



ALJ/EC2/nd3 1/21/2026

FILED

01/21/26

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

08:18 AM

A2507002

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric
Company on Behalf of Customers
Seeking a Gas Line Subsidy (U39M).

Application 25-07-002

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
REQUESTING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

On September 26, 2025, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed a Motion to withdraw this Application (Motion). PG&E explains that it does not have the sufficient information required by the Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling (Scoping Memo) to proceed.¹ The Scoping Memo directed PG&E to provide non-residential gas line extension allowance calculations for each project applying for the gas line subsidies. The non-residential gas line extension allowance calculations are the same information Decision (D.) 22-09-026 directed PG&E to provide when filing applications for gas line subsidies. The Scoping Memo states:

PG&E's Application does not contain information on how much the requested gas line subsidies for each customer project would cost ratepayers. Decision (D.) 22-09-026 directed PG&E to provide this information in its applications, stating:

In its annual filing, each IOU should include an update to the non-residential gas line extension allowance calculations based on the current

¹ Motion at 2.

methodology (including all inputs used, *e.g.*, cost of service factor).

PG&E shall serve Supplemental Testimony according to the proceeding schedule in this Ruling to provide information about how much each customer's requested gas line subsidy would cost ratepayers. Specifically, this information should contain an update to the non-residential gas line extension allowance calculations based on current methodology, as required in D.22-09-026. These information can help the Commission examine whether the costs for the gas line subsidies requested for each customer project are reasonable, which is an issue in this proceeding.²

The Scoping Memo requires PG&E to provide information about the non-residential gas line extension allowance calculations because this is the information D.22-09-026 required PG&E to provide in its application.

D.22-09-026, in setting the guidelines for utilities to file applications requesting gas line extension allowances, requires that the utility provide "an update to the non-residential gas line extension allowance calculations based on the current methodology (including all inputs used, *e.g.*, cost of service factor)" in their applications.³

This Ruling directs PG&E to explain why PG&E filed the Application if it did not have information on the non-residential gas line extension allowance calculations D.22-09-026 required it to include in the filing.

IT IS RULED that, by February 6, 2026, Pacific Gas and Electric Company provides an explanation as to why it filed this Application when it does not have

² Scoping Memo at 3.

³ D.22-09-026 at Ordering Paragraph 3.

the non-residential gas line extension allowance calculations Decision 22-09-026 required utilities to include in the gas line allowance applications.

Dated January 21, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ELAINE LAU
Elaine Lau
Administrative Law Judge