

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA



FILED

04/22/26

11:39 AM

A2411007

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE GARRETT TOY, presiding

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric	)	EVIDENTIARY
Company (U39E) for Approval of Electric	)	HEARING
Rule No. 30 for Transmission-Level	)	
Retail Electric Service.	)	Application
	)	24-11-007

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT
Virtual Proceeding
APRIL 15, 2026
Pages 471 - 573
Volume 4

Reported by: Doris Huaman, CSR No. 10538
Karly Powers, CSR No. 13991
Rebekah DeRosa, CSR No. 8708

1	INDEX		
2	WITNESSES		PAGE
	KAREN ORNELAS		
3	Direct Examination By Mr. Brenc		491
	Cross-Examination By Mr. McCormack		492
4	Recross-Examination By Mr. Brenc		512
	JENS NEDRUD		
5	Direct Examination By Mr. Brenc		516
	Cross-Examination By Ms. Akiya		517
6	SANYA KWATRA		
	Direct Examination By Ms. Akiya		551
7	Cross-Examination By Mr. Brenc		553
	Recross-Examination By Ms. Akiya		560
8			
9	EXHIBITS	MARKED	RECEIVED
	PGE-18	481	487
10	PGE-19	481	
	PGE-20	482	
11	PGE-20E	482	
	PGE-21	482	
12	Cal Advocates-22	482	
	Cal Advocates-23	483	
13	CLECA-03	483	485
	TURN-03	483	
14	TURN-04	484	486
	SC-01	484	486
15	SC-02	484	486
	TURN-03E		485
16	Cal Advocates-25	499	
	Cal Advocates-34	503	563
17	Cal Advocates-27	507	
	Cal Advocates-31	510	
18	Cal Advocates-24	525	
	Cal Advocates-30	530	
19	PGE-22	556	
	PGE-19 - PGE-22		563
20	Cal Advocates-22 - Cal Advocates-27		563
	Cal Advocates-30 - Cal Advocates-31		563
21	Cal Advocates-32	565	565
	Cal Advocates-32C	565	565
22	Cal Advocates-33	566	566
	Cal Advocates-33C	566	566
23	Cal Advocates-35	566	566
24			
25			

VIRTUAL PROCEEDING

APRIL 15, 2026 - 9:20 A.M.

* * * * *

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE TOY: Let's go on the record.

Good morning. The Commission will come to order. We're on the record.

This is the time and place for evidentiary hearings for Application 24-11-007, Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Application to Establish Tariff Rule 30.

I'm Administrative Law Judge Garrett Toy, and I'm the presiding officer of this proceeding. The assigned commissioner is John Reynolds. President Reynolds is not president today -- is not present today, but his advisors are listening in to our hearing.

The ground rules for this virtual evidentiary hearing are as follows: All counsel and parties agree to adhere to all formal rules of decorum. All counsel and parties will be muted upon entering the Webex hearing. The judge will call upon each person directly to speak. If it is not your turn to speak but you wish to have the opportunity to be heard, please use the hand button next to your name.

Off the record for a second.

1 (Off the record.)

2 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

3 Please identify yourself when first speaking
4 unless you're the primary attorney questioning a
5 witness. Only the judge and the speaking party may be
6 visible on the screen. If you have not been identified
7 by the judge to speak, you might be muted and not be
8 visible on the screen.

9 The speaking party must have operational video
10 and audio. Webex recommends headphones to reduce
11 background noise and ensure optimal sound quality. And
12 Webex suggests using Google Chrome to run its
13 applications.

14 If there are technical issues, I have reserved
15 a conference line which I will email out to the service
16 list in the event of an equipment failure.

17 I'm going to now go through the list of parties
18 and see who's here to represent all of them.

19 Counsel for Pacific Gas and Electric Company,
20 who from now on I will refer to as PG&E, could you
21 please identify yourselves and spell your full name for
22 the record.

23 MR. BRENC: Good morning, your Honor.
24 William Brenc for PG&E. That's W-i-l-l-i-a-m, last name
25 Brenc, B-r-e-n-c.

1 ALJ TOY: Thank you. And are there any others
2 that will be representing PG&E in the hearing today?

3 MR. BRENC: Just me, your Honor.

4 ALJ TOY: Thank you. Counsel for the
5 Commission's Public Advocates Office, who from now on I
6 will reference as Cal Advocates, could you please
7 identify yourselves and spell your name.

