

APPENDIX - F

~

Voting Results for Scoping Memo Questions and Workshop Proposals <u>Scoping Memo Q1 Restatement:</u> The Commission should revise GO 95 to replace the working stress design methodology with LRFD methodology

Options For Response:

- Level 1 I support/can live with this recommendation or PRC.
- Level 2 I do not support/cannot live with this recommendation or PRC.
- Level 3 I abstain/am neutral.

Party	Q1
AT&T	1
SDG&E	1
SED	3
SMUD	1
SCE	1
PacifiCorp	1
PG&E	1
CMUA	1
Extenet	3
Crown Castle	1
Comcast	3

Scoping Memo Q2 Restatement: Consensus Workshop Rules Proposal

Options For Response:

- Level 1 I support/can live with this recommendation or PRC.
- Level 2 I do not support/cannot live with this recommendation or PRC.
- Level 3 I abstain/am neutral.
- Level 4 I plan vary my vote depending on the recommendation or PRC/ARC.

Party	Q2
AT&T	1
SDG&E	1
SED	1
SMUD	1
SCE	1
PacifiCorp	1
PG&E	1
CMUA	1
Extenet	3
Crown Castle	1
Comcast	3

<u>Scoping Memo Q3 Restatement:</u> The record developed thus far does not show a need for the commission to further develop a process to assess the safety impacts of LRFD based on the recommendations developed as part of the workshop process.

Options For Response:

- Level 1 I support/can live with this recommendation or PRC.
- Level 2 I do not support/cannot live with this recommendation or PRC.
- Level 3 I abstain/am neutral.

Party	Q3
AT&T	1
SDG&E	1
SED	1
SMUD	1
SCE	1
PacifiCorp	1
PG&E	1
CMUA	1
Extenet	3
Crown Castle	1
Comcast	3

Q4 Level of Agreement for Examples to be included in workshop report.

Options For Response:

- Level 1 I support/can live with these examples
- Level 2 I do not support/cannot live with these examples
- Level 3 I abstain/am neutral to these examples

Party	Q3
AT&T	1
SDG&E	1
SED	1
SMUD	1
SCE	1
PacifiCorp	1
PG&E	1
CMUA	1
Extenet	1
Crown	
Castle	1
Comcast	3

END APPENDIX F