



**FORM A: BLANK NOTICE OF INTENT TO CLAIM INTERVENOR COMPENSATION
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FILED**

| | | |
|---|--|----------------------|
| Order Instituting Rulemaking to Enhance Demand Response in California | Rulemaking 25-09-004 (Filed September 29, 2025) | 01/08/26 02:09 PM |
| R2509004 | | |

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO CLAIM INTERVENOR COMPENSATION
AND, IF REQUESTED (and []¹ checked), ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S
RULING ON UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION NETWORK'S SHOWING OF
SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARSHIP**

**NOTE: AFTER ELECTRONICALLY FILING A PDF COPY OF THIS NOTICE
OF INTENT, PLEASE EMAIL THE DOCUMENT IN AN MS WORD FORMAT
TO THE INTERVENOR COMPENSATION PROGRAM COORDINATOR AT
Icompcoordinator@cpuc.ca.gov.**

| | |
|---|--|
| Customer or Eligible Local Government Entity (party intending to claim intervenor compensation): Utility Consumers' Action Network | |
| Assigned Commissioner: John Reynolds | Administrative Law Judge: Brandon Gerstle |
| <p>I hereby certify that the information I have set forth in Parts I, II, III and IV of this Notice of Intent is true to my best knowledge, information and belief.</p> | |
| Signature: /s/ Jane Krikorian | |
| Date: 1/8/2026 | Printed Name: Jane Krikorian |

**PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES
(To be completed by the party intending to claim intervenor compensation)**

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| A. Status as "customer" (see Pub. Util. Code § 1802(b))² The party claims "customer" status because the party is (check one): | Applies (check) |
| <p>1. A Category 1 customer is an actual customer whose self-interest in the proceeding arises primarily from his/her role as a customer of the utility and, at the same time, the customer must represent the broader interests of at least some other customers. See, for example, D.08-07-019 at 5-10).</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>2. A Category 2 customer is a representative who has been authorized by actual customers to represent them. Category 2 involves a more formal arrangement</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

¹ DO NOT CHECK THIS BOX if a finding of significant financial hardship is not needed (in cases where there is a valid rebuttable presumption of eligibility (Part III(A)(3)) or significant financial hardship showing has been deferred to the intervenor compensation claim).

² All statutory references are to California Public Utilities Code unless indicated otherwise.

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| <p>where a customer or a group of customers selects a more skilled person to represent the customer's views in a proceeding. A customer or group of customers may also form or authorize a group to represent them, and the group, in turn, may authorize a representative such as an attorney to represent the group.</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>3. A Category 3 customer is a formally organized group authorized, by its articles of incorporation or bylaws to represent the interests of residential customers or small commercial customers receiving bundled electric service from an electrical corporation (§1802(b)(1)(C)). Certain environmental groups that represent residential customers with concerns for the environment may also qualify as Category 3 customers, even if the above requirement is not specifically met in the articles or bylaws. <i>See D.98-04-059</i>, footnote at 30.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>4. The party's detailed explanation of the selected customer category.</p> <p><u>The party's explanation of its status as a Category 1 customer.</u> A party seeking status as a Category 1 customer must describe the party's own interest in the proceeding and show how the customer's participation goes beyond just his/her own self-interest and will benefit other customers. Supporting documents must include a copy of the utility's bill.</p> <p><u>The party's explanation of its status as a Category 2 customer.</u> A party seeking status as a Category 2 customer must identify the residential customer(s) being represented and provide authorization from at least one customer.</p> <p><u>The party's explanation of its status as a Category 3 customer.</u> If the party represents residential and small commercial customers receiving bundled electric service from an electrical corporation, it must include in the Notice of Intent either the percentage of group members that are residential ratepayers or the percentage of the members who are receiving bundled electric service from an electrical corporation. Supporting documentation for this customer category must include current copies of the articles of incorporation or bylaws. If current copies of the articles and bylaws have already been filed with the Commission, only a specific reference (the proceeding's docket number and the date of filing) to such filings needs to be made.</p> <p><u>Explanation for UCAN's status as a Category 3 customer:</u> UCAN is a 501(c) (3) non-profit public benefit corporation dedicated to protecting and representing the interests of residential and small business customers in San Diego County. UCAN has a forty-three year history of intervening in CPUC proceedings on behalf of ratepayers. UCAN's work also includes helping individuals resolve consumer disputes with utility service providers of electricity, gas, water and telecommunications. UCAN has approximately 10,000 members</p> | |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| <p>listed in our membership database, of which approximately 98% are residential customers.</p> <p>In D.98-04-059, the Commission directed intervenors to state in their NOIs which of three customer “categories” they fall within. UCAN is a “group or organization authorized pursuant to its articles of incorporation or bylaws to represent the interests of residential ratepayers.” The decision also requires a group such as UCAN to include in their NOI a copy of the authorization in their articles of incorporation to represent residential customers, or to provide a reference to a previous filing (see D.98-04-059, p. 30) UCAN has previously provided to the Commission the relevant portion of our articles of incorporation. An ALJ Ruling filed on June 28, 2005 (Ruling), in A.05-02-019 noted that UCAN is a customer as that term is defined in §1802(b) and is a group or organization authorized by its bylaws or articles of incorporation to represent the interests of residential and small commercial customers (See Ruling, pp. 1-2). UCAN most recently included a copy of our articles of incorporation in a NOI filed in A.17-01-019 on April 3, 2017, (see Attachment 2 of that NOI filing) later consolidated under A.17-01-012. The articles of incorporation have not changed since the time of those earlier submissions.</p> | | |
| <p>Do you have any direct economic interest in outcomes of the proceeding?³</p> <p>If “Yes”, explain:</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| <p>B. Conflict of Interest (§ 1802.3)</p> <p>1. Is the customer a representative of a group representing the interests of small commercial customers who receive bundled electric service from an electrical corporation?</p> <p>2. If the answer to the above question is “Yes”, does the customer have a conflict arising from prior representation before the Commission?</p> | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| <p>C. Status as an Eligible Local Government Entity (§§1802(d), 1802.4, 1803.1)</p> <p>The party claims “eligible local government entity” status because the party is a city, county, or city and county that is not a publicly owned public utility that intervenes or participates in a Commission proceeding for the purpose of protecting the health and safety of the residents within the entity’s jurisdiction following a catastrophic material loss suffered by its residents either in significant damage to infrastructure or loss of life and property, or both, as a direct result of public utility infrastructure.</p> | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| <p>The party’s explanation of its status as an eligible local government entity must include a description of</p> <p>(1) The relevant triggering catastrophic event;</p> <p>(2) The impacts of the triggering catastrophic event on the residents within the entity’s jurisdiction as a result of public utility infrastructure; and</p> | | |