8 MS. AKIYA: Good morning, your Honor.
9 Kimiko Akiya for Cal Advocates. That's K-i-m-i-k-o,
10 last name Akiya, A-k-i-y-a.

11 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

12 And is there anyone else on behalf of Cal
13 Advocates?

14 MR. McCORMACK: Yes, your Honor.

15 MS. AKIYA: Yes.

16 MR. McCORMACK: Martin McCormack for Cal
17 Advocates. That's spelled M-a-r-t-i-n, last name
18 M-c-C-o-r-m-a-c-k.

19 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

20 And counsels for The Utility Reform Network,
21 who from now on I will reference as TURN, could you
22 please identify yourself and spell your name.

23 MS. TORRES: Yes, your Honor. My name is Elise
24 Torres -- E-l-i-s-e, Torres, T-o-r-r-e-s -- here for
25 TURN.

1 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

2 Representative for the California Large Energy
3 Consumers Association, who from now on I will reference
4 as CLECA, could you please identify yourself and spell
5 your name.

6 MR. HAFEZ: Yes, your Honor. Samir Hafez for
7 CLECA, spelled S-a-m-i-r H-a-f-e-z.

8 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

9 And representative for the California Community
10 Choice Association, who I will now reference as CCA,
11 could you please identify yourself and spell your name.

12 (No response.)

13 ALJ TOY: Okay. Looks like Ms. Morsony might
14 have dropped off. So we'll skip her given her earlier
15 statements, which were off the record, which stated that
16 she did not need to participate today.

17 Is there anyone else -- oh. Sorry. On behalf
18 of Sierra Club, is there anybody here today?

19 MS. GERSON: Good morning, your Honor. This is
20 Sara Gerson on behalf of Sierra Club. My name is
21 spelled S-a-r-a G-e-r-s-e-n, and I am joined by
22 Anirudh Krishna.

23 ALJ TOY: Okay. But neither of you will be --
24 plan to participate today; is that correct?

25 MS. GERSON: That is correct. Thank you.

1 ALJ TOY: Okay. Could you please spell
2 Mr. Krishna's name for the record.

3 MS. GERSON: Sure. First name A-n-i-r-u-d-h,
4 last name K-r-i-s-h-n-a.

5 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

6 Off the record for a second.

7 (Off the record.)

8 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

9 While off the record, I checked to see if there
10 was anybody here on behalf of CLEU. Nobody responded.
11 So we're going to move on now.

12 And, sorry, CLEU, C-L-E-U, stands for the
13 California Coalition of Large Energy Users.

14 Okay. I have before me the list of exhibits
15 and the proposed witness list. Before we get into that,
16 are there any procedural issues that the parties wanted
17 to discuss?

18 Cal Advocates.

19 MS. AKIYA: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. This
20 is Kimiko Akiya on behalf of Cal Advocates.

21 We sent CLEU a data request as soon as
22 possible, on April 7th. We knew it was a fast
23 turnaround for them, but we did ask them, given the
24 tight timing of hearings and their late joining of the
25 proceeding, to give us responses on April 10th, which is

1 the Friday prior today -- prior to today. On the 10th,
2 CLEU informed us that they would not be able to respond
3 until after hearings concluded. We did ask them to then
4 give us responses by the end of the business day today,
5 April 15th.

6 As a result, we -- because we don't know if we
7 will get responses or what will be in those responses,
8 we have asked for time reserved on Friday's hearing,
9 April 17th, to do potential cross of them.

10 On April 13th, which was the Monday -- this
11 Monday, CLEU responded saying that they may be able to
12 only provide public information that's already available
13 to Commission staff. We've tried to get clarity on this
14 front. And they also said that they didn't receive our
15 discovery responses until late despite us sending the
16 data request responses to the email address provided in
17 their motion for party status and the email that's
18 included on the service list for party contact. We have
19 informed them about that issue.

20 And given that we -- we're still unclear as to
21 if we will get responses today -- by the end of business
22 today and the nature of those responses, we do ask that
23 your Honor consider possible relief or a process in
24 which we can submit their late responses as evidence.
25 We did briefly discuss with parties, when we had a meet

1 and confer, whether parties would be willing to
2 stipulate to their responses being admitted, but given
3 that nobody knows the nature of those responses, no --
4 we weren't able to come to a conclusion on that.

5 So basically, we're kind of in -- in a little
6 bit of an unknown right now, your Honor, with regard to
7 these discovery responses, but we would -- we do expect
8 to want to be able to admit them as evidence.

