I agree, and I agree
22	with the your testimony as well. The presence of
23	good information and data should inform PUC decisions,
24	for sure.
25	And going to the next page, page 15, line 10:

Evidentiary		Hearing
January	25,	2024

	January 25, 2024 47
1	There may be additional avoided costs if
2	the same amount of DER is adopted but in
3	different patterns and by different
4	customers.
5	Could you provide one one or more examples
6	of additional avoided costs in that situation, please?
7	A Give me a second to read.
8	Can you ask the question again? My apologies.
9	Q Oh, no problem. Please take your time. I
10	don't have that many questions for you today, so please
11	take your time on these.
12	At line 10 to 11, you say:
13	There may be additional costs if the same
14	amount of DER oh, yeah, sorry if the
15	same amount of DER is adopted but in
16	different patterns and by different
17	customers.
18	Could you provide an example of those
19	additional avoided costs?
20	A This is a a conceptual statement and a
21	theoretical statement. I don't have numerical examples
22	to discuss here of the the different avoided energy
23	costs or otherwise.
24	So, are you asking me to provide examples
25	conceptually or numerically?

1	Q Conceptually, sure.
2	A So, we discussed in our testimony elsewhere
3	that there are load shifting DER customers, load
4	decreasing DER customers. Those different types of DER
5	also potentially in different locations at different
6	times of day will have different impacts on the system.
7	Q Okay. That's helpful. Thank you.
8	Moving onto page 16, line 17. An
9	overaccounting or an sorry
10	An overcounting of societal impacts, such
11	as benefits to out-of-state populations,
12	may unfairly burden ratepayers if results
13	influence compensation determinations.
14	I understand the concern. Would you still have
15	the same concern if we're dealing with in-state societal
16	impact, such as local air quality?
17	A Let me just take a second to read.
18	Overcounting of societal benefits
19	overcounting of any benefits can be a problem for
20	accuracy of the ACC.
21	In this case, we gave an example such as
22	out-of-state populations versus your question about
23	in-state. I can't comment to the how do I say this?
24	The location of the customers to where the benefits
25	accrue versus sorry the location of the

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	January 25, 2024 476
1	individuals where the benefits might accrue, even if
2	they are in-state, versus whether they are customers of
3	the same system.
4	Those are important components to to
5	answering that question, and I am unable to make that
6	distinction for all the individuals in California and
7	all the customers of different companies in service
8	territories.
9	Q Okay. Great.
10	And switching from the ACC, just for a second,
11	to cost effectiveness or the societal cost test.
12	The same page below, line 21:
13	Applying the societal cost test evenly
14	across resource types will help achieve the
15	greatest benefits to Californians and then
16	minimize minimize costs to ratepayers.
17	I think we agree on this one, but I just want
18	to be sure. Are you saying that in cost effectiveness
19	tests if we are to consider societal impacts, we should
20	consider societal impacts on all the societal impacts
21	imposed by all energy resources not just DERs?
22	A I am sorry; can you ask that again?
23	Q Well, I will just be blunt with it.
24	Should we if we were to modify cost benefit
25	analysis to include societal costs and benefits,

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	those that analysis should apply to all energy
2	resources?
3	A Okay. I think I understand.
4	I have a statement to make for clarity
5	Q Uh-huh.
6	A that this section is in response to in
7	the scoping memo asking questions about equity issues
8	considered in evaluating DER cost effectiveness.
9	The the that component or that question
10	that we are trying to answer in this section is is
11	not a a it is it's different from the first two
12	questions, which are focused in especially question
13	number one focused on the technical accuracy of the ACC,
14	so this is a question specifically to the societal cost
15	test.
16	And to answer the question, that statement,
17	applying the SCT evenly across resource types, in I
18	will state that we support methodology that is equal
19	across resource types, but doesn't necessarily mean that
20	the outcome or the numerical value will be equal across
21	resource types.
22	Q Okay. Thanks. We do agree on that one.
23	And so, moving over to your rebuttal testimony
24	on page 9, line 14.
25	A Okay.

	January 25, 2024 478
1	Q Now, you said:
2	In light of a lot of the bad things we
3	have done, unfortunately even if a
4	particular DER program is equally available
5	in a region, people of color may be less
6	able to participate due to inequity in
7	resources, causing incentives to result in
8	an unfair cost shift.
9	If we prioritize DERs in disadvantaged
10	communities, would that remedy this concern?
11	MR. CHENG: Counsel, I am going to ask you
12	to what is your context for prioritizing
13	disadvantaged are you talking about making
14	modifications to the ACC to prioritize?
15	MR. LIN: Good question, counsel. Thank you.
16	No, I am just referring to utilizing to the best extent,
17	federal and state subsidies or DERs.
18	Q So, if we were to target those federal and
19	state subsidies or they are targeted towards DERs
20	if we were to target them toward disadvantaged
21	communities, would that remedy or go, to some degree, to
22	remedy this concern?
23	A So so, I would like to answer this question
24	and make clarification, again, that so this so, in
25	the statement that we've made throughout our testimony

47	79
----	----

1	that there are cost shifts or potential cost shifts with
2	the deployment of DER, this is in light of my
3	examination of various rate designs and identifying the
4	opportunity for this to occur with possible location and
5	rate design.
6	Those comments are on the construction of the
7	ACC or the Avoided Cost Calculator, and and that
8	is those are the statements about the construction of
9	and the and accuracy of the ACC.
10	This is a this is this statement here is
11	in reference to the location of the ACC and DER
12	programs, not the construction of the ACC. So, that
13	that that is a clarification.
14	If your question is that if other funding
15	mechanisms are used to supplement DER, I suppose that
16	depends on the design of the the funding mechanisms
17	and the targeting.]
18	Q Okay. And if the funding mechanisms just
19	covered up-front funding for the DERs let's say
20	community solar and storage, for instance. If there
21	were subsidies that cover the up-front funding for that,
22	would you still have the same concern?
23	A So so I, in my analysis, if if there are
24	fixed system costs that are collected through usage
25	charges across individuals and classes or even within a

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	class, say, a residential class, and there's a program
2	that you're suggesting that's designed to enhance
3	adoption by certain groups, they I'm not confident
4	that that would reach all of the individuals that might
5	be affected by the cost shift. It may or may not.
6	Q I understand. And whether it may or may not,
7	does that depend on the scale of going back to my
8	hypothetical about community solar and storage, it may
9	or may not, did that depend on the scale of deployment
10	of community solar and storage to then avoid needs for
11	other energy resources?
12	A Could you just phrase that question again?
13	Q Whether there is that cross-shift or not, does
14	that depend on the degree to which the community solar
15	and storage resources could avoid the need for other
16	resources?
17	A I don't think so.
18	Q And then let's see. But doesn't the fixed
19	the fixed component aspect, wouldn't that decrease if we
20	had community solar and storage I know it's a
21	hypothetical. But if we had enough community solar and
22	storage to decrease the need for building out
23	transmissions, for instance, wouldn't that decrease the
24	fixed cost that you're talking about?
25	A So

	Valluary 25, 2024 401
1	MR. CHENG: I think we're deviating a little
2	bit too far from the testimony. I think we're starting
3	to talk about rate design cost allocation. It's not
4	really the scope of this testimony here.
5	MR. LIN: It's still to
6	MR. CHENG: Your Honor you're muted, your
7	Honor.
8	ALJ LAU: I'm going to how about I listen to
9	Mr. Lin's
10	MR. LIN: I'm just focussing on avoided
11	transmission, which I believe is in the scope of
12	Ms. McGovern's testimony.
13	ALJ LAU: And you're talking about the fixed
14	charges. You can talk can you kind of guide her to
15	her testimony? I think we are kind of veering a little
16	bit off scope too, so please tie it back more closely.
17	MR. LIN: Yes.
18	Q The same section on you know, you've
19	identified an issue. If people if disadvantaged
20	communities may be less able to participate, then there
21	could be an unfair cost shift towards the disadvantaged
22	community residents. If we flip the script and those
23	disadvantaged community residents instead had up-front
24	funding from subsidies for community solar and storage
25	that also decrease the need for transmission build-outs,

1	does that address the cost shift issue to some degree?
2	Of course, going back to your prior response, we need
3	data and everything. But theoretically, could it?
4	A Yeah. Okay. So the so it one is
5	contingent on the rate design, which we're aware of.
6	And if the question is if higher levels of adoption by
7	those disadvantaged communities potentially coming from
8	outside funding first does that mitigate the
9	potential volume of cost shift? That's possible. If
10	the adoption rates are either higher or equal in those
11	sectors.
12	Q Everyone go vote. We need to preserve that
13	funding. We know what's at risk. Okay.
14	A I'm sorry. Is it possible to just add one more
15	thing to that statement?
16	Q Of course.
17	A And that is contingent on it being in planning
18	as well acknowledged in planning.
19	Q Okay. And let's see. And now shifting back to
20	the ACC, page 10 of your rebuttal testimony, line 17 to
21	the end. You disagree with our proposal to include
22	social costs in the ACC, and you state that, at line 18
23	through 19, the ACC should only account for avoided
24	costs the energy system.
25	My first question on this is, are greenhouse

1	gases an avoided cost to the energy system?
2	A So is the question in what context?
3	Q Let's just say the basis for disagreeing that
4	social costs should be incorporated into the ACC itself
5	is that the ACC should only account for avoided costs to
6	the energy system. So I'm just trying to gauge where
7	TURN is on GHGs and whether GHGs are an avoided cost to
8	the energy system.
9	A Avoided costs if DER is deployed? Is that what
10	you're asking?
11	Q Sure. But just as a factor. You know, like,
12	are GHGs avoided
13	A So the load serving entities in California
14	are required to meet certain standards of compliance.
15	And so when a when some DER is deployed, it it's
16	possible that it moves the load serving entity towards
17	their goal. We actually, in our testimony and in
18	several places, prefer to use the word "compliance
19	costs" because the only avoided costs, even for
20	particular greenhouse gases, would only be the ones that
21	the companies are responsible for in their compliance
22	requirements.
23	Q Okay. So the to paraphrase let me know
24	if this is fair to paraphrase. Your testimony is that
25	the ACC should only account for avoided costs to the

	January 25, 2024 484
1	energy system. Is it fair to paraphrase based on your
2	response just now that those avoided costs should
3	include compliance costs?
4	A TURN thinks that the compliance costs, energy
5	costs, and capacity costs are should be and are
6	modeled in the ACC.
7	Q Great. And, now, let's see. Just I think
8	I'm okay without asking about the proposed decision.
9	And this is just a general question. And it's based on
10	your opening testimony, which just generally
11	concludes and this is at the end of page 13.
12	DER cost effectiveness evaluation should answer
13	two questions regarding equity. Do policies or
14	mechanisms in place increase or decrease equitable so
15	adoption rates of DERs? And does that do any harm to
16	ratepayers?
17	Is there based on that overall framing of
18	your opening testimony, is there an unfortunate tension
19	in today's regulatory framework between meeting
20	providing community benefits through DERs and avoiding
21	ratepayer impacts?
22	A I'm sorry. Can you just ask the last part of
23	that question again?
24	Q Yes. Is there an unfortunate tension between
25	getting more benefits to communities through DERs, a

1	tension with that and avoiding ratepayer impacts?		
2	A Not I'm not sure. I don't		
3	Q It's okay. It's a tough one that I grapple		
4	with all the time. Could better data, for instance, on		
5	avoided costs alleviate competing needs to get more DERs		
6	out and avoid a negative ratepayer impact?		
7	A So I I'm always a fan of better data. I do		
8	think that both in forecasting and in actual data, that		
9	we do have a lot of data for the calculation of the ACC.		
10	If there is this tension that you describe, I think,		
11	again, it is potentially an implication not in the		
12	calculation of the ACC or potentially connected to the		
13	program deployment or rate design. There's probably a		
14	lot of components that create the the uncertain DER		
15	environment we are in.		
16	Q Agreed. Okay. Well, thank you. Those are all		
17	the questions I have today. I appreciate the time.		
18	ALJ LAU: Thank you, Mr. Lin.		
19	Mr. Cheng, do you have any redirect for		
20	Ms. McGovern?		
21	MR. CHENG: No redirect, your Honor. Thank		
22	you.		
23	ALJ LAU: All right. Thank you. Then we can		
24	take Mr. Lin off stage.		
25	Let's go off the record.		

	January 25, 2024 486			
1	(Off the record.)			
2	ALJ LAU: Let's go back on the record. We'll			
3	take a ten-minute recess, and we'll be back at 2:55 p.m.			
4	Thank you.			
5	(Off the record.)			
6	ALJ LAU: Let's go back on record.			
7	On the stage we have Ms. McGovern from TURN,			
8	Mr. Cheng, and Ms. Andrea White.			
9	Ms. White, you may begin your cross of			
10	Ms. McGovern, but, again, please introduce yourself			
11	before you speak. Thank you.			
12	MS. WHITE: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.			
13	My name is Andrea White, and I represent the			
14	Protect Our Communities Foundation.			
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION			
16	BY MS. WHITE:			
17	Q Okay. So, Ms. McGovern, I would like to begin			
18	with your rebuttal testimony at page 3, lines 21 and 22.			
19	So you testified that only resources			
20	demonstrated to be avoidable should be factored into the			
21	ACC. So when you say "demonstrated," do you mean			
22	quantified?			
23	A So I think there's two components there.			
24	Demonstrated to be avoidable means that it's			
25	functionally avoidable excuse me by the utilities			

Ualluary 25, 2024 40.
or load serving entities. So that's that's the
recommendation for the modeling. The quantifiable
component or the numerical component is the subsequent
entries into the model.
Q Okay. So what do you suggest that the
Commission should do in the event that an avoided cost
is known to exist but has not been accurately
quantified?
MR. CHENG: Counsel, can you clarify what you
mean by "known to exist"?
BY MS. WHITE:
Q I guess in Ms. McGovern's opinion, if there was
an avoided cost that was known to exist but it hasn't
yet been accurately quantified, what should the
Commission do?
A So in our testimony, we discussed situations
where and I think for many cases, energy costs, for
example, are one that are avoidable, but they aren't all
known in advance, and so we use forecasts. And we
discussed in our testimony the accuracy component of
those forecasts are important. And so we discussed
things like true-ups in the case where you you may be
looking at things closer in real time. Yeah. I think
there are you know, energy is probably a good
example.

1	Q Okay. Thank you.
2	Let's see. So on page 20 sorry. On page 3,
3	again, of your rebuttal at line 23, you testify that
4	oh, I guess it's on line 22. Sorry.
5	The nature of incremental DER adoption by
6	individual consumers means that an increase in
7	incremental DER does not correspond one-to-one with
8	certain avoidance of utility commitments.
9	So is it your opinion that lack of a one-to-one
10	correspondence means that DER adoption by individual
11	consumers should not be part of the ACC?
12	A So I'm not comfortable with the one-to-one
13	claim. I think what we're trying to say is that to the
14	extent that DER is planned and observable, that and
15	able to be included in planning processes, that changes
16	what costs are able to be avoided versus incremental
17	DER. And there are different avoided costs for
18	unplanned adopted DER. And that is, of course, in, you
19	know in our discussion of the staff proposal, which
20	proposes to include the recent adopted plans.
21	Q Okay. Thank you.
22	So turning to page 4, line 7, you say, "This
23	aligns with Cal Advocates' guiding principle that 'the
24	ACC should only include clearly identifiable and known
25	costs' with which TURN concurs and further extends to

	January 25, 2024 489			
1	only costs that are truly avoidable."			
2	So when you say "truly avoidable," does that			
3	exclude costs avoided by DER adoption by individual			
4	consumers in your view?			
5	A I'm sorry. I'm can you say that one more			
6	time?			
7	Q Yes. So you refer to costs that are truly			
8	avoidable on line 7. So does that exclude costs avoided			
9	by DER adoption by individual consumers?			
10	MR. CHENG: Objection, your Honor.			
11	I think you're assuming facts in your question.			
12	You're assuming the fact the costs are avoidable in your			
13	question.			
14	ALJ LAU: Sustained.			
15	Can you rephrase your question, Counsel?			
16	MS. WHITE: Sure.			
17	Q So so in your testimony, you state that the			
18	ACC well, you're quoting Cal Advocates, which states			
19	that the ACC should only include clearly identifiable			
20	unknown costs. And you say that TURN concurs. And then			
21	you say that, furthermore, this should extend to only			
22	costs that are truly avoidable.			
23	So based on that, would you also exclude costs			
24	that are avoided by DER adoption?			
25	MR. CHENG: Counsel, you're assuming the costs			

	• ·
1	are avoided, again, in your question.
2	MS. WHITE: Okay. I apologize. So doesn't
3	it just to clarify your objection, doesn't it say in
4	Ms. McGovern's testimony "costs that are truly
5	avoidable"? So
6	MR. CHENG: Right. But
7	MS. WHITE: I mean, couldn't Ms. McGovern
8	answer the question based on her understanding of what
9	that means?
10	MR. CHENG: I think the issue is, Counsel, with
11	your hypothetical. You're asking her if the costs are
12	avoidable if they're avoided. So you're already
13	assuming that the costs are avoidable in asking her if
14	those costs should be considered avoidable.
15	MS. WHITE: I see. Okay. I guess I guess
16	I'll reframe the question then.
17	Q So okay. So when you refer to costs that
18	are truly avoidable, does that exclude costs that are
19	avoidable by DER adoption?
20	MR. CHENG: I think we're facing the same
21	issue. You're assuming that the costs are avoidable in
22	your hypothetical.
23	ALJ LAU: I agree with Mr. Cheng. Maybe
24	yeah. Try rephrasing the question or just simply ask,
25	like, what are the costs what DER-related costs are

	January 25, 2024 491		
1	truly avoidable? I don't know. I'm just throwing out		
2	suggestions.		
3	BY MS. WHITE:		
4	Q Okay. I guess I guess I think it would		
5	be helpful here to ask for clarity.		
6	So I I think, Ms. McGovern, if you could		
7	explain the sentence "This aligns with Cal Advocates'		
8	guiding principle" and then "with which TURN concurs and		
9	further extends to only costs that are truly avoidable"?		
10	So if you could explain that, I think that would be		
11	helpful for clarity.		
12	A Yeah. So if you want me to clarify what I mean		
13	by the statement, so this is clearly we're quoting		
14	Cal Advocates. And so part of the statement is theirs.		
15	My understanding of that part their statement is this		
16	idea that while individuals may bring DER to the		
17	system you know, you could put solar on your roof, or		
18	you might load shift all the costs how do I say		
19	this? There may be benefits that accrue to the		
20	individual. Or if you're thinking about the avoided		
21	costs of those maybe two particular different		
22	situations, someone putting solar on their house or		
23	someone load shifting, for example, the load serving		
24	entity may not be able to avoid the same costs. And it		
25	is important to not count in the ACC costs that aren't		

491

1	able to be avoided just because the DER shows up on
2	their system through individual DER deployment.
3	And, in fact, somewhere else in one of our
4	testimonies, we discuss this idea of prosumers versus
5	load shifting and how discuss in detail how some
6	costs may not be able to be avoided if distribution
7	requirements are still exist for the DER to function,
8	for example. And so only costs that are able to be
9	avoided through that DER deployment. I hope that's
10	clarity.
11	Q Yes. So when you say "TURN concurs and further
12	extends to only costs that are truly avoidable," you're
13	saying truly avoidable through DER deployment; is that
14	correct?
15	A So if we're this the ACC, Avoided Cost
16	Calculator we, in this proceeding, are looking at
17	accurately modeling and calculating avoided costs or
18	constructing an Avoided Cost Calculator. Now, I'm aware
19	that we are focusing on various types of DER, and we
20	acknowledge in our testimony that this Avoided Cost
21	Calculator or the output of the Avoided Cost Calculator
22	continues to be used or applied in increasing numbers of
23	settings.]
24	So
25	Q Okay.

