Appendix C Risk Mitigation Accountability Reports AM Guidelines

Risk Mitigation Accountability Report Guidelines

RMAR Definitions

In addition to the terms listed here, all terms listed in the Risk-based Decision-making Framework¹ are relevant to an RMAR submission.

Term	Definition
Class	Class determines table structure and interpretation in RMAR. There are two Classes: Stock tables and Flow tables.
Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR)	The ratio of Mitigation Benefits to Mitigation Costs as defined by D.22-12-027 and refinements required in subsequent Commission Decisions.
Flow	A Class that describes tables where the values accumulate over time and can be added. Flow Line-items include Mitigation Benefits and Mitigation Costs, and BCR. See a full explanation below.
Forecasts	Future estimates of Line-item values that are unique to the Plan Phase in RMAR, typically based on outputs from a model and/or SME judgement. Forecasts will be used for comparing against Monetized Outcomes, Results and Projections in the Reporting Phase. Forecast values are established in a Decision adopted in a GRC or other cost recovery venue. See also Scenario.
Line-item	A line in the RMAR with values associated with it. This could include, but is not limited to, BCR, Mitigation Benefit, Mitigation Cost, Postmitigated Risk, Pre-mitigated Risk, Risk Reduction, and Work Units.
Mitigation Benefit	The monetized risk reduction of mitigations that is presented as a Flow. Mitigation Benefits are typically calculated by a model and/or SME judgement.
Mitigation Cost	The cost of mitigations that is presented as a Flow. Historical costs are taken from actual costs incurred; while future costs are based on models and/or SME judgement.
Monetized Outcome	The monetized impact of Risk Events that have occurred in a given year. This could be estimated using, for instance, but not limited to, the Safety Performance Metrics.
Plan Phase	The section in RMAR that lays out the Plan. It only contains Forecasts of the future.
Post-mitigated Risk	The risk that remains after mitigations are applied, and is presented as Stock.
Pre-mitigated Risk	The current level of risk, before any new mitigations are applied, and is presented as Stock.

¹ See Appendix A in this Decision or any subsequent Decision that updates Appendix A.

Projections	A forecast of future Line-item values unique to the Reporting Phase in the RMAR, which is typically based on outputs from a model and/or SME judgement. Based on new data or models, Projections may update and change the Plan's Forecast values established in a Decision adopted in a GRC or other cost recovery venue. Projections should be compared to the Forecasts in the Plan Phase. See also Scenario.
Results	Results are the impact of mitigation activities that have occurred in a given year. In the case of Mitigation Benefits, Results are the monetized value calculated from a model based on the mitigation activities that occurred in a given year. In the case of Mitigation Costs or Work Units, Results are based on actual costs incurred or actual work units performed in a given year. See also Scenario.
Reporting Phase	The section of RMAR that compares Monetized Outcomes, Results and Projections to the Forecasts in the Plan Phase. The Reporting Phase will include updated Projections based on the utility's current knowledge. The Reporting Phase contains both historical data of Results and future-looking Projections.
Risk Measure	How risk is presented in a table, e.g. Expected Value Risk or Tail Average Risk.
Risk Reduction	The monetized impact of mitigations, presented as a Stock value. Risk Reduction is typically calculated by a model and/or SME Judgement.
Scenario	Distinctions of Line-item values used to make comparisons between the Plan Phase and the Reporting Phase. Forecasts, Results, and Projections are Scenarios. If there are multiple Projections in the Reporting Phase, then each Reporting Phase with a unique Projection is a distinct Scenario.
Stock	A Class that describes tables where the Line-items represent point-in-time values. Stock Line-items include Pre-mitigated Risk, Post-mitigated Risk, and Risk Reduction. See a full explanation below.

Stock and Flow Explanations

Stock and Flow are two different Classes of Line-items in an RMAR that determine how monetized mitigation impacts on risk are calculated and used. Mitigation impacts are based on the output of risk models.

Flow describes the calculation of a Mitigation Benefit, which contributes to the numerator in the BCR. Once a mitigation is completed, the benefit occurs every year for the expected life of the mitigation, and the total benefit is the sum over the expected life. As such, a Flow value is <u>additive</u>. For example, if a mitigation is modeled to reduce risk by \$10, and the expected life of the mitigation is 10 years, the mitigation benefit is the sum of the risk reduction over the 10 years, or \$100. The BCR would be calculated by using the \$100 Mitigation Benefit discounted by the appropriate discount rate.

Stock describes a Risk Reduction value. Once a mitigation is completed, risk is reduced from a Premitigated Risk level to a Post-Mitigated Risk level. As such, Stock is a <u>point-in-time value</u>. Using the same example as in Flow, above, if a mitigation is modeled to reduce risk by \$10 for 10 years, the modeled Risk Reduction is \$10. The level of Post-mitigated Risk is \$10 lower than the Pre-mitigated Risk.

