



COM/JR5/cg7 12/05/2025

FILED

12/05/25

03:47 PM

A2508015

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Central Coast Community Energy for Compliance Review of its Administration of the Bioenergy Market Adjusting Tariff Program for the Period September 1, 2024 through September 1, 2025.

Application 25-08-015

ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER'S SCOPING MEMO AND RULING

This scoping memo and ruling sets forth the issues, need for hearing, schedule, category, and other matters necessary to scope this proceeding pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 1701.1 and Article 7 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules).

1. Procedural Background

On August 29, 2025, Central Coast Community Energy (3CE) filed Application (A.) 25-08-015 for compliance review of its administration of the Bioenergy Market Adjustment Tariff (BioMat) program. 3CE's application sets forth its BioMAT-related operations for September 1, 2024, through September 1, 2025 (Record Period). 3CE requests that the Commission find that, during the Record Period, 3CE's administration of the BioMAT program, including the implementation of policies, practices, and procedures described herein, complied with the Commission's prudent contract manager standard and is otherwise in compliance with Commission decisions.¹

¹ Application of Central Coast Community Energy for Compliance Review of its Administration of the Bioenergy Market Adjusting Tariff Program for the Period September 1, 2024, through September 1, 2025, at 1-2.

A prehearing conference (PHC) was held on November 19, 2025, to address the issues of law and fact, determine the need for hearing, set the schedule for resolving the matter, and address other matters as necessary. On November 25, 2025, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) issued regarding whether Southern California Edison (SCE) seeks party status. On December 3, 2025, SCE filed a Motion for Party Status (December 3 Motion). SCE's December 3 Motion included discussion of issues it proposes to include in the scope of this proceeding. On December 4, 2025, the ALJ granted SCE's request for party status. After considering the application, the December 3 Motion, and discussion at the PHC, I have determined the issues and initial schedule of the proceeding to be set forth in this scoping memo.

2. Issues

The issues to be determined or otherwise considered are:

1. Whether SCE's administration of the BioMAT program during the Record Period, including the implementation of policies, practices, and procedures described in the Application and supporting testimony, complied with the Commission's BioMAT program requirements and the Commission's prudent manager standard, including, but not limited to, Standard of Conduct 4 from Commission Decision (D.) 05-01-054.
2. If SCE has not followed the Commission's prudent manager standard, what adjustments, if any, should be made to account for imprudently managed or administered resources?
3. What are the impacts on environmental and social justice communities, and to what extent does SCE's BioMAT program implementation impact the achievement of any of the nine goals of the Commission's Environmental and Social Justice Action Plan?

At the PHC, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) proposed another question: Whether 3CE has complied with the Commission's BioMAT program requirements.² With regards to this question, 3CE asked whether there is a material distinction between the BioMAT program and the BioMAT program requirements.³ I am deleting this question from the list of scoped questions because that question captures the BioMAT requirements adequately.

Also, at the PHC, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) recommended three additional issues to the scope of the proceeding. First, during the Record Period, whether 3CE prudently administered and managed its BioMAT contracts in accordance with the contract provisions and in compliance with all applicable rules, regulations, and Commission decisions, including, but not limited to, Standard of Conduct 4? If not, what adjustments, if any, should be made to account for imprudently managed or administered resources?⁴ 3CE did not object, but stated it believed SCE's proposed question is largely, if not entirely reflected in the issue captured in the joint PHC statement.⁵ PG&E agreed with 3CE about question 1.⁶

Given input from the parties, question 1 is modified slightly to include input from SCE. The question specifically references Standard of Conduct 4 from Commission D.05-01-054 as one of the requirements that can apply to the prudent management standard in this proceeding. Also, question 2, as shown

² Reporter's Transcript (RT) 11:10-11.

³ RT 12:15-18.

⁴ RT 13:18 - 14:3; See also December 3 Motion, page 2.

⁵ RT 15:8-12.

⁶ RT 16:22-23.

above, is added to allow for adjustments to account for imprudently managed or administered resources, if the prudent manager standard has not been followed.