9 ALJ TOY: Okay. If -- assuming you don't get a
10 response today from CLEU, how would you propose
11 proceeding on Friday, and then, I guess, in general?

12 MS. AKIYA: We could -- we are ready to make an
13 oral motion to compel, if that is -- if that is how you
14 would like to proceed, your Honor, and that may expedite
15 things. If we don't have responses, then we don't see
16 how we can do cross on Friday. And we understand that
17 we wouldn't be able to do cross. But we would want to
18 be able to include their responses in our opening briefs
19 and address any issues that come from those responses in
20 our briefs.

21 ALJ TOY: You said that they said they wouldn't
22 be able to produce until after hearing. Did they say
23 exactly when after hearing?

24 MS. AKIYA: They indicated possibly close of
25 business or sometime on Friday -- I'm saying close of

1 business -- but sometime on Friday, but they -- they
2 were vague. They said sometime end of next week. They
3 didn't give us a date. And so I did ask for responses
4 by close of business today. They indicated they might
5 be able to give us something today. But I -- I am just
6 not clear if we are getting those responses by the end
7 of today or not.

8 ALJ TOY: Okay.

9 MS. AKIYA: I had hoped that they would be on
10 the hearing so that we could kind of get us all a date.

11 ALJ TOY: Yeah.

12 MS. AKIYA: But there is no one in attendance
13 from CLEU. So I can't say anything further on their
14 front.

15 ALJ TOY: Okay.

16 Who is still on the line? Well, I -- I think
17 that might be -- end up being a problem for them
18 since -- I think what I'm going to do now is go through
19 the exhibits and just enter anything that doesn't have
20 any cross proposed right now, but obviously, I can't
21 enter theirs since they're not here. So if they don't
22 produce to you, then they are going to have an issue
23 with getting their testimony into the record, I think.]

24 Yeah. But, I guess let me know as soon as
25 possible whether you hear from them -- or let the

1 service list know whether you hear from them by the end
2 of the day today, and if you don't, maybe propose how
3 you'd like to proceed; and we'll see how they proceed, I
4 guess, through email. Yeah. And we'll take it from
5 there, I guess.

6 MS. AKIYA: We can do that, your Honor. Thank
7 you.

8 ALJ TOY: Okay. Off the record.

9 (Off the record.)

10 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

11 So I'm going to go through and mark exhibits,
12 and then we're going to enter into the record exhibits
13 that the parties had stipulated to or have not proposed
14 to have any cross-examination on.

15 So I'm going to go ahead and mark as -- Exhibit
16 Number PGE-18. This is PG&E Supplemental Testimony
17 submitted on February 13th, 2026.

18 (Exhibit PGE-18 was marked for
19 identification.)

20 ALJ TOY: And I'm going to go ahead and
21 identify as PGE-19 PG&E Limited Opening Testimony
22 submitted March 13th, 2026.

23 (Exhibit PGE-19 was marked for
24 identification.)

25 ALJ TOY: I'm going to identify as PGE-20 PG&E

1 Rebuttal Testimony submitted April 8th, 2026.

2 (Exhibit PGE-20 was marked for
3 identification.)

4 ALJ TOY: And I'm going to identify as PGE-20E
5 PG&E Errata to Rebuttal Testimony submitted April 14th,
6 2026.

7 (Exhibit PGE-20E was marked for
8 identification.)

9 ALJ TOY: And I'm going to identify as PGE-21
10 PG&E Rebuttal Testimony, Attachment A CEC Presentations.

11 (Exhibit PGE-21 was marked for
12 identification.)

13 ALJ TOY: PG&E, do you have any issues with the
14 marking of any of those exhibits?

15 MR. BRENC: We do not, your Honor.

16 ALJ TOY: Thank you. Moving on to Cal
17 Advocates, I have -- I'm going to identify as Cal
18 Advocates-22 Prepared Limited Testimony on Application
19 of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of
20 Electric Rule No. 30 for Transmission Level Retail
21 Electric Service with Appendix A and Appendix B.

22 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-22 was marked for
23 identification.)

24 ALJ TOY: And I have a document I will identify
25 as Cal Advocates-23 titled "Prepared Limited Rebuttal

1 Testimony on Application of Pacific Gas and Electric
2 Company for Approval of Electric Rule No. 30 for
3 Transmission Level Retail Electric Service with Appendix
4 A and Appendix B."

5 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-23 was marked for
6 identification.)