RMAR Line-items

	Source of Values	Stock or Flow
Plan Phase:		
Mitigation Benefits	Modeled Forecast	Flow
Mitigation Costs	Modeled Forecast	Flow
Work Units	Modeled Forecast	Flow
BCR	Modeled Forecast (Present Value)	Flow
Pre-Mitigated Risk	Modeled Forecast	Stock
Post-Mitigated Risk	Modeled Forecast	Stock
Risk Reduction	Modeled Forecast	Stock
Reporting Phase:		
Mitigation Benefits	Modeled Result/Modeled Projection	Flow
Mitigation Costs	Actual Result/Modeled Projection	Flow
Work Units	Actual Result/Modeled Projection	Flow
BCR	Modeled Projection (Present Value)	Flow
Pre-Mitigated Risk	Modeled Projection	Stock
Post-Mitigated Risk	Modeled Result/Modeled Projection	Stock
Risk Reduction	Modeled Result/Modeled Projection	Stock
Monetized Outcomes	Actual Outcome	Stock

RMAR Required Tables and Table Elements

- 1. Aside from the original RAMP backcast, the first RMAR must at a minimum be four years of reporting, including the Report Year, the Report Years to date and the Forecast years.
- 2. All tables should include the following roll-up points:
 - a. **Hierarchy**: Based on organizational structure, including, but not limited to, circuit, substation, pipeline, watershed region, High-Fire Threat District, region, division,

enterprise. Hierarchy defines how reports and tables are grouped in "parent-child" relationships.

- b. Scenario: Forecast, Results, Projection.
- c. **Version**: Risk model or methodology
- d. **Risk Event**: All risks included in the most recent RAMP and GRC Applications
- e. **Tranches**: Risk event-dependent.²
- f. Mitigations: Risk event-dependent.
- 3. All tables should include the following common elements:
 - a. Attribute: Safety, Reliability, Financial.
 - b. Risk Measure: Expected Value Risk, Tail Average Risk.
 - c. **Line-items**: This dimension contains all the key calculations in an RMAR, including, but not limited to, BCR, Mitigation Benefit, Mitigation Cost, Post-mitigated Risk, Premitigated Risk, Risk Reduction, and Work Units.
 - d. Work Unit: Corresponds to the Work Units presented in the GRC and RSAR.
 - e. **Time**: Periods under consideration, including years and GRC Cycle (i.e. PG&E's 2027 GRC).

² See D.24-05-064, Appendix A, Row 14

Required Plan Phase Tables

a; Forecasted.Mitigation.Costs.and.Benefits.Table.for.Expected.Value.Risk.by.Mitigation. for.each.Risk.Event

WILDFII	RE RISK MITIGATION FORECAST
Hierarchy	Enterprise
Risk Events	Wildfire
Scenario	Forecast
Version	Model 2.1
Time	Years 1-4
Sub-table 1. Wo	ork Unit Circuit Miles
	Plan Y1Y4
UG	1,400
CC	400
Total	1,800

Sub-table 2. Mitigation Benefi	t Overvie	w	Expecte	d Value	Risk		
By Mitigation Type			itigation Benefit	M	itigation Cost		
UG			\$640		\$500		
CC			\$630		\$340		
Total			\$1,270		\$790		
BCR:			WACC		Social	Hybrid	1
UG			1.86		2.79	2.34	
CC			2.14		2.48	2.43	
Total			1.99		2.65	2.39	
Sub-table 3. Mitigation Benefi	t Y1Y4						
Expected Value Risk	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Voor 1	Voor 5 to	E 1 I :C.	
				1 Cal 4	Tear 5 to	Expected Life	Total
3a. UG				1 Ca1 4	1ear 5 to .	Expected Life	Total
3a. UG Mitigation Benefit	\$0	\$0	\$80	\$80		\$480	Total \$640
	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$500					
Mitigation Benefit		"	\$80	\$80		\$480	\$640
Mitigation Benefit Mitigation Costs		"	\$80	\$80		\$480	\$640
Mitigation Benefit Mitigation Costs 3b. CC	\$0	\$500	\$80 \$0	\$80 \$0		\$480 \$0	\$640 \$500
Mitigation Benefit Mitigation Costs 3b. CC Mitigation Benefit	\$0 \$63	\$500 \$63	\$80 \$0 \$63	\$80 \$0 \$63		\$480 \$0 \$378	\$640 \$500 \$630
Mitigation Benefit Mitigation Costs 3b. CC Mitigation Benefit Mitigation Costs	\$0 \$63	\$500 \$63	\$80 \$0 \$63	\$80 \$0 \$63		\$480 \$0 \$378	\$640 \$500 \$630

 $b_i \quad Forecasted. Risk. Reduction. Table. by. Attribute. for. each. Risk. Event.\\$