SCE's second recommended scoping memo at the PHC was as follows: Whether 3CE, during the record year, dispatched its BioMAT resource in a least-cost manner in compliance with Standard of Conduct 4.⁷ 3CE objected to the inclusion SCE's second recommended question. With regards to SCE issue two, 3CE asserted that it cannot report on the dispatch of the project or administration of its operation because it has not yet become commercially operable, meaning there is nothing to report on at this time.⁸ PG&E stated it agrees with 3CE's statement on this question.⁹ I find this proposed issue is not ready to include in this proceeding because the record shows there is no dispatch to report.

⁷ RT 14:1-3; See also December 3 Motion, page 2.

⁸ RT 15: 18-22, and 15:25-16:1.

⁹ RT 16:22-23.

SCE's Third Recommended Scoping Memo Issue at the PHC was: Whether 3CE, during the record year, appropriately operated the balancing accounts reviewed in this application, and whether the entries recorded during the record year in the balancing account reviewed in this application are reasonable, correctly stated, and in compliance with Commission directives.¹⁰ In response, 3CE objected, and clarified it does not administer the balancing accounts associated with the BioMAT Power Purchase Agreement, but that PG&E does this.¹¹ PG&E pointed out there are no balancing account entries to review, but that 3CE has their own BioMAT balancing account.¹² 3CE responded that there are no costs to account for in this proceeding as there has been no delivery of energy.¹³ PG&E also suggested this question could be included in scope as if it were one of the future years when the project were operating.¹⁴ I find that this issue is not ready to include in this proceeding because the record shows there are no costs in 3CE's balancing account to account for during the Record Period of September 1, 2024 to September 1, 2025.

3. Supplemental Testimony Questions for 3CE

This ruling directs 3CE to serve and submit¹⁵ Applicant Supplemental Testimony in this proceeding by January 5, 2025. In its Applicant Supplemental Testimony, 3CE should provide documentation and information in response to

¹⁰ RT 14:4-10; See also December 3 Motion, page 2.

¹¹ RT 16:9-15.

¹² RT 18:23-17:1.

¹³ RT 18:15-19.

¹⁴ RT 17:4.

¹⁵ 3CE's Supplemental Testimony shall be submitted as "supporting documents" using the Electronic Filing System on the Commission's website at <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/efiling> on the same day that it is served.

the questions and requests in this section of the scoping memo. Each question set below refers to excerpts from Exhibit 3CE-01,¹⁶ 3CE's testimony. If 3CE is unable to provide the documentation or information requested, 3CE should provide a narrative explanation for why the documentation or information is unavailable, and provide a good faith anticipated date for when it will be able to produce the requested document or information.

A. Question Set 1

Exhibit 3CE-01 states:

Because 3CE spans the service territory of both PG&E and Southern California Edison Company (SCE), a project must be physically located within PG&E's or SCE's electric service territory and must be interconnected to PG&E's or SCE's electric distribution system or an existing transmission line. Tracy Renewable Energy (TRE) is physically located in PG&E's service territory and is interconnected to PG&E's electric distribution system. TRE provided an executed Rule 21 interconnection agreement with PG&E within its PPR to demonstrate compliance with this criterion.¹⁷

1. Please provide the program participation request or PPR referenced in this passage. If there are other different PPR's than this one mentioned elsewhere in Applicant's opening testimony, provide those as well.
2. Please provide the executed Rule 21 interconnection agreement with Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) that 3CE references within this passage. Please make sure this executed Rule 21 agreement is the one referenced by the other passages of testimony as well, or else provide all other Rule 21 agreements referenced elsewhere in testimony.

¹⁶ For the rest of this Scoping Memo, Proposed Exhibit 3CE-01 shall be referenced as Exhibit 3CE-01, but that exhibit has not been marked at this time.

¹⁷ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 11.

3. Please reference the pages and quote the information that demonstrates the compliance with the criterion mentioned in testimony.
4. Explain why the quoted information demonstrates compliance.

B. Question Set 2

Exhibit 3CE-01 states:

The Project must be an Eligible Renewable Energy Resource as defined in Public Utilities (Pub. Util.) Code Section 399.12. Per the milestones submitted in TRE's PPR, TRE has filed a Pre-Certification application with the California Energy Commission (CEC)¹⁸ and expects receipt of CEC Pre-Certification by November 21, 2025, and final CEC Certification in March 2027.¹⁹

1. Provide the Pre-Certification application that Tracy Renewable Energy (TRE) has filed with the CEC.2. 3CE shall include the CEC Pre-Certification it receives from California Energy Commission in supplemental testimony, or if it cannot do that, CEC shall include the CEC Pre-Certification within seven days of receipt of the Pre-Certification by California Energy Commission.
2. Within seven days of receipt of the final CEC certification it expects to receive in March 2027, 3CE shall serve it on the service list of the instant proceeding.

