



FILED

03/17/26

03:01 PM

A2508008

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Gas Company (U904G) to Recover Costs Recorded in the Distribution Integrity Management Program Balancing Account from January 1, 2019, to December 31, 2023.

Application 25-08-008

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING REQUIRING
SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY AND MODIFYING
THE PROCEEDING SCHEDULE**

This ruling directs the Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) to serve supplemental testimony by April 17, 2026, and parties to serve supplemental rebuttal testimony by May 18, 2026. Additionally, this ruling modifies the remaining schedule of the proceeding and establishes requirements for the joint case management statement.

1. Background

On November 20, 2025, the Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling (Scoping Memo) was issued for Application (A.) 25-08-008.

On February 13, 2026, Cal Advocates served direct testimony.

On March 16, 2026, Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) served rebuttal testimony.

2. Supplemental Testimony

SoCalGas' A.25-08-008 requests to recover \$59.1 million in under-collected revenue requirement recorded in the Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP) Balancing Account for operations and management (O&M) and

capital expenditures incurred between February 1, 2023, and December 31, 2023.¹ Cal Advocates' testimony argues the Commission should disallow part of these costs. Cal Advocates, in Exhibit 1, states that "SCG requests \$159.702 million in capital and \$46.930 million in O&M expenses, totaling \$206.632 million from February 1, 2023, to December 31, 2023. Cal Advocates' corresponding recommendation is [that the Commission only allow] \$91.696 million, which is \$114.936 million lower than SCG's request."² It is unclear how Cal Advocates' recommendation aligns with SoCalGas' request to recover \$59.1 million.

Separately, in Cal Advocates Exhibit 2, Cal Advocates recommends that "[t]he Commission should adopt the Revenue Requirement of \$9.2 million, which is \$49.9 million lower than SCG's request of \$59.1 million. . . ." A comparison of Table 2-1 from Cal Advocates' Exhibit 2 to Table RMY-1 from SoCalGas' Exhibit 3 shows a \$29.3 million difference for O&M and a \$20.6 million difference for capital revenue requirements, which totals \$49.9 million disallowance.

It is not clear how Cal Advocates reached these figures in Exhibit 2. It is also not clear how Cal Advocates' recommendation in Exhibit 1 aligns with Cal Advocates' recommendation in Exhibit 2. Finally, SoCalGas alleges errors in Cal Advocates Exhibit 2, including Cal Advocates' recommendation to disallow capital revenue requirements approved by Resolution G-3610.³

Cal Advocates is directed to submit supplemental testimony which clearly explains, in detail:

¹ A.25-08-008 at 1.

² Cal Advocates Exhibit 1 at 10.

³ SoCalGas Exhibit 6 at 2-4.

1. What amount of SoCalGas’ request for DIMP expenses and capital costs should not be found just and reasonable, incremental, and recoverable;⁴ and
2. How prior Commission approvals of SoCalGas’ DIMP costs and expenditures factor into its analysis.

Cal Advocates shall serve its supplemental testimony by April 17, 2026. Parties shall serve supplemental rebuttal testimony by May 18, 2026.

3. Modified Schedule and Requirements on the Joint Case Management Statement

This ruling modifies the schedule adopted in the Scoping Memo to promote the efficient and fair resolution of the application:

Event	Date with Evidentiary Hearings	Date without Evidentiary Hearings
Intervenors’ prepared direct testimony served	February 13, 2026	
Prepared rebuttal testimony served	March 16, 2026	
Cal Advocates supplemental testimony	April 17, 2026	
Supplemental rebuttal testimony	May 18, 2026	
Meet and Confer Deadline	May 28, 2026	
Joint Case Management Statement	June 1, 2026	
Evidentiary hearing (if needed)	July 2026	-
Opening briefs	August 2026	July 1, 2026
Reply briefs (matter submitted)	September 2026	July 21, 2026
Proposed decision	No later than 90 days after submission	
Commission decision	No sooner than 30 days after PD	

⁴ See scoping memo at 2.

Consistent with the Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, Rule 13.8(c), parties are directed to meet and confer to develop the joint case management statement that must include the following information:

1. Will the parties stipulate to scoped issues numbered 1 - 3?
2. Will the parties stipulate to the receipt of prepared testimony into evidence without direct or cross examination or other need to convene an evidentiary hearing, or whether an evidentiary hearing will be needed?
3. If the parties are in consensus that an evidentiary hearing is necessary:
 - a. Identify the witnesses to be examined in the evidentiary hearing,
 - b. Identify corresponding exhibits each witness will testify to (responsible for as part of this proceeding),
 - c. Estimate the amount of time for each witness broken down by how much time is requested for direct- and cross-examination of each witness, and
 - d. Provide a list of dates for evidentiary hearing between July 13, 2026, and July 31, 2026, that are mutually agreeable to the parties.
4. Provide responses to the considerations listed in Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, Rule 13.9(a)(1)-(5).

IT IS RULED that:

1. Cal Advocates shall serve the supplemental testimony by April 17, 2026.
2. Parties shall serve supplemental rebuttal testimony by May 18, 2026.
3. The schedule of this proceeding is modified as set forth above.

4. Parties shall meet and confer to provide a joint case management statement that provides the information required by this ruling.

Dated March 17, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ BRANDON GERSTLE

Brandon Gerstle
Administrative Law Judge