27 1 010000	WILDFIRE RISK MITIGA				
Hierarchy	Enterprise	1101110	REGILOT		
Risk Events	Wildfire				
Scenario	Forecast				
Version	Model 2.1				
Time	Years 1-4				
Sub-table 1: Ris	k Reduction Overview				
Expected Value	<u>Risk</u>	Safety	Reliability	Financial	
Pre-mitigated r	sk	\$270	\$300	\$300	
Risk reduction		\$35	\$54	\$54	
Post-mitigated	risk	\$235	\$246	\$246	
Tail Average Ri					
Pre-mitigated ri	sk	\$1,760	\$1,760	\$1,540	
Risk reduction		\$183	\$282	\$282	
Post-mitigated		\$1,578	\$1,478	\$1,258	
*Tail average is					
	k Reduction Y1Y4				
Expected Value	<u>Risk</u>	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
Safety		*			
Pre-mitigated r	sk	\$270	*	*	#
Risk reduction		\$15	\$15	\$35	\$35
Post-mitigated	risk	\$255	\$255	\$235	\$235
Reliability		#200			
Pre-mitigated ri	ISK	\$300 \$24	\$24	\$54	\$54
Post-mitigated	uiol-	\$276	\$24 \$276	\$34 \$246	\$34 \$246
Financial	HISK	\$270	\$270	\$2 4 0	\$240
Pre-mitigated r	ie l z	\$300			
Risk reduction	ON	\$24	\$24	\$54	\$54
Post-mitigated	riek	\$276	\$276	\$246	\$246
1 oot intigated	1101	ΨΔ/Ο	ΨΔΙΟ	Ψ210	Ψ210
Tail Average Ri	sk*	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
Safety					
Pre-mitigated ri	isk	\$1,760			
Risk reduction		\$83	\$83	\$183	\$183
Post-mitigated	risk	\$1,678	\$1,595	\$1,413	\$1,230
Reliability					
Pre-mitigated ri	sk	\$1,760			
Risk reduction		\$132	\$132	\$282	\$282
Post-mitigated	risk	\$1,628	\$1,628	\$1,478	\$1,478
<u>Financial</u>					
Pre-mitigated ri	sk	\$1,540			
Risk reduction		\$132	\$132	\$282	\$282
Post-mitigated		\$1,408	\$1,408	\$1,258	\$1,258
*Tail risk is not	additive				

Required Reporting Phase Tables

The following example tables are from a hypothetical RMAR submitted in Year 3 of a GRC Cycle:

a; Monetized.Outcomes.Flow.Table.by.Attribute.for.each.Risk.Event

WILDFIRE ATTRIBUTES I	MONETIZE	D OUTCOM	E	
Hierarchy	Enterprise			
Risk Events	Wildfire			
Scenario	Monetized Outcome vs. Forecast			
Version	Model 2.1			
Time	Year 3			
		<u>Y3</u>		
	Safety	Reliability	Financial	Risk
Monetized Outcome by Attribute	\$600	\$300	\$1,800	\$2,700
Forecast - Expected Value Post-mitigated Risk	\$235	\$246	\$246	\$727
Monetized Outcome better(worse) than Forecast	(\$365)	(\$54)	(\$1,554)	(\$1,973)
	-155%	-22%	-632%	-271%
Forecast - Tail average Post-mitigated Risk*	\$1,578	\$1,478	\$1,258	\$3,921
Outcome better(worse) than Forecast	\$978	\$1, 178	(\$542)	\$1,221
	38%	20%	-143%	69%
*Tail risk is not additive				

b; Monetized.Outcomes.Stock.Table.by.Attribute.for.each.Risk.Event

•				
WILDFIRE ATTRIBUTES MONETIZ	ZED OU	TCOME		
Hierarchy	Enterprise			
Risk Events	Wildfire			
Scenario	Monetized Outcome vs. Forecast			
Version	Model 2.1			
Time	Years 1	-3		
		<u>Y1</u>	<u>Y3</u>	
	Safety	Reliability	Financial	Risk
Average Y1Y3 Monetized Outcome by Attribute	\$600	\$330	\$1,845	\$2,775
Average Y1Y3 Forecast - Expected Value Post-mitigated risk	\$745	\$798	\$798	\$2,341
Monetized Outcome better(worse) than Forecast	\$145 \$468 (\$1,047) (\$4			
	19%	59%	-131%	-19%

 $c_i \quad \hbox{Expected.Value.Risk.Mitigation.Benefit.and.Mitigation.Cost.by.Risk.Event.Table}$

