



Decision \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

04/06/26

04:59 PM

Joint Application of the Public Advocates Office, The Utility Reform Network and Mussey Grade Road Alliance for Rehearing of Resolution SPD-37.

Application 26-01-006  
A2801006  
(Filed January 9, 2026)

**INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM OF THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK  
AND DECISION ON INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM OF  
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK**

**NOTE:** After electronically filing a PDF copy of this Intervenor Compensation Claim (Request), please email the document in an MS WORD and supporting EXCEL spreadsheet to the Intervenor Compensation Program Coordinator at [lcompcordinator@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:lcompcordinator@cpuc.ca.gov).

<b>Intervenor:</b> The Utility Reform Network (TURN)	<b>For contribution to Decision D.26-02-026</b>
<b>Claimed:</b> \$10,560.00	<b>Awarded:</b> \$
<b>Assigned Commissioner:</b> N/A	<b>Assigned ALJ:</b> N/A
I hereby certify that the information I have set forth in Parts I, II, and III of this Claim is true to my best knowledge, information and belief. I further certify that, in conformance with the Rules of Practice and Procedure, this Claim has been served this day upon all required persons (as set forth in the Certificate of Service attached as Attachment 1).	
<b>Signature:</b>	<i>/s/ A Mireille Fall</i>
<b>Date:</b> 04/06/26	<b>Printed Name:</b> A Mireille Fall

**PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES**  
*(to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)*

<b>A. Brief description of Decision:</b>	Decision D.26-02-026 denies rehearing and modifies Resolution SPD-37 to conform the Phase 1 Application process to the procedures set forth in the Commission's Rules.
--	--

**B. Intervenor must satisfy intervenor compensation requirements set forth in Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812<sup>1</sup>:**

	<b>Intervenor</b>	<b>CPUC Verification</b>
<b>Timely filing of notice of intent to claim compensation (NOI) (§ 1804(a)):</b>		
1. Date of Prehearing Conference:	N/A	
2. Other specified date for NOI:	N/A	
3. Date NOI filed:	1/29/26 (see comments)	
4. Was the NOI timely filed?		
<b>Showing of eligible customer status (§ 1802(b)) or eligible local government entity status (§§ 1802(d), 1802.4):</b>		
5. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.25-03-015	
6. Date of ALJ ruling:	7/8/25	
7. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):		
8. Has the Intervenor demonstrated customer status or eligible government entity status?		
<b>Showing of “significant financial hardship” (§1802(h) or §1803.1(b)):</b>		
9. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.25-03-015	
10. Date of ALJ ruling:	7/8/25	
11. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):		
12. Has the Intervenor demonstrated significant financial hardship?		
<b>Timely request for compensation (§ 1804(c)):</b>		
13. Identify Final Decision:	D.26-02-026	
14. Date of issuance of Final Order or Decision:	2/6/26	
15. File date of compensation request:	4/6/26	
16. Was the request for compensation timely?		

<sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to California Public Utilities Code unless indicated otherwise.

**C. Additional Comments on Part I: (use line reference # as appropriate)**

#	Intervenor’s Comment(s)	CPUC Discussion
I.B.3	<p>On January 29, 2026, TURN filed A.26-01-025, Application of The Utility Reform Network for an Award of Intervenor Compensation for Substantial Contribution to Resolution SPD-37. Resolution SPD-37 was issued outside of a formal proceeding. Consistent with the instructions in the CPUC’s Intervenor Compensation Program Guide (Revised 4/17), p. 27, TURN included TURN’s NOI for work related to Resolution SPD-37 as Attachment 4 to A.26-01-025. TURN believes the NOI attached to A.26-01-025 is the appropriate NOI to reference in this Intervenor Compensation Claim because this claim also pertains to Resolution SPD-37, specifically TURN’s substantial contribution to D.26-02-026, which modified and denied rehearing of Resolution SPD-37.</p> <p>TURN notes the highly unusual procedural posture here, which does not fit into the categories of claims addressed in the CPUC’s Intervenor Compensation Program Guide and Rule 17.1. While an Application for Rehearing of a Resolution receives its own application docket number, there is no PHC or other deadline for filing the NOI for the Application for Rehearing proceeding. Even so, if the Commission would prefer that TURN prepare a new NOI specifically for TURN’s work seeking rehearing of Resolution SPD-37, TURN will gladly amend this claim to include such an NOI.</p>	