	January 25, 2024 493			
1	A what I'm saying is, I can't speak to the			
2	future universe of all proceedings and all applications			
3	of the ACC.			
4	Q Okay. Okay.			
5	So, you're saying, you can't speak to DER			
6	adoption by individual consumers?			
7	MR. CHENG: I don't believe			
8	THE WITNESS: No.			
9	(Crosstalk.)			
10	BY MS. WHITE:			
11	Q Okay. I I think I'll move on.			
12	Okay. So, on page 5, starting at line 12, you			
13	testify:			
14	If DER participants, through various			
15	programs that utilize the ACC, are able to			
16	lock in at a high ACC, this can create cost			
17	shifts to other customers.			
18	So, I was wondering if you could elaborate on			
19	your evidence for this cost shift?			
20	A So, I've written in testimony. And I've spoken			
21	about given various rate designs that if some			
22	fixed costs of the system are recovered through usage			
23	charges, there is potential when some group of customers			
24	adopt DER, and are compensated for that, they are			
25	pulling, potentially, their own load off of the system.			

1	And while their customers, in a sort of theoretically
2	perfect universe, might be paying a perfectly-calculated
3	ACC for that energy, so that in theory they would be
4	indifferent. Since the rate design is such that fixed
5	costs of the system might be collected through usage
6	charges, they are now potentially being collected
7	through a subset of those customers, and that creates
8	cost shift.
9	That problem is exacerbated, potentially, if
10	the compensation to the DER owners is artificially high.
11	So that that calculation of the ACC isn't perfect.
12	There it's clearly no perfection. So the goal is the
13	high-level of accuracy. Because of this com
14	potentially compounding problem.
15	Q Yes. So have you seen any evidence of cost
16	shift at in your role as an expert?
17	A Yeah. So, I work on rate design and cost
18	allocation. And we have calculated these values of
19	fixed system costs allocated across customer groups and
20	within customer groups and compared those to monthly
21	fixed charges and usage charges repeatedly, observed not
22	matching in in various settings.
23	Q Okay. Oh, so is the cost shift, to which you
24	referred, based on the ACC as currently instituted?
25	A So, the rate design that may create cost

	January 2	5, 2024 49.		
1	shifting	results from lots of potential goals and		
2	objectives by the Commission and the parties. And so,			
3	the resulting rate design is the is a contributing			
4	component to to the potential cost shift. The DER			
5	deployment is another potential ingredient.			
6	Q	Okay. Okay. I will go to page 5 of your		
7	rebuttal, and starting at line 11.			
8	A	Okay.		
9	Q	So you say:		
10		Any artificial balance may generate an		
11		artificially high or low calculated avoided		
12		cost. And if DER participants, through various		
13		programs that utilize the ACC, are able to lock		
14		in at a high ACC, this can create cost shifts		
15		to other customers.		
16	A	Okay.		
17	Q	And so okay?		
18		So, based on this, would you agree that		
19	accurate	avoided costs are required?		
20	A	I think I put in my testimony somewhere that		
21	perfection is not possible. So, the goal is the			
22	accuracy			
23	Q	Okay. So then, if there were in your		
24	opinion,	if there were inaccurate avoided costs, would		
25	you have	concerns about your cost shift analysis?		

MR. CHENG: So -- sorry. I'm not understanding 1 2 your question. 3 Can you link the two? MS. WHITE: Yes. So, Ms. McGovern has suggest 4 5 that accuracy is important. But, you know, you can't 6 expect perfection. 7 So, I'm just clarifying in a more specific 8 instance, where there might be inaccurate avoided costs, 9 would that lead her to have any concerns about the cost shift analysis that you were just discussing? 10 MR. CHENG: So, can you clarify what you mean 11 12 by "would have concerns"? Are you asking her: If ACC is inaccurate, then 13 would that affect cost shift? 14 Are you asking her: Would that result in less 15 16 cost shift? 17 Or are you asking her if it results in more cost shift or if it would result in --18 19 MS. WHITE: Yeah. So, I'm asking her if she 20 believes her analysis would still be valid if it turned 21 out that there were inaccurate avoided costs in the ACC. 22 THE WITNESS: So when you say my analysis would still be valid, are you talking about my statement that 23 cost shifts can exist? 24 25 ///

Evidenti	Hearing	
January	25,	2024

	January 25, 2024 49
1	BY MS. WHITE:
2	Q Uh
3	A Which analysis are you asking about?
4	Q I am actually asking about that, when you state
5	cost shifts exist. Yes.
6	A Yeah. So so as a mathematician and an
7	economist, I think that bounds and degrees of accuracy
8	are important. And so, all inaccuracy is not equal. So
9	the goal of accuracy can be defined by percentages or
10	error bounds. So, I think that's a really large the
11	there's a lot of variants in that statement. And so,
12	I the statement that, you know, this an artificial
13	bound that creates high or low ACC if the ACC is
14	inaccurate, there may be additional cost shift. I don't
15	know how to qualify that statement in a different way.
16	Q Okay. So, from my understanding of what you
17	just said, so you were talking about error. So, I mean,
18	I'm not a statistician
19	A Mm-hm.
20	Q but would you say that if there were
21	inaccurate avoided costs, your confidence your
22	statistical confidence, I think okay. Your there
23	would be a larger error room for error as part of
24	your cost shift analysis. And if I misstated it, just
25	statistically, you could just explain that.

1	A I think what I'm saying and and I don't
2	think it's a surprise to anybody that the the CPUC
3	utilizes estimates and forecasts all of the time with
4	inherent imperfections. And that does not that does
5	not equal a statement that we are indifferent to all
6	inaccuracies. So, the statement that an inaccurate ACC
7	may cause cost cost shift, I have confidence in that
8	statement. If the inaccuracies are larger, would the
9	cost shifts be larger? Yes. That's possible. That
10	doesn't change my confidence in my analysis or my
11	statement.
12	Q Okay. Thank you.
13	Okay. So, I'm going to move on to page 7 at
14	lines 22 to 23.
15	A Okay.
16	Q Okay. So, the goal it it says:
17	The goal regarding accuracy of the ACC
18	takes as given the IRP and marginal
19	resource for the individual utility.
20	A Mm-hm.
21	Q So, Ms. McGovern, would you agree that the ACC
22	does not have to take the IRP as a given?
23	A I'm not an IRP expert. They the this
24	proceeding is, from my understanding, for the purpose of
25	designing the Avoided Cost Calculator. And it is

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	currently designed in a different way than the staff
2	proposal. So, the the ACC, I think by the existence
3	of what we're doing right now, can can change in
4	methodology. I I can't I make no plans on the
5	constraints of the CPUC to to alter that methodology.
6	Q Okay. I'll move on to page 8 of your rebuttal
7	still, line 12.
8	A Line 12. Okay.
9	Q Yeah.
10	So, here you say that the utility is not able
11	avoid infrastructure investment because of that DER
12	participation and, in fact, may make investments to
13	accommodate the DER.
14	So, do you make any citations to support this
15	claim?
16	MR. CHENG: Counsel, I think the document
17	speaks for itself. If there's a citation, you would see
18	it.
19	MS. WHITE: Okay. I I'll I'll move on to
20	my next question.
21	BY MS. WHITE:
22	Q Okay. Let's see.
23	So, instead, we can go to page 9, line 9?
24	A Okay.
25	Q Okay. So here it says

	Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024 500
1	(Reporter clarification.)
2	MS. WHITE: Yes. Sorry.
3	Q Okay.
4	In designing DER policies, the Commission
5	must ensure that DER customer incentives or
6	compensation do not unfairly shift recovery
7	of shared costs to non-DER customers,
8	particularly members of disadvantaged and
9	low-income communities.
10	So, do you think it is fair for low-income
11	consumers to be paying for undergrounding in high-income
12	communities?
13	MR. CHENG: Objection, your Honor. It's beyond
14	the scope of the proceeding.
15	ALJ LAU: I'm going to sustain that objection.
16	And, Ms. White, can yeah. I don't know if
17	you want if you can tie it.
18	MS. WHITE: I guess I guess, it at this
19	point, I'll just move on to my next question.
20	Q Okay. So, Ms. McGovern, have you ever
21	attempted to use accurate avoided transmission costs
22	when assessing whether any cost shift actually exists?
23	MR. CHENG: Counsel, can you explain what you
24	mean by "accurate transmission costs"?
25	///

BY MS. WHITE: 1 2 0 Yeah. So, I just -- first, I can ask whether 3 TURN has attempted to calculate avoided transmission 4 costs? Yes. Well -- excuse me. I have worked on 5 Α 6 proceedings where we calculate allocated transmission 7 costs. 8 0 Okay. So, while doing that, have you tried to 9 use those values to calculate whether a cost shift exists? 10 MR. CHENG: Sorry. I -- objection. We're --11 12 I'm not even sure how we're -- the question is tied to 13 this proceeding, Counsel. 14 ALJ LAU: I agree that it's a little bit beyond 15 -- off scope, unless, Counsel, you can tie it to 16 somewhere in the witness' testimony. 17 MS. WHITE: I guess, it is referring to cost shift, which Ms. McGovern discusses. And it's referring 18 19 to the importance of accurate avoided transmission 20 costs, which are part of calculating whether there is a 21 cost shift or to what extent there is a cost shift. 22 That --23 MR. CHENG: Ms. McGovern doesn't discuss avoided transmission costs in the discussion of the cost 24 shift. 25

Evidentiary		Hearing
January	25,	2024

1 BY MS. WHITE: 2 0 Is that correct? Okay. Well, I will just -- okay. I'll just 3 4 move on to a different analysis of cost shift. 5 Ms. McGovern, when you are talking about cost shifts in your testimony, would you say that you are 6 7 comparing one group of ratepayers against another? 8 Α I am conceptually comparing DER adopters, or 9 participants, with non-participants and non-adopters, combined with my knowledge of rate design and cost 10 11 allocation. 12 Okay. So, would you say that it's fair to say 0 13 that you're not looking at shareholders as compared to 14 ratepayers? 15 Α That wasn't part of this -- this testimony. 16 0 Okay. 17 Α The analysis of shareholders. Okay. Could you elaborate on why it wasn't 18 0 19 part of the testimony? 20 MR. CHENG: Your Honor, I think we already 21 established it's not in the testimony. 22 ALJ LAU: Ms. White, I think she already 23 answered your question, that she's comparing DER 24 participants versus non-DER participants. 25 MS. WHITE: Yes. So, I think what -- what my

	January 25, 2024 503
1	question is trying to get at is: When discussing the
2	cost shift, you can compare ratepayers to each other; or
3	you can compare the benefit to ratepayers versus
4	shareholders.
5	So what I'm asking is: Why why Ms. McGovern
6	why she looked at an analysis of ratepayers versus
7	ratepayers rather than ratepayers versus shareholders,
8	your Honor.
9	ALJ LAU: Okay. Ms. McGovern
10	MR. CHENG: Asked
11	ALJ LAU: Let I'm going to try to let
12	Ms. McGovern answer that question.
13	THE WITNESS: So, the scoping memo asked three
14	questions. The first question was in addressing, for
15	us, the accuracy of the Avoided Cost Calculator. The
16	second question was about guiding principles, which we
17	included. And the third question, in part, we
18	considered as application of of the ACC, along with
19	other DER, cost-effectiveness issues. And that's we
20	were a we were attempting to answer the three
21	questions in the scoping memo.
22	BY MS. WHITE:
23	Q Okay. Okay.
24	I believe that is all my questions,
25	Ms. McGovern.

	Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024 504
1	Thank you for your time, everyone. I
2	appreciate it.
3	ALJ LAU: Mr. Cheng, do you have any redirect
4	for Ms. McGovern?
5	MR. CHENG: No redirect, your Honor.
6	Thank you.
7	ALJ LAU: Thank you.
8	Ms. McGovern, thank you for your time today.
9	You may be excused.
10	So, we can take Ms. McGovern off the stage.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	ALJ LAU: Thank you.
13	Mr. Cheng and Ms. White, IT, we can also take
14	them both off the stage.
15	I believe, at this juncture, I'm happy to say
16	that we have concluded all the cross-examination of
17	witnesses scheduled for the evidentiary hearing. We are
18	now almost into the conclusion of the evidentiary
19	hearing. And I'm just going to go off record to discuss
20	procedural matters.
21	So, let's go off the record.]
22	(Off the record.)
23	ALJ LAU: Let's go back on the record.
24	I will now mark and identify four not
25	mark and identify exhibits the following four

exhibits: 1 Exhibit CA-04, which is titled: Second Errata 2 to the Opening Testimony of the Public Advocates Office. 3 4 (Exhibit CA-04 was marked for identification.) 5 ALJ LAU: The second exhibit is identi 6 -- marked and identified as PCF-10E, which is titled: 7 Reporter's Transcript in R.20-08-002, Volumes 5 and 9. 8 (Exhibit PCF-10E was marked for 9 identification.) 10 ALJ LAU: The third exhibit is IOU-04, which is 11 12 titled: Revisions to Appendix A, Page A-12, Table 5. 13 (Exhibit IOU-04 was marked for identification.) 14 ALJ LAU: Fourth exhibit is SoCalGas-01E, which 15 16 is titled: Errata to Prepared Direct Testimony of 17 Southern California Gas Company. (Exhibit SoCalGas-01E was marked for 18 identification.) 19 20 ALJ LAU: So now, I can take motions for 21 entering exhibits into evidence. 22 Do we have counsel for California Large Energy 23 Consumers Association? MR. HAFEZ: This is Samir Hafez on behalf of 24 25 the California Large Energy Consumers Association.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	January 25, 2024 506
1	ALJ LAU: Would you like go ahead.
2	MR. HAFEZ: Yes, your Honor. I move for the
3	admission of exhibits marked as CLE-01 and CLE-02.
4	These exhibits have been stipulated to.
5	ALJ LAU: Okay. For entry into evidence? Are
6	there are
7	MR. HAFEZ: Yes.
8	ALJ LAU: Are there any objections?
9	(No response.)
10	ALJ LAU: All right. Hearing none. CLE-01 and
11	CLE-02 are entering into evidence.
12	Thank you.
13	(Exhibit CLE-01 was received into evidence.)
14	(Exhibit CLE-02 was received into evidence.)
15	ALJ LAU: Can I have Mr. Lin on stage?
16	Mr. Lin, can you just make motions for the
17	stipulated exhibit for now?
18	MR. LIN: Yes, your Honor. Motion to admit
19	Exhibit CBD-01 into the record.
20	ALJ LAU: Any objections?
21	(No response.)
22	ALJ LAU: Hearing none. CBD-01 is entering
23	into evidence.
24	(Exhibit CBD-01 was received into evidence.)
25	ALJ LAU: Can we bring forth Clean Coalition?

	1 ·
1	MR. HAGA: We have a hand up from a Ben
2	Schwartz.
3	ALJ LAU: Okay. Yes, can you elevate Ben
4	Schwartz to the stage?
5	MR. HAGA: Mr. Schwartz, you should be able to
6	activate your mic and camera from your location.
7	MR. SCHWARTZ: There we go.
8	Your Honor, this is Ben Schwartz here with the
9	Clean Coalition, and I am wondering whether I can move
10	that CLC-01 and 02 be moved into the record.
11	ALJ LAU: Any objections?
12	(No response.)
13	ALJ LAU: All right. CLC-01 and CLC-02 are
14	moved into evidence.
15	(Exhibit CLC-01 was received into evidence.)
16	(Exhibit CLC-02 was received into evidence.)
17	ALJ LAU: Thank you, Mr. Schwartz.
18	MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you.
19	ALJ LAU: Let's have Coalition of California
20	Utility Employees.
21	MR. LIN: Your Honor, this is Roger Lin from
22	Center for Biological Diversity again.
23	I did not make a motion for CBD-02, because
24	that was not stipulated to by Cal Advocates; is that
25	okay?

Evidentiary Hearing 508 January 25, 2024 ALJ LAU: Yeah, I understand. That -- that --1 we will take motion for that later. 2 3 MR. LIN: Okay. 4 ALJ LAU: All right. Let's -- do we have -who is counsel for Coalition of -- for CUE? 5 6 MR. SEZGEN: I -- I can motion if they're not 7 here. 8 ALJ LAU: Okay. MR. SEZGEN: Motion to admit CUE-01 and 9 CUE-02E, which have been stipulated, into evidence. 10 11 ALJ LAU: All right. Thank you. 12 Any objections? 13 (No response.) ALJ LAU: Hearing none. CUE-01 and CUE-02E are 14 entered into evidence. 15 (Exhibit CUE-01 was received into evidence.) 16 (Exhibit CUE-02E was received into evidence.) 17 ALJ LAU: Do we have counsel for Google LLC? 18 19 MR. DOUGLASS: Good afternoon, your Honor. 20 This is Dan Douglass, counsel for Google LLC. 21 I would like to move for admission Exhibit 22 GGL-01, which has been stipulated to by other parties. 23 ALJ LAU: Thank you. Any objections? 24 (No response.) 25 ALJ LAU: Hearing none, GGL-01 is entered into

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

evidence. (Exhibit GGL-01 was received into evidence.) MR. DOUGLASS: Thank you, your Honor. ALJ LAU: Thank you. Let's put Mr. Sezgen back on stage. MR. SEZGEN: Yes. Motion to admit Exhibits IOU-01, IOU-02 and IOU-03, which have been stipulated into evidence. ALJ LAU: Any objections? (No response.) ALJ LAU: Hearing none, IOU-01, IOU-02 and IOU-03 are entered into evidence. (Exhibit IOU-01 was received into evidence.) (Exhibit IOU-02 was received into evidence.) (Exhibit IOU-03 was received into evidence.) ALJ LAU: Let's bring forth Natural Resources Defense Council. MR. SEZGEN: Quick question for IOU-04, which is the new one. Should I motion that in now or -- or later? ALJ LAU: Later. MR. SEZGEN: Okay. ALJ LAU: Let's do all the stipulated first.

24 MR. SEZGEN: Thank you.

ALJ LAU: Natural Resources Defense Council.

	January 25, 2024 510
1	David Pettit, I believe.
2	MR. SEZGEN: I am not sure that he is on right
3	now, so I can I can do that as well.
4	ALJ LAU: All right.
5	MR. SEZGEN: Motion to admit Exhibits NRDC-01
6	and NRDC-02, which have been stipulated, into evidence.
7	ALJ LAU: All right. Motion granted NRDC-01
8	and NRDC-02 are entered into evidence barring any I
9	mean, like, if there's no objections.
10	(Exhibit NRDC-01 was received into evidence.)
11	(Exhibit NRDC-02 was received into evidence.)
12	ALJ LAU: All right. What about Ms. White?
13	Can we put Ms. White on stage?
14	MS. WHITE: Okay. Hello, your Honor.
15	So, I would like to motion PCF-01, PCF-02,
16	PCF-03, PCF-04, PCF-05, PCF-06, PCF-07, PCF-08, PCF-09,
17	PCF-11, PCF-12, and PCF-13.
18	ALJ LAU: Any objections to entering those into
19	evidence?
20	(No response.)
21	ALJ LAU: Hearing none. Those exhibits are
22	entered into evidence.
23	MS. HERBERT: Your Honor
24	MR. HSU: Your Honor
25	MS. HERBERT: Mr. Hsu has his hand up.