RISK EVENTS MI	TIGATION BEN	NEFIT AND MIT	IGATION C	OST
Hierarchy	Enterprise			
Risk Events	All			
Scenario	Results vs. Forec	ast and Projections	vs. Forecast	
Version	Model 2.1			
Time	Year 3 and Years	s 1-3		
Sub-table 1. Mitigation Benef	it and Cost, Y3			
			Results B	(W) Forecast
Expected Value Risk	Results Y3	Forecast Y3	\$	%
Wildfire				
Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$106	\$143	(\$37)	-26%
Actual Mitigation Cost	\$260	\$10	(\$250)	-2500%
<u>Cyber</u>				
Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$12	\$12	\$0	0%
Actual Mitigation Cost	\$5	\$5	\$0	0%
<u>Hydro</u>				
Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$50	\$60	(\$10)	-17%
Actual Mitigation Cost	\$15	\$15	\$0	0%
<u>Total</u>				
Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$168	\$215	(\$47)	-22%
Actual Mitigation Cost	\$280	\$30	(\$250)	-833%
Sub-table 2. Mitigation Benef	fit and Cost, Y1Y	3		
				(W) Forecast
Expected Value Risk	Results Y1Y3	Forecast Y1Y3	\$	0/0
Wildfire		*		
Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$216	\$269	(\$53)	-20%
Actual Mitigation Cost	\$770	\$720	(\$50)	-7%
Cyber		**	# 0	
Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$36	\$36	\$0	0%
Actual Mitigation Cost	\$15	\$15	\$0	0%
Hydro	#F.	*	(4) == (2)	= 0.2.1
Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$50	\$120	(\$70)	-58%
Actual Mitigation Cost	\$215	\$210	(\$5)	-2%
<u>Total</u>	Man =	*	(#4 = =)	• 0.2.1
Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$302	\$425	(\$123)	-29%
Actual Mitigation Cost	\$1,000	\$945	(\$55)	-6%

Sub-table 3	. Mitigation Be	enefit and Cos	ts: Results and	Projection	n versus F	orecast			
					Results			Projection	
Expected V	alue Risk:			Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5 to	Total
								Expected Life	
<u>Wildfire</u>									
Modeled M	litigation Bene	fit: Results/Pr	rojection	\$55	\$55	\$106	\$135	\$810	\$1,161
	litigation Bene			\$63	\$63	\$143	\$143	\$858	\$1,27 0
Results/Pr	ojection B(W)	Forecast		(\$8)	(\$8)	(\$37)	(\$8)	(\$48)	(\$109)
Actual/Mo	deled Mitigatio	on Costs: Resu	ılts/Projection	\$200	\$310	\$260	\$ 10	\$ 60	\$840
Modeled M	litigation Cost:	Forecast		\$200	\$510	\$ 10	\$10	\$60	\$ 790
Results/Pr	Results/Projection B(W) Forecast		\$0	\$200	(\$250)	\$ 0	\$0	(\$50)	
<u>Cyber</u>									
	litigation Bene		rojection	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$72	\$120
	litigation Bene			\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$72	\$120
Results/Pr	ojection B(W)	Forecast		\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 0
	deled Mitigatio		ılts/Projection	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$30	\$50
Modeled M	litigation Cost:	Forecast		\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$30	\$50
	ojection B(W) [Forecast		\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0
<u>Hydro</u>									
Modeled M	litigation Bene	fit: Results/Pr	rojection	\$0	\$ 0	\$50	\$50	\$300	\$400
	litigation Bene			\$0	\$60	\$ 60	\$ 60	\$360	\$540
Results/Pr	ojection B(W)	Forecast		\$0	(\$60)	(\$10)	(\$10)	(\$60)	(\$140)
Actual/Mo	deled Mitigatio	on Costs: Resu	lts/Projection	\$0	\$200	\$15	\$15	\$ 90	\$320
Modeled M	litigation Cost:	Forecast		\$180	\$15	\$15	\$15	\$90	\$315
Results/Pr	ojection B(W)	Forecast		\$180	(\$185)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$5)
<u>Total</u>									
	litigation Bene		rojection	\$67	\$67	\$168	\$197	\$1,182	\$1,681
	litigation Bene			\$75	\$135	\$215	\$215	\$1,290	\$1,930
Results/Pr	ojection B(W)	Forecast		(\$8)	(\$68)	(\$47)	(\$18)	(\$108)	(\$249)
	deled Mitigatio		ılts/Projection	\$205	\$515	\$280	\$30	\$180	\$1,210
	litigation Cost:			\$385	\$530	\$30	\$30	\$180	\$1,155
Results/Pr	ojection B(W)	Forecast		\$180	\$15	(\$250)	\$ 0	\$0	(\$55)
BCR	Projection	Forecast	B(W)						
Wildfire:									
WACC	1.73	1.92	-0.19						
Social	2.44	2.72	-0.28						
Hybrid	2.14	2.36	-0.22						
Cyber:									
WACC	2.40	2.40	0.00						
Social	2.40	2.40	0.00						
Hybrid	2.64	2.64	0.00						
Hydro									
WACC	1.18	1.52	-0.34						
Social	1.35	1.72	-0.38						
Hybrid	1.35	1.70	-0.35						