7 ALJ TOY: Cal Advocates, do you have any issues
8 with the marking of those exhibits?

9 MS. AKIYA: We do not, your Honor.

10 ALJ TOY: Thank you. I have a document that I
11 will mark as CLECA-03 titled "Rebuttal Testimony of Sam
12 Harper on Behalf of California Large Energy Consumers
13 Association."

14 And CLECA, do you have any issues with the
15 marking of that exhibit?

16 MR. HAFEZ: No, your Honor.

17 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

18 (Exhibit CLECA-03 was marked for
19 identification.)

20 ALJ TOY: And for TURN, I have a document I
21 will mark as TURN-03, TURN Supplemental Testimony
22 submitted on March 13th, 2026, clean version.

23 (Exhibit TURN-03 was marked for
24 identification.)

25 ALJ TOY: And I have a document I will mark as

1 TURN-04, TURN Rebuttal Testimony submitted on April 8th,
2 2026.

3 (Exhibit TURN-04 was marked for
4 identification.)

5 ALJ TOY: TURN, do you have any exhibits (sic)
6 with the marking of those exhibits?

7 MS. TORRES: No, your Honor. Thank you.

8 ALJ TOY: Thank you. And for Sierra Club, I
9 have a document that I will mark as SC-01 titled
10 "Prepared Testimony of Anirudh Krishna on Behalf of
11 Sierra Club."

12 (Exhibit SC-01 was marked for
13 identification.)

14 ALJ TOY: And I have a document that I will
15 mark as SC-02 titled "Prepared Testimony of Anirudh
16 Krishna on behalf of Sierra Club, Rebuttal."

17 (Exhibit SC-02 was marked for
18 identification.)

19 ALJ TOY: Sierra Club, are there any issues
20 with the marking of those exhibits?

21 MS. GERSEN: No issues. Thank you.

22 ALJ TOY: Thank you. I'm going to go ahead and
23 proceed with moving into the record any of the exhibits
24 that do not have any cross proposed, just for purposes
25 of efficiency.

1 So let's start with CLECA. Would CLECA like to
2 move its exhibit into the record?

3 MR. HAFEZ: Yes, your Honor. Samir Hafez on
4 behalf of CLECA.

5 I would like to move for the entry of the
6 exhibit marked CLECA-03.

7 ALJ TOY: Any parties have issues with moving
8 CLECA-03 into the record?

9 (No response.)

10 ALJ TOY: Okay. Hearing none, CLECA-03 is
11 moved into the record as of April 15th.

12 (Exhibit CLECA-03 was received into
13 evidence.)

14 ALJ TOY: TURN, would you like to proceed?

15 MS. TORRES: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

16 TURN would respectfully request that exhibits
17 TURN-03E and TURN-04 be moved into the record.

18 ALJ TOY: Do any parties have issues with
19 moving TURN-03E or TURN-04 into the record?

20 (No response.)

21 ALJ TOY: Hearing no objections, TURN's
22 exhibits are entered into the record as of 4-15,
23 TURN-03E and TURN-04.

24 (Exhibit TURN-03E was received into
25 evidence.)

1 (Exhibit TURN-04 was received into evidence.)
2 MS. TORRES: Thank you.
3 ALJ TOY: And just for clarity, CLECA-03 was
4 moved into the record.
5 Moving on to Sierra Club, would you like to
6 move your exhibits into the record?
7 MS. GERSEN: Yes, your Honor. Sierra Club
8 moves to admit Sierra Club Exhibit SC-01 and SC-02 into
9 the record at this time.
10 ALJ TOY: Does anybody have any objections to
11 moving SC-01 or SC-02 into the record?
12 (No response.)
13 ALJ TOY: Hearing none, SC-01 and SC-02 are
14 both moved into the record.
15 (Exhibit SC-01 was received into evidence.)
16 (Exhibit SC-02 was received into evidence.)
17 MR. BRENC: Your Honor, no parties plan to
18 cross Mr. Moffatt, who is the sole sponsor of PG&E-18,
19 so PG&E respectfully requests that your Honor move
20 PG&E-18 into the record.
21 ALJ TOY: Okay. Does anybody have any
22 objection to moving PGE-18 into the record?
23 (No response.)
24 ALJ TOY: Hearing no objection, PGE-18 is also
25 moved into the record.

1 (Exhibit PGE-18 was received into evidence.)

2 ALJ TOY: Okay. Off the record for a second.

3 (Off the record.)