#	Intervenor's Comment(s)	CPUC Discussion

**PART II: SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION**  
*(to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)*

**A. Did the Intervenor substantially contribute to the final decision (see § 1802(j), § 1803(a), 1803.1(a) and D.98-04-059): (For each contribution, support with specific reference to the record.)**

Intervenor's Claimed Contribution(s)	Specific References to Intervenor's Claimed Contribution(s)	CPUC Discussion
<p>TURN contributed to the Joint Application for Rehearing of Resolution SPD-37 filed by TURN, Cal Advocates, and MGRA. The Joint Application raised an important procedural issue: whether the Commission's Phase 1 Application process as implemented in SPD-37 conformed to the Rules of Practice and Procedure. TURN's contribution to the Joint Application consisted of identifying the specific procedural defects, discussing strategy with other intervenors, and meeting with the Commission to attempt to avoid filing the application at all. For the specific application for rehearing, TURN researched and drafted the Background and Procedural History section, which was agreed upon among the parties prior to filing to avoid duplication. D.26-02-026 granted the rehearing application, in part, modifying SPD-37 to conform the Phase 1 Application process to the</p>	<p>Joint Application for Rehearing of Resolution SPD-37 (filed January 09, 2026); D.26-02-026 at pp. 2-3.</p>	

Commission’s Rules. TURN’s participation in discussing strategy, analyzing the procedural defect, and the proposed remedy directly informed the outcome adopted in D.26-02-026.		

**B. Duplication of Effort (§ 1801.3(f) and § 1802.5):**

	<b>Intervenor’s Assertion</b>	<b>CPUC Discussion</b>
<b>a. Was the Public Advocate’s Office of the Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) a party to the proceeding?<sup>2</sup></b>	Yes.	
<b>b. Were there other parties to the proceeding with positions similar to yours?</b>	Yes.	
<b>c. If so, provide name of other parties:</b> Mussey Grade Road Alliance (MGRA) and Cal Advocates		
<b>d. Intervenor’s claim of non-duplication:</b> TURN, Cal Advocates, and MGRA coordinated their contributions to avoid duplication and filed a single Joint Application for Rehearing rather than separate pleadings. TURN’s contribution consisted of drafting the Background and Procedural History section of the Joint Application, with the scope of each party’s contribution agreed upon prior to filing. Filing jointly was a measure taken to avoid undue duplication and reduce administrative burden on the Commission. For these reasons, TURN submits that the Commission should find no undue duplication between TURN’s participation and that of the other parties.		

---

<sup>2</sup> The Office of Ratepayer Advocates was renamed the Public Advocate’s Office of the Public Utilities Commission pursuant to Senate Bill No. 854, which the Governor approved on June 27, 2018.

**C. Additional Comments on Part II: (use line reference # or letter as appropriate)**

#	Intervenor’s Comment	CPUC Discussion

**PART III: REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION**

**(to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)**

**A. General Claim of Reasonableness (§ 1801 and § 1806):**

**a. Intervenor’s claim of cost reasonableness:**

This request seeks an award of approximately \$10,560.00 as the reasonable cost of our participation in this important proceeding. These costs are reasonable in light of the quality of TURN’s work and the contributions of TURN to the final decision D.26-02-026.

This proceeding addressed a procedural issue and did not address cost recovery. While the proceeding does not address cost recovery, the procedural integrity of the Phase 1 Application process has direct implications for ratepayers’ ability to meaningfully participate in and obtain relief through that process. D.26-02-026 adopted the modification TURN and its co-applicants sought. TURN submits that its participation produced a concrete and significant benefit to ratepayers in the form of enabling more meaningful consumer advocate engagement and oversight of the utilities Electric Undergrounding Plan review process

In sum, the Commission should conclude that TURN’s request is reasonable given the importance of the procedural correction at issue and the adopted outcome.

