

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA



FILED
09/05/24
11:38 AM
A2405011

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SUMAN MATHEWS, presiding

Application of the North American) PREHEARING
Numbering Plan Administrator, on) CONFERENCE
Behalf of the California)
Telecommunications Industry, for) Application
Relief of the 657/714 Numbering Plan) 24-05-011
Area.)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
Telephonic Proceeding
July 11, 2024
Pages 1 - 10
Volume 1

Reported by: Andrea L. Ross, CSR No. 7896

TELEPHONIC PROCEEDING

JULY 11, 2024 - 11:00 A.M.

* * * * *

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MATHEWS: We will be on the record. The Commission will please come to order.

Good morning, everyone. It is 11:00 a.m. on June 11, 2024, and we are conducting this hearing remotely via telephone. This is the prehearing conference for Application 24-05-011 filed by the North American Numbering Plan Administrator on Behalf of the California Telecommunications Industry for Release of the 657/714 Numbering Plan Areas.

My name is Suman Mathews, and I am the administrative law judge assigned to this proceeding. The assigned commissioner is Commissioner Baker. Commissioner Baker could not be with us today.

Do we have his adviser on the line?

(No response.)

ALJ MATHEWS: All right. It doesn't sound like we do. The purpose of the prehearing conference is to discuss and address the service list and party status, the scope of the proceeding, the categorization, need for hearing, and the schedule for the remainder of the hearing. Nothing will be decided today, and the final

1 determination will be made in the scoping memo issued by
2 Commissioner Baker.

3 A reminder for everyone to please speak slowly
4 and clearly for the benefit of our court reporter and
5 not to interrupt or speak over one another. We have a
6 telephonic appearance from the applicant, so this is
7 especially important.

8 Does anyone have any initial questions or
9 concerns before we move on?

10 (No response.)

11 ALJ MATHEWS: Hearing none, anyone who desires
12 a transcript of today's hearing may request one by
13 filling out a yellow transcript request form available
14 with our court reporter. Since we're not in person, you
15 would instead email reporting@cpuc.ca.gov.

16 Is there anyone on the telephone line who
17 wishes to become a party to the proceeding or who is not
18 on the service list?

19 (No response.)

20 ALJ MATHEWS: Hearing none, we can go over the
21 service list and have, first, the party representative
22 make their appearances. Please state your name,
23 spelling your last name only, and indicate the party you
24 are representing for the record.

25 Let's start with -- do we have -- I have on the

1 service list as parties -- under the parties, Kimberly
2 Miller, counsel for North American Numbering Plan
3 Administrator. Can you go ahead and identify yourself
4 for the record.

5 MS. MILLER: Yes, your Honor. My name is
6 Kimberly Miller, M-i-l-l-e-r, and I represent NANPA in
7 this proceeding, which is the North American Numbering
8 Plan Administrator. On the call with me today is Heidi
9 Wayman. She is the subject matter expert and NPA relief
10 planner for California.

11 ALJ MATHEWS: Great. And, yes, as "Information
12 Only" on the service list, I have -- as Ms. Miller
13 mentioned, I have Heidi Wayman from the North American
14 Numbering Plan Administrator, I have Jack Chang from the
15 California Public Utilities Commission, and Robert
16 Osborn from the California Public Utilities Commission.

17 Ms. Wayman, would you go ahead and identify
18 yourself and spell your name for the record, also just
19 for clarity's sake.

20 MS. WAYMAN: Yes. Thank you, your Honor. My
21 name is Heidi Wayman. Last name is W-a-y-m-a-n. I'm
22 the area code release planner with NANPA, the North
23 American Numbering Plan Administrator.

24 ALJ MATHEWS: Okay. Thank you. So given that
25 there is no one else who identified themselves who

1 wishes to become a party to the proceeding or who is not
2 on the service list, we can deem the service list to be
3 complete.

4 As you may know, the Commission requires
5 electronic service and requires that all documents
6 served electronically go to everyone on the list, and
7 this includes those who are listed as "Information
8 Only."