	January 25, 2024 51
1	ALJ LAU: Oh, okay. Mr. Hsu?
2	MR. HSU: I apologize, your Honor. I think P
3	PCF-13 was the one we had a discussion
4	ALJ LAU: Okay.
5	MR. HSU: Sorry, I it was my mistake. I
6	apologize. I have I have no issue. I apologize,
7	counsel.
8	ALJ LAU: Okay. So, I am not amending my
9	ruling. PCF-01 through PCF-13 remains entered into
10	evidence.
11	Let's have Mr
12	MS. WHITE: Sorry, your Honor, PCF-10 is the
13	one that has the errata, so.
14	ALJ LAU: Oh, right. Sorry, let me amend my
15	earlier statement.
16	That PCF-01 through PCF-09 and PCF-11 through
17	PCF 13 are entered into evidence.
18	(Exhibit PCF-01 was received into evidence.)
19	(Exhibit PCF-02 was received into evidence.)
20	(Exhibit PCF-03 was received into evidence.)
21	(Exhibit PCF-04 was received into evidence.)
22	(Exhibit PCF-05 was received into evidence.)
23	(Exhibit PCF-06 was received into evidence.)
24	(Exhibit PCF-07 was received into evidence.)
25	///

	January 25, 2024 512
1	(Exhibit PCF-08 was received into evidence.)
2	(Exhibit PCF-09 was received into evidence.)
3	(Exhibit PCF-11 was received into evidence.)
4	(Exhibit PCF-12 was received into evidence.)
5	(Exhibit PCF-13 was received into evidence.)
6	ALJ LAU: Now, let's bring forth Mr. Dutta from
7	Cal Advocates.
8	MR. DUTTA: Thank you, your Honor.
9	Cal Advocates would like to move into evidence
10	CA-01, CA-01E, CA-02 and CA-03, which have all been
11	stipulated to.
12	ALJ LAU: All right. Any objections?
13	(No response.)
14	ALJ LAU: Hearing none, I will admit we will
15	enter into evidence CA-01, CA-01E, CA-02 and CA-03.
16	(Exhibit CA-01 was received into evidence.)
17	(Exhibit CA-01E was received into evidence.)
18	(Exhibit CA-02 was received into evidence.)
19	(Exhibit CA-03 was received into evidence.)
20	ALJ LAU: Let's bring forth counsel for Small
21	Business Utility Advocates.
22	MS. WEBERSKI: Good afternoon, your Honor,
23	Jennifer Weberski on behalf of SBUA.
24	I make a motion to move SBUA-01 and SBUA-02
25	into the record. They are both stipulated.

	Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024 513
1	ALJ LAU: All right. Thank you.
2	Any objections from the parties?
3	(No response.)
4	ALJ LAU: No objections. We will enter into
5	the record SBUA-01 and SBUA-02.
6	(Exhibit SBUA-01 was received into evidence.)
7	(Exhibit SBUA-02 was received into evidence.)
8	MS. WEBERSKI: Thank you, your Honor.
9	ALJ LAU: Thank you.
10	Can we bring forth Solar Energy Industries
11	Association?
12	MS. ARMSTRONG: Yes, your Honor. Jeanne
13	Armstrong for the Solar Energy Industries Association.
14	I would move exhibit SEIA-01 through SEIA-04
15	into the record. They have been stipulated to.
16	ALJ LAU: Okay. Thank you.
17	Any objections from the parties?
18	(No response.)
19	ALJ LAU: Hearing none. SEIA-01 through
20	SEIA-04 are entered into evidence.
21	(Exhibit SEIA-01 was received into evidence.)
22	(Exhibit SEIA-02 was received into evidence.)
23	(Exhibit SEIA-03 was received into evidence.)
24	(Exhibit SEIA-04 was received into evidence.)
25	ALJ LAU: Let's bring forth Mr. Hsu.

1	MR. HSU: Thank you, your Honor.
2	SoCalGas moves to enter into evidence
3	SoCalGas-02, which has been stipulated by the parties.
4	ALJ LAU: And what about SoCalGas-01?
5	MR. HSU: And SoCalGas-01, your Honor.
6	ALJ LAU: Okay.
7	MR. HSU: I I wasn't I'm sorry, your
8	Honor. I was confused at first whether or not you
9	wanted the errata. Like, the errata just or the
10	errata itself, correct?
11	ALJ LAU: Yes.
12	MR. HSU: I apologize. Yes. Thank you for
13	clearing that up, your Honor.
14	We move both SoCalGas-01 and SoCalGas-02 to be
15	entered into evidence. They've been stipulated to.
16	Thank you.
17	ALJ LAU: All right. Any objections from the
18	parties?
19	(No response.)
20	ALJ LAU: Hearing none, SoCalGas-01 and
21	SoCalGas-02 are entered into evidence.
22	Thank you, counsel.
23	(Exhibit SoCalGas-01 was received into
24	evidence.)
25	///

Evidentiary Hearing January 25, 2024 515 (Exhibit SoCalGas-02 was received into 1 2 evidence.) 3 ALJ LAU: Let's bring forth Mr. Cheng, David 4 Chenq. 5 MR. CHENG: Thank you, your Honor. I would like to motion to move TURN-01 and 6 TURN-02 into evidence. 7 ALJ LAU: Any objections? 8 9 (No response.) ALJ LAU: Hearing none, TURN-01 and TURN-02 are 10 entered into evidence. 11 (Exhibit TURN-01 was received into evidence.) 12 13 (Exhibit TURN-02 was received into evidence.) 14 ALJ LAU: Let's -- yeah, let's put Mr. Cheng 15 off stage. 16 Now, I have 4 -- I have Exhibit CA-4. Does counsel want to motion to enter CA-04 into 17 18 evidence? 19 MR. DUTTA: Thank you, your Honor. Cal Advocates moves to admit CA-04. 20 21 ALJ LAU: Any objections from the parties? 22 I will give 30 seconds or a minute for people 23 to raise their virtual hands. Annalissa, can you monitor the list for me? 24 25 MS. HERBERT: Yes, your Honor. I am

	January 25, 2024 516
1	monitoring, and I don't see hands raised as of yet.
2	ALJ LAU: All right. Hearing no objections,
3	CA-04 is entered into evidence.
4	(Exhibit CA-04 was received into evidence.)
5	ALJ LAU: Okay. Is there let's bring
6	forth or Mr. Dutta down from the stage.
7	Is there any motions for entry into evidence
8	for PCF-10E?
9	MS. WHITE: Yes. I would like to make a motion
10	for PCF-10E E as well as PCF-16.
11	ALJ LAU: Okay. We can address both actually,
12	yes.
13	PCF-10E, are there any objections to entering
14	that exhibit into evidence? Please raise your virtual
15	hand and Annalissa will monitor the panelist list for
16	me.
17	(No response.)
18	ALJ LAU: Annalissa, do you see any hands
19	raised?
20	MS. HERBERT: No, your Honor. No, I do not see
21	any hands raised at this time.
22	ALJ LAU: All right. Hearing no objection,
23	PCF-10E is entered into evidence.
24	(Exhibit PCF-10E was received into evidence.)
25	ALJ LAU: Are there any objections to entering
	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Evidentiary Hearing 517 January 25, 2024 PCF-16 into evidence? 1 2 (No response.) 3 ALJ LAU: Annalissa, do you see any -- any arms 4 raised -- virtual hands raised? 5 MS. HERBERT: Your Honor, I do not. 6 ALJ LAU: All right. Then PCF-16 is entered into evidence. 7 (Exhibit PCF-16 was received into evidence.) 8 9 ALJ LAU: Are there any motions for SoCalGas-01E to be entered into evidence? 10 MR. HSU: Yes, thank you, your Honor. SoCalGas 11 would like to move that into evidence. 12 13 ALJ LAU: Any objections from the parties? 14 Please raise your virtual hand. 15 (No response.) 16 ALJ LAU: Annalissa, do you see any virtual hands raised? 17 MS. HERBERT: No, your Honor, I do not. 18 19 ALJ LAU: Okay. All right. Hearing no 20 objection, SoCalGas-01E is entered into evidence. 21 (Exhibit SoCalGas-01E was received into 22 evidence.) 23 MR. HSU: Thank you, your Honor. 24 ALJ LAU: Thank you. 25 Are there any other motions to enter additional

	January 25, 2024 518
1	exhibits into evidence?
2	Let's have Mr. Lin put forth on stage. Oh.
3	MR. SEZGEN: Oh, apologies, your Honor.
4	PG&E would like to admit Exhibit IOU-4 into
5	evidence.
6	ALJ LAU: Thank you, Mr. Sezgen.
7	Any objection to entering IOU-04 into evidence?
8	(No response.)
9	ALJ LAU: Annalissa, do you see any virtual
10	hands raised?
11	MS. HERBERT: No, your Honor, I do not.
12	ALJ LAU: All right. Hearing no objections,
13	IOU-04 is entered into evidence.
14	(Exhibit IOU-04 was received into evidence.)
15	ALJ LAU: Now, I am taking motions to enter any
16	remaining exhibits into evidence.
17	I believe Mr. Lin was going to make a motion.
18	MR. LIN: Yes, your Honor. I move to admit
19	Exhibit CBD-02 as evidence.
20	ALJ LAU: Any objections to CBD-02 into
21	evidence?
22	MR. DUTTA: Yes, your Honor, from Cal
23	Advocates.
24	ALJ LAU: Okay. Any other parties?
25	Annalissa, can you monitor the virtual hands

	January 25, 2024 519
1	and see if anyone raised any virtual other than
2	Mr. Dutta?
3	MR. HERBERT: No other parties have raised
4	their hand, your Honor.
5	ALJ LAU: All right.
6	Mr. Dutta, can you raise your objection? Would
7	you mind turning on your camera?
8	MR. DUTTA: Yes, your Honor.
9	Your Honor, in a nutshell, Exhibit 2 of Cal
10	of CBD consists of a proposed decision. It has no legal
11	effect right now, and it is not and for that reason,
12	it is not relevant to this proceeding; and on that
13	basis, we object to the admission into evidence of that
14	exhibit.
15	ALJ LAU: We can take official notice. Even
16	though it's not it's not an official Commission
17	decision, we can take official notice of the proposed
18	decision.
19	I would you be amenable to that counsel,
20	Mr. Lin?
21	MR. DUTTA: Thank you for the question, your
22	Honor, your Honor.
23	Your Honor, what is problematic here is the
24	relevance. Since this is a proposed decision, as
25	everybody knows, proposed decisions can be amended if it

	January 25, 2024 520
1	is not final; and so, it doesn't it has no effect at
2	the moment.
3	I mean, it would be a different matter, your
4	Honor, if this were an actual ruling from the
5	Commission, but it's not.
6	ALJ LAU: Mr. Lin, did you want to make your
7	case?
8	MR. LIN: Just I would just if Mr. Dutta
9	can show some authority about how a proposed decision
10	versus formally voted decision should not be included as
11	evidence, I I would welcome that, but I am not aware
12	of any authority in that regard.
13	ALJ LAU: So, I I will rule to take official
14	notice of the proposed decision of ALJ Fitch in
15	R.20-05-003. So, you know, we are just taking official
16	notice that the decision was issued not for any facts
17	that were stated therein.
18	So and we will call that Exhibit CBD-02 and
19	enter that into evidence.
20	MR. LIN: And, your Honor, since the
21	ALJ LAU: Wait, let me think. If I am if I
22	am taking official notice of it, we are not entering
23	that into evidence, so we are just taking official
24	notice of proposed decision of ALJ Fitch. I'm sorry. I
25	apologize.

520

1	MR. LIN: And, your Honor, once the decision
2	the proposed decision is voted on, then how does that
3	effect the the facts in the proposed decision?
4	ALJ LAU: Then, you know, we can we can
5	refer to that Commission decision as the Commission
6	decision. If that there needs to be more
7	clarification and briefing or whatnot, please send me an
8	email through the service list. Right now, it's all
9	hypothetical, and I can't answer the questions that
10	well.
11	So, CBD is not CBD-02 is not entered into
12	evidence, but we will take official notice of the
13	proposed decision of ALJ Fitch in R.20-05-003.
14	MR. LIN: Okay. Sounds good.
15	ALJ LAU: Great, thank you.
16	Any other exhibits that need to be entered into
17	evidence?
18	MR. DUTTA: Your Honor, just a point of
19	clarification. Is CA-04 has been entered, correct?
20	I want to be sure. I believe it has been.
21	ALJ LAU: Yes, CA-0 4 has been entered into
22	evidence.
23	MR. DUTTA: Thank you.
24	ALJ LAU: But let's go off the record.
25	(Off the record.)

	January 25, 2024 522				
1	ALJ LAU: All right. Let's go back on the				
2	record.				
3	Are there any other are there any further				
4	motions for entering additional exhibits into evidence?				
5	(No response.)				
6	ALJ LAU: All right. There have been some				
7	exhibits that have been withdrawn. If counsel for				
8	those, you know, parties can raise their hands and let				
9	us know which which exhibit is withdrawn. In fact,				
10	let me actually just have Mr. Sezgen speak on behalf of				
11	the parties, because he prepared the the list of				
12	stipulated exhibits.				
13	Mr Mr. Sezgen, can you clarify for the				
14	record which exhibits have been withdrawn for entry into				
15	evidence?				
16	MR. SEZGEN: Yes, your Honor. Exhibit PCF-15				
17	has has been withdrawn by PCF and Exhibit SEIA-05 has				
18	been withdrawn by SEIA.				
19	ALJ LAU: All right. Thank you, counsel.				
20	We can take Mr. Sezgen off stage.				
21	We are coming to the conclusion of the				
22	evidentiary hearing. I believe that I addressed all				
23	procedural matters and but before we conclude, I do				
24	want to ask parties if there are other procedural				
25	matters to be addressed?				

	January 25, 2024 523
1	Mr. Sezgen?
2	MR. SEZGEN: I do. Just just one matter for
3	the common briefing outline that
4	ALJ LAU: Ah, go ahead.
5	MR. SEZGEN: we briefly mentioned on on
6	Tuesday. When when would you like that to be served?
7	ALJ LAU: I think what I desire is for the
8	parties to all you know, like, get together and for
9	the ease of, like, me and probably Commission staff
10	that you know, I think whatever timeline works for
11	the parties such that they have enough time to brief the
12	matter.
13	Mr. Sezgen, do you have an inkling on a good
14	timeline?
15	MR. SEZGEN: I think I think next by end
16	of next week. We have a we have a meet and confer
17	issue list that SCE put together. I think that's a good
18	that's a framework there, so it shouldn't take too
19	long.
20	ALJ LAU: So, by by February 2nd. If you
21	need more time, just ask me but CC the service list for
22	email if you need more time.
23	MR. SEZGEN: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.
24	ALJ LAU: All right. Thank you.
25	Any other procedural matters?

(No response.) 1 2 ALJ LAU: Any other questions or concerns? 3 (No response.) 4 ALJ LAU: Annalissa, can you confirm and just look through the panelist that I am not missing anything 5 6 or anyone? MS. HERBERT: No one has their hands raised at 7 8 this time, your Honor. ALJ LAU: All right. Thank you for -- everyone 9 for their participation in this three-day journey. 10 11 We are concluding our -- the evidentiary 12 hearings regarding issues related to the 2024 Avoided 13 Cost Calculator update. 14 Thank you all for your participation. We are 15 now adjourned and off the record. 16 (At the hour of 4:13 p.m., this matter having 17 been concluded, the Commission then 18 adjourned.) 19 1 * * 20 21 22 23 24 25 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, ASHLEIGH BUTTON, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 14013, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON JANUARY 25, 2024.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS JANUARY 31, 2024.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Xulion Butto
21	ASHLEIGH BUTTON CSR NO. 14013
22	
23	
24	
25	
	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION			
2	OF THE			
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
4				
5				
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING			
7	I, JACQUELYN HAUPT, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER			
8	NO. 13964, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO			
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT			
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT			
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN			
12	THIS MATTER ON JANUARY 25, 2024.			
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE			
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.			
15	EXECUTED THIS JANUARY 31, 2024.			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20	- An aunts			
21	JACQUELYN HAUPT CSR NO. 13964			
22				
23				
24				
25				
	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA			

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION			
2	OF THE			
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
4				
5				
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING			
7	I, KARLY POWERS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER			
8	NO. 13991, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO			
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT			
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT			
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN			
12	THIS MATTER ON JANUARY 25, 2024.			
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE			
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.			
15	EXECUTED THIS JANUARY 31, 2024.			
16				
17				
18				
19	λh			
20	KANVERI			
21	KARLY POWERS CSR NO.#13991			
22				
23				
24				
25				
	DIBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION STATE OF CALLEORNIA			

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	17 413:7,16 465:23 475:8 482:20	2:55 486:3	8
	18 470:12,25 482:22	3	•
-02 450:1	18-06-027 408:5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8 425:2 426:15,16 429:15 459:15 499:6
-a-n-s-i 399:9	19 403:7 406:10,18	3 407:19 420:1 423:14 431:4 443:2 453:25	83 425:8,14 426:1
0	482:23	486:18 488:2	8D 387:6,13
	1:15 447:20	3.3 439:11	
02 507:10	1:25 447:23 448:1	30 389:6 395:14,25 422:15,22 515:22	9
1	2	33 442:25	9 382:9 428:12 477:24 499:23 505:8
1 382:23 405:25 415:16 451:15 452:18	2 395:25 407:18,19 411:22 453:25 519:9	4	91 416:8
1-hour-15-minute	2-A-3 449:21	4 414:3 420:1 459:11,15	Α
447:18	2-D.2 449:17	488:22 515:16 521:21	
1.0 403:11,18 404:8,10, 11,23 405:10,13,15	20 382:8 488:2	4.45 389:16	a- 503:20
10 402:4 426:15,17	2016 428:13,20	46 422:6,8,22	A-12 505:12
428:12 460:20 473:25	2020 464:3	47 423:14 425:1 426:17	A-25 440:17,21 441:10, 13
474:12 482:20	2022 382:19 383:13,17	48 428:1,3 429:14	A.M. 380:2
10:00 385:9 10:02 380:2	2023 387:25 388:9 392:8 393:20	49 402:4 403:6 406:10 410:17 411:22	abide 381:13,14 399:23 436:2 448:24 468:5
10:45 384:13	2024 380:2,11 382:13,	5	ability 405:4 432:14
11 382:10 439:1,17 474:12 495:7	19 383:17 462:13 463:9 464:4,17		455:23
115 452:18	2026 388:11 461:3,19	5 408:4 420:1 450:8 493:12 495:6 505:8,12	absolutely 445:9 AC 428:16
12 451:16 455:4 493:12 499:7,8	462:18 464:6,20,25 465:4,18	50 407:18 411:19 413:5, 13	ACC 380:12 382:13
123 438:7	21 406:18 476:12 486:18	52 415:17 416:8	388:11,16 391:2,6 395:11 397:16 423:22
124 387:8	21-11-016 438:14	53 414:3 415:16	424:1,6,11,15,16,17,18, 19 426:9 428:16,21
12:00 447:18	22 486:18 488:4 498:14	54 431:3	441:3 442:4,10 444:19,
12:03 447:22	22-05-002 387:2	55 433:10	23 460:21 461:4 462:13 463:9 464:4,17 475:20
12:05 447:10	23 392:18 396:17 422:7	56 419:25	476:10 477:13 478:14
12th 385:2	488:3 498:14		479:7,9,11,12 482:20, 22,23 483:4,5,25 484:6
13 388:9 433:10 484:11	24 386:2,8,9	6	485:9,12 486:21 488:11,24 489:18,19
511:17	24-hour 385:24	6 395:25 423:15 460:20	491:25 492:15 493:3,
13th 387:25	25 380:2 409:24 440:18 441:9,11 473:5	462:11	15,16 494:3,11,24 495:13,14 496:13,21
14 433:10 451:16 459:6 470:11,25 473:5 477:24	26 389:6 392:18 396:17 450:9 473:5	7	497:13 498:6,17,21 499:2 503:18
15 458:8 473:25	29 389:5 392:18 396:16	7 425:1 428:2,4 430:2	ACC-BASED 383:5
16 410:18 413:7 453:7 475:8	422:15,22	438:7 488:22 489:8 498:13	acceptable 383:7

