

ALJ/ES2/smt 3/12/2026



FILED

03/12/26

04:59 PM

A2510004

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY to increase its authority to finance short-term borrowing needs by \$2.0 billion to an aggregate amount not to exceed \$10.5 billion. (U39M)

Application 25-10-004

**E-MAIL RULING DENYING MOTION TO
FILE RESPONSE UNDER SEAL**

Dated March 12, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ EHREN D SEYBERT

Ehren D. Seybert
Administrative Law Judge

From: Seybert, Ehren <ehren.seybert@cpuc.ca.gov>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:43 PM

To: Joseph.Yu@pge.com; RegrelcpucCases@pge.com; CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com; Hank.Weintraub@pge.com; Naomi.Matthews@pge.com; n4ks@pge.com; regrelcuccases@pge.com; Brian.Boessenecker@mto.com; Menzies, Benjamin <Benjamin.Menzies@cpuc.ca.gov>; Chitadje, Charlotte <charlotte.chitadje@cpuc.ca.gov>; Zizmor, David <David.Zizmor@cpuc.ca.gov>; Seybert, Ehren <ehren.seybert@cpuc.ca.gov>; Miller, Molly <Molly.Miller@cpuc.ca.gov>; Yoshitsugu, Sachiko <Sachiko.Yoshitsugu@cpuc.ca.gov>; Neal, Stephen <Stephen.Neal@cpuc.ca.gov>; Smith, Victor <Victor.Smith@cpuc.ca.gov>; Giovanni.SaarmanGonzalez@mto.com; Chris.Parker@pge.com; K7KW@pge.com; Kimberly.Chang@pge.com; Lauren.Hudson@pge.com; Lauren.Hudson@pge.com
Cc: ALJ Docket Office <ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Process <alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Support ID <alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: A.25-10-004: E-Mail Ruling Denying Motion to File Response Under Seal

Pursuant to the December 12, 2025 Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling (Scoping Memo), on January 5, 2026, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed a supplement to its application to respond to the questions set forth in Section 3 of the Scoping Memo (Response). PG&E filed a concurrent motion to file the confidential version of its Response under seal (Motion).

In its Motion, PG&E states its confidential response contains material, non-public financial details concerning PG&E's debt borrowings and available capacity, which could harm PG&E and increase the risk of litigation if publicly disclosed.

The specific information PG&E seeks to file under seal pertains to PG&E short-term debt usage at year-end 2025, which is publicly disclosed in PG&E's rebuttal testimony and opening brief. (*See* Exhibit PG&E-1 at 5 and footnote 4; PG&E Opening Brief at 9 and footnote 53). Therefore, PG&E's Motion is denied. PG&E may submit a new motion by March 16, 2026, to respond to this ruling and further explain why any of the information contained in its Response should be held confidential. In the absence of such a motion, PG&E is directed to file an unredacted version of its Response by March 18, 2026.

It is so ruled.

THE DOCKET OFFICE SHALL FORMALLY FILE THIS RULING.

Ehren D. Seybert (he/him/his)
Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission