

ALJ/JYL/jds 03/11/2026



FILED

03/11/26

04:59 PM

C2512022

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Stephen Wilcox,

Complainant,

vs.

Southern California Edison Company
(U-338E),

Defendant.

Case 25-12-022
(ECP)

**EMAIL RULING POSTPONING MARCH 12, 2026, EXPEDITED
COMPLAINT HEARING (ECP), AND EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PARTIES
TO MEET AND CONFER, EXCHANGE EVIDENCE,
AND PREPARE JOINT STATEMENT**

Dated March 11, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JEFFREY K. LEE

Jeffrey K. Lee
Administrative Law Judge

From: Lee, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Lee@cpuc.ca.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:01 PM

To: Tariffs Manager <Tariffs.Manager@sce.com>; cln-nsty@att.net; Jennifer Shigekawa <Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com>; Anna Valdborg <Anna.Valdborg@sce.com>; Anna M Wojtyna-Machon <Anna.Wojtyna-Machon@sce.com>; Case Admin <Case.Admin@sce.com>; Case Admin Team Support Group List <caseadminteamssupportgrouplist@sce.com>; Darrah Morgan <Darrah.Morgan@sce.com>; Robert 1 Rojas <Robert.1.Rojas@sce.com>

Cc: ALJ Process <alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Docket Office <ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Support ID <alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Hearing Requests <ALJ_HearingRequests@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: C.25-12-022 (ECP) Stephen Wilcox v. Southern California Edison - Administrative Law Judge E-Mail Ruling Postponing March 12, 2026 Expedited Complaint Hearing and Extending Deadline for Parties to Meet and Confer, Exchange Evidence, and Prepare Joint State

C.25-12-022 (ECP) Stephen Wilcox v. Southern California Edison - Administrative Law Judge E-Mail Ruling Postponing March 12, 2026, Expedited Complaint Hearing and Extending Deadline for Parties to Meet and Confer, Exchange Evidence, and Prepare Joint Statement

TO ALL PARTIES ON THE SERVICE LIST:

On March 4, 2026, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) issued an E-Mail Ruling directing the parties to serve certain Final Documents upon all Parties and the ALJ by email to the Service List by no later than 12:00 p.m. Pacific Time on March 11, 2026 in this Expedited Complaint Procedure (ECP) proceeding. Those materials included each party's: pre-marked Exhibits (containing Exhibit numbers corresponding to the Exhibit List); list of proposed witnesses that will testify at the hearing for the party; a completed Exhibit List in the format provided above; and the Parties' Joint Statement (to be *prepared by Defendant*) regarding (1) the material issues of fact on which the parties agree, (2) the disputed material issues that must be addressed to resolve this dispute, and (3) the requested relief, including the specific monetary amount(s) sought and the basis for each request.

As of 6:00 p.m. Pacific Time on March 11, 2026, the parties have not served the required Final Documents or requested an extension of the service deadline.

In such circumstances, fundamental fairness to the parties requires that the remote ECP hearing scheduled for March 12, 2026 10:00 a.m. Pacific Time be postponed. This postponement is necessary so that the parties may complete the required exchange of evidence and service of Final Documents identified in the March 4, 2026 ALJ Ruling and serve the ALJ with necessary materials in advance of an ECP hearing.

Therefore, the *ECP Hearing scheduled for March 12, 2026 10:00 a.m. Pacific Time is hereby postponed and taken off calendar*. The ECP hearing will be rescheduled through a separate ruling by the ALJ.

The parties shall meet and confer and then serve certain Final Documents identified in the ALJ's March 4, 2026 E-Mail Ruling upon all Parties and the ALJ by email to the Service List by *no later*

than 12:00 p.m. Pacific Time on March 20, 2026. Final documents shall be served by email to the official service list (including the ALJ) but shall **not** be formally filed with the Commission. In the Parties' Joint Statement, they shall provide justification for failing to satisfy the ALJ's requirement to serve Final Documents on or by 12:00 p.m. Pacific Time on March 11, 2026.

In lieu of serving Final Documents, if the parties have resolved all issues and no ECP Hearing is necessary in this proceeding, the parties may file a joint motion to dismiss the complaint that states whether such dismissal is requested "with prejudice" or "without prejudice."

At an ECP hearing, each party must be fully prepared to present its case as directed by the ALJ, including presentation of documentary evidence and witness testimony, if necessary. Pursuant to the applicable ECP rules, no party will be allowed legal representation at the hearing.

While this case is pending, no party shall engage in an *ex parte* communication with a Commissioner or a Commissioner's advisor. No party shall engage in an *ex parte* communication with the Judge other than for procedural or scheduling purposes.

All communications to the Administrative Law Judge shall be by email, copied to all parties on the Service List, with "C.25-12-022" in the subject line.

**The Docket Office shall formally file this Email Ruling.
IT IS SO RULED.**

Jeffrey K. Lee

Administrative Law Judge

California Public Utilities Commission

jeffrey.lee@cpuc.ca.gov



Jeffrey Lee
Administrative Law Judge

California Public Utilities
Commission
San Francisco Headquarters
505 Van Ness Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94102

Email: jeffrey.lee@cpuc.ca.gov

Web: www.cpuc.ca.gov