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

accepted 383:12 access 380:9 386:11 accommodate 499:13 accompanies 414:17 account 405:13 482:23 483:5,25 accounted 472:21 accounting 472:12 accrue 475:25 476:1 491:19 **accuracy** 383:2,9 475:20 477:13 479:9 487:20 494:13 495:22 496:5 497:7,9 498:17 503:15 accurate 452:22 461:5, 16,20 462:19 464:2,21 465:7 495:19 500:21,24 501:19 accurately 487:7,14 492:17 achieve 407:20 476:14 acknowledge 396:2,4 492:20 acknowledged 395:14 13 472:4 482:18 action 384:2 activate 507:6 activities 416:10 455:7 activity 458:10 actual 427:12 428:18 485:8 520:4 AD-6 465:23 **add** 428:17 482:14 added 390:6,11 460:24 adder 428:5 430:3 452:22 454:18,24 456:9,12 458:9 459:2 460:22,24 461:3,6,10, 15,17 462:16 463:10,22

15,17 462:16 463:10,22 464:5,19 465:1,4,17,25 466:4

adding 429:5

addition 393:14 402:10 429:7

additional 423:7,22 426:10,16,18,22 427:1, 3,6 466:1 474:1,6,13,19 497:14 517:25 522:4

additionally 385:2

address 386:20 390:6 397:20 406:3 408:1 417:11 419:10,14,20 422:12 430:25 454:17 456:8 460:8 482:1 516:11

addressed 432:23 522:22,25

addresses 423:8

addressing 406:11 453:8 503:14

adequately 438:18

adjusted 439:19

adjusting 405:19

adjustment 391:3,4,15 392:4 394:22 398:1

adjustments 428:20

Administrative 380:4, 13

admission 506:3 508:21 519:13

admit 506:18 508:9 509:6 510:5 512:14 515:20 518:4,18

adopt 400:25 437:6 450:19,20 465:24 493:24

adopted 388:9 460:22 474:2,15 488:18,20

adopters 502:8

adopting 463:21

adoption 471:19 480:3 482:6,10 484:15 488:5, 10 489:3,9,24 490:19 493:6

advance 386:25 433:5 472:1 487:19 advise 385:9 advisory416:9,2424 398:6,14,24 399:12,418:2,1116,20,23,25 401:12,16,

Advocates 403:15 489:18 491:14 505:3 507:24 512:7,9,21 515:20 518:23

Advocates' 488:23 491:7

affect 415:20 419:4 454:6 496:14

affected 419:4 480:5

affects 391:17

afford 384:17

after-lunch 386:4

afternoon 448:1 449:11 468:20 508:19 512:22

agree 381:14 385:8 386:16 387:23 389:15, 21 399:23 404:15 417:19 431:20 436:2 442:6,16 445:2 446:4 448:24 452:14 456:23 457:4 468:5 473:21 476:17 477:22 490:23 495:18 498:21 501:14

agreeable 444:20

agreed 381:13 485:16

agrees 455:6

ahead 394:4 447:19 452:16 506:1

aid 387:18

air 402:14 475:16

Alberhill 389:10,12,25 390:1,8 391:12 392:1,9, 23 393:5,6,21 394:10, 13,15,20 395:2,6 396:11,23 397:2,5,9,10, 12,16,18

align 465:5,18

aligns 488:23 491:7

ALJ 380:25 381:7,12, 17,20 384:21,24 385:6, 8,23 387:25 389:1 392:14 393:13,16 394:2,4,7 395:13,17,22,

Index: accepted..allocating

16,20,23,25 401:12,16, 22 404:15 405:2 408:25 409:16 410:7,10,14 412:20 413:12,19 417:3,19,22 418:6 419:1 420:23 421:2,5,8, 13,23 422:17,19 425:21 426:4 429:23 430:16 431:20 432:12 433:1 434:5,11,15,19,21,24 435:14,18,21 436:2,4 437:18 439:3,5 441:12, 16 443:25 446:8,11 447:2,10 448:4,13,17, 21,24 449:1 451:1 452:14,16,20 453:17, 19,21 455:20 456:23,25 457:3,10,16,18,24 462:1,3 463:1,17 464:8, 11,13 465:10,13 466:11,15,19,23 467:2, 10,18,22,25 468:2,5,11 469:15,24 470:1,20 473:3 481:8,13 485:18, 23 486:2,6 489:14 490:23 500:15 501:14 502:22 503:9,11 504:3, 7,12,23 505:6,11,15,20 506:1,5,8,10,15,20,22, 25 507:3.11.13.17.19 508:1,4,8,11,14,18,23, 25 509:4,9,11,16,21,23, 25 510:4,7,12,18,21 511:1,4,8,14 512:6,12, 14,20 513:1,4,9,16,19, 25 514:4,6,11,17,20 515:3,8,10,14,21 516:2, 5,11,18,22,25 517:3,6, 9,13,16,19,24 518:6,9, 12,15,20,24 519:5,15 520:6,13,14,21,24 521:4,13,15,21,24 522:1,6,19 **ALJS** 386:8 all-service 405:11 alleged 413:8 alleviate 485:5

allocate 443:4 445:15, 19

allocated 494:19 501:6 allocating 445:13

Evidentiary Hearing J

anuary 25, 2024
allocation 442:7,12,15 444:21 481:3 494:18 502:11
allocations 443:13
allowed 433:2
allowing 455:15 456:4
alter 499:5
alternatives 408:8,9, 10,18,19 409:2
amazing 398:20
amenable 519:19
amond 511.11

amend 511:14 **amended** 519:25

amending 511:8

amount 426:24 474:2, 14,15

amounts 406:22

analyses 417:9 419:9, 10

analysis 387:16 388:11 402:9 412:5,24 413:4 414:5,20,23,25 415:7,9, 18 416:9 417:10,14 418:12 419:15,18 420:6 423:7 431:6,9,14 432:1, 16,18 461:14,18 462:17 463:14 472:10 473:12, 13,15,20 476:25 477:1 479:23 495:25 496:10, 20,22 497:3,24 498:10 502:4,17 503:6

analyzed 420:11

and/or 454:9

Anders 449:18,22

Andrea 381:25 422:3 438:3 451:2,7 486:8,13

Annalissa 515:23 516:15,18 517:3,16 518:9,25

answering 438:20 460:15 476:5

anticipated 395:7 396:12

apologies 421:20 441:8 442:23 468:4 474:8 518:3

apologize 490:2 511:2, 6 514:12 520:25

App 450:9

appearing 398:8 447:6

Appendix 505:12

apples 472:14

applicable 391:1,2

application 425:3 428:17 455:1 503:18

applications 454:12 493:2

applied 390:23 391:6 427:15 492:22

applies 402:8 420:13 425:9,11,12

apply 428:5 430:3 445:17 461:18 462:17 477:1

applying 476:13 477:17

approach 438:14 439:16 440:4,6,12 444:21 445:16 460:7

approaching 447:10

appropriately 389:10 443:14 445:14

approved 425:4 438:14 466:7

approving 423:18

arms 517:3

Armstrong 513:12,13

arrive 423:23

arrived 396:15

artificial 495:10 497:12

artificially 494:10 495:11

aspect 480:19

assertions 469:3

assessed 402:22

assessing 500:22

assessment 402:11,20 authors 438:15 427:8 461:5

assessments 464:3

assigned 380:16

assist 433:13.21 434:1

Association 505:23,25 513:11,13

assume 391:24,25 396:13 471:5

assumes 392:12 393:25 426:24

assuming 392:20 396:20 426:24 463:13 489:11,12,25 490:13,21

assumption 395:1 397:3 473:10

assumptions 383:24 395:4 471:8

assure 406:2

Atacha-15 439:1

atmosphere 454:11

attached 438:16

attachment 436:21 438:21,25 439:2,6 440:17 441:10,13

attainments 430:24

attempted 500:21 501:3

attempting 503:20

attention 449:12

attest 427:23

attestations 381:9,14 399:1,17 435:2,15,25 448:19 467:5,12,19

attested 400:6 436:9 460:6

attorney 467:5 attributes 428:18

authority 520:9,12

authorize 408:18 authorized 387:16

408:3

Index: allocation..B1

average 405:12

avoid 392:22 396:22 418:18 443:14 471:11, 15,17,24 472:8,18 480:10,15 485:6 491:24 499:11

avoidable 444:25 486:20,24,25 487:18 489:1,2,8,12,22 490:5, 12,13,14,18,19,21 491:1,9 492:12,13

avoidance 445:24 446:3 460:16 471:20 488:8

avoidant 462:19

avoided 380:12 382:12,19,25 383:7,13, 22 387:17 388:4,15 389:11,14,17,18 390:23 391:22 392:5 402:14 420:12,14 427:9,17 429:3 440:24 441:5,20 443:20 445:8 452:24 456:8 460:2 461:20 464:2,21 465:5 474:1,6, 19,22 479:7 481:10 482:23 483:1,5,7,9,12, 19,25 484:2 485:5 487:6,13 488:16,17 489:3,8,24 490:1,12 491:20 492:1,6,9,15,17, 18,20,21 495:11,19,24 496:8,21 497:21 498:25 500:21 501:3,19,24 503:15

avoiding 471:6,12 484:20 485:1

aware 387:1,4 392:7 393:19 409:3,10,18,21 410:1 411:16 412:12,15 414:15 416:10 418:14 420:9 426:25 427:19 455:23 456:13,17 457:15 482:5 492:18 520:11

В

B1 436:20

- **B3** 400:16
- **B4** 436:22
- **B6** 400:17

back 380:4 396:16 401:16 411:19 412:21 421:8 422:19 434:24 441:16 447:11 453:21 459:6 465:19,22 466:19 467:10 470:1 480:7 481:16 482:2,19 486:2, 3,6 504:23 509:5 522:1

background 431:22

bad 478:2

balance 495:10

ball 420:21

barring 510:8

based 384:8 388:3 391:6 396:2 405:4 411:10 425:8 426:12,21 428:19 430:21 438:12 442:12 445:13 446:12 457:13 464:6 473:9 484:1,9,17 489:23 490:8 494:24 495:18

basically 415:11 439:20,21 445:16

basis 384:19 389:14 390:24 391:25 392:5 393:12 441:1,3,25 442:8,14 443:11 444:13 445:12 483:3 519:13

batch 384:10

begin 381:20,22 400:1 401:18 422:6 436:5 437:21,23 438:6 448:6 449:2 451:3,4,13,14 462:15 463:9 468:12 469:18 470:2 486:9,17

beginning 382:23 461:24 462:11 470:11, 25

behalf 400:5 401:20 436:19 446:18 448:14, 16 469:20 505:24 512:23 522:10

behind-the-meter 454:11 believes 459:20 496:20

Ben 507:1,3,8

bended 386:6

beneficial 445:7 446:5

benefit 414:4 417:9,13 419:9 423:11 443:19 446:1,4 456:12 476:24 503:3

benefits 391:10,11 402:5,14,16,18,22 403:8 406:7,8,9,20,23 407:1,11 414:11 415:1, 6 420:2,7,11 422:24 423:13 440:25 459:25 460:7,11 475:11,18,19, 24 476:1,15,25 484:20, 25 491:19

big 440:8

bill 416:5 459:20

bills 454:7

Biological 401:21 469:21 507:22

bit 452:1 461:23 471:16 481:2,16 501:14

blunt 476:23

borne 454:12

bottom 416:8

bound 497:13

bounds 497:7,10

break 404:16 409:16 418:6 420:25 421:3 440:13 447:11,12,19 466:16 471:14

breaking 440:5

breakout 439:14,18

briefing 521:7

bring 381:18 398:21 401:13 421:16,19 437:19 449:11 451:2 467:2,4,5 491:16 506:25 509:16 512:6,20 513:10,25 515:3 516:5

broad 390:12

broken 440:8

brought 432:10

budgets 408:13 build 471:6,12 472:9,

17,24

build-outs 481:25

building 480:22

built 392:1

burden 415:22,24 416:1,3 420:8,12 475:12

business 385:13 411:10 512:21

byproduct 458:19

С

CA-0 521:21
CA-01 512:10,15,16
CA-01E 512:10,15,17
CA-02 512:10,15,18
CA-03 512:10,15,19
CA-04 505:2,4 515:17,

20 516:3,4 521:19

CA-4 515:16

Cal 399:10 403:15 488:23 489:18 491:7,14 507:24 512:7,9 515:20 518:22 519:9

calc 390:23

calculate 387:20 501:3,6,9

calculated 382:19,25 402:10 454:23 494:18 495:11

calculating 492:17 501:20

calculation 391:20 426:11 461:21 485:9,12 494:11

calculations 391:7 462:20 464:22

calculator 380:12 383:13 390:23 392:6 420:12,14 427:10,17 442:4,10,11 444:19,23 445:8 456:9 460:2,17 479:7 492:16,18,21 498:25 503:15

California 381:5 435:12 438:10 448:12, 16 449:6 467:17 476:6 483:13 505:17,22,25 507:19

Californians 476:15

call 388:7 427:11,13 520:18

called 400:5 436:8 449:6 468:8

calling 393:11

Calls 388:24

camera 507:6 519:7

cap 432:22

capacity 390:6 428:10, 12,24,25 429:5 430:7 439:13,23 484:5

capital 431:13 432:2,22

captured 428:16

careful 413:19

carefully 420:4

carve-out 410:9,18,25 411:4,13

carve-outs 409:23 410:2

carved 411:7,10

case 416:20 417:25 457:1,4,5,8,10 475:21 487:22 520:7

case-by-case 441:1

cases 406:19 458:9 487:17

causing 478:7

CBD 519:10 521:11

CBD-01 506:19,22,24

CBD-02 507:23 518:19, 20 520:18 521:11

Center 401:21 469:21 507:22

central 394:19

chance 448:21

change 394:12 442:7 444:8,12,14,17 445:7 446:16,21 447:1 464:6 498:10 499:3

changed 383:24 442:5, 18 443:16 444:20 445:5

characterize 413:20 445:6

charges 479:25 481:14 493:23 494:6,21

check 414:13 447:3

Cheng 467:6,12 468:11,16,17 469:13,15 473:1 478:11 481:1,6 485:19,21 486:8 487:9 489:10,25 490:6,10,20, 23 493:7 496:1,11 499:16 500:13,23 501:11,23 502:20 503:10 504:3,5,13 515:3,4,5,14

circuit 439:21

circulated 385:3

citation 417:20 450:11 452:6,13 472:2 499:17

citations 499:14

cite 417:17 452:4

cited 408:23

claim 488:13 499:15

clarification 412:19 446:12 478:24 479:13 500:1 521:7,19

clarified 459:13

clarify 383:16 384:22, 24 385:19 387:21 390:14 428:23 442:19 458:12 460:10 465:3 487:9 490:3 491:12 496:11 522:13

clarifying 496:7

clarity 408:17 439:5 458:25 465:20 477:4 491:5,11 492:10 class 480:1
classes 479:25
CLC-01 507:10,13,15
CLC-02 507:13,16
CLE-01 506:3,10,13
CLE-02 506:3,11,14
Clean 506:25 507:9
clearing 514:13
close 385:13

closely 481:16

closer 487:23

Coalition 506:25 507:9,19 508:5

collected 479:24 494:5,6

collectively 404:11,22

color 478:5

com- 494:13

combined 502:10

comfortable 488:12

comment 394:14,17 396:15 460:4 475:23

commenting 463:23

comments 479:6

Commission 380:5 387:2 388:4,18,21 402:19 403:24 405:17 406:4,14 415:9,15 419:19 428:7,13,19 429:15,17,20 430:5,9, 10,13 473:6 487:6,15 495:2 500:4 519:16 520:5 521:5

Commission's 385:3 387:15 388:7 430:21,25

Commission-directed 382:15

commissioner 380:17

commitments 488:8

committee 416:9,11, 13,15,19,24 417:5 418:2,3,11,12,16 committee's 416:20

common 385:5

communities 382:1 403:17 404:21 405:18 407:21 408:8,19 409:4, 25 410:3 411:16 422:4 438:4 451:8 478:10,21 481:20 482:7 484:25 486:14 500:9,12

community 409:7 479:20 480:8,10,14,20, 21 481:22,23,24 484:20

community-targeted 404:7

companies 473:19 476:7 483:21

companion 414:15

company 380:23 381:5,6 406:1 435:6,12 438:10,11 448:12,16 449:7 505:17

compare 503:2,3

compared 420:18 494:20 502:13

comparing 502:7,8,23

comparisons 431:7,10

compensated 493:24

compensation 383:5 391:7 475:13 494:10 500:6

competing 485:5

complement 415:10 419:18

complete 442:14

completed 466:6

completely 394:16

completion 382:14

compliance 483:14, 18,21 484:3,4

component 477:9 480:19 487:3,20 495:4

components 402:21 476:4 485:14 486:23

compound 382:16

409:15 418:19 461:23 464:8

compounding 494:14

comprehensive 460:24

concept 402:12 419:5 432:18

concepts 416:2

conceptual 474:20

conceptually 474:25 475:1 502:8

concern 444:11 475:14,15 478:10,22 479:22

concerned 395:11

concerns 422:9 444:22 495:25 496:9,12

conclude 461:15 522:23

concluded 504:16

concludes 434:7 443:22 466:9 484:11

conclusion 388:25 454:15 463:14,18 504:18 522:21

conclusions 454:25

concurs 488:25 489:20 491:8 492:11

conduct 387:3,16

conducted 412:5,24 413:4 456:19 458:4

confer 384:17 421:1 466:14

confidence 497:21,22 498:7,10

confident 388:17 480:3

confirm 456:17

confused 397:13 462:4 514:8

connected 485:12

connection 456:10

consideration 415:8

Index: central..consideration

464:24 considered 404:6 407:22 420:4 460:1,11 477:8 490:14 503:18 **consistent** 440:1,14 469:7 consistently 392:9 393:21 **consists** 519:10 constraints 499:5 constructing 492:18 construction 471:20 479:6.8.12 consumers 488:6,11 489:4,9 493:6 500:11 505:23.25 consumption 459:4 context 418:15 430:14 454:20 456:8 478:12 483:2 **contingent** 482:5,17 **continue** 451:19 453:24 473:7 continued 455:15 456:4 continues 446:3 492:22 continuing 415:17 continuous 455:11 459:7 contributing 495:3 **convert** 389:13 correct 390:8 401:4 403:12,13 404:6 407:10 408:6,25 409:9 422:10, 11,13,14 424:2,3 431:11,12 437:10 439:7 444:9 446:19 450:6,13 451:11,25 452:5 453:14 458:5 463:10,12 467:6

514:10 521:19 corrected 450:8

469:3 492:14 502:2

correcting 417:24 419:12

corrections 400:22 437:3 450:15 469:10 correspond 488:7 correspondence 488:10 cost 380:12 383:13,23 388:16 390:23 391:7, 21,22 392:6 403:12,18, 21,22 404:3,5 405:11, 15 407:2,9,11,14 411:23 412:1,7,9,25 413:4,7,8,16,18 414:4 415:25 417:9,13 420:12,14 425:5 427:10,17 428:21 429:3 440:25 445:8.23 456:8 460:2.17 461:20 462:19 464:22 473:7,9,11,12, 17,19 476:11,13,18,24 477:8,14 478:8 479:1,7 480:5,24 481:3,21 482:1,9 483:1,7 484:12 487:6,13 492:15,18,20, 21 493:16,19 494:8,15, 17,23,25 495:4,12,14, 25 496:9,14,16,18,24 497:5,14,24 498:7,9,25 500:22 501:9,17,21,24 502:4,5,10 503:2,15

cost-benefit 419:9

cost-effective 403:10

cost-effectiveness

380:9 383:4 385:4 406:2,12 407:22 411:8, 12 414:12 419:17,21 420:7,15 423:24 426:1 503:19

cost-ineffective 404:20

costs 382:12 383:1,8 387:18 389:11,14,16,18 392:22 396:22 403:8 406:8,21,24 407:4 422:10,24 438:12,19 439:13,15,16,19,20,21, 22,23,25 440:11,13 441:2,5,7,20,22 442:4, 10,11 443:4,5,10,18,20, 21 444:19,23,24 445:15,18,21 446:3 452:24 454:9 464:2 470:17,19 471:3,4,7,11 474:1,6,13,19,23 476:16,25 479:24 482:22,24 483:4,5,9,19, 25 484:2,3,4,5 485:5 487:17 488:16,17 489:1,3,7,8,12,20,22, 23,25 490:4,11,13,14, 17,18,21,25 491:9,18, 21,24,25 492:6,8,12,17 493:22 494:5,19 495:19,24 496:8,21 497:21 500:7,21,24 501:4,7,20,24

costs' 488:25

Council 509:17,25

counsel 395:9 404:12 410:8,12 413:10 430:13 431:18 432:7 441:13 452:11 462:23 463:1 464:9 470:7 478:11,15 487:9 489:15,25 490:10 499:16 500:23 501:13, 15 505:22 508:5,18,20 511:7 512:20 514:22 515:17 519:19 522:7,19