 $d; \quad \hbox{\it Expected.Value.Risk.Mitigation.Benefit.by.Attribute.for.each.Risk.Event.Table}$

d; Expected.Value.R	isk.Mitigatioi	n.Benefit	.by.Attrib	oute.for.e	each.Risk	k.Event.Table	
WI	LDFIRE AT	[RIBUT]	ES MITIO	GATION	BENEF	ΙΤ	
Hierarchy	Enterprise						
Risk Events	Wildfire						
Scenario	Results vs. Fo	orecast and	l Projectio	ns vs. Fo	recast		
Version	Model 2.1						
Time	Year 3 and Y	ears 1-3					
Sub-table 1. Mitigation Be	nefits Overvio	ew					
						Results B(W) I	Forecast
Mitigation benefit, Y3							
Expected Value Risk			lts Y3		cast Y3	\$	%
Safety			30		35	(\$5)	-14%
Reliability			38		554	(\$16)	-30%
Financial			38		554	(\$16)	-30%
Total		\$1	106	\$	143	(\$37)	-26%
Mitigation benefit, Y1Y3		D. 1	L. X/4X/2	E.	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	Φ.	0/
Expected Value Risk			ts Y1Y3		ast Y1Y3 665	\$ (\$5)	% -8%
Safety Reliability			60 78		102	(\$3)	-8% -24%
Financial			78		102	(\$24)	-24% -24%
Total			216		269	(\$53)	-24/0 -20%
Sub-table 2. Mitigation Be	nefit V1V3	Ψ	210	Ψ	207	(ψ33)	-2070
Cas taste 21 Minigation 20			Results			Projection	
Expected Value Risk		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5 to	Total
Expected value Kisk		1 Cal 1	1 Cal 2	1 car 3	1 Ca1 4	Expected Life	Total
Safety						Expected Ene	
<u> </u>	~	**	#4.5	#2 0	#25	#24 0	# 2 0 F
Modeled Mitigation Benef	iit:	\$15	\$15	\$3 0	\$35	\$210	\$305
Results/Projection Modeled Mitigation Benefit	fit: Forecast	\$15	\$15	\$35	\$35	\$210	\$310
Results/Projection B(W)		\$0	\$0	(\$5)	\$0	\$0	(\$5)
Reliability	010000	Ψΰ	Ψο	(40)	Ψΰ	Ψ.	(40)
<u> </u>	C. 4.	#20	#2 0	#20	ΦEΩ.	\$300	#420
Modeled Mitigation Beneration Results/Projection	nt:	\$2 0	\$20	\$38	\$ 50	\$300	\$428
Modeled Mitigation Bene	fit: Forecast	\$24	\$24	\$54	\$54	\$324	\$480
Results/Projection B(W)	Forecast	(\$4)	(\$4)	(\$16)	(\$4)	(\$24)	(\$52)
<u>Financial</u>							
Modeled Mitigation Bener	fit:	\$20	\$20	\$38	\$50	\$300	\$428
Results/Projection							
Modeled Mitigation Bener	fit: Forecast	\$24	\$24	\$54	\$54	\$324	\$480
Results/Projection B(W)	Forecast	(\$4)	(\$4)	(\$16)	(\$4)	(\$24)	(\$52)
<u>Total</u>							
Modeled Mitigation Beneration Results/Projection	fit:	\$55	\$55	\$106	\$135	\$810	\$1,161
Modeled Mitigation Bener	fit: Forecast	\$63	\$63	\$143	\$143	\$858	\$1,270
Results/Projection B(W)	Forecast	(\$8)	(\$8)	(\$37)	(\$8)	(\$48)	(\$109)

 $e_i \quad \hbox{Expected.Value.Risk.Mitigation.Benefit.and.Cost.by.Mitigation.for.each.Risk.Event.Table}$