9 Let's go to the categorization of the
10 proceeding. Commission Resolution ALJ 176-3546
11 preliminarily determined that this application should be
12 categorized as a ratesetting proceeding, and that
13 hearings were necessary; however, as there are no
14 conflicted issues of fact, I see no need for hearings.

15 Does the applicant, Ms. Miller as the party
16 representative, have any comments regarding the
17 categorization and need for hearings?

18 MS. MILLER: Your Honor, we agree that there's
19 no need from our perspective for a hearing.

20 ALJ MATHEWS: Thank you. And just to be clear,
21 no party objected to the proposed category of the
22 proceeding, which it was required to do under
23 Rule 2.6(d) if it had any objections or proposed
24 alternatives.

25 Ultimately the commissioner will confirm the

1 categorization in the scoping memo; however, I agree
2 that the ratesetting categorization is logical for this
3 case and will recommend that to Commissioner Baker.

4 As you know, we note the ratesetting
5 categorization triggers ex parte rules described in
6 Article 8 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and
7 Procedure. So in consideration of the ex parte rules, I
8 request that parties generally communicate with me by
9 email copying the entire service list.

10 Let's go ahead and move onto the issues in this
11 application or the scope of the proceeding. I have
12 identified the following issues before the Commission:

13 Number one, whether the Commission should
14 approve the industry's consensus decision to implement
15 an all-services distributed overlay plan as the
16 recommended relief alternative for the relief of the
17 657/714 numbering plan area;

18 Two, whether the Commission should approve a
19 nine-month schedule for implementing the area code
20 overlay or whether a longer schedule is required;

21 And, three, whether the Commission should
22 approve the industry's recommended customer education
23 and network preparation period.

24 Ms. Miller, would you like to comment on these
25 or any other issues you believe should be included in

1 the proceeding?

2 MS. MILLER: No, your Honor. I think you've
3 captured everything.

4 ALJ MATHEWS: Great. I will discuss the scope
5 of the proceeding with Commissioner Baker in determining
6 the scope of the proceeding; however, as previously
7 mentioned, Commissioner Baker will ultimately issue the
8 scoping memo with the identified issues of the
9 proceeding.

10 Now let's go ahead and move onto the schedule
11 for the proceeding. At this point I see no need for a
12 schedule given this is an uncontested matter; however, I
13 will review what we've discussed today with the assigned
14 commissioner who will then issue the scoping memo and
15 the ruling.

16 Ms. Miller, do you have any comments on that?

17 MS. MILLER: Yes, your Honor. I'd just like to
18 point out that in the petition, the industry requested
19 the Commission issue a final decision granting the
20 application no later than June 30, 2025.

21 ALJ MATHEWS: Thank you. I did see that in the
22 application, and thank you for your comments.

23 This case or proceeding will likely be
24 submitted upon review of any responses to rulings
25 requesting additional information and then a

1 determination that no further information or evidence is
2 needed to inform and evaluate the issues in this
3 proceeding. At that time a ruling closing the record
4 will be issued.

5 If the proposed decision grants an uncontested
6 application such as this, the proposed decision will not
7 be mailed for comments. In that case, you may wish to
8 monitor the agenda in order to know when the proposed
9 decision will be voted on.

10 Once the matter is deemed complete, as I
11 mentioned, the matter will be submitted, and from that
12 point the proposed decision will generally issue within
13 90 days. Given this is an uncontested application, I
14 anticipate the commissioner will be able to issue the
15 scoping memo within a few weeks, subject to his
16 schedule, and I will recommend to Commissioner Baker
17 that the proceeding can move forward without hearings.

18 All right. Ms. Miller, are there any other
19 matters that you'd like to have taken care of at this
20 time?

21 MS. MILLER: No, your Honor. We appreciate the
22 chance to attend the prehearing (sic) and look forward
23 to the rest of the proceeding.

24 ALJ MATHEWS: Thank you.

25 Well, this was short, and we can conclude. As

1 I mentioned previously, a scoping memo will be issued in
2 the near future subject to the commissioner's schedule
3 and completing that.

4 Thank you very much. We are adjourned for
5 today.

6 (At the hour of 11:11 a.m., this matter
7 having concluded, the Commission then
8 adjourned.)