**b. Reasonableness of hours claimed:**

TURN requests compensation for approximately 14.25 hours of substantive work on this matter. TURN’s Energy Attorney, A Mireille Fall, contributed 9 hours researching and drafting TURN’s portion of the Joint Application for Rehearing, including revisions to the initial draft prepared by a co-applicant. Elise Torres contributed 4 hours strategizing with co-applicants and supervising the drafting of the successful application. Ms. Fall and Ms. Torres also sought limited input from TURN Managing Attorney Hayley Goodson, who contributed 1.25 hours using her extensive knowledge of CPUC procedure to guide the strategy of the successful application for rehearing.

The hours claimed are reasonable. The Joint Application addressed a legally significant procedural issue requiring careful analysis of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure and their application to SPD-37’s Phase 1 Application process. The drafting work required independent research and analysis to ensure that the arguments accurately reflected TURN’s position and met the applicable legal standard for rehearing.

TURN is requesting 5.25 hours devoted to preparation of this compensation request by Ms. Fall and Ms. Torres. TURN is claiming more hours for preparing this intervenor compensation claim than may be typical for a claim of this size due to the fact that Ms. Fall is new to preparing intervenor compensation claims. Ms. Torres and Ms. Fall divided tasks in preparing this claim, and Ms. Torres supervised Ms. Fall's work.

In sum, the Commission should find that the number of hours claimed is reasonable in light of the work performed and the contributions TURN made in this proceeding.

**c. Allocation of hours by issue:**

This proceeding involved a single issue: the conformity of Resolution SPD-37's Phase 1 Application process with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All of TURN's compensable time was devoted to that issue. No allocation among issues is necessary.

**B. Specific Claim:\***

CLAIMED						CPUC AWARD		
ATTORNEY, EXPERT, AND ADVOCATE FEES								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
A Mireille Fall, Attorney	2025	.5	\$600	D.26-03-039	\$300			
A Mireille Fall, Attorney	2026	8.5	\$620	D.26-03-039; Res. ALJ-393 2025 Rate plus 3.35% COLA. See Comment 1.	\$5,270			
Hayley Goodson, Attorney	2026	1.25	\$730	Res. ALJ-393, 2025 Rate plus 3.35% COLA. See Comment 4.	\$912.50			
Elise Torres, Attorney	2025	1.5	\$600	Res. ALJ-393, 2024 rate plus 3.46% COLA and additional increase for move to Attorney - Level IV	\$900			

CLAIMED						CPUC AWARD		
				experience tier. See Comment 2				
Elise Torres, Attorney	2026	2.5	\$620	Res. ALJ-393, 2025 rate plus 3.35% COLA. See Comment 3.	\$1,550.00			
<b>Subtotal: \$8,932.50</b>						<b>Subtotal: \$</b>		
OTHER FEES								
Describe here what OTHER HOURLY FEES you are Claiming (paralegal, travel **, etc.):								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
N/A								
<b>Subtotal: \$N/A</b>						<b>Subtotal: \$</b>		
INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM PREPARATION **								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
A Mireille Fall	2026	4.25	\$310	50% of 2026 Hourly Rate	\$1,317.50			
Elise Torres	2026	1	\$310	50% of 2026 Hourly Rate	\$310			
<b>Subtotal: \$1,627.50</b>						<b>Subtotal: \$</b>		
COSTS								
#	Item	Detail			Amount	Amount		
1.	N/A							
2.								
<b>Subtotal: \$0</b>						<b>Subtotal: \$</b>		
<b>TOTAL REQUEST: \$10,560.00</b>						<b>TOTAL AWARD: \$</b>		

\*We remind all intervenors that Commission staff may audit the records and books of the intervenors to the extent necessary to verify the basis for the award (§1804(d)). Intervenors must make and retain adequate accounting and other documentation to support all claims for intervenor compensation. Intervenors' records should identify specific issues for which it seeks compensation, the actual time spent by each employee or consultant, the applicable hourly rates, fees paid to consultants and any other costs for which compensation was claimed. The records pertaining to an award of compensation shall be retained for at least three years from the date of the final decision making the award.