WILDFIRE MITIG	ATION BENEI	FIT FOR EACH	MITIGAT	ION
Hierarchy	Enterprise			
Risk Events	Wildfire			
Scenario	Results vs. Fore	cast and Projection	ıs vs. Foreca	ıst
Version	Model 2.1			
Time	Year 3 and Year	rs 1-3		
Sub-table 1. Mitigation Benefit	it and Cost Over	view		
		Value Risk	Results B	(W) Forecast
<u>Y3</u>	Results Y3	Forecast Y3	\$	%
<u>Undergrounding (UG)</u>				
Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$51	\$80	-\$29	-36%
Actual Mitigation Cost	\$250	\$0	-\$250	
Covered Conductor (CC)				
Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$55	\$63	-\$8	-13%
Actual Mitigation Cost	\$10	\$10	\$ 0	0%
	Expected	Value Risk	Results B	(W) Forecast
<u>Y1Y3</u>	Results Y1Y3	Forecast Y1Y3	\$	%
Underground (UG)			\$	9/0
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit	Results Y1Y3 \$51	Forecast Y1Y3 \$80	-\$29	-36%
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost	Results Y1Y3	Forecast Y1Y3		
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC)	Results Y1Y3 \$51	Forecast Y1Y3 \$80	-\$29	-36%
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC) Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$51 \$550 \$165	\$80 \$500 \$189	-\$29 -\$50	-36% -10% -13%
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC)	\$51 \$550 \$165 \$220	\$80 \$500 \$189 \$220	-\$29 -\$50 -\$24 \$0	-36% -10% -13% 0%
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC) Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$51 \$550 \$165 \$220	\$80 \$500 \$189	-\$29 -\$50 -\$24 \$0	-36% -10% -13% 0% etion B(W)
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost	\$51 \$550 \$165 \$220 Expected	\$80 \$500 \$189 \$220 Value Risk	-\$29 -\$50 -\$24 \$0 Project	-36% -10% -13% 0% etion B(W)
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Projection Total	\$51 \$550 \$165 \$220	\$80 \$500 \$189 \$220	-\$29 -\$50 -\$24 \$0	-36% -10% -13% 0% etion B(W)
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Projection Total Underground (UG)	\$51 \$550 \$165 \$220 Expected	\$80 \$500 \$189 \$220 Value Risk	-\$29 -\$50 -\$24 \$0 Projec Fo	-36% -10% -13% 0% etion B(W) precast
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Projection Total Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit	\$51 \$550 \$165 \$220 Expected Projection	\$80 \$500 \$189 \$220 Value Risk Forecast	-\$29 -\$50 -\$24 \$0 Projec Fo \$	-36% -10% -13% 0% ction B(W) precast % -5%
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Projection Total Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost	\$51 \$550 \$165 \$220 Expected	\$80 \$500 \$189 \$220 Value Risk	-\$29 -\$50 -\$24 \$0 Projec Fo	-36% -10% -13% 0% ction B(W) precast
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Projection Total Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC)	\$51 \$550 \$165 \$220 Expected Projection \$611 \$550	\$80 \$500 \$189 \$220 Value Risk Forecast \$640 \$500	-\$29 -\$50 -\$24 \$0 Projec Fo \$ -\$29 -\$50	-36% -10% -13% 0% etion B(W) brecast % -5% -10%
Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Covered Conductor (CC) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost Projection Total Underground (UG) Modeled Mitigation Benefit Actual Mitigation Cost	\$51 \$550 \$165 \$220 Expected Projection	\$80 \$500 \$189 \$220 Value Risk Forecast	-\$29 -\$50 -\$24 \$0 Projec Fo \$	-36% -10% -13% 0% ction B(W) precast % -5%

Sub-table 2. Mitigation Benefits and Mitigation Costs: Details											
	Results Projection										
Average Risk	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5 to	Total					
					Expected Life						
<u>UG</u>											
Modeled Mitigation Benefit:	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$51	\$80	\$480	\$611					
Results/Projection											
Modeled Mitigation Benefit: Forecast	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$80	\$80	\$480	\$640					
Results/Projection B(W) Forecast	\$ 0	\$0	(\$29)	\$0	\$0	(\$29)					
Actual/Modeled Mitigation Costs:	\$0	\$300	\$250	\$ 0	\$0	\$550					
Results/Projection											
Modeled Mitigation Cost: Forecast	\$0	\$500	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0	\$500					
Results/Projection B(W) Forecast	\$ 0	\$200	(\$250)	\$ 0	\$0	(\$50)					
CC											
Modeled Mitigation Benefit:	\$55	\$55	\$55	\$55	\$330	\$550					
Results/Projection											
Modeled Mitigation Benefit: Forecast	\$63	\$63	\$63	\$63	\$378	\$630					
Results/Projection B(W) Forecast	(\$8)	(\$8)	(\$8)	(\$8)	(\$48)	(\$80)					
Actual/Modeled Mitigation Costs:	\$200	\$ 10	\$10	\$ 10	\$60	\$290					
Results/Projection											
Modeled Mitigation Cost: Forecast	\$200	\$ 10	\$ 10	\$ 10	\$60	\$290					
Results/Projection B(W) Forecast	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0					
<u>Total</u>											
Modeled Mitigation Benefit:	\$55	\$55	\$106	\$135	\$810	\$1,161					
Results/Projection											
Modeled Mitigation Benefit: Forecast	\$63	\$63	\$143	\$143	\$858	\$1,270					
Results/Projection B(W) Forecast	(\$8)	(\$8)	(\$37)	(\$8)	(\$48)	(\$109)					
Actual/Modeled Mitigation Costs:	\$200	\$310	\$260	\$10	\$60	\$840					
Results/Projection											
Modeled Mitigation Cost: Forecast	\$200	\$510	\$10	\$10	\$60	\$790					
Results/Projection B(W) Forecast	\$ 0	\$200	(\$250)	\$ 0	\$0	(\$50)					