C. Question Set 3

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

The Project must be a Qualifying Facility (QF) as defined by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). TRE's PPR²⁰ indicates that TRE is on track for status as a Qualifying Facility and anticipates receipt of a FERC docket number

¹⁸ Quotation marks have been removed from the acronyms that are quoted from Exhibit 3CE-01.

¹⁹ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 11: line 18- line 22.

²⁰ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 3 defines PPR as Program Participation Request.

assigned to the seller's filing of FERC Form 556 by March 31, 2026. 3CE will continue to monitor TRE's progress with meeting project milestones and complying with BioMAT eligibility criteria.²¹

1. Please clarify who the seller is, as used in this passage.
2. Once the seller files FERC Form 556, include that in Applicant's supplemental testimony. If 3CE cannot do that, please file and serve that on the service list within seven days of the date seller files it.
3. What is needed to demonstrate the project is a Qualifying Facility, as defined by FERC?
4. What date does 3CE expect that FERC will determine the Project is a Qualifying Facility?
5. Provide the FERC docket number that relates to seller's filing of FERC Form 556 within seven days of receiving such a number.
6. Within seven days of receipt of the FERC documentation that demonstrates the Project is a Qualifying Facility as defined by the FERC, serve it on the service list, and state that such documentation has been received.

D. Question Set 4

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

1. The contract capacity for the project cannot exceed 3 MW. TRE's contract capacity is 3.0 MW.²²
2. Provide the document that shows the project's contract capacity.
3. Provide reference to the page number of the document that shows the project's contract capacity.

E. Question Set 5

²¹ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 12: line 2-line 6.

²² 3CE-Exhibit 01, page 12, line 8-line 9. The Commission understands the term "MW" to stand for megawatt.

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

To satisfy BioMAT program eligibility criteria, an applicant must have passed the fast track screens, passed supplemental review, completed a system impact study in the independent study process of the applicable IOU,²³ completed a distribution group study Phase 1 interconnection study in the distribution group study process of the applicable IOU, or completed a Phase 1 study in the cluster study process of the applicable IOU. Alternatively, an applicant must have completed a California Independent System Operator (CAISO) cluster study, independent study, or fast Track Study, or make use of an existing interconnection agreement to the extent permitted by the applicable IOU tariff or CAISO's tariff. An applicant's interconnection study must have an active interconnection queue number in the applicable IOUs' public wholesale distribution queue or the public CAISO interconnection queue to be eligible to participate and remain in the BioMAT queue, with the exception of Category 3 Projects.

To demonstrate eligibility with these requirements, TRE provided an executed Rule 21 agreement with PG&E for interconnection to PG&E's distribution system. The interconnection agreement contains TRE's queue number and affirmatively supports the project's ability to interconnect within 36 months of the execution of the BioMAT PPA.²⁴

1. Please provide a copy of the executed BioMAT PPA.
2. Please provide a copy of all other PPA's referenced in testimony, if there are any additional ones to the one mentioned in the excerpt above.
3. Provide citation to the page number of the interconnection agreement that contains TRE's queue number and that

²³ The Commission understands the acronym IOU to stand for Investor Owned Utility.

²⁴ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 12: line 14 – page 13: line 6. 3CE Exhibit 01, page 2 defines PPA as Power Purchase Agreement.

affirmatively supports the project's ability to interconnect within 36 months of execution of the BioMAT PPA.

F. Question Set 6

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

The applicant must provide an attestation that it has 100% site control for the project through: (a) direct ownership; (b) lease; or (c) an option to lease or purchase that may be exercised upon execution of the BioMAT PPA. The applicant is required to submit a map showing the boundary of the site for which the applicant has control as part of the PPR.

As part of its PPR, TRE attested that it has 100% site control through a lease and provided the lease as supporting documentation. TRE also provided a site map, including the location of its Point of Interconnection (POI) and the boundary of the project.²⁵

1. Provide the attestation mentioned in the passage.
2. Provide the lease, site map, Point of Interconnection, and boundary of the project that is mentioned in the passage.
3. Identify where the Point of Interconnection and boundary of the project can be seen on the site map.

