



FILED

04/14/25

02:37 PM

A2410010

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Astound Networks California, LLC for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Provide Full Facilities-Based and Resold Competitive Local Exchange Service and Interexchange Service.

Application 24-10-010

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING GRANTING
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS MARCH 27, 2025, RESPONSE
TO ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S INQUIRY**

Applicant Astound Networks California, LLC filed a *Motion for Leave to Amend Its March 27, 2025 Response To Administrative Law Judge's Inquiry* on April 8, 2025.

Astound's March 27th filing addressed several disclosures deemed inadequate in its responses to an *Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Directing Filing of Additional Information Within 10 Days* issued on March 17, 2025, one of which required

"For disclosure number 6, provide the terms of the Settlement Agreement including the amount of any payment or monetary forfeiture made in the matter's resolution and the current procedural status of the lawsuit".

Disclosure number 6 involves a civil lawsuit filed in Illinois which Applicant had reported in its March 27th filing that it was aware the lawsuit had been dismissed with a settlement but had not been able to provide any exact

settlement amount, informing the CPUC in its response that once it “confirms the settlement amount, it will supplement this [March 27th] response.”

The Applicant’s amended response was requested because the information initially provided for disclosure number 6 was inadequate and the application cannot proceed without it.

Applicant’s April 8th filing herein is the supplemental filing promised in Applicant’s March 27th filing. Applicant confirms in its April 8th filing that it has ascertained the amount in question and is seeking to amend its response to provide the amount of the settlement in the litigation in question.

Applicant’s *Motion for Leave to Amend Its March 27, 2025 Response To Administrative Law Judge’s Inquiry* explains that Applicant has been able to access the information requested and seeks to present it.

We find that Applicant’s *Motion for Leave to Amend* herein provides information sufficient in response to the underlying *Ruling Directing Filing of Additional Information Within 10 Days*.

IT IS RULED that:

For good cause shown, Applicant’s *Motion for Leave to Amend* is **GRANTED**.

Dated April 14, 2025, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ PAUL HAGEN
Paul Hagen
Administrative Law Judge