9 * * * * *

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
I, ANDREA L. ROSS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
NO. 7896, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
THIS MATTER ON JULY 11, 2024.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
EXECUTED THIS SEPTEMBER 05, 2024.



ANDREA L. ROSS
CSR NO. 7896

1	all-services 6:15	clear 5:20	discuss 2:22 7:4
11 2:2,8	alternative 6:16	closing 8:3	discussed 7:13
11:00 2:2,7	alternatives 5:24	code 4:22 6:19	distributed 6:15
176-3546 5:10	American 2:11 4:2,7,13,23	comment 6:24	documents 5:5
2	anticipate 8:14	comments 5:16 7:16,22 8:7	E
2.6(d) 5:23	appearance 3:6	Commission 2:5 4:15,16 5:4,10 6:12,13,18,21 7:19	education 6:22
2024 2:2,8	appearances 3:22	Commission's 6:6	electronic 5:5
2025 7:20	applicant 3:6 5:15	commissioner 2:16,17 3:2 5:25 6:3 7:5,7,14 8:14,16	electronically 5:6
24-05-011 2:10	application 2:10 5:11 6:11 7:20,22 8:6,13	communicate 6:8	email 3:15 6:9
3	approve 6:14,18,22	complete 5:3 8:10	entire 6:9
30 7:20	area 4:22 6:17,19	concerns 3:9	evaluate 8:2
6	Areas 2:13	conclude 8:25	evidence 8:1
657/714 2:13 6:17	Article 6:6	conducting 2:8	expert 4:9
8	assigned 2:15,16 7:13	conference 2:10,21	F
8 6:6	attend 8:22	confirm 5:25	fact 5:14
9	B	conflicted 5:14	filed 2:10
90 8:13	Baker 2:16,17 3:2 6:3 7:5,7 8:16	consensus 6:14	filling 3:13
A	Behalf 2:11	consideration 6:7	final 2:25 7:19
a.m. 2:2,7	benefit 3:4	copying 6:9	form 3:13
additional 7:25	C	counsel 4:2	forward 8:17,22
address 2:22	California 2:12 4:10,15,16	court 3:4,14	G
administrative 2:4,15	call 4:8	customer 6:22	generally 6:8 8:12
Administrator 2:11 4:3,8,14,23	captured 7:3	D	Good 2:7
adviser 2:18	care 8:19	days 8:13	granting 7:19
agenda 8:8	case 6:3 7:23 8:7	decided 2:25	grants 8:5
agree 5:18 6:1	categorization 2:23 5:9,17 6:1,2,5	decision 6:14 7:19 8:5,6,9,12	Great 4:11 7:4
ahead 4:3,17 6:10 7:10	categorized 5:12	deem 5:2	H
ALJ 2:20 3:11,20 4:11,24 5:10,20 7:4,21 8:24	category 5:21	deemed 8:10	hearing 2:8,24,25 3:11,12,20 5:19
	chance 8:22	desires 3:11	hearings 5:13,14,17 8:17
	Chang 4:14	determination 3:1 8:1	Heidi 4:8,13,21
	clarity's 4:19	determined 5:11	Honor 4:5,20 5:18 7:2,17 8:21
		determining 7:5	

service 2:22 3:18,21
4:1,12 5:2,5 6:9

short 8:25

sic 8:22

slowly 3:3

sound 2:20

speak 3:3,5

spell 4:18

spelling 3:23

start 3:25

state 3:22

status 2:22

subject 4:9 8:15

submitted 7:24 8:11

Suman 2:14

Wayman 4:9,13,17,20,
21

weeks 8:15

wishes 3:17 5:1

Y

yellow 3:13

T

Telecommunications
2:12

telephone 2:9 3:16

telephonic 2:1 3:6

time 8:3,20

today 2:17,25 4:8 7:13

today's 3:12

transcript 3:12,13

triggers 6:5

U

ultimately 5:25 7:7

uncontested 7:12 8:5,
13

Utilities 4:15,16

V

voted 8:9

W

W-A-Y-M-A-N 4:21