



FILED

07/01/25

01:03 PM

A2411008

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Greenfield
Communications, Inc. for Registration
as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone
Corporation Pursuant to the
Provisions of Public Utilities Code
Section 1013.

Application 24-11-008

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING DIRECTING FILING
OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WITHIN 10 DAYS**

This ruling directs Greenfield Communications Inc. to file and serve a response to this request for additional information within 10 days.

1. Background

Application (A.) 24-11-008 was filed pursuant to Pub. Util. (Pub. Util.) Code Section 1013, through a simplified registration process. A Notice of Registration Reassignment was filed and served on Greenfield Communications, Inc. (Greenfield or Applicant) on January 8, 2025, notifying Applicant that their application does not qualify for the simplified registration process, and notifying Applicant that any further review could only proceed as an application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 1001.

Greenfield paid the required difference between the Section 1013 registration fee and the Section 1001 CPCN application fee and is proceeding with an application for a CPCN. On February 28, 2025 the Administrative Law

Judge (ALJ) issued Ruling Directing Filing of Additional Information within 10 days.

The remote prehearing conference took place on March 25, 2025, via Webex. Applicant Greenfield was present. On April 2, 2025, the ALJ issued Ruling Directing Filing of Additional Information. The Scoping Ruling was filed on April 10, 2025. The Response to Additional information was received effective April 14, 2025. On May 22, 2025 the ALJ issued Ruling Directing Filing of Additional Information. The Response to Additional information was received on May 27, 2025.

2. Discussion

Upon review of A.24-11-008, the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) requires additional information in order to review the Application. Greenfield is directed to provide the following information:

1. Identify the month and year that Greenfield started providing voice telecommunication services to customers in California. Does Greenfield also provide other services to California customers in addition to voice telecommunication services?
2. Please explain the difference between the April 2025 ClearlyIP invoice detailing only 15 unique phone numbers¹ and Greenfield's response stating it has 227 VoIP customers² receiving service. If ClearlyIP is only invoicing for 15 customers, who is invoicing the remaining 212 customers, and who is the underlying service provider(s) for the remaining 212 Greenfield customers?
3. All interconnected VoIP service providers are required to comply with surcharge obligations pursuant to Pub. Util.

¹ April 4, 2025 Response to Administrative Law Judge Inquiry of Greenfield Communications to the ALJ Ruling Dated April 2, 2025.

² May 27, 2025 Response of Greenfield, Communications, Inc. to ALJ's Ruling Dated May 22, 2025

Code Section 285. Identify any entity and/or telephone corporation responsible for reporting and remitting intrastate revenues and/or access lines subject to CPUC surcharge assessment surcharges to the CPUC on behalf of Greenfield.

- a. How much total intrastate revenues and/or access lines were reported and remitted to the CPUC on behalf of Greenfield since November 2024?
- b. Does Greenfield have intrastate revenues and/or access lines subject to CPUC surcharge assessment that have not been reported and remitted to the CPUC ? Identify all reporting periods affected (month(s) and year(s)).

If there are clarifications needed regarding the required information, Applicant may contact the assigned ALJ by e-mail at Theresa.Moore@cpuc.ca.gov, copying the service list.

Within 10 days, the Applicant shall provide the additional information as directed herein. Applicant's filing must be titled "*Response to Administrative Law Judge Inquiry*," otherwise a new protest period will be triggered.

IT IS SO RULED.

Dated July 1, 2025, at San Francisco, California.

/S/ THERESA MOORE

Theresa Moore
Administrative Law Judge