



FILED

02/20/26

01:01 PM

R2502005

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Update and Reform Energy Resource Recovery Account and Power Charge Indifference Adjustment Policies and Processes

Rulemaking 25-02-005

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING AUTHORIZING PARTIES TO FILE COMMENTS ON ISSUES TO ADDRESS IN TRACK 3

This ruling directs the utilities and authorizes other parties to file and serve comments not exceeding 15 pages in length addressing issues that the scope of Track 3 should include no later than March 27, 2026.

Since the initiation of this proceeding, two sets of issues have been scoped. The first Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling (First Scoping Memo) issued on April 8, 2025 set the scope for Track 1, and the Commission resolved Track 1 issues in D.25-06-049. The February 3, 2026 Assigned Commissioner's Amended Scoping Memo and Ruling (Amended Scoping Memo) set the scope for Track 2, which is currently underway.

The scopes of Track 1 and Track 2 focused on discrete issues and were relatively narrow compared to the broad set of issues discussed in the Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR) that opened this proceeding. The OIR identified multiple issues related both to Power Charge Indifference Adjustment issues as well as Energy Resource Recovery Account issues. Track 3 of this proceeding intends to address the broader set of remaining issues. Additionally, it has been almost a year since parties provided Opening and Reply Comments on the OIR.

Therefore, I am seeking party comment on issues that parties believe the remainder of the proceeding should address, in the event that party views on this have changed. A status conference to discuss the potential scope may be held subsequent to this round of comments if further discussion is necessary. Party input will inform the forthcoming Track 3 Scoping Memo.

1. Questions Regarding Track 3 Scoping Issues

The investor-owned electric utilities are directed, and all other parties are authorized, to file and serve Opening Comments on Track 3 Scoping Issues not exceeding 15 pages no later than March 27, 2026 that address the following questions:

1. What issues should be addressed in Track 3 of this proceeding? If you have views on how these issues should be prioritized, also provide that input.
2. There may be data confidentiality and/or data access issues that affect how issues can or should be addressed by the parties. What data confidentiality issues are likely to arise in Track 3? How should the scope and schedule of Track 3 address these issues?
3. How long do you expect Track 3 will take to complete? What process/timing interdependencies may there be?

Parties are authorized to file and serve Reply Comments responding to other parties' Opening Comments not exceeding 15 pages no later than April 10, 2026.

IT IS RULED that:

1. Southern California Edison Company, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall file and serve Opening Comments on Track 3 Scoping Issues not exceeding 15 pages responding to questions in Section 1.1 of this ruling no later than March 27, 2026.

2. All other parties to this proceeding are authorized to file and serve Opening Comments on Track 3 Scoping Issues not exceeding 15 pages responding to questions in Section 1.1 of this ruling no later than March 27, 2026.

3. All parties are authorized to file and serve Reply Comments on Track 3 Scoping Issues not exceeding 15 pages no later than April 10, 2026.

Dated February 20, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MARIA SOTERO

Maria Sotero
Administrative Law Judge