\*\*Travel and Reasonable Claim preparation time are typically compensated at ½ of preparer's normal hourly rate

CLAIMED			CPUC AWARD
ATTORNEY INFORMATION			
Attorney	Date Admitted to CA BAR <sup>3</sup>	Member Number	Actions Affecting Eligibility (Yes/No?) If “Yes”, attach explanation
A Mireille Fall	Florida Bar Admission September 2004	FBN: 758841	No
Elise Torres	December 2011	280443	No
Hayley Goodson	December 2003	228535	No

**C. Attachments Documenting Specific Claim and Comments on Part III:  
*(Intervenor completes; attachments not attached to final Decision)***

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment
Attachment 1	Certificate of Service
Attachment 2	Timesheets for TURN Attorneys
Attachment 3	TURN Hours Allocated by Issue
Comment #1	<p><b>2026 Rate for A. Mireille Fall</b></p> <p>TURN requests an hourly rate of \$620 for TURN Attorney A Mireille Fall in 2026. The requested rate is equal to the rate authorized by the Commission in D.26-03-039 for Ms. Fall’s work in 2025, \$600, adjusted by the escalation rate of 3.35% for 2026.</p> <p>Calculation: \$600 x [1.0335 (COLA)] = \$620.10, rounded to \$620</p>
Comment #2	<p><b>2025 Rate for Elise Torres</b></p> <p>For Ms. Torres's work in 2025, TURN requests that the Commission adjust her 2024 rate of \$555, authorized in D.25-03-022, in two regards: (1) by applying the annual escalation adjustment authorized by Resolution ALJ-393, 3.46%; and (2) further adjusting Ms. Torres's hourly rate by 5% to recognize her move from Attorney - Level III (5-10 years) to Attorney - Level IV (10-15 years). In D.08-04-010, the Commission recognized</p>

<sup>3</sup> This information may be obtained through the State Bar of California’s website at <http://members.calbar.ca.gov/fal/MemberSearch/QuickSearch>.

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment
	<p>moving to a higher experience tier as one of the circumstances that qualifies an intervenor representative for a rate increase, apart from annual COLA adjustments and "step" increases. (D.08-04-010, p. 8). The requested rate adjustment for Ms. Torres’s change in experience tier is consistent with the Commission’s adoption of a similar adjustment in setting TURN Attorney David Cheng’s 2024 hourly rate in D.24-07-030. (D.24-07-030, p. 11).</p> <p>Ms. Torres was admitted to the CA bar in December 2011. In D.21-12-049, which authorized the first rate for Ms. Torres pursuant to Resolution ALJ-393, the Commission recognized that Ms. Torres had worked in utility regulation for 9 years and practiced as an attorney for 7 ½ years in setting her 2021 rate in the Attorney – Level III labor role. (D.21-12-049, p. 25). By 2025, Ms. Torres has four more years of experience, putting her squarely in the Attorney – Level IV labor role, with 11 ½ years practicing law and 13 ½ years of directly relevant experience working in utility regulation. TURN has not previously requested a rate that recognizes this change in Ms. Torres’ experience. Consistent with prior decisions, the Commission should continue to recognize that “professional experience gained by a practitioner ... while employed in a role other than an attorney can nonetheless confer directly relevant skills and expertise which warrant consideration in determining hourly rates for purposes of the Intervenor Compensation Program.” (D.24-07-030, p. 11, citing D.19-04-035, p. 13).</p> <p>TURN accordingly requests a 2025 rate for Ms. Torres of \$600.            Calculation: <math>\\$555 \times [1.0346 \text{ (COLA)} + 0.05 \text{ (move to higher tier)}] = \\$601.95</math>, rounded to \$600.</p>
<p>Comment #3</p>	<p><b>2026 Rate for Elise Torres</b></p> <p>For Ms. Torres's work in 2025, TURN requested a rate of \$600 which is pending in R.24-01-018 and A.24-12-009.</p> <p>For 2026 TURN requests an hourly rate of \$620 for TURN Attorney Elise Torres. The requested rate is equal to the rate requested for Ms. Torres’ work in 2025, \$600, adjusted by the escalation rate of 3.35% for 2026.</p> <p>Calculation: <math>\\$600 \times [1.0335 \text{ (COLA)}] = 620.10</math>, rounded to \$620</p>
<p>Comment #4</p>	<p><b>2026 Rate for Hayley Goodson</b></p> <p>For Ms. Goodson’s work in 2026, TURN requests an hourly rate of \$730. The requested rate is equal to the rate authorized by the Commission in D.</p>