³ See Rule 17.1(f).

| | |
|---|--|
| (3) The entity's reason(s) to participate in this proceeding. | |
| D. Timely Filing of Notice of Intent to Claim Intervenor Compensation (NOI) (§ 1804(a)(1)): | |
| 1. Is the party's NOI filed within 30 days after a Prehearing Conference? Date of Prehearing Conference: 12/16/2025 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2. Is the party's NOI filed at another time (for example, because no Prehearing Conference was held, the proceeding will take less than 30 days, the schedule did not reasonably allow parties to identify issues within the timeframe normally permitted, or new issues have emerged)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2a. The party's description of the reasons for filing its NOI at this other time: | |
| 2b. The party's information on the proceeding number, date, and decision number for any Commission decision, Commissioner ruling, Administrative Law Judge's ruling, or other document authorizing the filing of NOI at that other time: | |

PART II: SCOPE OF ANTICIPATED PARTICIPATION
(To be completed by the party intending to claim intervenor compensation)

A. Planned Participation (§ 1804(a)(2)(A)):

The party's statement of the issues on which it plans to participate:

UCAN represents the interests of residential and small business ratepayers within the San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) territory, advocating for safe and reliable electricity at affordable rates. This Rulemaking was opened to evaluate and enhance the consistency, predictability, reliability, and cost-effectiveness of demand response resources. The Commission plans to accomplish this enhancement by updating its demand response guiding principles, policies, and data system and process requirements. UCAN continues to be concerned about the rate affordability crises affecting ratepayers and how this proceeding will enhance demand response resources in relation to these affordability concerns.

In this proceeding, UCAN plans to examine:

- the current “Demand Response Guiding Principles” – last updated in 2016.
- key Commission demand response policies, including –
 - dual participation policy
 - valuation methodologies
 - evaluation metrics
- any new demand response topics and proposals or other issues that arise in this proceeding.

The party's explanation of how it plans to avoid duplication of effort with other parties:

UCAN intends to work with other intervenors to coordinate efforts and avoid duplication where possible. UCAN intends to present material that is either unique or that complements and supports

the showing of other parties.

The party's description of the nature and extent of the party's planned participation in this proceeding (to the extent that it is possible to describe on the date this NOI is filed).

UCAN filed comments and reply comments on the OIR and attended the prehearing conference. UCAN intends to fully participate in the proceeding including working with an expert to assess, analyze and evaluate the Demand Response Guiding Principles, any other current DR policies that come under review, as well as any new DR topics and proposals that arise in this proceeding. UCAN plans to participate in all phases, tracks and any workshops to provide feedback both formal and informal. UCAN plans to submit responses to any ALJ Rulings as well as comment on any proposed decisions.

