

ALJ/JYL/nd3 2/27/2025



FILED

02/27/25

04:59 PM

C2410026

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Arlene Kock, individually and as a
Trustee of the Velma Kock Trust,
Complainant, vs. Pacific Gas and
Electric Company (U39E), Defendant.

Case 24-10-026

**EMAIL RULING RESETTING BRIEFING DEADLINES
REGARDING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT**

Dated February 27, 2025, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JEFFREY K. LEE

Jeffrey K. Lee

Administrative Law Judge

From: Lee, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Lee@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 27, 2025 10:38 AM
To: Basilio, Jessica (Law) <J33Z@pge.com>; Basilio, Jessica (Law) <Jessica.Basilio@pge.com>; ElizabethB@Brekhus.com; RegRelCPUCCases <RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com>; PG&E Tariffs <pgeTariffs@pge.com>; Frank, Steven (Law) <Steven.Frank@pge.com>; PaulK@Brekhus.com; legalassist@brekhus.com
Cc: ALJ Support ID <alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Docket Office <ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Process <alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov>; Lee, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Lee@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: C.24-10-026 (Kock v. Pacific Gas and Electric Company) - Administrative Law Judge Email Ruling Resetting Briefing Deadlines Regarding Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint

C.24-10-026 (Kock v. Pacific Gas and Electric Company) - Administrative Law Judge Email Ruling Resetting Briefing Deadlines Regarding Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint

TO ALL PARTIES OF RECORD:

On February 20, 2025, Defendant Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed and served its answer to the complaint in the above-referenced proceeding. In its answer at page 7, PG&E states that "the Complaint fails as a matter of law" and "PG&E will file a motion to dismiss if the Commission does not dismiss the Complaint as a result of this Answer."

In a February 25, 2025 email ruling, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) ordered the parties to this proceeding to meet and confer regarding the issues raised by the complaint. That ruling set deadlines for PG&E to file its motion to dismiss the complaint on or by March 14, 2025, Complainant Kock to file and serve a response in opposition to the motion to dismiss on or by April 1, 2025, and PG&E to file a reply in support of the motion to dismiss on or by April 11, 2015.

On February 26, 2025, PG&E requested that the deadline for its motion to dismiss be extended to March 21, 2025, due to PG&E counsel's anticipated preparation and participation, from March 10 through March 14, in an evidentiary hearing in Commission proceeding C.24-09-006. No party was identified by PG&E as consenting or opposing PG&E's extension request. No party has responded separately to PG&E's request.

For good cause shown, PG&E's request is GRANTED. The briefing schedule deadlines regarding PG&E's motion to dismiss the complaint, are reset as set forth below.

The parties to this proceeding must meet and confer regarding the issues raised by the complaint. Should the issues raised by the complaint remain in dispute, PG&E shall file a motion to dismiss the complaint on or by **March 21, 2025**.

Pursuant to Commission Rule of Practice and Procedure 11.1(e), Complainant Kock may file and serve a response in opposition to the motion to dismiss on or by **April 8, 2025**. The response brief shall not raise new issues, and shall be limited to addressing the points presented by the motion to dismiss.

In addition, under Commission Rule 11.1(f), PG&E may file a reply in support of the motion to dismiss on or by **April 18, 2025**. The reply brief shall be limited to 10 pages, shall not raise new issues, and shall be limited to addressing the points presented by any response filed in opposition to the motion to dismiss.

The Docket Office shall formally file this Email Ruling.

IT IS SO RULED.

Jeffrey K. Lee

Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission



Jeffrey Lee
Administrative Law Judge

California Public Utilities Commission
San Francisco Headquarters
505 Van Ness Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94102
Email: jeffrey.lee@cpuc.ca.gov
Web: www.cpuc.ca.gov

From: Basilio, Jessica (Law) <J33Z@pge.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2025 3:02 PM
To: Lee, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Lee@cpuc.ca.gov>; Basilio, Jessica (Law) <Jessica.Basilio@pge.com>; ElizabethB@Brekhus.com; RegRelCPUCcases <RegRelCPUCcases@pge.com>; PG&E Tariffs <pgeTariffs@pge.com>; Frank, Steven (Law) <Steven.Frank@pge.com>; PaulK@Brekhus.com
Cc: Lee, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Lee@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Support ID <alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Docket Office <ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Process <alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: C.24-10-026 (Kock v. Pacific Gas and Electric Company) - Administrative Law Judge Email Ruling Setting Opening, Response, and Reply Brief Deadlines Regarding Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Classification: Internal

Good afternoon, Judge Lee.

PG&E kindly requests that the deadline for its Motion to Dismiss in Kock v. PG&E (C.24-10-026) be rescheduled to March 21, 2025, due to my preparation and participation in, as counsel for PG&E, the evidentiary hearings in Richardson v. PG&E (C.24-09-006) from March 10 through March 14.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jessica Basilio
Attorney
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
(510) 277-5288

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Lee, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Lee@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2025 6:30:55 PM
To: Basilio, Jessica (Law) <Jessica.Basilio@pge.com>; ElizabethB@Brekhus.com <ElizabethB@Brekhus.com>; RegRelCPUCCases <RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com>; PG&E Tariffs <pgeTariffs@pge.com>; Frank, Steven (Law) <Steven.Frank@pge.com>; PaulK@Brekhus.com <PaulK@Brekhus.com>
Cc: Lee, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Lee@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Support ID <alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Docket Office <ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Process <alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: C.24-10-026 (Kock v. Pacific Gas and Electric Company) - Administrative Law Judge Email Ruling Setting Opening, Response, and Reply Brief Deadlines Regarding Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint

CAUTION: EXTERNAL SENDER!

This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Do you know this person? Are you expecting this email? Are you expecting any links or attachments? If suspicious, do not click links, open attachments, or provide credentials. Don't delete it. **Report it by using the "Report Phish" button.**

C.24-10-026 (Kock v. Pacific Gas and Electric Company) - Administrative Law Judge Email Ruling Setting Opening, Response, and Reply Brief Deadlines Regarding Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint

TO ALL PARTIES OF RECORD:

On February 20, 2025, Defendant Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed and served its answer to the complaint in the above-referenced proceeding. In its answer at page 7, PG&E states that “the Complaint fails as a matter of law” and “PG&E will file a motion to dismiss if the Commission does not dismiss the Complaint as a result of this Answer.”

Accordingly, the parties to this proceeding must meet and confer regarding the issues raised by the complaint. Should the issues raised by the complaint remain in dispute, PG&E shall file a motion to dismiss the complaint on or by **March 14, 2025**.

Pursuant to Commission Rule of Practice and Procedure 11.1(e), Complainant Kock may file and serve a response in opposition to the motion to dismiss on or by **April 1, 2025**. The response brief shall not raise new issues, and shall be limited to addressing the points presented by the motion to dismiss.

In addition, under Commission Rule 11.1(f), PG&E may file a reply in support of the motion to dismiss on or by **April 11, 2015**. The reply brief shall be limited to 10 pages, shall not raise new issues, and shall be limited to addressing the points presented by any response filed in opposition to the motion to dismiss.

The Docket Office shall formally file this Email Ruling.

IT IS SO RULED.

Jeffrey K. Lee

Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission



Jeffrey Lee

Administrative Law Judge

California Public Utilities Commission
San Francisco Headquarters
505 Van Ness Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94102

Email: jeffrey.lee@cpuc.ca.gov

Web: www.cpuc.ca.gov

You can read about PG&E’s data privacy practices at [PGE.com/privacy](https://www.pge.com/privacy).