4 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

5 We're going to move on now to cross-examination
6 portion of the hearing. I'm going to read the attorney
7 attestation, and I'd like to have a response from both
8 of Cal Advocates' attorneys and PG&E's attorneys, as
9 they'll be the attorneys that are participating in cross
10 today.

11 So attorneys attest that they agree to the
12 witness testimony and exhibits being presented via
13 Webex; attorneys attest that they agree to the oaths of
14 remote witnesses being received by Webex communication;
15 attorneys attest that they agree to adhere to all formal
16 rules of decorum, including the prohibition against
17 coaching witnesses; attorneys attest that they
18 understand that any recording of a proceeding held by
19 Webex and/or teleconference, including screenshots or
20 other visual copying of a hearing, is absolutely
21 prohibited; attorneys attest that they understand that a
22 violation of these prohibitions may result in sanctions,
23 restricted entry to future hearings, denial of entry to
24 future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary
25 by the Commission; attorneys attest that during the

1 evidentiary hearing they will use only the exhibits
2 premarked and identified by the parties; attorneys
3 attest that they agree that during the evidentiary
4 hearing they will not use documents not previously
5 shared with the opposing party.

6 Off the record for a second.

7 (Off the record.)

8 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

9 Could I please have affirmations of those
10 attestations from Cal Advocates' attorneys?]

11 MR. McCORMACK: I confirm, your Honor.
12 Martin McCormack, for Cal Advocates.

13 MS. AKIYA: I also confirm, your Honor. This
14 is Kimiko Akiya, also on behalf of Cal Advocates.

15 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

16 PG&E?

17 MR. BRENC: Yeah. I confirm, your Honor.
18 This is William Brenc on behalf of PG&E.

19 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

20 We'll move on then to Ms. Ornelas.

21 Ms. Ornelas, could you please -- I'll read
22 these attestations for you. And you can agree at the
23 end.

24 Off the record for a second. Sorry.

25 (Off the record.)

1 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

2 Ms. Ornelas, could you please read the witness
3 attestation replacing the witness blank with your name?

4 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

5 I, Karen Ornelas, do solemnly state under
6 penalty of perjury that the testimony I give in the case
7 now pending before this Commission shall be the truth,
8 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

9 I, Karen Ornelas, attest I will testify based
10 on my own knowledge and memory, free from external
11 influences or pressures.

12 I, Karen Ornelas, attest I will adhere to all
13 formal requirements of testifying under oath, including
14 the prohibition against being coached.

15 I, Karen Ornelas, attest I will only refer to
16 materials provided by the parties, exhibits premarked
17 and identified by the parties, or previously shared with
18 the opposing party.

19 I, Karen Ornelas, attest I will not make any
20 recording of this proceeding, I attest I understand that
21 any recording of a proceeding held by Webex, including
22 screenshots or other visual copying of a hearing, is
23 absolutely prohibited.

24 I, Karen Ornelas, attest that I understand that
25 violation of these prohibitions may result in sanctions

1 including removal from the evidentiary hearing,
2 restrictive entry to future hearings, denial of entry to
3 future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary
4 by the Commissioning.

5 I, Karen Ornelas, attest I will not engage in
6 any private communication by phone, text, or email, or
7 any other mode of communication, while under oath and
8 being examined.

9 If I, Karen Ornelas, experience any attempts to
10 tamper with any witness testimony, I will report the
11 occurrence to the pending officer immediately.

12 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

13 Could you please -- sorry. I should have done
14 this earlier.

15 Could you please spell your full name and state
16 your place of business for the record?

17 THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Karen Ornelas,
18 K-a-r-e-n, last name O-r-n-e-l-a-s. And I work for
19 Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

20 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

21 Ms. Ornelas is now sworn in and available for
22 direct examination by PG&E.

23 KAREN ORNELAS,
24 called as a witness by Pacific Gas and
25 Electric Company, having been sworn,

1 testified as follows:

2 MR. BRENC: Thank you, your Honor.

3 DIRECT EXAMINATION

4 BY MR. BRENC:

5 Q Good morning, Ms. Ornelas.

6 A Good morning.

7 Q I'd like to confirm the testimony that you're
8 sponsoring in this proceeding in what has been marked
9 for identification as Exhibit PG&E-19, PG&E's limited
10 opening testimony.

11 Are you sponsoring the portions of that
12 testimony where you have been identified as the witness
13 or as a co-sponsoring witness?