B. The party's itemized estimate of the compensation that the party expects to request, based on the anticipated duration of the proceeding (§ 1804(a)(2)(A)):

| Item | Hours | Rate \$ | Total \$ | # |
|--|-------|---------|----------|---|
| ATTORNEY, EXPERT, AND ADVOCATE FEES | | | | |
| Jane Krikorian - Attorney | 150 | \$495 | \$74,250 | |
| Saeed Manshadi - Expert | 175 | \$375 | \$65,625 | |
| Courtney Cook-Sloan | 25 | \$225 | \$5,625 | |
| | | | | |
| <i>Subtotal: \$145,500</i> | | | | |
| OTHER FEES | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <i>Subtotal: \$</i> | | | | |
| COSTS | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <i>Subtotal: \$</i> | | | | |
| <i>TOTAL ESTIMATE: \$145,500</i> | | | | |

Estimated Budget by Issues:

| Issue: | Time: | Budget |
|---|-------------|------------------|
| 1. Demand Response Guiding Principles | 20% | \$29,100 |
| 2. Other current demand response policies | 40% | \$58,200 |
| 3. Any new demand response policies | 40% | \$58,200 |
| Total: | 100% | \$145,500 |
| | | |

When entering items, type over bracketed text; add additional rows to table as necessary. Estimate may (but does not need to) include estimated Claim preparation time. Claim preparation time is typically compensated at ½ professional hourly rate.

PART III: SHOWING OF SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
(To be completed by party intending to claim intervenor compensation;
see Instructions for options for providing this information)

| A. The party claims that participation or intervention in this proceeding without an award of fees or costs imposes a significant financial hardship, on the following basis: | Applies (check) |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1. The customer cannot afford, without undue hardship, to pay the costs of effective participation, including advocate's fees, expert witness fees, and other reasonable costs of participation. (§ 1802(h)) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. In the case of a group or organization, the economic interest of the individual members of the group or organization is small in comparison to the costs of effective participation in the proceeding. (§ 1802(h)) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. The eligible local government entities' participation or intervention without an award of fees or costs imposes a significant financial hardship. (§ 1803.1(b).) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. A § 1802(h) or § 1803.1(b) finding of significant financial hardship in another proceeding, made within one year prior to the commencement of this proceeding, created a rebuttable presumption in this proceeding (§ 1804(b)(1)). Commission's finding of significant financial hardship made in proceeding number: R.23-12-008; Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Transportation Electrification Policy and Infrastructure | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Date of Administrative Law Judge's Ruling (or CPUC Decision) in which the finding of significant financial hardship was made: June 18, 2025 | |
| B. The party's explanation of the factual basis for its claim of "significant financial hardship" (§ 1802(h) or § 1803.1(b)) (necessary documentation, if warranted, is attached to the NOI: | |

PART IV: ATTACHMENTS DOCUMENTING SPECIFIC ASSERTIONS MADE IN THIS NOTICE

(The party intending to claim intervenor compensation identifies and attaches documents;
add rows as necessary)

| Attachment No. | Description |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
|-----------------------|--------------------|

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| 1 | Certificate of Service |
| | |

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RULING⁴
(Administrative Law Judge completes)

| | Check all that apply |
|--|-----------------------------|
| 1. The Notice of Intent (NOI) is rejected for the following reasons: | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a. The NOI has not demonstrated the party's status as a "customer" or an "eligible local government entity" for the following reason(s): | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. The NOI has not demonstrated that the NOI was timely filed (Part I(B)) for the following reason(s): | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. The NOI has not adequately described the scope of anticipated participation (Part II, above) for the following reason(s): | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. The NOI has demonstrated significant financial hardship for the reasons set forth in Part III of the NOI (above). | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. The NOI has not demonstrated significant financial hardship for the following reason(s): | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. The Administrative Law Judge provides the following additional guidance (see § 1804(b)(2)): | <input type="checkbox"/> |

IT IS RULED that:

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| 1. The Notice of Intent is rejected. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. The customer or eligible local government entity has satisfied the eligibility requirements of Pub. Util. Code § 1804(a). | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. The customer or eligible local government entity has shown significant financial hardship. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. The customer or eligible local government entity is preliminarily determined to be eligible for intervenor compensation in this proceeding. However, a finding of significant financial hardship in no way ensures compensation. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Additional guidance is provided to the customer or eligible local government entity as set forth above. | <input type="checkbox"/> |

⁴ A Ruling needs not be issued unless: (a) the NOI is deficient; (b) the Administrative Law Judge desires to address specific issues raised by the NOI (to point out similar positions, areas of potential duplication in showings, unrealistic expectations for compensation, or other matters that may affect the customer or eligible local government entity's Intervenor Compensation Claim); or (c) the NOI has included a claim of "significant financial hardship" that requires a finding under § 1802(h).

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.

Administrative Law Judge