G. Question Set 7

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

The applicant must provide an attestation that at least one member of its development team has: (a) completed the development of at least one project of similar technology and capacity; or (b) begun construction of at least one other project of similar technology and capacity. Within the PPR, TRE attested that it satisfies this criterion. 3CE follows industry standard practice of collecting and storing proof of developer experience and requested additional supporting

²⁵ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 13: line 8- line 14.

documentation from TRE to confirm satisfaction of this eligibility criterion.²⁶

1. Provide the attestation mentioned in the passage.
2. Clarify whether the attestation mentioned in the passage satisfies item a or item b that is mentioned in the passage.

H. Question Set 8

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

The applicant must provide an attestation that either the project is the only exporting project being developed or owned or controlled by the applicant on any single or contiguous pieces of property or, if more than one exporting project is being developed or owned or controlled by the applicant on any single or contiguous pieces of property, the total aggregated installed contract capacity of the projects does not exceed 3 MW. Within the PPR, TRE attested to that it satisfies this criterion.²⁷

1. Provide the attestation mentioned in the passage.

I. Question Set 9

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

A project that previously received incentives under the Self-Generation Incentive Program (SGIP) is ineligible for BioMAT if the incentives were received within 10 years or less of the date that applicant submits a PPR for BioMAT for such project. An applicant for a project that previously received incentive payments under SGIP must provide an attestation stating that, as of the date the applicant submits the PPR: (a) the project has been operating for at least 10 years from the date the applicant first received ratepayer-funded incentive payments under SGIP, for the project; and (b) to the extent the CPUC requires reimbursement of any ratepayer-funded incentive, the applicant can demonstrate that the project's

²⁶ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 13: line 16- line 21.

²⁷ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 14: line 2 – line 7.

owner has provided the applicable administrator with any required refunds of incentives. Within the PPR, TRE attested to that it satisfies this criterion.²⁸

1. Provide a citation to the page number of the PPR where the attestation is found, and provide the quoted language of the attestation mentioned in the passage.

J. Question Set 10

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

An applicant that is a net energy metering (NEM) customer can only participate in BioMAT if the applicant terminates its participation in the NEM program for the project prior to the BioMAT PPA's execution date. Within the PPR, TRE attested that it satisfies this criterion by indicating it is not currently delivering under NEM.

1. Provide a citation to the page number in the PPR where the attestation is found, and provide the quoted language of the attestation mentioned in the passage.

K. Question Set 11

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

TRE is a Category 2 (Other Agriculture) project. Projects within this category are required to use at least 80% of eligible fuel under this category on an annual basis...²⁹

In its PPR submission, TRE provided attestation that the project will use 100% feedstock that conforms to the Category 2 (Other Agriculture) definition as a fuel source and meets all the fuel resource requirements in the BioMAT tariff and PPA.³⁰

1. Provide the attestation TRE provided that is mentioned in the excerpt above.

²⁸ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 14: line 9- line 18.

²⁹ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 15: line 14- line 15.

³⁰ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 16: line 1- line 3.

L. Question Set 12

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

The project must have commenced commercial operations on or after June 1, 2013, based on the definition of “commercial operations date” in the CEC’s Renewables Portfolio Standard Eligibility Guidebook. TRE’s PPR indicates an estimated commercial operations date of February 26, 2027, and thus complies with this criterion.³¹

1. Provide a reference to the page number and quote in the PPR that shows the February 26, 2027, estimated commercial operations date shown in the passage.

M. Question Set 13

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

Per the BioMAT tariff timeline, 3CE reviewed the re-submitted PPR within 20 business days of receipt of the re-submitted PPR, and on March 11, 2025, 3CE confirmed that the PPR was deemed complete after the second review and continued to meet the eligibility criteria within the BioMAT tariff.³²

On June 5, 2025, TRE returned an executed PPA to 3CE. As the BioMAT pro forma contract had already been approved by 3CE’s Operations Board, 3CE processed the PPA through its standard internal signatory process, including legal review, and signature by the Chief Operating Officer and the CEO.³³ The PPA was executed by 3CE and made effective on July 2, 2025.³⁴

1. Please provide 3CE’s March 11, 2025, confirmation that the PPR was deemed complete.

³¹ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 16: line 7- line 10.

