



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FILED

02/18/26

03:12 PM

A2504001

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for a Commission Finding that its Procurement-Related and Other Operations for the Record Period January 1 Through December 31, 2024 Complied with its Adopted Procurement Plan; for Verification of its Entries in the Energy Resource Recovery Account and Other Regulatory Accounts; and for an increase of \$3.992 million in revenue requirement due to a net undercollection recorded in six accounts.

A.25-04-001

JOINT MEET AND CONFER REPORT

JANET S. COMBS
DANIEL R. CULHANE
ASHLEY K. MOORHEAD

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-1524
E-mail: Janet.Combs@sce.com

Date: February 18, 2026

JOINT MEET AND CONFER REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE PARTIES AGREE THAT EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS ARE NOT NEEDED TO RESOLVE DISPUTED MATTERS AND PLAN TO SUBMIT A JOINT FILING TO ADMIT PREPARED TESTIMONY INTO THE RECORD SUBJECT TO A PENDING MOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF DIMENSION’S TESTIMONY	2
1. Does any party assert the need for evidentiary hearing to resolve any matter in dispute?	2
2. Do parties otherwise agree to move prepared testimony into evidence?.....	3
III. CONCLUSION.....	4

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for a Commission Finding that its Procurement-Related and Other Operations for the Record Period January 1 Through December 31, 2024 Complied with its Adopted Procurement Plan; for Verification of its Entries in the Energy Resource Recovery Account and Other Regulatory Accounts; and for an increase of \$3.992 million in revenue requirement due to a net undercollection recorded in six accounts.

A.25-04-001

JOINT MEET AND CONFER REPORT

I.

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Rule 7.2(a) of the California Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure and the *Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling* dated July 2, 2025 (Scoping Memo), Southern California Edison Company (SCE) respectfully submits this Joint Meet and Confer Report on behalf of itself and the other parties to this proceeding: the Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates), California Community Choice Association (CalCCA), and Dimension Energy LLC (Dimension) (collectively, the Parties).¹

¹ Pursuant to Rule 1.8(d), SCE confirms that counsels for CalCCA, Cal Advocates, and Dimension have authorized SCE to file this Joint Meet and Confer Report on behalf of their organizations.

II.

THE PARTIES AGREE THAT EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS ARE NOT NEEDED TO RESOLVE DISPUTED MATTERS AND PLAN TO SUBMIT A JOINT FILING TO MOVE PREPARED TESTIMONY INTO THE RECORD SUBJECT TO A PENDING MOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF DIMENSION'S TESTIMONY

Pursuant to the Honorable John Reynolds' Assigned Commissioner Scoping Memo and Ruling issued July 2, 2025, the purpose of the meet and confer is to ascertain whether the parties stipulate to the receipt of prepared testimony into evidence without direct or cross examination or whether an evidentiary hearing is still needed.² Parties met and conferred on February 11, 2026, to discuss the following questions, and their respective positions are summarized below.

1. Does any party assert the need for evidentiary hearing to resolve any matter in dispute?

Cal Advocates: Cal Advocates is not requesting an evidentiary hearing but would not object if another party requested an evidentiary hearing.

CalCCA: CalCCA is not requesting an evidentiary hearing. It asked SCE to timely respond to three data requests on SCE's rebuttal testimony and to update one data request that CalCCA expects to move into the record along with other responses of SCE. On February 12, 2026, SCE produced its responses to the three data requests on SCE's rebuttal testimony. On February 13, 2026, CalCCA and SCE met to discuss the expected content of the updated data request response. On February 13, 2026, SCE produced the updated data request response, and CalCCA is satisfied that an evidentiary hearing is not needed for the Commission to resolve the issues in dispute because SCE has represented to CalCCA that it will not object to moving these data request responses into the record.

² See Assigned Commissioner Scoping Memo and Ruling, p. 5.

Dimension: Dimension is not requesting an evidentiary hearing. SCE and Dimension discussed how to proceed with moving prepared testimony into the record given SCE's pending Motion to Strike portions of Mr. Smithwood's testimony.³ That discussion is summarized below.

SCE: SCE's view is that evidentiary hearings are not needed for the Commission to resolve the issues in dispute.

2. Do parties otherwise agree to move prepared testimony into evidence?

For efficiency, the Parties prefer to submit joint filings to move prepared testimony into the record and to seal the confidential portions of the record.⁴ Dimension and SCE acknowledged that SCE will not join any motion by Dimension to admit into evidence those portions of Mr. Smithwood's testimony that are the subject of SCE's pending Motion to Strike. They agreed that a joint filing should be able to clearly express SCE's objection to any Dimension motion to move those portions of Mr. Smithwood's testimony into the record for reasons explained in SCE's Motion to Strike (*i.e.*, that Dimension's motion is not joint despite being included in a joint filing).

The Parties plan to file the joint filings before April 10, 2026, the date opening briefs are due.

³ See Motion of Southern California Edison Company (SCE) to Strike Portions of the Direct Testimony of Robert Brandon Smithwood on Behalf of Dimension Energy LLC, filed January 28, 2026. Dimension and Cal Advocates filed responses on February 12, 2026.

⁴ A joint motion to seal confidential portions of the record would be joined only by those parties who have confidential information in their prepared testimony.

III. CONCLUSION

The Parties appreciate the opportunity to provide this Joint Meet and Confer Report.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the Parties,

JANET S. COMBS
DANIEL R. CULHANE
ASHLEY K. MOORHEAD

/S/ Janet S. Combs

By: Janet S. Combs

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-1524
E-mail: Janet.Combs@sce.com

Date: February 18, 2026