 $f_i \quad \hbox{Expected.Value.Risk.Reduction.by.Risk.Event.Table}$

RISK EVENT		VALUE RISK R									
Hierarchy	Enterprise	VILLUE RIOR R	EDUCTION								
Risk Events	All										
Scenario		Corecast Results &	Projections								
Version	_	Results vs. Forecast, Results & Projections Model 2.1									
Time	Year 3 and Years 1-3										
Sub-table 1. Expected Value Risk Reduction Overview											
Sub-ta	Y3 Results B(W) Forecast										
Wildfire	Results	Forecast	\$	%) 1 Orccast							
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$870	\$870	Ψ	/0							
Risk Reduction	\$106	\$143	(\$37)	-26%							
Post-mitigated Risk	\$764	\$727	(\$97)	-2070							
Cyber	₩/O T	Ψ121									
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$249	\$249									
Risk Reduction	\$12	\$12	\$0	0%							
Post-mitigated Risk	\$237	\$237	ΨΟ	070							
Hydro	Ψ231	Ψ231									
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$581	\$581									
Risk Reduction	\$50	\$60	(\$10)	-17%							
Post-mitigated Risk	\$531	\$521	(ψ10)	1770							
Total	₩331	Ψ3 2 1									
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$1,700	\$1,700									
Risk Reduction	\$168	\$215	(\$47)	-22%							
Post-mitigated Risk	\$1,532	\$1,485	(# * * *)	,							
Sub-table 2. Expected Value											
		Results		Projections							
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4							
Wildfire											
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$870										
Risk Reduction	\$55	\$55	\$106	\$135							
Post-mitigated Risk	\$815	\$815	\$764	\$735							
Cyber		"									
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$249										
Risk Reduction	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12							
Post-mitigated Risk	\$237	\$237	\$237	\$237							
<u>Hydro</u>											
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$581										
Risk Reduction	\$0	\$0	\$50	\$50							
Post-mitigated Risk	\$581	\$581	\$531	\$531							
<u>Total</u>											
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$1,700										
Risk Reduction	\$67	\$67	\$168	\$197							
Post-mitigated Risk	\$1,633	\$1,633	\$1,532	\$1,503							

$g_{i} \quad \hbox{Expected.Value.Risk.Reduction.by.Tranche.Table}$

WILDFIRE TRANCHE RISK REDUCTION Y3							
Hierarchy	Enterprise						
Risk Events	Wildfire						
Scenario	Results vs. Forecast						
Version	Model 2.1						
Time	Year 3						

										Tranch	e Numb	er														
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Expected Value Risk																										
Pre-mitigated risk	\$870	\$191	\$87	\$61	\$52	\$44	\$35	\$35	\$35	\$35	\$35	\$26	\$26	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$9	\$9
Tranche share	100%	22%	10%	7%	6%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Risk Reduction																										
Results	\$106	\$10	\$5	\$4	\$25	\$24	\$10	\$11	\$13	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Forecast	\$143	\$19	\$8	\$6	\$31	\$26	\$15	\$14	\$17	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Results B(W) Forecast	-26%	-47%	-38%	-33%	-20%	-8%	-33%	-21%	-24%	-33%	-50%	-50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Post-mitigated risk																										
Results	\$764	\$181	\$82	\$57	\$27	\$20	\$25	\$24	\$22	\$33	\$34	\$25	\$26	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$9	\$9
Forecast	\$727	\$172	\$79	\$55	\$21	\$18	\$20	\$21	\$18	\$32	\$33	\$24	\$26	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$ 9	\$ 9
Results B(W) Forecast	-5%	-5%	-4%	-4%	-30%	-11%	-25%	-14%	-22%	-3%	-3%	-4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tranche share (Results)	100%	24%	11%	7%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%