Counsel's 418:21

count 491:25

counterbalanced 404:8

couple 411:5 447:17 466:13

cover 479:21

covered 479:19

covering 403:6

CPUC 408:4 425:4 472:23 498:2 499:5

create 406:22 412:25 471:7 485:14 493:16 494:25 495:14

creates 494:7 497:13

cross 385:25 421:20 437:21 447:5,14 469:18 470:2 486:9

cross-examination

380:19 381:21 382:5 384:16 398:10 401:11, 19 402:1 422:1 432:11 437:17 438:1 448:6 450:25 451:3,9 469:14 470:4 486:15 504:16

cross-shift 480:13

crossed 384:12

Crosstalk 381:3,19 462:6 472:7 493:9

CUE 508:5

CUE-01 508:9,14,16

CUE-02E 508:10,14,17

current 407:13,15 442:20,22 443:16 461:10

customer 454:7,21 456:13 458:15 470:13, 15 494:19,20 500:5

customers 409:11,12, 19 411:15 454:13 456:7 458:18,22 474:4,17 475:3,4,24 476:2,7 493:17,23 494:1,7 495:15 500:7

cut 452:1

cycle 382:13 388:11

D

D.22-05-002 438:7 450:8 460:23

DA 460:6

DAC-SASH 409:5

Dan 508:20

Danryd 449:18,22

Darcie 380:17

data 380:9 383:11 433:4 473:10,23 482:3 485:4,7,8,9

David 467:6 468:17 510:1 515:3

day 380:6 385:14,19 386:15 443:5 475:6

days 398:15

de 471:25

de- 419:17

DEA 415:7 432:18 460:5,7

dealing 390:13 394:19 475:15

debacle 420:19

decarbonizing 451:22

decided 447:1

decision 387:2 388:23 408:4,5,7,15,18,21,22, 23 409:8,9 438:14 439:25 463:20,21 470:10 484:8 519:10, 17,18,24 520:9,10,14, 16,24 521:1,2,3,5,6,13

decisions 403:1 473:23 519:25

decrease 480:19,22,23 481:25 484:14

decreasing 475:4

dedicated 423:18

Defense 509:17.25

defer 388:10 390:18 461:19 462:18 465:3

deferring 388:11

defers 461:2

define 403:19 407:5 415:2 419:2 439:13

defined 497:9

definition 417:22

definitions 416:2

degree 473:12,16 478:21 480:14 482:1

degrees 497:7

delay 442:16 443:19 461:4,16

delaying 465:17

delays 441:6,21

delivering 453:10

demand 412:11 423:20 424:16 428:6,15,18 429:4 442:4 443:5,20, 21 444:19,23 445:21, 24,25 446:3 451:23 455:12 demand-based 440:24 441:5,20

demand-response 425:9

demands 445:11

demonstrated 486:20, 21,24

depend 480:7,9,14

depends 390:10 403:19 405:16 471:25 479:16

deployed 483:9,15

deployment 407:20 479:2 480:9 485:13 492:2,9,13 495:5

DER 380:8 383:3 385:4 405:16 407:17 412:4,25 415:13,19 425:11,13,25 426:25 427:4,21,24 430:22 431:7 433:12 458:16 470:14 471:5, 10,11,15,17,20,22,23 472:1,3,8,11,13,20 474:2,14,15 475:3,4 477:8 478:4 479:2,11, 15 483:9,15 484:12 485:14 488:5,7,10,14, 17,18 489:3,9,24 490:19 491:16 492:1,2, 7,9,13,19 493:5,14,24 494:10 495:4,12 499:11,13 500:4,5 502:8,23 503:19

DER-RELATED 490:25

DER-TYPE 441:25

DERS 390:6,10 392:8, 20 393:5,20 394:9 395:1,7 396:12,20 397:1 412:2 414:5,11 428:6 430:4,14,19 443:13,19 444:25 445:7,21,23 446:4,5 472:17,18 476:21 478:9,17,19 479:19 484:15,20,25 485:5

describe 402:25 485:10 design 471:10 479:5,16 481:3 482:5 485:13 494:4,17,25 495:3 502:10

designed 480:2 499:1

designing 408:7 498:25 500:4

designs 473:20 479:3 493:21

detail 456:18 458:3 492:5

detailed 402:12

details 396:8.14

determination 383:4

determinations 420:7 475:13

determine 415:11,21 429:7 432:19

determined 426:18

determining 425:5 428:21 459:24

develop 438:11 439:25 464:21

developed 438:19 460:25 463:13,23

developing 452:22 462:15 463:9 464:4,18

development 387:18 461:2,16,20 462:19 466:2

deviating 481:1

Diego 380:23 381:6 399:11,14 400:5 435:8, 13 436:8 438:9

difference 440:6 472:3

differences 440:3

differentiated 443:8 445:12,19

direct 400:1,8 436:5,11 449:2,9 465:19 468:12, 15 505:16

direction 400:20 437:1 450:2

directly 416:12 458:10, 13

director 387:15

disadvantaged

403:16,20 404:1,6,7,21 405:18,23 407:21 408:1,8,12,19 409:4,25 410:3 411:15,16 423:6 478:9,13,20 481:19,21, 23 482:7 500:8

disagree 482:21

disagreeing 483:3

disagrees 465:13

discovery 432:6 433:5, 6

discuss 402:5 403:7 413:7 414:3,13 417:15 472:3 474:22 492:4,5 501:23 504:19

discussed 384:10 475:2 487:16,20,21

discusses 413:16 501:18

discussing 496:10 503:1

discussion 414:14,20 418:14 466:1 488:19 501:24 511:3

discussions 446:22

disproportionate 415:22,24

distinction 476:6

Distributed 380:8 448:11

distribution 387:17 415:1,5,13 419:9 422:24 429:8 432:19 438:12,13,19 439:13, 16,18,20,22,23 441:7, 22 458:23 459:21 492:6

distributional 414:20, 22,25 415:7,18 416:9 417:10,12,14 418:11 419:10,17 431:6,9 432:1,16,18

Diversity 401:21 469:21 507:22

Division 387:16 388:8, 10

division's 383:12

document 385:1,3 387:9 414:10 499:16

documents 416:18,23 418:22 468:21,24

dollars 408:13

Douglass 508:19,20 509:3

due 432:8 444:21 478:6

Dutta 512:6,8 515:19 516:6 518:22 519:2,6,8, 21 520:8 521:18,23

Е

E3 389:9 earlier 385:11 511:15 economic 406:9 407:4, 6 415:1,2 Economics 389:9 economist 497:7 Edison 381:5 392:2 397:25 399:10 435:12 438:10 Edward 447:14

EE 411:9 423:20 427:8, 15

EEA 460:7

effect 445:6 470:15 519:11 520:1 521:3

effective 403:21,22

effectively 423:8

effectiveness 391:7 404:5 425:5 428:22 440:25 476:11,18 477:8 484:12

efficiency 411:6 412:6, 9 424:16 426:8 427:7

efficient 458:19

effort 384:1

elaborate 399:5 493:18 502:18

Elaine 380:14

electric 380:23 381:5,6 399:11,15 400:6 412:16,25 435:9,12,13 436:9 438:10,13 451:22

electricity 458:20

elevate 507:3

eliminate 458:22

email 384:14 521:8

emissions 461:13

Employees 507:20

encourage 428:8 430:6

end 415:17 426:19 473:5 482:21 484:11

end-use 423:18

end-user 454:22

energy 380:8 387:15 388:8,10 389:9 411:6 412:6,9 418:1 422:25 423:2,11,19 424:15 426:7,8 427:7 448:11 451:18 458:18 459:4 474:22 476:21 477:1 480:11 482:24 483:1,6, 8 484:1,4 487:17,24 494:3 505:22,25 513:10,13

enhance 405:20 480:2

enrolled 409:12

enrolling 409:19

ensure 404:22 405:25 465:20 500:5

entails 408:15

enter 431:14 512:15 513:4 514:2 515:17 517:25 518:15 520:19

entered 508:15,25 509:12 510:8,22 511:9, 17 513:20 514:15,21 515:11 516:3,23 517:6, 10,20 518:13 521:11, 16,19,21

entering 505:21 506:11,22 510:18 516:13,25 518:7 520:22 522:4 enters 404:3 est

entire 391:1 392:21 394:20 396:21 397:25

entirety 448:22

entities 483:13 487:1

entity 483:16 491:24

entries 487:4

entry 506:5 516:7 522:14

environment 485:15

environmental 389:9 417:25 451:18 454:9

equal 443:6 477:18,20 482:10 497:8 498:5

equal-cents-perkilowatt-hour 445:17

equal-cents-perkilowatt/hour 442:8 443:7

equally 478:4

Equipment 380:10

equitable 484:14

equity 410:19 411:3,11, 15 414:20,22,25 415:7, 18 416:9 417:10,14 418:11 419:10,17,20 420:15 422:9 423:5 431:6,9 432:1,16 459:24 460:15 477:7 484:13

equity-focused 405:9

equivalent 390:5 392:10 393:22 394:11

errata 505:2,16 511:13 514:9,10

error 497:10,17,23

ESA 411:6,14

ESP 460:5

essential 473:15

essentially 402:18 405:22 406:13 416:14 419:16 423:5 459:2 463:8

establish 418:7

established 438:17 502:21

estimate 388:15 426:22 427:3 461:11

estimated 440:25 441:3

estimates 438:12 498:3

estimating 441:4,20

evaluate 452:24

evaluated 441:24

evaluating 407:20 477:8

evaluation 484:12

evenly 476:13 477:17

event 391:24 487:6

evidence 392:13 394:1 451:24 452:4 493:19 494:15 505:21 506:5, 11,13,14,23,24 507:14, 15,16 508:10,15,16,17 509:1,2,8,12,13,14,15 510:6,8,10,11,19,22 511:10,17,18,19,20,21, 22,23,24 512:1,2,3,4,5, 9,15,16,17,18,19 513:6, 7,20,21,22,23,24 514:2, 15,21,24 515:2,7,11,12, 13,18 516:3,4,7,14,23, 24 517:1,7,8,10,12,20, 22 518:1,5,7,13,14,16, 19,21 519:13 520:11, 19,23 521:12,17,22 522:4,15

evidentiary 380:6,10 504:17,18 522:22

evolve 451:19

exacerbated 494:9

exact 411:20 446:20 472:2

examination 400:2,8 436:5,11 444:4 449:2,9 468:12,15 479:3

examining 380:11 421:24

anuary 25, 2024		
examples 411:3 423:21 424:2,21 426:2 427:5 430:10 474:5,21,	experiences 415:21 expert 457:20 473:14 494:16 498:23	
24 exclude 489:3,8,23	expertise 457:19	
490:18 excuse 486:25 501:5	explain 381:1 435:5 445:10 454:15 491:7,10	
excused 421:14,19 434:16 447:4 466:25	497:25 500:23 explained 459:5	
504:9 exempt 405:9 406:7	explanation 431:22 455:7	
exhibit 384:8,15 386:20	explore 473:7,12	
400:15,16 408:22 436:20,21 450:16	expressed 469:6	
468:22 505:2,4,6,9,11,	extend 489:21	
13,15,18 506:13,14,17, 19,24 507:15,16 508:16,17,21 509:2,13,	extends 488:25 491:9 492:12	
14,15 510:10,11 511:18,19,20,21,22,23, 24 512:1,2,3,4,5,16,17,	extent 424:17 454:16 456:6 469:2,6 478:16 488:14 501:21	
18,19 513:6,7,14,21,22, 23,24 514:23 515:1,12,	extremely 420:2,3	
13,16 516:4,14,24 517:8,21 518:4,14,19	F	
519:9,14 520:18 522:9,		
16,17	facility 429:8	
Exhibit-06 384:5	facing 490:20	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12 400:10,11 436:14,15 504:25 505:1,21 506:3,	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 522:9	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12 400:10,11 436:14,15 504:25 505:1,21 506:3, 4 509:6 510:5,21 518:1,	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 522:9 factor 407:22 483:11	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12 400:10,11 436:14,15 504:25 505:1,21 506:3,	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 522:9 factor 407:22 483:11 factored 486:20	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12 400:10,11 436:14,15 504:25 505:1,21 506:3, 4 509:6 510:5,21 518:1, 16 521:16 522:4,7,12,	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 522:9 factor 407:22 483:11 factored 486:20 factors 407:8,13 423:22 426:10,16,18,22 427:6,9,14,16,19,25	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12 400:10,11 436:14,15 504:25 505:1,21 506:3, 4 509:6 510:5,21 518:1, 16 521:16 522:4,7,12, 14 exist 425:7 487:7,10,13	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 522:9 factor 407:22 483:11 factored 486:20 factors 407:8,13 423:22 426:10,16,18,22 427:6,9,14,16,19,25 445:14 facts 380:11 392:13	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12 400:10,11 436:14,15 504:25 505:1,21 506:3, 4 509:6 510:5,21 518:1, 16 521:16 522:4,7,12, 14 exist 425:7 487:7,10,13 492:7 496:24 497:5 existence 473:9,11,16,	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 522:9 factor 407:22 483:11 factored 486:20 factors 407:8,13 423:22 426:10,16,18,22 427:6,9,14,16,19,25 445:14 facts 380:11 392:13 393:25 489:11 520:16 521:3	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12 400:10,11 436:14,15 504:25 505:1,21 506:3, 4 509:6 510:5,21 518:1, 16 521:16 522:4,7,12, 14 exist 425:7 487:7,10,13 492:7 496:24 497:5 existence 473:9,11,16, 19 499:2 existing 382:13 424:5,	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 522:9 factor 407:22 483:11 factored 486:20 factors 407:8,13 423:22 426:10,16,18,22 427:6,9,14,16,19,25 445:14 facts 380:11 392:13 393:25 489:11 520:16	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12 400:10,11 436:14,15 504:25 505:1,21 506:3, 4 509:6 510:5,21 518:1, 16 521:16 522:4,7,12, 14 exist 425:7 487:7,10,13 492:7 496:24 497:5 existence 473:9,11,16, 19 499:2 existing 382:13 424:5, 10 428:24 exists 425:19 500:22	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 522:9 factor 407:22 483:11 factored 486:20 factors 407:8,13 423:22 426:10,16,18,22 427:6,9,14,16,19,25 445:14 facts 380:11 392:13 393:25 489:11 520:16 521:3 factual 401:3 437:9 469:2 fair 390:15 397:3	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12 400:10,11 436:14,15 504:25 505:1,21 506:3, 4 509:6 510:5,21 518:1, 16 521:16 522:4,7,12, 14 exist 425:7 487:7,10,13 492:7 496:24 497:5 existence 473:9,11,16, 19 499:2 existing 382:13 424:5, 10 428:24 exists 425:19 500:22 501:10	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 522:9 factor 407:22 483:11 factored 486:20 factors 407:8,13 423:22 426:10,16,18,22 427:6,9,14,16,19,25 445:14 facts 380:11 392:13 393:25 489:11 520:16 521:3 factual 401:3 437:9 469:2	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12 400:10,11 436:14,15 504:25 505:1,21 506:3, 4 509:6 510:5,21 518:1, 16 521:16 522:4,7,12, 14 exist 425:7 487:7,10,13 492:7 496:24 497:5 existence 473:9,11,16, 19 499:2 existing 382:13 424:5, 10 428:24 exists 425:19 500:22 501:10 expect 496:6 expected 403:7	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 522:9 factor 407:22 483:11 factored 486:20 factors 407:8,13 423:22 426:10,16,18,22 427:6,9,14,16,19,25 445:14 facts 380:11 392:13 393:25 489:11 520:16 521:3 factual 401:3 437:9 469:2 fair 390:15 397:3 422:23 423:4 432:19 483:24 484:1 500:10	
Exhibit-06 384:5 exhibits 384:10 385:20,22 395:12 400:10,11 436:14,15 504:25 505:1,21 506:3, 4 509:6 510:5,21 518:1, 16 521:16 522:4,7,12, 14 exist 425:7 487:7,10,13 492:7 496:24 497:5 existence 473:9,11,16, 19 499:2 existing 382:13 424:5, 10 428:24 exists 425:19 500:22 501:10 expect 496:6 expected 403:7 406:20,22	facing 490:20 fact 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 522:9 factor 407:22 483:11 factored 486:20 factors 407:8,13 423:22 426:10,16,18,22 427:6,9,14,16,19,25 445:14 facts 380:11 392:13 393:25 489:11 520:16 521:3 factual 401:3 437:9 469:2 fair 390:15 397:3 422:23 423:4 432:19 483:24 484:1 500:10 502:12	

kperiences 415:21 414:6,8,18 416:23 **kpert** 457:20 473:14 family 409:6 494:16 498:23 fan 485:7 **cpertise** 457:19 federal 456:17 457:13 458:2 478:17.18 **kplain** 381:1 435:5 445:10 454:15 491:7,10 feeder 439:15,21 497:25 500:23 feel 398:18 461:7 cplained 459:5 figure 395:9 cplanation 431:22 figured 442:1 **kplore** 473:7,12 final 438:13 439:18 520:1 kpressed 469:6 finance 406:9 ktend 489:21 financial 407:3,5 ktends 488:25 491:9 415:1,2 find 411:20 414:21 **ctent** 424:17 454:16 470:21 456:6 469:2,6 478:16 488:14 501:21 **finding** 415:12 **ctremely** 420:2,3 fine 465:14 472:23 Fitch 520:14,24 521:13 five-minute 421:3 fixed 470:18 471:3,4,7 cility 429:8 479:24 480:18,19,24 cing 490:20 481:13 493:22 494:4, 19,21 ct 390:19 444:22,24 489:12 492:3 499:12 flexible 398:9 flip 415:4 481:22 ctor 407:22 483:11 flow 459:3 ctored 486:20

FLT 439:15,22,23,25 440:13

FLTS 439:14

focused 477:12.13

focusing 455:18 492:19

focussing 481:10

follow 418:11 439:25 440:12,13

footnote 408:21 416:7 425:8 426:1 450:6,7 453:25

footnotes 416:7

forecast 395:1 396:5,7

forecasted 390:7 392:11 393:23 394:12

forecasting 485:8

forecasts 487:19,21 498:3

forethought 460:23

forget 398:18

forgot 413:13 464:13

form 454:25 470:14

formally 520:10

forward 402:23

fossil 461:12

found 459:16

foundation 382:1 418:8 422:4 438:4 451:8 486:14

Fourth 505:15

framed 460:16

framework 484:19

framing 484:17

frankly 390:12

free 398:18 427:11

front 438:8 460:16

fuel 452:10 453:7,12

fuels 451:19 452:8,9, 13,25 453:7,9,10

full 399:3,21 403:8 406:7,21 435:19,25 467:23

function 492:7

functionally 486:25

funding 479:14,16,18, 19,21 481:24 482:8,13

future 433:3 471:7 472:18 493:2

G

gas 380:23 381:4,6 399:11,14 400:6 435:8, 11,13 436:8 438:9,12 448:12,16 449:7 453:11

