



ALJ/CS8/kp7 12/31/25

**FILED**

12/31/25

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11:18 AM

A2505004

Application of Southern California  
Gas Company (U904G) for  
Incremental Funding for Customer  
Information System Replacement  
Program.

Application 25-05-004

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING REQUESTING ADDITIONAL  
INFORMATION REGARDING THE DECEMBER 19, 2025, JOINT CASE  
MANAGEMENT STATEMENT**

This ruling directs parties to, no later than January 16, 2026, provide specific information requested in the Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling (Scoping Memo) that was not provided in the Joint Case Management Statement filed on December 19, 2025.

**1. Need for Evidentiary Hearing**

**1.1. Background**

The Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission requested evidentiary hearings in its protest and in the joint prehearing conference statement. Neither document identified specific contested issues of facts that would require evidentiary hearing.

The December 19, 2025, Joint Case Management Statement, filed by Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) states that Cal Advocates and SoCalGas are unable to reach a settlement in this proceeding, but that SoCalGas and the Small Business Utilities Advocates (SBUA) are continuing with settlement negotiations.

The October 1, 2025, Scoping Memo specifically requested parties to provide (1) either a waiver of evidentiary hearings from all parties, or (2) a list of disputed material facts that require an evidentiary hearing, with an explanation of which parties request an evidentiary hearing and why these facts could not be identified through data requests or resolved without hearing.

The Scoping Memo also directed parties to provide estimates of the amount of evidentiary hearing time necessary to address each proposed disputed material fact.

**1.2. Joint Case Management Statement Summary**

1. The Joint Case Management Statement states that Cal Advocates and SoCalGas are unable to reach a settlement.
2. The Joint Case Management Statement states that SBUA and SoCalGas are continuing to explore whether a settlement can be reached, and will file a motion for settlement should the two parties agree on terms.
3. SoCalGas, Cal Advocates, and SBUA agreed to waive cross examination of party witnesses based only on selected exhibits provided in the Joint Case Management Study.

**2. Information Lacking from the Joint Case Management Study**

1. No party directly identified any contested issue of fact that would require evidentiary hearing.
2. No party directly identified the amount of time necessary to address any identified outstanding contested issue(s) of fact that would be required for each party's witness(es) to present and be cross-examined related to each separate contested issue of fact.

**IT IS RULED that:**

1. No later than January 16, 2026, SoCalGas, SBUA, and Cal Advocates shall file, separately or jointly, a list of any contested issues of fact that would require evidentiary hearing in this proceeding.

2. No later than January 16, 2026, SoCalGas, SBUA, and Cal Advocates shall file, separately or jointly, the projected amount of time necessary to address any outstanding contested issue(s) that require cross-examination of party witnesses related to each contested issue of fact.

Dated December 31, 2025, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ CAROLYN SISTO  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carolyn Sisto  
Administrative Law Judge