ALJ/SCD/vhj 4/23/2026

FILED
04/23/26
09:22 AM
A2507001

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Gas Company (U904G), on Behalf of its Customers, for Approval of Gas Line Extension Allowances.	Application 25-07-001

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING REOPENING THE RECORD AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY

This ruling reopens the record to accept supplemental testimony on certain statements in the Direct Testimony of Jason Legner on behalf of Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas). SoCalGas may serve supplemental testimony by May 18, 2026. Intervenors may serve supplemental rebuttal testimony on June 2, 2026. If parties elect to serve supplemental testimony, this ruling provides the schedule for related filings, including opening and reply briefs.

1. Reopening the Record for Supplemental Testimony

Pursuant to the Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling dated October 14, 2025, on March 20, 2026 the parties filed and served concurrent reply briefs and this proceeding was submitted.[1] After reviewing testimony and briefing provided by the parties, I am hereby reopening the record to provide Applicant SoCalGas an opportunity to provide supplemental testimony, and for the Intervenors to provide supplemental rebuttal testimony. The scope of any

[1] Scoping Memo at 4-5.

supplemental testimony shall be to provide data, calculations, and/or other evidence to support the following statements in the Direct Testimony of Jason Legner on Behalf of SoCalGas:

1. "The customer states that electric vehicle (EV) trucks lack the range necessary to accommodate the necessary routes."[2]
2. "The customer has investigated … EV alternatives and has ruled them out as … the EV options offer limited range stemming from high ancillary hydraulic loads required to lift and compact the refuse."[3]
3. "It emphasized that Battery EVs and Fuel Cell EVs are not yet feasible for heavy-duty trucking due to high upfront costs, limited infrastructure, restricted range, and extended refueling times."[4]

Supplemental testimony may include, for example, data on range necessary to complete routes, data on available EV truck alternatives, and/or cost comparisons.

Intervenors the Public Advocates Office and Sierra Club both discussed in their testimony that additional data or evidence was needed to support SoCal's testimony, including the above statements.[5] SoCalGas stated in its rebuttal testimony that the evidence provided in its testimony was adequate and that Decision 22-09-026 "does not specifically require the submission of such customer evidence."[6] Nevertheless, SoCalGas may exercise this opportunity to

[2] SoCalGas Exhibit SCG-02 at JL-11: lines 20-23.

[3] *Id*. at JL-13: lines 22-25.

[4] *Id*. at JL-15: lines 7-9.

[5] Cal Advocates Exhibit CalAdv-01 at 1-7-1-10; Sierra Club Exhibit SC-01 at 6-7.

[6] SoCalGas Exhibit SCG-05 at JL-11 and JL-5.

submit supplemental testimony on these issues. SoCalGas' supplemental testimony shall be limited only to the scope described in this ruling.

2. Submission of Supplemental Testimony and Updated Schedule

The following schedule is adopted to accommodate the submission of supplemental testimony:

Event	Date
SoCalGas supplemental testimony served	May 18, 2026
Intervenors' supplemental rebuttal testimony served	June 2, 2026
SoCalGas files supplemental joint party statement re need for briefing on issues in supplemental testimony	June 10, 2026
Deadline for parties to file motions to admit supplemental testimony into evidence	June 10, 2026
Deadline to file objections to the admission of supplemental testimony into evidence	June 19, 2026
Concurrent supplemental opening briefs (if needed)	July 1, 2026
Concurrent supplemental reply briefs (if needed)	July 13, 2026

The above schedule directs SoCalGas shall file a joint party statement regarding the need for briefing on issues in the supplemental testimony no later than June 10, 2026. The joint party statement shall include the following: i) whether the parties stipulate that no further briefing is needed, and ii) if additional briefing is needed, what specific issues briefing is needed for. Any briefing shall be limited to new arguments regarding the supplemental testimony.

The above schedule also sets forth a deadline of June 10, 2026 for the parties to file motions to admit supplemental testimony into evidence. In these

motions, the parties shall mark each document to be considered for admission into the evidentiary record by an abbreviation corresponding to that party's name followed by a number consistent with the exhibits already marked and admitted into the record for this proceeding.

IT IS RULED that:

1. The record is reopened for the purpose of allowing SoCalGas to provide supplemental testimony on the scope of topics set forth in this ruling and to provide the Intervenors an opportunity to provide supplemental rebuttal testimony.
2. The schedule for the proceeding is set forth above and is adopted.

Dated April 23, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ SHANNON CLARK
Shannon Clark
Administrative Law Judge