Index: gases..identification

January 25, 2024	5	-	
454:8 455:8,10 457:20,	greatest 476:15	happy 504:15	451:6 453:1,23 457:7,
21 458:11,20,23 459:3 460:22 461:3,6,12,15,	green 407:25 409:4,7	hard 402:16 472:6	22 458:1 462:21 464:16 466:10,13,22 467:1,15,
16,17 462:16 463:10	greenfield 397:19	harm 484:15	24 468:1,6,17 469:13,
464:5,19 465:4,17,25 466:3,4 505:17	greenhouse 460:22	harms 402:14	22 473:1 481:6,7 485:21 486:12 489:10
gases 483:1,20	461:3,5,12,15,17 462:16 463:10 464:5,19	head 424:22,23	500:13 502:20 503:8
gather 457:9	465:4,17,25 466:3	hear 393:16,17 435:21	504:5 506:2,18 507:8, 21 508:19 509:3
gauge 483:6	482:25 483:20	heard 393:10	510:14,23,24 511:2,12
gave 475:21	grid 406:23 407:1 451:22	hearing 380:6,11	512:8,22 513:8,12 514:1,5,8,13 515:5,19,
general 408:11 431:1	ground 410:11	385:15 386:16 504:17, 19 506:10,22 508:14,25	25 516:20 517:5,11,18,
484:9	group 493:23 502:7	509:11 510:21 512:14	23 518:3,11,18,22 519:4,8,9,22,23 520:4,
generally 403:13,15	groups 480:3 494:19,	513:19 514:20 515:10 516:2,22 517:19 518:12	20 521:1,18 522:16
405:12 406:19 409:3 412:3,4 427:22 430:14,	20	522:22	hook 404:25 432:10
19 455:6 472:18 484:10	growth 390:1,7 391:18	hearings 386:7	hope 388:14,20 492:9
generate 495:10	392:11 393:23 394:12 396:3,6 397:21	hearsay 418:22	hopes 421:22
generation 471:23,24	guess 383:19 388:22	helpful 419:7 430:1	hoping 458:12
GGL-01 508:22,25	390:12 391:12 392:17	431:19,22 475:7 491:5, 11	host 415:13
509:2 GHG 464:25	395:20 397:14 398:16 403:20 407:7 416:2	HERBERT 510:23,25	Houck 380:17
	427:1 487:12 488:4 490:15 491:4 500:18	515:25 516:20 517:5,18	hour 445:18,19 447:22
GHGS 483:7,12	501:17	518:11 519:3	hourly 441:3 442:7,13 445:14
give 415:14 470:20 474:7 515:22	guidance 402:20 404:2	high 493:16 494:10 495:11,14 497:13	hours 386:2,8,9 443:5,
goal 411:8 458:21,25	416:23 430:21,23	high-income 500:11	8
483:17 494:12 495:21 497:9 498:16,17	guide 403:3 481:14	high-level 494:13	house 491:22
goals 403:24 406:3	guided 406:4	higher 402:11,19	Hsu 447:14 466:11,13,
428:8 429:15,17,20	guidelines 405:19	403:17 482:6,10	17,20 467:3 510:24,25 511:1,2,5 513:25 514:1,
430:5,9,10,14,24,25 451:19 458:16 495:1	guiding 488:23 491:8 503:16	highlight 460:6	5,7,12 517:11,23
good 380:13 402:3		hold 417:21 459:12	hydrogen 452:10
429:13 436:13 447:2	н	holistic 433:12,14,16	453:7,12
449:11 468:20 473:23 478:15 487:24 508:19	Hafez 505:24 506:2,7	homes 409:6	hypothetical 480:8,21 490:11,22 521:9
512:22 521:14	HAGA 507:1,5	Honor 381:24 384:7,23 385:18 386:18 388:24	
Google 508:18,20	hand 507:1 510:25	392:12 393:8,25 395:8,	I
granted 510:7	516:15 517:14 519:4	19 398:5,13 400:3 401:10,23 404:12,24	i.e. 428:8 429:22 430:5
granular 441:2,6,21	handbook 414:9,10,16	408:17 409:14 410:4	idea 491:16 492:4
grapple 485:3	hands 447:7 515:23	412:23 413:10,14 416:25 417:16 418:21	identi 505:6
GRC 455:1	516:1,18,21 517:4,17 518:10,25 522:8	419:6 421:4,11,22	identifiable 488:24
Great 470:6 476:9	happen 392:3 471:10	425:17 429:18 430:12 431:15 432:4 433:7	489:19
484:7 521:15 greater 392:10 393:22	happening 390:25	434:3,10,13,18 436:6 437:16 441:8 444:3	identification 384:11 505:5,10,14,19
394:11 407:20		446:6,10 449:4 450:24	

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

identified 400:11 429:21 436:14 439:22 468:21 472:23 481:19 505:7 identify 429:15,20 440:3 504:24,25 identifying 473:18 479:3 impact 391:5 412:8 415:14 416:3,4,5 419:4 429:2 454:22 475:16 485:6 impacts 415:19 423:8 459:20,21 460:3 475:6, 10 476:19,20 484:21 485:1 impeachment 386:1 imperfections 498:4 implication 485:11 importance 501:19 important 451:20 476:4 487:21 491:25 496:5 497:8 imposed 476:21 **improve** 431:7 improved 387:19 in-person 385:24 386:9 in-state 475:15.23 476:2 inaccuracies 498:6.8 inaccuracy 497:8 inaccurate 495:24 496:8,13,21 497:14,21 498:6 inaudible 467:24 468:1 incentives 478:7 500:5 include 385:21 414:11 427:10 431:10 433:15 452:10 453:7,12 456:11 460:3 462:14 464:4,18 476:25 482:21 484:3

included 428:6 430:4 460:14 488:15 503:17

488:20,24 489:19

520:10

includes 424:19 432:2 453:11

including 392:8 393:20 420:6,11

incorporate 391:21

incorporated 483:4

increase 484:14 488:6

increased 454:7

increases 451:23 472:25

increasing 492:22

increasingly 451:20

incremental 470:16 472:11,13 488:5,7,16

increments 472:15

indecipherable 412:17 427:12 461:12

indifferent 494:4 498:5

individual 403:21 423:18 454:6 488:6,10 489:3,9 491:20 492:2 493:6 498:19

individuals 476:1,6 479:25 480:4 491:16

Industries 513:10,13

inequity 405:18 478:6

influence 475:13

inform 402:25 473:23

information 402:15 419:23 452:23 473:23

informational 402:6,7, 8,24

infrastructure 390:18 471:6,12,15,18,21 499:11

ingredient 495:5

inherent 498:4

Inherently 404:1

injustices 418:1 419:12 input 383:24 inputs 383:21

insight 415:15 inspections 456:18 458:3

instance 404:20 479:20 480:23 485:4 496:8

instituted 494:24

Instituting 380:7

intact 455:9

intended 384:12,15 397:19 461:16

intent 458:24

intention 432:19

interim 460:21 461:15 463:21 465:24

Internet 386:11

interruption 422:16

introduce 380:20 381:22 399:2 401:18 421:23 435:3 437:22 448:7 451:4 467:13 468:13 469:19 486:10

investment 403:1 499:11

investments 403:3 499:12

involved 394:16 416:12 446:22

involves 395:7 396:12

IOU 435:10 445:3 455:7

IOU's 442:22

IOU-01 400:11,16 436:15,20 439:6 509:7, 11,13

IOU-02 400:12,16 436:15,21 509:7,11,14

IOU-03 509:7,12,15

IOU-04 505:11,13 509:18 518:7,13,14

IOU-4 518:4

IOUS 399:5 423:21

428:8 430:6 435:5,11 442:3,17 443:3 445:4 446:19,23

IOUS' 443:15 444:8 446:15

IRP 472:21 498:18,22, 23

IRPS 472:4

issue 384:9 394:19 406:11 419:20 420:15 423:4 432:21,22 442:18 481:19 482:1 490:10,21 511:6

issued 520:16

issues 380:9 402:18,21 419:19 427:10 477:7 503:19

issuing 441:6,21

J

Jaime 467:2,15 468:7

Jan 380:22 382:2

January 380:2 385:2

Jeanne 513:12

Jennifer 512:23

joining 380:18

joint 380:23,25 381:2 399:5,9,10 400:13,19 435:4,10,11 436:16,24 442:17,22 443:3,15 444:8 445:3 446:15,19 448:14 455:6

Judge 380:4,14 399:7

judgment 401:7,8 437:13,14 469:8

juncture 504:15

justice 417:12,15,23,24 418:5,13,17,18,20 419:10,11

justification 389:25 395:6 396:11

justify 390:8

Index: identified..justify

<i>1</i> ,			
	10,18,22,25 468:2,5,11	409:1,16,17 410:6,8,14,	looked 503:6
К	469:15,24 470:1,20	16,21,22 411:1 412:22,	lost 441:8
	473:3 481:8,13 485:18, 23 486:2,6 489:14	23 413:14 414:1 417:6, 20,21,24 418:9 419:1,6	lot 286.7 402.21 404.12
key 459:23	490:23 500:15 501:14	421:5,16 447:15	lot 386:7 402:21 404:13 478:2 485:9,14 497:11
kind 385:9,15 390:10	502:22 503:9,11 504:3,	469:16,18,20 470:2,5,	
392:15 443:6 444:19	7,12,23 505:6,11,15,20	20,22,24 473:4 478:15	lots 495:1
457:19 463:4 481:14,15	506:1,5,8,10,15,20,22,	481:5,10,17 485:18,24	low 495:11 497:13
knowledge 385:5	25 507:3,11,13,17,19	506:15,16,18 507:21	low-carbon 451:19
394:17 401:4 423:13	508:1,4,8,11,14,18,23,	508:3 518:2,17,18 519:20 520:6,8,20	452:8,9,12,25 453:6,9
425:18 426:6 437:10	25 509:4,9,11,16,21,23, 25 510:4,7,12,18,21	519:20 520:6,8,20 521:1,14	
456:6 464:6 469:4	511:1,4,8,14 512:6,12,		low-income 424:19
502:10	14,20 513:1,4,9,16,19,	Lin's 481:9	500:9,10
	25 514:4,6,11,17,20	lines 382:9 389:6	lower 403:17
L	515:3,8,10,14,21 516:2,	395:25 396:17 407:18,	lunch 386:4 447:11,12,
	5,11,18,22,25 517:3,6,	19 422:15,22 425:1	19 448:5
L-O-N-N-I-E 399:8	9,13,16,19,24 518:6,9,	426:15,16 428:12	13 440.5
	12,15,20,24 519:5,15	433:10 451:16 486:18	
lack 488:9	520:6,13,21 521:4,15,	498:14	Μ
land 470:10	21,24 522:1,6,19	link 100-0	
language 423:3	Law 380:4,13	link 496:3	M-A-G-A-N-A 448:10
		list 424:9,13,14 425:14	made 386:10 391:4
large 406:23 407:2	layer 383:15 423:22	426:2 427:19 515:24	478:25
497:10 505:22,25	lead 496:9	516:15 521:8 522:11	Magana 385:20 447:13
larger 497:23 498:8,9	leak 454:21	listen 481:8	448:6,7,10,18 449:1,3,
late 385:9		lists 425:25	5,11,15 451:4,11 453:6
	leakage 454:6,18,24		455:22 457:11 458:2
Lau 380:4,14,25 381:7,	455:10 456:9,12 458:9,	LLC 508:18,20	459:19 463:11,17
12,17,20 384:21,24	11 459:2	load 389:12 390:1	465:13 466:8,10,12,21,
385:6,8,23 389:1	leaking 454:10	391:18 392:11 393:23	23,24
392:14 393:13,16	-	394:12 396:3,5 397:20	Magana's 457:15
394:2,4,7 395:13,17,22,	leaks 455:15 456:4,8	471:23 475:3 483:13,16	-
24 398:6,14,24 399:12, 16,20,23,25 401:12,16,	leave 433:13 442:10,13	487:1 491:18,23 492:5	magnitude 391:18
22 404:15 405:2 408:25	leaving 445:22 446:1,5	493:25	maintain 428:24
409:16 410:7,10,14	455:8	loaded 404:13	451:21 455:13 456:19
412:20 413:12,19			458:4
417:3,19,22 418:6	legal 388:25 519:10	local 439:15,22 475:16	major 397:18
419:1 420:23 421:2,5,8,	legislations 403:24	located 392:21,22	
13,23 422:17,19 425:21	legislative 405:20	396:21,22 397:2	make 400:22 404:18
426:4 429:23 430:16	U	location 390:17,24	405:11,14 413:17 437:3 447:1 450:4 456:25
431:20 432:12 433:1	legislature 407:19	392:2 475:24,25 479:4,	457:4,8,10 469:11
434:5,11,15,19,21,24 435:14,18,21 436:2,4	legitimate 383:1,9	11 507:6	471:8 476:5 477:4
437:18 439:3,5 441:12,	level 402:11,19 403:25	locational 389:13	478:24 499:4,12,14
16 443:25 446:8,11	441:2,6,21	390:22	506:16 507:23 512:24
447:2,10 448:4,13,17,	levels 482:6	locations 475:5	516:9 518:17 520:6
21,24 449:1 451:1 452:14,16,20 453:17,			making 410:22 447:3
452.14,10,20 455.17, 19,21 455:20 456:23,25	light 388:15 478:2	lock 493:16 495:13	457:5 478:13
457:3,10,16,18,24	479:2	long 398:15 413:15	manage 458:18
462:1,3 463:1,17 464:8,	limited 420:3	longer 386:8,10	Manager 448:11
11,13 465:10,13 466:11,15,19,23 467:2,	Lin 401:13,17,18,20,23 402:2 404:16,17 405:7	Lonnie 398:21 399:8 400:4	mandates 405:20

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

406:3

Mansi 398:21,25 399:2, 7,8,14,16,19,22,24,25 400:2,4,10 401:19 402:3 405:3 409:18 410:22 412:24 413:12, 15 418:3,7,10,23 419:3, 8 420:17,24 421:10,13, 19,21,24 425:25 426:5 430:8 431:25 432:13 434:9,12,15

Mansi's 404:25

manual 406:14 414:4, 7,17 415:10

marginal 389:16 439:18.19 440:11 443:10 498:18

mark 504:24,25

marked 400:11 436:14 505:4,7,9,13,18 506:3

market-related 411:11

Mary 399:9

matching 494:22

material 400:23.25 417:2 437:4.6

mathematician 497:6

matter 520:3

matters 504:20 522:23, 25

Mcgovern 467:2,7,11, 13,15,18 468:7,12,20 469:10,13 470:3,7,20 485:20 486:7,10,17 490:7 491:6 496:4 498:21 500:20 501:18. 23 502:5 503:5,9,12,25 504:4,8,10

Mcgovern's 481:12 487:12 490:4

means 429:2 443:5 486:24 488:6,10 490:9

meant 429:7

mechanisms 479:15, 16,18 484:14

meet 428:7 430:5 458:17 483:14

meeting 484:19

megawatts 390:1,5,7 392:10 393:22 394:11 396:3,5,11 397:20

members 500:8

memo 477:7 503:13,21

mention 411:23,24

mentioned 391:16 396:18 397:4

mentioning 443:13

meter 454:5,8,21

metering 407:23 408:20 409:2 412:2 413:5,9,18

methane 454:5,10,18, 24 455:10,15 456:3,9, 12 458:9,11 459:2

method 460:5 472:12

methodologies 382:14 383:24

methodology 383:18, 21 443:10 477:18 499:4,5

methods 387:20 414:16 425:3

metrics 459:23

mic 507:6

mind 394:13 519:7

minimize 476:16

minute 515:22

minutes 447:18 466:16

missing 418:25

misstated 461:24 497:24

misstates 429:19 mistake 511:5

mistakes 447:3

mitigate 482:8

mitigating 458:10 mitigation 473:7

Mm-hm 459:10 497:19

498:20

model 411:4 428:16 487:4

modeled 484:6

modeling 487:2 492:17

modifications 478:14

modifier 471:23

modify 476:24

moment 453:9 454:22 457:8 520:2

moments 466:14

monitor 515:24 516:15 518:25

monitoring 516:1

monthly 494:20

morning 380:13 385:10 402:3 436:13

motion 506:18 507:23 508:2,6,9 509:6,19 510:5,7,15 512:24 515:6,17 516:9 518:17

motions 505:20 506:16 516:7 517:9,25 518:15 522:4

move 428:1 429:13 431:3 433:8 455:3 493:11 498:13 499:6.19 500:19 502:4 506:2 507:9 508:21 512:9,24 513:14 514:14 515:6 517:12 518:18

moved 507:10,14

moves 483:16 514:2 515:20

moving 403:5 406:17 407:18 414:19 475:8 477:23

MTR 414:16

multiplied 389:10

multiplying 389:15,19

muted 435:20,22 481:6

421:4,9,11 425:17 429:18 430:12 431:15, 20 432:4 433:3 434:3, 10,11,13,25 436:4,6,12 437:16,18 441:8 443:25

Newlander's 386:16

night 384:5,13

non-adopters 502:9

names 424:5,10

nat 466:2

national 414:4,6,17

natural 453:11 454:7 455:8 458:11,23 462:15 465:17.24 466:3 509:16.25

Ν

natural-gas-specific 463:10 464:5,19 465:4

nature 390:22 488:5

NEB 402:9

NEBS 402:11 403:2

necessarily 454:22 477:19

necessity 394:13

needed 392:4 394:22, 23 398:1 407:20

negative 485:6

NEI 402:9

NEM 413:24

net 407:23 408:19 409:2 412:2 413:5,9,18

Network 467:16 468:9. 18

Newlander 384:7 385:8,17 386:3,12 388:24 392:12 393:8, 14,24 395:8 398:12,14, 25 400:1,3,9 401:10,12 404:12.24 409:14 410:4,11,20 413:10 416:25 417:16,19 418:21 420:21,23,25

