



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Application of
PACIFICORP (U901E), for an Order
Authorizing a General Rate Increase Effective
January 1, 2023.

Application No. 22-05-006
(Filed May 5, 2022)

FILED

01/20/26
04:59 PM
A2205006

**PACIFICORP'S REPLY TO RESPONSE OF THE PUBLIC ADVOCATES OFFICE TO
PACIFICORP'S PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF DECISION 25-06-052**

PACIFICORP
Carla Scarsella
Ajay Kumar
825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 2000
Portland, Oregon 97232
Email: carla.scarsella@pacificorp.com
ajay.kumar@pacificorp.com

DOWNEY BRAND LLP
Michael B. Day
455 Market Street, Suite 1500
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: 415.848.4800
Facsimile: 415.848.4801
Email: mday@downeybrand.com

Attorneys for PacifiCorp

Dated: January 20, 2026

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Application of
PACIFICORP (U901E), for an Order
Authorizing a General Rate Increase Effective
January 1, 2023.

Application No. 22-05-006
(Filed May 5, 2022)

**PACIFICORP'S REPLY TO RESPONSE OF THE PUBLIC ADVOCATES OFFICE TO
PACIFICORP'S PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF DECISION 25-06-052**

In accordance with Rule 16.4(g) of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (“Commission”), PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power (“PacifiCorp” or “the Company”) hereby submits its Reply to the Response of Public Advocates Office to PacifiCorp’s Petition for Modification of Decision (“D.”) 25-06-052 (“Petition”) requesting permission to file a late-filed Post-Test Year Attrition Mechanism (“PTAM”) filing. As required by Rule 16.4(g) PacifiCorp sent an email seeking permission from the Assigned Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) in this case, Judge Garret Toy, to file a reply to the Response of the Public Advocates Office (“Cal Advocates”). On January 16, 2026, ALJ Toy issued an email ruling granting PacifiCorp permission to file a Reply to the Cal Advocates Response by January 26, 2026.

PacifiCorp filed its Petition on December 15, 2025, seeking leave to file its 2025 PTAM after the October 15, 2025 deadline set forth in D.25-06-052. On January 14, 2026 Cal Advocates filed a Response to the Petition. Cal Advocates stated in its Response that it, “does not oppose the granting of leave to file its Tier 2 Advice Letter, *provided that*, should the Commission approve PacifiCorp’s Petition, PacifiCorp be required to file its overdue Tier 2 Advice Letter *within 30 days* after the date of issuance of the Commission’s decision.” Cal

Advocates Response, p. 1.

PacifiCorp files this Reply to the Cal Advocates Response to indicate its agreement with Cal Advocates' proposed condition. PacifiCorp has prepared a draft Advice Letter for the late-filed PTAM and can readily comply with a requirement to file within 30 days of a Commission order approving the Petition. Given the Parties' agreement on this condition, the Petition is effectively unopposed, and PacifiCorp respectfully requests that the Commission grant the Petition promptly, with the addition of a condition that the PTAM Advice Letter must be filed within 30 days of the issuance of the Commission's decision on the Petition.

Respectfully submitted January 20, 2026 at San Francisco, California.

DOWNEY BRAND, LLP

By: */s/Michael B. Day*
MICHAEL B. DAY
455 Market Street, Suite 1500
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: 415.848.4800
Email: mday@downeybrand.com

Attorneys for PacifiCorp