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment
	25-07-034 for Ms. Goodson’s work in 2025, \$705, adjusted by the escalation rate of 3.35% for 2026.  Calculation: $\$705 \times [1.0335 \text{ (COLA)}] = \$728.62$ , rounded to \$730

**D. CPUC Comments, Disallowances, and Adjustments *(CPUC completes)***

Item	Reason

**PART IV: OPPOSITIONS AND COMMENTS**  
**Within 30 days after service of this Claim, Commission Staff**  
**or any other party may file a response to the Claim *(see § 1804(c))***

<b>A. Opposition: Did any party oppose the Claim?</b>	
---	--

If so:

Party	Reason for Opposition	CPUC Discussion

<b>B. Comment Period: Was the 30-day comment period waived <i>(see Rule 14.6(c)(6))</i>?</b>	
--	--

If not:

Party	Comment	CPUC Discussion

***(Green items to be completed by Intervenor)***

### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. **The Utility Reform Network** [has/has not] made a substantial contribution to **D.26-02-026**\_\_\_\_\_.
2. The requested hourly rates for **The Utility Reform Network**'s representatives [, as adjusted herein,] are comparable to market rates paid to experts and advocates having comparable training and experience and offering similar services.
3. The claimed costs and expenses [, as adjusted herein,] are reasonable and commensurate with the work performed.
4. The total of reasonable compensation is \$\_\_\_\_\_.

### CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. The Claim, with any adjustment set forth above, [satisfies/fails to satisfy] all requirements of Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812.

### ORDER

1. **The Utility Reform Network** is awarded \$\_\_\_\_\_.
2. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, \_\_\_\_\_ shall pay **The Utility Reform Network** the total award. [for multiple utilities: "Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, ^, ^, and ^ shall pay **The Utility Reform Network** their respective shares of the award, based on their California-jurisdictional [industry type, for example, electric] revenues for the ^ calendar year, to reflect the year in which the proceeding was primarily litigated. If such data are unavailable, the most recent [industry type, for example, electric] revenue data shall be used."] Payment of the award shall include compound interest at the rate earned on prime, three-month non-financial commercial paper as reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15, beginning [date], the 75<sup>th</sup> day after the filing of **The Utility Reform Network**'s request, and continuing until full payment is made.
3. The comment period for today's decision [is/is not] waived.

This decision is effective today.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_, at San Francisco, California.

**APPENDIX**

**Compensation Decision Summary Information**

<b>Compensation Decision:</b>		<b>Modifies Decision?</b>	
<b>Contribution Decision(s):</b>	D.26-02-026		
<b>Proceeding(s):</b>	A.26-01-006		
<b>Author:</b>			
<b>Payer(s):</b>			

**Intervenor Information**

<b>Intervenor</b>	<b>Date Claim Filed</b>	<b>Amount Requested</b>	<b>Amount Awarded</b>	<b>Multiplier?</b>	<b>Reason Change/Disallowance</b>
<b>The Utility Reform Network</b>	4/6/26	\$10,560.00		N/A	

**Hourly Fee Information**

<b>First Name</b>	<b>Last Name</b>	<b>Attorney, Expert, or Advocate</b>	<b>Hourly Fee Requested</b>	<b>Year Hourly Fee Requested</b>	<b>Hourly Fee Adopted</b>
A Mireille	Fall	Attorney	\$620	2026	
Elise	Torres	Attorney	\$620	2026	
Hayley	Goodson	Attorney	\$730	2026	
A Mireille	Fall	Attorney	\$600	2025	
Elise	Torres	Attorney	\$600	2025	

**(END OF APPENDIX)**

Revised March 2023

**Attachment 1**  
**Certificate of Service**

(Filed electronically as a separate document pursuant to Rule 1.13(b)(iii))

(Served electronically as a separate document pursuant to Rule 1.10(c))