³² 3CE Exhibit 01, page 17: line 17- line 20.

³³ The Commission understands the acronym CEO to stand for Chief Executive Officer.

³⁴ 3CE Exhibit 01, page 19: line 1- line 5.

N. Question Set 14

Ex. 3CE-01 states,

Per OP 12 of D.23-11-084, each CCA³⁵ that elects to participate in the BioMAT program shall submit a Tier 3 Advice Letter that seeks CPUC approval of eligible BioMAT forecasted revenue requirements recorded in CCA balancing accounts that reflect BioMAT program net costs, including PPA costs, Accion BioMAT platform integration costs, and independent third party vendor costs required for joint CCA/IOU program administration.

1. Provide a copy of all executed agreements regarding Accion's administration of the BioMAT program.
2. Provide a copy of all statements of work related to Accion's administration of the BioMAT program.
3. Provide a copy of the Tier 3 Advice Letter mentioned in the passage.

4. Need for Evidentiary Hearing

In the Proposed Procedural Schedule Section of the Joint Prehearing Conference Statement, the document identifies Evidentiary Hearings, if needed. 3CE's application said it does not believe the approval of this application will require hearings.³⁶ PG&E's response to the application says: "PG&E does not have specific issues that it sees as likely to require hearings at this time, but believes it is too early in the process to say definitively that no hearings will be needed."³⁷

Any party who believes that an evidentiary hearing is required should file and serve a motion requesting such a hearing in accordance with the schedule as

³⁵ The Commission understands the term CCA to stand for Community Choice Aggregator.

³⁶ 3CE Application, page 11.

³⁷ PG&E Response, October 2, 2025, page 3.

indicated in this Scoping Ruling and Memo. Any such motion must identify and describe (i) the material issues of disputed fact, (ii) the evidence the party proposes to introduce at the requested hearing, and (iii) the schedule for conducting the hearing. The motion shall also state a justification for hearing and what the moving party would seek to demonstrate through hearing. It shall also contain anything else necessary for the Commission to make an informed decision on the motion. Any right that a party may otherwise have to an evidentiary hearing will be waived if the party does not submit a timely motion requesting an evidentiary hearing. The record shall be composed of all filed and served documents and shall include evidence received at a hearing if a motion for hearing is granted.

5. Schedule

The following schedule is adopted here and may be modified by the ALJ as required to promote the efficient and fair resolution of the application:

Event	Proposed Schedule
Applicant Supplemental Testimony	January 5, 2026
Intervenor Testimony	January 26, 2026
Rebuttal Testimony	February 16, 2026
Rule 13.9 Meet and Confer, Deadline to Request Evidentiary Hearings	March 2, 2026
Evidentiary Hearings (if required)	March 16, 2026
Opening Briefs Filed and Served	April 6, 2026
Reply Briefs Filed and Served	April 20, 2026
Proposed Decision Mailed	Within 90 days of submission.

The proceeding will stand submitted upon the April 20, 2026, filing and service of reply briefs. Based on this schedule, the proceeding will be resolved within 18 months as required by Pub. Util. Code Section 1701.5.

The proposed decision will be subject to public review and comment pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 311(d) except that, if the Commission grants the uncontested requested relief, public review and comment shall be waived pursuant to Rule 14.6(c)(2).

6. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Program and Settlements

The Commission's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) program offers mediation, early neutral evaluation, and facilitation services, and uses ALJs who have been trained as neutrals. At the parties' request, the assigned ALJ can refer this proceeding to the Commission's ADR Coordinator. Additional ADR information is available on the Commission's website.³⁸

Any settlement between parties, whether regarding all or some of the issues, shall comply with Article 12 of the Rules and shall be served in writing. Such settlements shall include a complete explanation of the settlement and a complete explanation of why it is reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with the law and in the public interest. The proposing parties bear the burden of proof as to whether the settlement should be adopted by the Commission.

Rule 12.1(a) provides a deadline for proposed settlements. Any proposed settlements between parties, whether regarding all or some of the issues, shall comply with Article 12 of the Rules and shall be served in writing. Such proposed settlements shall include a complete explanation of the settlement and

³⁸ <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/adr/>

a complete explanation of why it is reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with the law, and in the public interest. The proposing parties bear the burden of proof as to whether the settlement should be adopted by the Commission.

7. Category of Proceeding and Ex Parte Restrictions

The Commission preliminary determined that this is a ratesetting proceeding.³⁹ This ruling confirms the Commission's preliminary determination that this is a ratesetting proceeding. Accordingly, ex parte communications are restricted and must be reported pursuant to Article 8 of the Rules.

8. Public Outreach

Pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 1711(a), where feasible and appropriate, before determining the scope of the proceeding, the Commission sought the participation of those likely to be affected, including those likely to derive benefit from, and those potentially subject to, a decision in this proceeding. This matter was noticed on the Commission's daily calendar. Where feasible and appropriate, this matter was incorporated into engagements conducted by the Commission's External Affairs Division with local governments and other interested parties.

9. Intervenor Compensation

Pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 1804(a)(1), a customer who intends to seek an award of compensation must file and serve a notice of intent to claim compensation by December 19, 2025 , 30 days after the PHC.

³⁹ Resolution ALJ 176-3569 at 3.

10. Response to Public Comments

Parties may, but are not required to, respond to written comments received from the public. Parties may do so by posting such response using the “Add Public Comment” button on the “Public Comment” tab of the online docket card for the proceeding.

11. Public Advisor

Any person interested in participating in this proceeding who is unfamiliar with the Commission’s procedures or has questions about the electronic filing procedures is encouraged to obtain more information at <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/about-cpuc/divisions/news-and-public-information-office/public-advisors-office> or contact the Commission’s Public Advisor at 866-849-8390 or 866-836-7825 (TTY), or send an email to public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov.

12. Filing, Service, and Service List

The official service list has been created and is on the Commission’s website. Parties should confirm that their information on the service list is correct and serve notice of any errors on the Commission’s Process office, the service list, and the ALJ. Persons may become a party pursuant to Rule 1.4.⁴⁰

When serving any document, each party must ensure that it is using the current official service list on the Commission’s website.

This proceeding will follow the electronic service protocol set forth in Rule 1.10. All parties to this proceeding shall serve documents and pleadings using electronic mail, whenever possible, transmitted no later than 5:00 p.m., on

⁴⁰ The form to request additions and changes to the Service list may be found at <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/divisions/administrative-law-judge-division/documents/additiontoservicelisttranscriptordercompliant.pdf>

the date scheduled for service to occur. Rule 1.10 does not apply, as electronic copies of filings are sufficient.

When serving documents on Commissioners or their personal advisors, whether or not they are on the official service list, parties must only provide electronic service. Parties must not send hard copies of documents to Commissioners or their personal advisors unless specifically instructed to do so.

Persons who are not parties but wish to receive electronic service of documents filed in the proceeding may contact the Process Office at process_office@cpuc.ca.gov to request addition to the “Information Only” category of the official service list pursuant to Rule 1.9(f).

The Commission encourages those who seek information-only status on the service list to consider the Commission’s subscription service as an alternative. The subscription service sends individual notifications to each subscriber of formal e-filings tendered and accepted by the Commission. Notices sent through subscription service are less likely to be flagged by spam or other filters. Notifications can be for a specific proceeding, a range of documents and daily or weekly digests.

13. Receiving Electronic Service from the Commission

Parties and other persons on the service list are advised that it is the responsibility of each person or entity on the service list for Commission proceedings to ensure their ability to receive emails from the Commission. Please add “@cpuc.ca.gov” to your email safe sender list and update your email screening practices, settings and filters to ensure receipt of emails from the Commission.

14. Assignment of Proceeding

Commissioner John Reynolds is the assigned Commissioner and ALJ Darryl Gruen is the assigned ALJ for the proceeding.

IT IS RULED that:

1. The scope of this proceeding is described above and is adopted.
2. The schedule of this proceeding is set forth above and is adopted.
3. 3CE shall respond to this ruling by serving and submitting Supplemental Testimony with the information and documents listed above by January 5, 2026.
4. Evidentiary hearing may be needed.
5. The presiding officer shall be the Administrative Law Judge Darryl Gruen.
6. The category of the proceeding is ratesetting.

Dated December 5, 2025, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JOHN REYNOLDS

John Reynolds
Assigned Commissioner