



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Petition of the City and County of San Francisco for a Valuation of Certain Pacific Gas & Electric Company Property Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Sections 1401-1421.

02/18/26

11:25 AM

P2107012

Petition 21-07-012

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING SETTING SCHEDULE FOR SERVING TESTIMONY**

This ruling revises the procedural schedule of the proceeding and sets the timeframe for serving testimony pursuant to the testimony guidelines set forth in Decision (D.) 25-10-039.<sup>1</sup>

A ruling soliciting comments regarding the schedule was issued on January 12, 2026.

Comments to the ruling were filed on February 2, 2026, by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), City and County of San Francisco (CCSF), Southern California Edison Company (SCE) and San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG&E), and Coalition of California Utility Employees (CUE).

Reply comments were filed on February 12, 2026, by CUE, SCE and SDG&E, PG&E, and CCSF.

Parties' comments were considered and the following partial schedule is hereby adopted:

---

<sup>1</sup> See D.25-10-039 at 33 to 37.

Event	Date
CCSF Amended and Restated Testimony. Includes Separation Plan and Single Scenario for taking of Martin Substation.	60 days from issuance of this ruling.
PG&E Opening Testimony including separate testimony on severance damages.	180 days from the last day to serve CCSF's Amended and Restated Testimony.
Other Intervenors Opening Testimony (if applicable).	60 days from the last day to serve PG&E's Opening Testimony.
(a) CCSF Rebuttal Testimony including separate testimony on severance damages. (b) Other Intervenors separate testimony on severance damages (if applicable).	60 days from the last day to serve Intervenor Opening Testimony.
PG&E Rebuttal Testimony on severance damages.	30 days from the last day to serve CCSF's testimony on severance damages.

The remainder of the proceeding schedule including events such as evidentiary hearings, filing of briefs, writing of the proposed decision, etc. shall be set forth in a later ruling.

As a reminder, either the assigned Commissioner or assigned Administrative Law Judge may make further revisions to the schedule as deemed appropriate.

This ruling is effective today.

Dated February 18, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ RAFAEL LIRAG  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rafael Lirag  
Administrative Law Judge