Revised March 2023

**Attachment 2**

Timesheets for TURN Attorneys/Experts

**A.26-01-006 (Resolution SPD-37) TURN Compensation Claim  
Attorney Time Sheets**

Staff	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
Elise Torres	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	corespond with cal advocates RE procedural clarifications regarding phase 1 applications; take notes for AFR	12/9/25	0.25
A Mireille Fall	A25-05-009	SPD-37 AFR	call with ET RE AFR on SPD-37	12/18/25	0.25
Elise Torres	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	corespond with cal advocates RE letter to ED seeking procedural clarifications and possible AFR	12/17/25	0.25
Elise Torres	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	call with Cal Advocates and Mussey Grade RE AFR on SPD-37	12/18/25	0.75
Elise Torres	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	call with AMF RE AFR on SPD-37	12/18/25	0.25
A Mireille Fall	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	Confer with CalAdv MGRA RE AFR and identify portions of AFR TURN will draft	12/30/25	0.25
A Mireille Fall	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	Research and Draft Background and Introduction of AFR	1/3/26	1.25
A Mireille Fall	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	Continue drafting Background and Procedural History incorporating into draft provided by CalAdv	1/4/26	1.25
A Mireille Fall	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	Coordinate with CalAdv to incorporate Draft Background and Procedural History Sections	1/4/26	0.25
A Mireille Fall	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	Research and Revise AFR to improve structure and legal argument	1/6/26	3.50
A Mireille Fall	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	Confer with HG and EB re MGRA request and AFR	1/6/26	1.25
A Mireille Fall	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	Review & revise AFR	1/6/26	0.50
A Mireille Fall	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	Collaborate with ET to provide substantive revisions to Draft AFR	1/6/26	0.50
Elise Torres	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	meet with AMF & HG to discuss AFR	1/6/26	1.00
Elise Torres	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	review and revise AMF/CalAdvocates draft of AFR	1/6/26	1.50
Hayley Goodson	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	review joint intervenor letter seeking clarifications in SPD-37 to inform strategy for AFR re same	1/6/26	0.25
Hayley Goodson	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	call with Mireille and Elise re AFR of SPD-37	1/6/26	0.50
Hayley Goodson	A26-01-006	SPD-37 AFR	review draft AFR of SPD-37 with Mireille's edits to provide feedback	1/6/26	0.50
A Mireille Fall	A26-01-006	comp	research and draft comp request	4/1/26	2.25
A Mireille Fall	A26-01-006	comp	research and draft comp request	4/4/26	2.00
Elise Torres	A26-01-006	comp	review hours for comp request for accuracy and code	4/3/26	0.5
Elise Torres	A26-01-006	comp	review and revise AMF draft of comp request	4/3/26	0.5
<b>Substantial Total</b>					<b>14.25</b>
<b>Comp Total</b>					<b>5.25</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>					<b>19.50</b>

Revised March 2023

**Attachment 3**

TURN Hours Allocated by Issue

**A.26-01-006 (Resolution SPD-37) TURN Compensation Claim  
TURN Hours Allocated by Issue**

			SPD-37 AFR	Substantive Hours	Substantive \$\$\$	Comp	Compensati on (iComp)	Travel	Compensation (Travel)
	Billing Period	Hourly Rate					1/2 of hourly rate		1/2 of hourly rate
Hayley Goodson	2026	\$730	1.25	1.25	\$ 912.50	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
A Mireille Fall	2025	\$600	0.50	0.50	\$ 300.00	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
A Mireille Fall	2026	\$620	8.50	8.50	\$ 5,270.00	4.25	\$ 1,317.50	-	\$ -
Elise Torres	2025	\$600	1.50	1.50	\$ 900.00	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
Elise Torres	2026	\$620	2.50	2.50	\$ 1,550.00	1.00	\$ 310.00	-	\$ -
<b>TOTAL</b>			14.25	14.25	\$ 8,932.50	5.25	\$ 1,627.50		
<b>TOTAL % HOURS ALLOCATED</b>			100.00%	100.00%					

<b>Substantial Contribution</b>	<b>\$ 8,932.50</b>
<b>Intervenor iComp Compensation</b>	<b>\$ 1,627.50</b>
<b>Travel Time Compensation</b>	
<b>Expenses Compensation</b>	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,560.00</b>