



## **Attachment C: Concept Proposal for an Alternate Proposed Decision in A.25-02-022**

**FILED**

01/30/26

11:35 AM

A2502022

**Sections 1-4, 8, 11 – no changes**

**Sections 5-7, 10 – remove**

### **Section 9. Procedural Matters**

This decision affirms all rulings made by the Administrative Law Judge and assigned Commissioner in this proceeding. Pursuant to Rules 11.1 and 11.4, this decision grants GPI's October 27, 2025 Motion for Confidential Treatment for attachments to a GPI Response (Attachments A, B, and C of the primary document, Green Power Institute Submission in Response to Section 2.16 of the September 16 Ruling of ALJ Tran); GPI's December 24, 2025 Motion for Confidential Treatment for an attachment to GPI's Comments on the PD (Attachment B of the primary document, Comments of the Green Power Institute on the Proposed Decision of ALJ S. Goldberg), and GPI's January 14, 2026 Motion for Confidential Treatment for an attachment to GPI's Motion to Set Aside (Attachment B of the primary document, Motion of the Green Power Institute for Leave to Set Aside Submission for the Taking of Additional Evidence).

Pursuant to Rules 11.1 and 13.15, this decision grants GPI's January 14, 2026, Motion of the Green Power Institute for Leave to Set Aside Submission for the Taking of Additional Evidence. This Motion re-opens the record, and renders Sections 5, 6, 7, and 10 moot and withdrawn.

All other motions not ruled on in this matter are deemed denied.

\*\*\* I don't know whether Sections 5, 6, 7, and 10 need to be excised from the Decision, or whether what I wrote above, "This Motion re-opens the record, and renders Sections 5, 6, 7, and 10 moot and withdrawn," is sufficient.

## Findings of Fact

1-5 no changes

~~6. GPI and the Pacific Institute have not demonstrated customer status pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 1802(b)(1)(c).~~

~~7. GPI and the Pacific Institute have not made a showing of significant financial hardship pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 1802(h).~~

~~8. GPI may submit new documentation in a future proceeding for Commission consideration should the circumstances addressed in this decision change.~~

6. GPI's supplement filed on September 24, 2025, included an old and outdated version of the Pacific Institute's bylaws.

7. In order to correct the record, GPI's Motion to Set Aside Submission is appropriate.

## Conclusion of Law

~~GPI and the Pacific Institute fail to satisfy all requirements of Pub. Util. Code Sections 1801-1812 and are not eligible to claim intervenor compensation.~~ In order to fairly adjudicate this proceeding, it is necessary to reopen, correct, and clarify the record.

---

Black = no changes

Red = new text

~~Green = cross out~~

Blue = note, not for inclusion in the APD