444:2,5 446:6,13

non-coincident 440:24 441:5,20 442:4 443:4,20 444:18,23 445:11,21,24,25 446:3

non-der 431:8 500:7 502:24

non-disadvantaged 408:2,14

non-energy 402:5,13, 18,21 414:10 415:6 420:2,7,11

non-equity 407:17

non-host 415:14

non-participants 406:25 407:2 459:23 502:9

non-targets 432:20

noncapital 431:14 432:3

note 387:24 390:22 433:1

notes 453:16 461:9

notice 519:15,17 520:14,16,22,24 521:12

notion 402:17

NRDC-01 510:5,7,10

NRDC-02 510:6,8,11

nuance 427:12

nuanced 423:23

number 389:20,22 390:3 396:9,14 411:20 414:21 417:17 472:24 477:13

numbers 383:20 409:21 492:22

numeric 427:2

numerical 426:23 473:13,15 474:21 477:20 487:3

numerically 389:19 474:25

nutshell 519:9

0

object 384:7 393:12,24 432:11 461:22 519:13

objection 384:19 385:16 386:17 388:24 392:12 393:15,24 395:8 404:12,15,24 409:14 410:4,11 413:10 416:25 417:16 425:17 426:4 429:18,24 430:12 431:15 434:3 452:11 455:17 456:21 462:21 465:6 473:1,3 489:10 490:3 500:13,15 501:11 516:22 517:20 518:7 519:6

objections 457:4 506:8,20 507:11 508:12,23 509:9 510:9, 18 512:12 513:2,4,17 514:17 515:8,21 516:2, 13,25 517:13 518:12,20

objectives 495:2

obligated 388:4

observable 488:14

observed 494:21

obtain 404:3

occur 466:1 479:4

October 387:25 388:9

offer 465:20

Office 505:3

officer 380:14,16

official 519:15,16,17 520:13,15,22,23 521:12

offset 403:8 406:7,21, 24

one-hour 447:11

one-to-one 488:7,9,12

open 433:20,25

opening 400:12 402:4 403:5,6 413:5 422:6,8, 23 423:4 428:2 431:4 435:10 436:16 438:16 439:6 440:18 442:2 459:14 460:19 465:22 470:8,11 484:10,18 505:3

opine 419:3

opining 457:20

opinion 383:6 394:6,9 397:5,10 401:7,8 403:2 405:4 413:8 423:10 437:13,14 441:4,19 460:1,2,12,13 473:14 487:12 488:9 495:24

opinions 469:7

opportunities 405:21

opportunity 399:20 432:6 435:18,24 467:22 479:4

oppose 443:3

opposed 402:9,11 408:13 458:21

order 380:5,7 392:4 456:19 458:4

ordering 387:5,13 438:7,17

organization 399:3 435:4 448:8 467:14 468:13

originally 442:3 444:18

out-of-state 475:11,22

outcome 477:20

outline 445:10

outlined 444:11

output 390:11 427:16 492:21

outputs 423:23

overaccounting 475:9

overburdening 420:5

overcompensating 445:20

overcounting 475:10, 18,19 overrule 426:4 overruling 388:23

overstating 446:2

oversubscribed 409:13

owners 494:10

Р

p.m. 384:13 447:20,22, 23 448:1 486:3

Pacific 381:4 435:11 438:12

panelist 516:15

paragraph 387:6,13 438:7,18 439:12

paraphrase 483:23,24 484:1

paraphrasing 465:7

parsing 408:12

part 423:2 426:9 431:24 439:15 442:2 445:9 464:11,13,14 471:5 484:22 488:11 491:14, 15 497:23 501:20 502:15,19 503:17

participa 434:17

participants 403:7 406:19 431:8 459:22 493:14 495:12 502:9,24

participate 478:6 481:20

participating 433:17, 18 434:19

participation 416:6 421:15 466:25 499:12

parties 419:16 495:2 508:22 513:2,17 514:3, 18 515:21 517:13 518:24 519:3 522:8,11, 24

parties' 444:22

past 417:25 419:12

patterns 474:3,16

paying 494:2 500:11

PCF 384:4 396:20 438:4 511:17 522:17

Evidentiary Hearing 0004 January 25

January 25, 2024	-	
PCF-01 510:15 511:9,	Pettit 510:1	
16,18 PCF-02 510:15 511:19	PG&E 399:10 438:13 439:14,16,20 440:1,6, 8,10,13,14 518:4	
PCF-03 510:16 511:20	PG&E's 440:4	
PCF-04 395:10 510:16 511:21	phrase 402:8 428:11	
PCF-05 510:16 511:22	480:12	
PCF-06 510:16 511:23	phraseology 417:18	
PCF-07 510:16 511:24	phrasing 425:20	
PCF-08 510:16 512:1	picking 412:6	
PCF-09 510:16 511:16	pipe 459:4	
512:2 PCF-10 511:12	pipeline 455:16 456:5 19 458:4	
PCF-10E 505:7,9 516:8,10,13,23,24	pipelines 455:9,11 458:11	
PCF-11 510:17 511:16	pipes 458:23 459:1	
512:3	place 391:24 484:14	
PCF-12 510:17 512:4	places 483:18	
PCF-13 510:17 511:3,9	plain 403:25	
512:5 PCF-15 522:16	plan 411:10	
PCF-16 386:25 438:6	planned 392:24 396:2	
516:10 517:1,6,8	472:1,20 488:14 planning 459:13	
people 386:10 403:25 433:16 478:5 481:19	472:21 482:17,18 488:15	
515:22	plans 488:20 499:4	
percent 389:16 409:24	pleasure 420:18	
percentage 389:11	POC 392:20	
percentages 497:9	point 384:3 391:23	
percents 409:23	392:14 393:9 395:9 405:25 417:20 431:18	
perfect 442:12 494:2, 11	433:3 500:19 521:18	
perfection 494:12 495:21 496:6	policies 473:8 484:13 500:4	
perfectly-calculated	policy 402:20 415:15	
494:2	population 415:21	
performance 380:10 409:10 410:1 411:17	populations 415:20 475:11,22	
permanent 463:22 466:3	portfolio 411:10 426:19	
pertain 457:19	portfolios 423:19	

405:22 429:3 436:20,21 8:13 :1,6,7, portions 400:19 436:24 **position** 407:15 442:18,20,22 443:16 444:8,12,14,17,20 445:4,7 446:15,16,18 466:5 7:18 positioned 459:1 possibility 390:17 **possibly** 419:19 potential 479:1 482:9 156:5. 493:23 495:1,4,5 potentially 384:18 414:11 433:17 472:20 475:5 482:7 485:11,12 493:25 494:6,9,14 **Powers** 393:2 practicable 387:3 practice 406:14 414:4, 7,17 415:10 423:7,8 396:24 predicated 426:12 prefer 483:18 preferably 385:14 preferred 385:12 prejudicial 384:18 prepare 384:18 385:10 prepared 400:12,20 436:15,25 450:2 468:24 505:16 522:11 1:18 presence 473:22 presented 396:9 34:13 preserve 482:12 presiding 380:14 5:15 pressure 459:7 pressurization 455:12 :20 pretty 385:5 388:17 previous 407:8 418:24 463:20

portion 400:15,16

previously-calculated 383:7

primarily 397:21

principle 488:23 491:8

principles 503:16

prior 382:14 393:14 482:2

prioritization 411:4

prioritize 410:2 478:9, 14

prioritizing 478:12

problem 417:7 445:10 474:9 475:19 494:9,14

problematic 519:23

procedural 418:5,18 469:22 504:20 522:23, 24

procedures 388:22

proceed 386:20,24 401:22 421:24 429:11

proceeding 380:1,15 387:19,22,23 388:5 398:20 400:13 401:1 403:19 408:1,12 412:18 413:23 423:17 424:10 432:6 436:17 437:7 450:21 492:16 498:24 500:14 501:13 519:12

proceedings 403:20 424:1,5 493:2 501:6

process 462:15 464:4, 18

processes 488:15

procurements 402:25

produces 389:20

professional 401:8 437:14 469:8

profile 390:11

profits 422:13

program 380:8 383:4 403:10,22 405:16 407:23 408:20 409:3,5, 7,24 411:6,14,17 412:2 413:5,9,18 415:23 425:11,12 426:13 427:15 428:19,22 429:6 433:12,18 441:1

456:12,13 458:10,21 478:4 480:1 485:13

programs 403:11,16, 23 404:5,7,9,11,21 405:8,9,12,13,22 406:4, 6,21 407:17 408:3 409:3,8,11,19 410:2,9, 12,19 411:3,9 423:19 424:16,17,19 425:11,13 426:25 427:4,7,21,24 430:22,23 433:19 455:14 456:3,7,11 458:16,17,25 479:12 493:15 495:13

project 389:16,25 390:8 391:12 392:1 394:13,15 395:6 396:12 397:6,11,13,14,16,19 472:12

projects 392:24 396:24 397:12 433:21,22 434:1,2

prompt 398:18

proposal 388:10 419:14,15 431:25 432:15 443:3 461:2,9 462:24 482:21 488:19 499:2

proposal's 461:19 462:18

proposals 419:3 423:5 432:23

propose 402:13 419:8 443:6

proposed 417:10 442:3 444:18 470:10 484:8 519:10,17,24,25 520:9,14,24 521:2,3,13

proposes 488:20

proposing 383:17 402:15

prosumers 492:4

Protect 382:1 422:4 438:4 451:8 486:14

protocol 425:8,12 426:1 427:2 428:14

protocols 425:4,6,9, 14,15 426:3 428:7,20

430:5,14

proven 384:2

provide 403:25 404:1 408:22 415:9,15,19 419:22 424:1,4,12 426:21 427:2,5 430:8,9 431:22 433:4 445:24 446:4 452:23 458:24 464:25 470:22 474:5, 18,24

provided 414:8 416:14, 19 450:11 454:16 466:7

providing 454:19 484:20

prudent 387:3 465:24

Public 505:3

publications 432:17

published 427:24

PUC 473:23

pull 453:16

pulling 493:25

purpose 498:24

purposes 383:13 388:16 397:22

put 381:9 396:7 418:23 435:15 469:16 491:17 495:20 509:5 510:13

515:14 518:2 putting 491:22

Q

qualify 497:15

qualitative 402:9

quality 402:14,15 475:16

quantifiable 487:2

quantified 486:22 487:8,14

quantify 402:16

quantitative 402:10

question 382:8 389:24 390:25 391:13 393:1,3, 7,9,17,25 394:5 395:20 397:7,24 404:14,25 405:5,24 407:1 409:15 410:15,20,23 411:25 412:9,22 414:5 415:3 418:7,24 419:25 422:20 423:12 425:10,21 429:23 431:23 432:7. 13,22 433:2,9,23 435:22 438:20 439:24 441:17 444:2 446:12 452:2 453:2 455:24 456:11 457:6,23,24 460:15 463:2,5,25 464:1,16,23 465:8 469:22 471:13 472:16 474:8 475:22 476:5 477:9,12,14,16 478:15. 23 479:14 480:12 482:6,25 483:2 484:9, 23 489:11,13,15 490:1, 8,16,24 496:2 499:20 500:19 501:12 502:23 503:1,12,14,16,17 509:18 519:21

questioning 384:8 444:6

questions 383:2,9 386:20,24 398:4 417:8 418:17 434:8 438:6 443:22 446:7 466:9 474:10 477:7,12 484:13 485:17 503:14,21,24 521:9

quick 446:12 469:21 509:18

quicker 403:14

quickly 409:12

quoting 489:18 491:13

R

R.20-05-003. 520:15 521:13

R.20-08-002 505:8

R.22-11-013 380:7

raise 383:1,9 515:23 516:14 517:14 519:6 522:8

raised 447:7 516:1,19, 21 517:4,17 518:10

519:1,3

rate 392:9 393:21

394:10 439:23 459:20 471:9,10 472:24 473:20 479:3,5 481:3 482:5 485:13 493:21 494:4, 17,25 495:3 502:10 **ratepayer** 452:24 471:11 484:21 485:1,6 **ratepayers** 406:16 407:16 420:5,8,12 422:10 423:9 431:11 454:12 459:22 475:12 476:16 484:16 502:7,14 503:2,3,6,7

rates 416:4,6 427:14 482:10 484:15

ratio 431:13

re- 420:13

re-ask 453:2

reach 454:15 480:4

read 382:10 387:10,13 389:7 413:12 430:1 441:14 454:19 463:3 468:3 474:7 475:17

reading 441:12 461:8

ready 382:7 450:24 469:13

real 487:23

realization 427:14

realize 446:23

realized 405:17 442:9

reason 386:10 393:1 442:2,17 444:8,12 446:17 519:11

reasonable 391:25 392:3

reasoning 446:16,21

reasons 447:1

rebuttal 382:9 389:5 395:13 396:17 400:12 435:10 436:16 442:5,25 446:17 451:15 452:18 453:25 458:7 470:9 477:23 482:20 486:18 488:3 495:7 499:6

recalculated 458:8

recall 444:6 446:20

receive 403:8 406:20

received 506:13,14,24 507:15,16 508:16,17 509:2,13,14,15 510:10, 11 511:18,19,20,21,22, 23,24 512:1,2,3,4,5,16, 17,18,19 513:6,7,21,22, 23,24 514:23 515:1,12, 13 516:4,24 517:8,21 518:14

recent 488:20

recess 447:22 448:5 486:3

recognition 418:4

recognitional 418:4, 17,20 419:11

recognize 428:14

recommend 465:16

recommendation 382:21 461:19 462:18 487:2

recommendations 416:16 440:17

recommending 463:9 464:17

recommends 462:13 473:6

record 380:5,21 398:22,23,24 401:14, 15,16 412:20,21 421:7, 8 422:17,19 434:22,23, 24 439:5 441:13,15,16 447:21 448:4 449:14 453:19,20,21 466:15, 18,19 467:8,9,10 469:23,24,25 470:1 485:25 486:1,2,5,6 504:19,21,22,23 506:19 507:10 512:25 513:5,15 521:24,25 522:2,14

recovered 493:22

recovery 500:6

recross 446:8,9

redirect 398:12,15,19 421:9 434:12 444:1,4 446:13 466:11,20 485:19,21 504:3,5

redirected 420:24

reduce 455:7,10,14 456:3 458:18,19,25

reducing 459:3,4 461:11

reduction 389:21 391:15,16

refer 412:4 414:24 435:9 452:8,9 453:24 463:15 465:22 489:7 490:17 521:5

reference 400:25 417:2 431:16 437:6 441:9 452:12 453:9 460:4 462:9 479:11

referenced 397:16 427:25 450:2

referencing 395:12 427:21 462:23 463:20, 23

referred 453:6 494:24

referring 397:13 405:17 406:8 407:3,12, 23 410:8,12,18 413:18 414:25 415:5,24 418:22 427:22 430:13 433:14 449:15,16,18,20,22 452:21 457:12 459:14 478:16 501:17,18

refers 382:9 429:21

reflect 401:6,7 437:13

Reform 467:16 468:8, 18

reframe 490:16

regard 520:12

region 440:11 478:5

regions 440:9

regulations 456:17 457:13 458:3

regulatory 484:19

reiterated 386:14,15

relate 406:15 423:6

related 380:11 411:24 412:9 423:4 427:1

relates 392:15,17 393:1,3 433:16

relation 429:3

relationship 429:2

relevance 519:24

relevant 455:9 457:13 459:17 519:12

reliable 451:21 455:13 456:20 457:12,14 458:5

relies 460:21

relieving 470:15,17 471:2

remainder 449:18,23

remaining 518:16

remains 511:9

remediation 454:9

remedies 419:22

remedy 478:10,21,22

remember 381:14 390:4 446:25

remove 442:3,9 443:18 444:18 445:22 469:16

removing 444:22 470:13

renewable 453:11

renewables 390:16

repairs 456:18 458:3

repeat 393:6 395:20 397:7 405:5 412:22 422:20 424:7 433:23 441:17 455:24

repeated 393:10

repeatedly 494:21

rephrase 394:5 462:3 489:15

rephrased 393:10

rephrasing 490:24

replace 393:6

reporter 412:19 500:1

Reporter's 505:8

Index: recalculated..response

represent 399:9 414:21 438:3 451:7 467:16 486:13

representative 394:20 397:25

represented 443:10 447:14

representing 380:23 381:25 399:4,6 435:4 448:8 467:11,14 468:14,18

requests 433:5

require 385:24 388:7 411:12 457:14

required 405:23 423:21 483:14 495:19

requirement 405:10 411:9 424:12

requirements 404:2 458:17 483:22 492:7

requires 458:22 473:20

residential 454:5 480:1

residents 481:22,23

resilient 451:21

resource 380:8 403:12, 18 404:3 405:10,14 407:9,13 411:11 476:14 477:17,19,21 498:19

resources 414:16 448:11 476:21 477:2 478:7 480:11,15,16 486:19 509:16,25

respect 403:2 413:23 419:20 420:14 427:24

respond 432:5,8

responded 432:8

response 386:21 412:11 422:18 423:20 424:17 428:7,15,19 429:4 438:17 477:6 482:2 484:2 506:9,21 507:12 508:13,24 509:10 510:20 512:13 513:3,18 514:19 515:9

516:17 517:2,15 518:8 rough 461:10 522:5 responsibility 470:16 **responsible** 458:10,13 483:21 rest 392:25 396:25 restate 410:14 429:23 442:19 444:13 restitution 419:13 restorative 417:15,23, 24 418:12 419:11 result 419:23 478:7 496:15.18 resulting 391:21 495:3 results 383:2,10,20 388:12.20 389:16 391:2 475:12 495:1 496:17 resume 447:20 **resumed** 382:3,5 **resuming** 380:19 448:5 9 reuse 382:18 reusing 383:17 review 399:21 435:19, 24 448:21 455:1 467:23 reviewed 416:18 454:18 revised 444:21 revisions 450:19 505:12 ridership 427:11 risk 482:13 scheduled 447:15 504:17 **RM-** 465:23 **RN/AD-3** 450:7 Roger 401:13,17,20 469:16,20 507:21 role 406:9 494:16 **roof** 491:17 room 497:23 Rosalinda 385:20

447:13 448:6,10 449:5

rule 386:6 520:13 Rulemaking 380:7 rules 386:7,13,14

ruling 386:15 387:25 388:9 511:9 520:4

S

S-A-X-E 435:8

safe 455:13 456:19 457:12,14 458:5

Samir 505:24

San 380:22 381:5 399:11,14 400:5 435:8, 13 436:8 438:9

savings 427:13

Saxe 435:1,3,7,14,17, 22 436:1,3,5,7,13 437:22 441:19 443:23 444:1,6 446:14 447:4,8,

SBUA 512:23

SBUA-01 512:24 513:5.6

SBUA-02 512:24 513:5.7

scale 480:7,9

SCE 389:11 438:11

SCE's 392:21,25 395:1, 3 396:21.25

schedule 447:12,19

Schwartz 507:2,4,5,7, 8,17,18

scope 388:19 405:1 417:1 420:16 434:4 442:1 455:18 456:21 462:14 464:18 472:22 481:4,11,16 500:14 501:15

scoping 477:7 503:13, 21

score 403:11,17 404:7, 9,23 405:10,14 423:24

scoring 404:11 405:12

screen 381:9 399:18 435:16 467:20

script 481:22

SCT 477:17

SDG&E 412:5,24 413:3 420:6,10 433:5 435:9 436:19 438:10,18 439:11,13,14,19 440:6, 7

SDG&E's 404:19,22 405:11 439:15 440:3 442:20 446:17

secondary 438:11,19

seconds 515:22

section 387:24 400:16, 17 406:18 436:20,22 439:11 440:24 477:6,10 481:18

sections 449:16,21

sector 461:6.17

sectors 403:20 405:21 423:6 482:11

segmentation 411:11, 12,13

SEIA 442:6 522:18

SEIA's 443:3 444:20 445:16

SEIA-01 513:14,19,21

SEIA-02 513:22

SEIA-03 513:23

SEIA-04 513:14,20,24

SEIA-05 522:17

send 521:7

sentence 382:10,22 389:7 392:18 430:1 440:23 452:21 461:8 462:3 491:7

separate 423:17 separately 439:14 serve 385:1 386:25 403:16 404:21 455:12

served 384:11,14 389:12

service 385:25 404:9, 10.19.22 406:16 440:7 455:13 456:20 457:12. 14 458:5 476:7 521:8

serving 483:13,16 487:1 491:23

SESSION 448:1

set 381:8 386:13,14 399:1,17 405:19 427:25 430:23 435:1,15 448:18,19 467:4,12,19

sets 435:25

settings 492:23 494:22

seven-megawatt 395:6,9

Sezgen 508:6,9 509:5, 6,18,22,24 510:2,5 518:3,6 522:10,13,16, 20

share 470:18 471:3,4

shared 500:7

shareholder 422:12 459:25 460:3,11

shareholders 423:1, 11 431:11 433:15,21 434:1 460:8,14 502:13, 17 503:4.7

shed 388:14

shift 412:7,10 413:1,4, 8,9,18 415:25 473:7,9, 11,13,17 478:8 480:5 481:21 482:1,9 491:18 493:19 494:8,16,23 495:4,25 496:10,14,16, 18 497:14,24 498:7 500:6,22 501:9,18,21, 25 502:4 503:2

shifting 473:19 475:3 482:19 491:23 492:5 495:1

shifts 411:23 412:1 413:16 479:1 493:17 495:14 496:24 497:5 498:9 502:6

Index: responsibility..shifts

show 520:9

shown 439:1

shows 412:8 439:17,18 492:1

Shu 449:2,4,10 451:1 452:11 455:17 456:21 461:22 462:2,21 465:6

Shu's 457:4

sic 386:6

side 415:4 466:14

significant 391:4,16 392:3 394:22

significantly 389:17

similar 392:24 396:24 426:3 430:10 439:16

simpler 464:16

simply 382:16 459:3 490:24

singe 409:6

single-family 409:6

sit 429:16

situation 474:6

situations 487:16 491:22

slow 418:24

Small 512:20

smaller 389:17,20,22 472:11

Socalgas 447:13 455:6 459:20 462:13 463:8 465:16 514:2 517:11

Socalgas's 455:1

Socalgas-01 449:12, 16,19 450:1,12 514:4,5, 14,20,23

Socalgas-01e 505:15, 18 517:10,20,21

Socalgas-02 449:13, 20 514:3,14,21 515:1

social 482:22 483:4

societal 475:10,15,18 476:11,13,19,20,25 477:14 **solar** 407:21 408:2 409:6,7 413:23 414:2 479:20 480:8,10,14,20, 21 481:24 491:17,22 513:10,13

sort 393:3 402:19 403:25 463:13 494:1

sounds 390:3 447:2 521:14

source 395:9 471:23

sources 471:24

Southern 381:5 399:10 435:12 438:10 448:12, 16 449:6 505:17

speak 393:2 413:20 417:5 444:16 446:24 486:11 493:1,5 522:10

speaking 403:16 445:2,4 446:18

speaks 429:19 499:17

spec- 386:14

specific 396:14 403:24 406:3 408:7,9,18 412:8, 10 414:22 420:9 427:21 428:18 430:15 432:21 452:12 453:9 461:17 462:9,16 465:17,25 466:3 496:7

specifically 390:13 395:3 413:7 418:15 425:9 426:10 432:23 438:25 452:20 477:14

specifics 446:25

speculate 393:12 425:20

spelled 435:8

spelling 399:3

split 439:21

splits 440:10

spoke 381:12

spoken 493:20

sponsored 449:17,21

sponsoring 400:15,20 435:10 436:19,25 448:13 spreading 391:14 staff 388:10 461:2,9,19 462:18,23 488:19 499:1 stage 381:18 398:18,25 401:13,17 421:16,20 434:25 437:20 448:19 467:3,11 485:24 486:7 504:10,14 506:15 507:4 509:5 510:13 515:15

spread 391:5 392:4

516:6 518:2 522:20 stakeholders 388:18

stand 382:3 434:16 447:5

standard 406:14 407:15 414:4,6,17 415:10 423:7,8

standardized 428:17

standards 380:10 483:14

start 429:14 470:8

started 466:5

starting 428:1,3 430:2 431:4 443:2 459:15 460:20 481:2 493:12 495:7

state 382:24 383:8 416:21 433:11 440:23 443:11 461:1 463:2 470:12 477:18 478:17, 19 482:22 489:17 497:4

