



ALJ/SRM/vj4 01/23/2026

**FILED**

01/23/26

04:59 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

C2505021

Kimberly Graves,

Complainant,

vs.

Case 25-05-021

California Water Service Company  
(U60W),

Defendant.

---

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S EMAIL RULING REGARDING  
PRODUCTION OF WITNESSES**

Dated January 23, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

---

/s/ SUMAN MATHEWS

Suman Mathews  
Administrative Law Judge

**From:** Mathews, Suman <[Suman.Mathews@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Suman.Mathews@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 23, 2026 4:30 PM  
**To:** Willis Hon <[whon@nossaman.com](mailto:whon@nossaman.com)>; [support@ryseinc.org](mailto:support@ryseinc.org)  
**Cc:** Mathews, Suman <[Suman.Mathews@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Suman.Mathews@cpuc.ca.gov)>; [rkahlon@calwater.com](mailto:rkahlon@calwater.com); Bonino, Nicholas <[Nicholas.Bonino@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Nicholas.Bonino@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Rehman, Uzma <[Uzma.Rehman@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Uzma.Rehman@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Gutto, Shane <[Shane.Gutto@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Shane.Gutto@cpuc.ca.gov)>; ALJ Support ID <[alj\\_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov)>; ALJ Process <[alj\\_process@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov)>; ALJ Docket Office <[ALJ\\_Docket\\_Office@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
**Subject:** C.25-05-021: Administrative Law Judge's Email Ruling Regarding Production of Witnesses  
**Importance:** High

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING REGARDING PRODUCTION OF WITNESSES

Parties to C.25-05-021:

On January 12, 2026, Complainant filed a Motion to Compel Production of Gary Kettleman as a witness. Complainant alleges that Mr. Kettleman is the only employee of Defendant with direct knowledge of certain communications at issue in the complaint. After consideration of the Motion, and pursuant to discussion at the status conference held today, January 23, 2026, I grant Complainant's motion.

Also discussed at the status conference today was the fact that Defendant's witness, Steve Farriot, is unavailable to appear at the hearing for Complainant to cross examine since Mr. Farriot is out of the office through February 22, 2026. Although Defendant has served the prepared written testimony of Mr. Farriot, Complainant indicated that she planned to cross-examine this witness. At the status conference today, counsel for Defendant stated that Defendant would be able to produce another witness with knowledge to testify in Mr. Farriot's stead. To keep the proceeding on track, I require that Defendant produce the appropriate witness to testify in Mr. Farriot's stead so that the hearing is not further delayed.

**IT IS SO RULED.**

**THE DOCKET OFFICE SHALL FORMALLY FILE THIS RULING.**

**Suman R. Mathews**

Administrative Law Judge

California Public Utilities Commission

[Suman.Mathews@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Suman.Mathews@cpuc.ca.gov)