h; Tail.Average.Risk.Reduction.by.Risk.Event.Table

RISK EVENT TA	IL AVER	AGE RISK	REDUCTIO	N								
Hierarchy	Enterprise											
Risk Events	Wildfire											
Scenario	Results v	s. Forecast,	Results & Proje	ctions								
Version	Model 2.1											
Time	Year 3 and Years 1-3											
Sub-table 1. Tail Average Risk Reduction Overview*												
	Y3 Results B(W) Foreca											
	Results	Forecast	\$	%								
Wildfire			"									
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$4,600	\$4, 600										
Risk Reduction	\$507	\$679	(\$172)	-25%								
Post-mitigated Risk	\$4,093	\$3,921	(ii · · · ·)									
Cyber	₩ 1,5020	πο,,,=1										
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$1,160	\$1,160										
Risk Reduction	\$72	\$72	\$0	0%								
		"	φU	070								
Post-mitigated Risk	\$1,088	\$1,088										
Hydro		N										
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$3,480	\$3,480										
Risk Reduction	\$325	\$390	(\$65)	-17%								
Post-mitigated Risk	\$3,155	\$3,090										
Total												
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$8,400	\$8,400										
Risk Reduction	\$868	\$1,099	(\$231)	-21%								
Post-mitigated Risk	\$7,532	\$7,301										
*Tail risk is not additive												
Sub-table 2. Tail Average Risl	Reductio	on Y1Y4*										
		Results	3	Projections								
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4								
Wildfire												
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$4, 600											
Risk Reduction	\$275	\$275	\$507	\$639								
Post-mitigated Risk	\$4,325	\$4,325	\$4,093	\$3,961								
Cyber	*											
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$1,160	ф 7 0	Ф72	Ф72								
Risk Reduction	\$72	\$72	\$72	\$72								
Post-mitigated Risk	\$1,088	\$1,088	\$1,088	\$1,088								
Hydro Pre-mitigated Risk	\$3,480											
Risk Reduction	\$0	\$0	\$325	\$325								
Post-mitigated Risk	\$3,480	\$3,480	\$3,155	\$3,155								
Total	₩ 5,100	₩°,100	тојгоо	πο,100								
Pre-mitigated Risk	\$8,400											
Risk Reduction	\$340	\$340	\$868	\$1,000								
Post-mitigated Risk	\$8,060	\$8,060	\$7,532	\$7,400								
*Tail risk is not additive												

	Muticotion Mork Linit Do	uilta bu Mitigatian tar agah Diak Eught lahla
- 1 -		sults.by.Mitigation.for.each.Risk.Event.Table
	i iidigadioii.vvoik.oiiidi	

W	ILDFIRE MITI	GATION WORK	UNITS									
Hierarchy	Enterprise											
Risk Events	Wildfire											
Scenario	Results vs. Forecast and Projections vs. Forecast											
Version	Model 2.1											
Time	Year 3 and Years 1-3											
Sub-table 1. Work Units												
Work Units Y3			Results B	(W) Forecast								
	Results Y3	Forecast Y3	\$	%								
Circuit Miles												
UG	250	0	250	0%								
CC	0	0	0									
Total Mitigated	250	0	250									
Work Units Y1Y3			F	Results B(W) Forecast								
	Results Y1Y3	Forecast Y1Y3	\$	0/0								
Circuit Miles												
UG	1,000	1,400	(\$400)	-29%								
CC	380	400	(\$20)	-5%								
Total Mitigated	1,380	1,800	(\$420)	-23%								
Work Units Projection			Pr	ojection B(W) Forecast								
	Projection	Forecast	\$	⁰ / ₀								
Circuit Miles												
UG	1,320	1,400	(\$80)	-6%								
CC	380	400	(\$20)	-5%								
Total Mitigated	1,700	1,800	(\$100)	-6%								

RMAR Required Narrative Sections

- 1. Include a narrative description of every table listed in the Required Tables and Table Elements. Explain any deficiencies or negative variances to the plan found in these tables. Explain what steps the utility intends to take to address these deficiencies and negative variances.
- 2. Include a narrative description of a Risk Reporting Unit (RRU) which enables aggregation of reports.
- 3. Include a narrative description of any discrepancies between the modeled risk and the actual outcomes recorded during the previous GRC cycle.
- 4. Include a narrative section that describes any new tranche structures that were not used in a previous RAMP or GRC Cycle. Provide details of the key that is used as a bridge between the old and new tranche structures. This key must also be filed with the RMAR.
- 5. Include a narrative description of any subjective elements and assumptions related to each mitigation that have changed during the most recent update to the RMAR. The narrative must explain how the change has affected any RMAR information from the Plan Phase.
- 6. Include a narrative justification for assigning attribution for risk reduction from each mitigation. The utility must explain the causal mechanism that allows them to infer attribution. The utility must also highlight any additional factors other than the mitigation

R.20-07-013

- itself that could have contributed to any apparent risk reduction. Any assumptions or SME judgements must be made transparent.
- 7. Include a narrative discussion describing the model and data quality as well as certifies that internal quality control requirements have been met. This section should include description of any sensitivity analysis that was conducted on various model inputs or assumptions for each mitigation. This section can draw from the results of the Transparency Guidelines³ or whatever sensitivity analyses are required by a future Decision in this or a successor proceeding or a Staff Resolution. The utility must also provide tables or workpapers to back up any sensitivity analysis results discussed in this narrative section.

³ D.24-05-064, Appendix B.