State's 451:18

stated 387:2 442:6 443:17 445:1 446:15,17 454:20 520:17

statement 390:12 413:22 451:25 452:5,6 453:8,14 454:19 458:24 460:9 461:18 463:3 471:2,8,9 474:20,21 477:4,16 478:25 479:10 482:15 491:13,14,15 496:23 497:11,12,15 498:5,6,8,11 511:15

statements 401:3,6,7 423:4 437:9,12,13 479:8 **states** 423:16 438:9 443:3 452:21 489:18

statewide 430:25

stating 446:14 463:21

statistical 497:22

statistically 497:25

statistician 497:18

stay 421:5

stays 405:15

stems 432:16

steps 454:15

stick 383:21

sticking 403:5 404:4

stipulated 506:4,17 507:24 508:10,22 509:7,23 510:6 512:11, 25 513:15 514:3,15 522:12

storage 423:20 479:20 480:8,10,15,20,22 481:24

Strack 380:20,22 381:8,21 382:2,7 384:16 387:1 392:19 394:9 395:14,24 396:3 397:9 398:4,7,13,16,17

Strack's 394:6

Strategy 448:11

streams 462:14

structures 471:9

struggle 471:16

studies 441:1

study 382:15 383:23 387:3 388:13,14,19 412:8,10 416:20 420:9, 10 438:16 442:1,14 443:12,18 464:1,20 465:5,18 466:1

sub-area 390:2 392:9, 23 393:5,21 394:10 395:2 396:6,23 397:2,5, 10,12

subarea 397:21

Index: show..subarea

subject 414:13 432:9 447:3 456:6 subscription 409:24 subsequent 487:3 subsequently 383:3 subset 494:7 subsidies 478:17,19 479:21 481:24 substation 389:13 397:13,18,19 subtraction 429:8 successor 387:19,22, 23 suggest 454:17 487:5 496:4 suggesting 382:18 480:2 suggestions 491:2 suggests 393:11 **summary** 440:16 Sung 384:9 superseded 387:25 supervision 468:25 supplement 479:15 support 392:20 396:20 430:7 451:25 452:4 477:18 499:14 supporting 423:3 supports 428:9,11,23 suppose 479:15 surprise 498:2 sustain 385:16 417:3 429:24 473:3 500:15 sustained 389:1 434:5, 6,7 489:14 switching 476:10 sworn 449:7 468:9 synchronist 472:14 system 389:12 391:1, 18 392:2,25 394:21 396:25 397:25 418:1

422:25 423:2,11 440:11 453:10 455:11 457:21 470:13,17,19 471:4,5 475:6 476:3 479:24 482:24 483:1,6,8 484:1 491:17 492:2 493:22,25 494:5,19

system-wide 389:14

systemwide 390:24 391:5,9,10,11,14,25 392:5 393:4 397:6,11

Т

T&d 383:22 388:12 428:9,12,24 429:5 430:7 458:11

Table 439:17 505:12

takes 391:24 498:18

taking 411:14 457:8 459:6 518:15 520:15, 22,23

talk 386:11 405:8 407:19 411:22 412:1 416:8 426:16 481:3,14

talking 391:9 393:4 407:2 409:5 410:23 412:2 413:15 435:5 478:13 480:24 481:13 496:23 497:17 502:5

talks 418:12

target 478:18,20

targeted 411:15 478:19

targeting 479:17

targets 432:20

tariff 407:25 409:5,7

technical 477:13

technologies 403:21

technology 427:8,12, 13,15

temporary 442:13 443:11

ten-minute 486:3 tension 484:18,24 485:1,10

term 410:18

terms 383:23 404:2 409:21 418:2 419:2,4 426:23

territories 476:8

territory 392:21 396:21 404:9,10,19,22 405:12, 25 406:2,16 440:7

test 403:12,18 404:3 405:11,15,23,25 406:2, 11,12 407:9,14,17 426:8,9 476:11,13 477:15

test- 463:3

testified 382:3 400:6 436:9 449:7 465:21 468:9 486:19

testify 382:11 422:9,23 428:4 431:5 451:17 458:8 459:19 462:12 488:3 493:13

testifying 404:13 413:11 423:25 431:10

testimonies 400:13,19 436:16 469:2 492:4 testimony 382:9 389:5

392:15 395:14,25 401:1,3,6 402:4 403:6 404:25 406:10 410:17 413:6,20 414:24 415:8 417:1,11 419:8,22 420:1,13,16 422:6,9,13, 23 428:2 429:19 431:4, 17 432:10 434:4 435:10.11 436:24 437:7,9,12 438:16 439:6 440:18 441:9 442:2,5,25 445:3,11 446:15 448:13 449:23 450:5,20 451:15 452:19 453:25 454:16 455:18 456:22 458:8 459:5,14 460:4,15,19 461:24 462:24 463:15,19 464:25 465:19,23 466:7 469:6,11 470:9,11,21 472:2,23 473:2,22 475:2 477:23 478:25 481:2,4,12,15 482:20 483:17,24 484:10,18 486:18 487:16,20

489:17 490:4 492:20 493:20 495:20 501:16 502:6,15,19,21 505:3, 16

tests 406:13,15 407:16 414:12 415:11 476:19

theoretical 473:10 474:21

theoretically 482:3 494:1

theory 494:3

thing 407:12 425:19 482:15

things 442:17 478:2 487:22,23

thinking 491:20

thinks 484:4

thought 398:20

thoughts 457:9

threshold 411:8

throughput 454:8

throwing 491:1

tie 481:16 500:17 501:15

tied 454:23 501:12

time 384:17 390:17,21 409:20 418:18 420:17, 22 441:14,25 443:19 445:12 469:11 470:6,21 474:9,11 485:4,17 487:23 489:6 498:3 504:1,8 516:21

times 475:6

tired 421:18

titled 505:2,7,12,16

today 381:15 398:9 399:6 403:6 421:15,18 429:16 434:19 447:4,6 450:4,20 460:16 466:25 470:6 474:10 485:17 504:8

today's 484:19 tool 451:21 460:8

Tools 414:16

anuary 25, 2024			
top 415:16 424:22,23	types 406:23 407:17	20 388:4 442:15 461:4	verification 456:7
t ot- 407:13	475:4 476:14 477:17, 19,21 492:19	462:14 464:4,17,25	verifying 473:16
otal 389:15 403:11,18,	typo 450:7	updated 464:25	versa 403:23
22 404:3 405:10,14 406:2 407:9,13 415:10		updates 464:7	versus 408:2 473:10
ough 485:3	U	Updating 382:12	475:22,25 476:2 488:10 492:4 502:24 503:3,6,7
rack 416:16		upgrade 392:24 396:24	520:10
	Uh- 497:2	uptake 418:24	vetting 383:12
tracking 417:4	Uh-huh 477:5	usage 455:8 470:13	vice 403:23
Transcript 505:8	ultimately 388:6	479:24 493:22 494:5,21	view 433:12,15,16
ransformer 438:13	unable 423:12 476:5	utilities 380:24,25 399:5,9,10 400:13	489:4
transmission 382:12, 19 383:1,8 387:17 388:5,16 389:17 391:22 429:8 458:22 461:20 462:19 464:2,6,22,24 465:5,18 472:9,12,13, 19,24 481:11,25 500:21,24 501:3,6,19, 24	uncertain 485:14	406:3,15 424:5,10	viewed 397:6,11
	uncertainties 382:17	436:16 444:17 448:14 486:25	virtual 380:1 385:24
	uncertainty 383:15		386:7,9 515:23 516:14
	uncomfortable 390:13	utility 399:12 406:1 423:1,10 467:16 468:8,	517:4,14,16 518:9,25 519:1
	undergrounding	18 471:15,17,21 488:8	volume 482:9
	500:11	498:19 499:10 507:20 512:21	Volumes 505:8
ransmissions 480:23	understand 391:13	utility's 443:10	vote 482:12
transparency 454:17	397:17 410:24 431:25 432:2 449:24 454:24	utilize 428:9 430:6	voted 520:10 521:2
transport 458:23	456:9 464:23 475:14	493:15 495:13	Voleu 520.10 521.2
FRC 404:23 405:19,25	477:3 480:6 508:1	utilized 424:15,16	W
407:17 415:10 426:11	understanding 397:23 415:19 449:15 490:8	utilizes 426:8 498:3	
trouble 462:22	491:15 496:1 497:16	utilizing 478:16	wait 383:22 388:17 520:21
	498:24	-	020.21
rue 389:24 395:18			wanted 133.1 511.9
397:1 401:4 437:9	understood 444:22	V	wanted 433:4 514:9
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3	undersubscribed		Washington 416:21
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3	undersubscribed 409:13	vague 410:12 430:13	Washington 416:21 water 402:14
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3 true-ups 487:22 turn 382:8,22 384:4	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21	vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3 true-ups 487:22	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21 unfairly 475:12 500:6	vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23 validity 383:5	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6 Weberski 512:22,23
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3 true-ups 487:22 turn 382:8,22 384:4 387:5 389:4 396:16 423:14 438:21,25 440:16 442:24 459:11	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21 unfairly 475:12 500:6 unfortunate 484:18,24	vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23 validity 383:5 value-based 402:12	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6 Weberski 512:22,23 513:8
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3 true-ups 487:22 turn 382:8,22 384:4 387:5 389:4 396:16 423:14 438:21,25	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21 unfairly 475:12 500:6 unfortunate 484:18,24 uniformly 441:3	vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23 validity 383:5 value-based 402:12 values 382:19 383:17,	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6 Weberski 512:22,23 513:8 website 385:4
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3 true-ups 487:22 turn 382:8,22 384:4 387:5 389:4 396:16 423:14 438:21,25 440:16 442:24 459:11 467:5,12,16 468:19 473:6 483:7 484:4 486:7 488:25 489:20	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21 unfairly 475:12 500:6 unfortunate 484:18,24	vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23 validity 383:5 value-based 402:12	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6 Weberski 512:22,23 513:8 website 385:4 weigh 388:18
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3 true-ups 487:22 turn 382:8,22 384:4 387:5 389:4 396:16 423:14 438:21,25 440:16 442:24 459:11 467:5,12,16 468:19 473:6 483:7 484:4 486:7 488:25 489:20 491:8 492:11 501:3	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21 unfairly 475:12 500:6 unfortunate 484:18,24 uniformly 441:3	vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23 validity 383:5 value-based 402:12 values 382:19 383:17, 18 387:20 388:5 402:10	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6 Weberski 512:22,23 513:8 website 385:4 weigh 388:18 whatnot 416:17 521:7
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3 true-ups 487:22 turn 382:8,22 384:4 387:5 389:4 396:16 423:14 438:21,25 440:16 442:24 459:11 467:5,12,16 468:19 473:6 483:7 484:4 486:7 488:25 489:20 491:8 492:11 501:3 TURN-01 468:22 515:6,	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21 unfairly 475:12 500:6 unfortunate 484:18,24 uniformly 441:3 uniqueness 428:15	 vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23 validity 383:5 value-based 402:12 values 382:19 383:17, 18 387:20 388:5 402:10 426:12 427:1,3 428:21 	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6 Weberski 512:22,23 513:8 website 385:4 weigh 388:18 whatnot 416:17 521:7 White 381:18,20,24,25
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3 true-ups 487:22 turn 382:8,22 384:4 387:5 389:4 396:16 423:14 438:21,25 440:16 442:24 459:11 467:5,12,16 468:19 473:6 483:7 484:4 486:7 488:25 489:20 491:8 492:11 501:3 TURN-01 468:22 515:6, 10,12	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21 unfairly 475:12 500:6 unfortunate 484:18,24 uniformly 441:3 uniqueness 428:15 unit 427:13	 vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23 validity 383:5 value-based 402:12 values 382:19 383:17, 18 387:20 388:5 402:10 426:12 427:1,3 428:21 494:18 501:9 	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6 Weberski 512:22,23 513:8 website 385:4 weigh 388:18 whatnot 416:17 521:7 White 381:18,20,24,25 382:6 384:14,20,22,25 385:7,18,23 386:18,22
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3 true-ups 487:22 turn 382:8,22 384:4 387:5 389:4 396:16 423:14 438:21,25 440:16 442:24 459:11 467:5,12,16 468:19 473:6 483:7 484:4 486:7 488:25 489:20 491:8 492:11 501:3 TURN-01 468:22 515:6, 10,12	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21 unfairly 475:12 500:6 unfortunate 484:18,24 uniformly 441:3 uniqueness 428:15 unit 427:13 universe 493:2 494:2	 vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23 validity 383:5 value-based 402:12 values 382:19 383:17, 18 387:20 388:5 402:10 426:12 427:1,3 428:21 494:18 501:9 variables 426:12 	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6 Weberski 512:22,23 513:8 website 385:4 weigh 388:18 whatnot 416:17 521:7 White 381:18,20,24,25 382:6 384:14,20,22,25 385:7,18,23 386:18,22 389:2 392:14,17 393:18
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3 true-ups 487:22 turn 382:8,22 384:4 387:5 389:4 396:16 423:14 438:21,25 440:16 442:24 459:11 467:5,12,16 468:19 473:6 483:7 484:4 486:7 488:25 489:20 491:8 492:11 501:3 TURN-01 468:22 515:6, 10,12 TURN-02 468:22 515:7, 10,13	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21 unfairly 475:12 500:6 unfortunate 484:18,24 uniformly 441:3 uniqueness 428:15 unit 427:13 universe 493:2 494:2 unknown 489:20	 vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23 validity 383:5 value-based 402:12 values 382:19 383:17, 18 387:20 388:5 402:10 426:12 427:1,3 428:21 494:18 501:9 variables 426:12 variants 497:11 	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6 Weberski 512:22,23 513:8 website 385:4 weigh 388:18 whatnot 416:17 521:7 White 381:18,20,24,25 382:6 384:14,20,22,25 385:7,18,23 386:18,22 389:2 392:14,17 393:18 394:3,4,5,8 395:10,16, 18,23 396:1 398:2
397:1 401:4 437:9 469:3 true-ups 487:22 turn 382:8,22 384:4 387:5 389:4 396:16 423:14 438:21,25 440:16 442:24 459:11 467:5,12,16 468:19 473:6 483:7 484:4 486:7 488:25 489:20 491:8 492:11 501:3 TURN-01 468:22 515:6, 10,12 TURN-02 468:22 515:7, 10,13 turned 496:20	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21 unfairly 475:12 500:6 unfortunate 484:18,24 uniformly 441:3 uniqueness 428:15 unit 427:13 universe 493:2 494:2 unknown 489:20 unnecessary 382:15	 vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23 validity 383:5 value-based 402:12 values 382:19 383:17, 18 387:20 388:5 402:10 426:12 427:1,3 428:21 494:18 501:9 variables 426:12 variants 497:11 variations 382:25 	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6 Weberski 512:22,23 513:8 website 385:4 weigh 388:18 whatnot 416:17 521:7 White 381:18,20,24,25 382:6 384:14,20,22,25 385:7,18,23 386:18,22 389:2 392:14,17 393:18 394:3,4,5,8 395:10,16, 18,23 396:1 398:2 421:19,23 422:2,3,20,
469:3 true-ups 487:22 turn 382:8,22 384:4 387:5 389:4 396:16 423:14 438:21,25 440:16 442:24 459:11 467:5,12,16 468:19 473:6 483:7 484:4 486:7 488:25 489:20 491:8 492:11 501:3 TURN-01 468:22 515:6, 10,12 TURN-02 468:22 515:7,	undersubscribed 409:13 unfair 478:8 481:21 unfairly 475:12 500:6 unfortunate 484:18,24 uniformly 441:3 uniqueness 428:15 unit 427:13 universe 493:2 494:2 unknown 489:20 unnecessary 382:15 unplanned 488:18	 vague 410:12 430:13 valid 496:20,23 validity 383:5 value-based 402:12 values 382:19 383:17, 18 387:20 388:5 402:10 426:12 427:1,3 428:21 494:18 501:9 variables 426:12 variables 497:11 variations 382:25 veering 481:15 	Washington 416:21 water 402:14 ways 428:9 430:6 Weberski 512:22,23 513:8 website 385:4 weigh 388:18 whatnot 416:17 521:7 White 381:18,20,24,25 382:6 384:14,20,22,25 385:7,18,23 386:18,22 389:2 392:14,17 393:18 394:3,4,5,8 395:10,16, 18,23 396:1 398:2

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Danualy 25, 2024	
434:5,7 437:19,20,21	384:9 398:8 403:15
438:2,3 439:9 441:12,	438:17
17,18 444:7 446:8,9,11	yesterday's 420:19,22
447:15,16 451:2,3,6,7,	
10 452:15,17,20 453:1, 4,18,22 456:1,24,25	
457:2,7,11,17,22 458:1	
462:4,5,7,25 463:1,7,24	
464:10,12,15 465:10,	
12,15 466:8 486:8,9,12,	
13,16 487:11 489:16	
490:2,7,15 491:3	
493:10 496:4,19 497:1	
499:19,21 500:2,16,18 501:1,17 502:1,22,25	
503:22 504:13 510:12,	
13,14 511:12 516:9	
widely 385:3	
William 435:1,7 436:7	
withdrawn 522:7,9,14,	
17,18	
witness' 413:20 417:1	
455:18 456:22 501:16	
witness's 434:4	
witnesses 384:16 385:25 504:17	
wondering 424:9	
426:2 430:9 454:14	
493:18 507:9	
word 393:10 410:24	
483:18	
work 386:2 399:12,14	
435:6,8 438:11 462:14	
494:17	
worked 501:5	
works 459:5	
written 427:20 493:20	
wrong 467:6	
wrongs 417:25	
Y	
year 390:1,6,7 392:11 393:22 394:11 396:5 397:20	
years 392:8 393:20	
yesterday 381:10,13	
1	