14 A Yes, I am.

15 Q In what has been marked as Exhibit PGE-20,
16 PG&E's Rebuttal Testimony submitted April 8th, 2026, are
17 you sponsoring the portions of that testimony where you
18 have been identified as the witness and/or as a
19 co-sponsoring witness?

20 A Yes, I am.

21 Q In what has been marked as Exhibit PGE-20-E,
22 PG&E's Rebuttal Testimony Eratta submitted
23 April 14th, 2026, are you sponsoring the portions of
24 that testimony where you have been identified as a
25 witness and/or as a co-sponsoring witness?

1 A Yes, I am.

2 Q Were these materials prepared by you or under
3 your supervision?

4 A Yes, they were.

5 Q Do you have any changes, corrections, or
6 additions to make at this time?

7 A No, I do not.

8 Q And, to the best of your knowledge, are the
9 facts contained in these exhibits true and correct?

10 A Yes, they are.

11 Q And do the opinions expressed therein represent
12 your best professional judgment?

13 A Yes, they do.

14 Q Thank you, Ms. Ornelas.

15 Your Honor, Ms. Ornelas is now available for
16 cross-examination.

17 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

18 Cal Advocates, are you prepared to go ahead
19 with your cross?

20 MR. McCORMACK: Yes, your Honor.

21 ALJ TOY: Okay. Please proceed.

22 CROSS-EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. McCORMACK:

24 Q Good morning, Ms. Ornelas.

25 A Good morning.

1 Q My name is Martin McCormack. And I am one of
2 the attorneys representing Cal Advocates in this
3 proceeding.

4 I would like to begin by directing your
5 attention to the document that has been marked PG&E-19,
6 which is PG&E's limited opening testimony.

7 A Yes.

8 Q Okay. Could you please turn to page 2?

9 A Okay.

10 Q So, looking at page 2, this is the section
11 related to Question 1-A. Just for the record, this is a
12 section of testimony that you sponsored; correct?

13 A Yes, it is.

14 Q Starting at page 2, on line 19, you write:

15 In addition to the reasons outlined in
16 PG&E's opening brief, recent load forecasts
17 developed by the California Energy Commission,
18 CEC, demonstrate why the Commission should not
19 try to allocate Facility Type 4 costs to a
20 specific customer or group of customers.
21 Included as Attachment A to testimony is a
22 January 21, 2026, graph prepared by the CEC of
23 the main drivers for peak load growth from 2025
24 to 2045. As is evident from this graph, some
25 of the primary drivers of peak load growth are

1 consumption, electric vehicles, and
2 electrification. In fact, of the five peak
3 load growth increases identified by the CEC,
4 totalling 25,241 megawatts, MW, data centers
5 only account for 18.7 percent of the peak load
6 growth in the CAISO area.

7 Do you see that?

8 A Yes.

9 Q So, in this section, you note that data centers
10 account for about 19 percent of peak load growth
11 according to the CEC; correct?

12 A Correct. Yes.

13 Q And so, based on the fact that data centers are
14 less than one-fifth of the peak load growth, you're
15 saying the Commission should not try allocating Type 4
16 costs to specific customers; is that right?

17 A What we're saying -- or what I'm saying there,
18 is that there are multiple drivers of the cost
19 increases. So, the upgrades wouldn't serve just one
20 class of those customers.

21 Q Okay. Is it accurate to say that a customer's
22 peak load growth directly correlates Facility Type 4
23 costs?

24 A Can you clarify, what do you mean by "directly
25 correlates with"?

1 Q So, if a customer's peak load growth is
2 increasing, the Facility Type 4 costs are increasing; or
3 vice versa?

4 A I don't know that it is a 1-to-1 ratio like
5 that; because sometimes load growth can increase. But,
6 if there's capacity available, it wouldn't be a 1-to-1
7 ratio like that.

8 Q Okay. So, to confirm, it's not a sure yes, it
9 could; but it's -- it's not a yes.

10 A It could, yes.

11 Q Okay. Do you agree that transmission planning
12 is driven in part by localized capacity needs, as well
13 as the system-wide load growth and reliability purposes?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And do you agree that data center loads
16 concentrated in certain geographic areas can trigger
17 transmission network upgrades?

18 A They can. As can EV load growth and
19 electrification, given various cities' climate goals.
20 Yeah.

21 Q So, if you agree with the idea that
22 concentrated data center loads in certain geographic
23 areas can trigger those network upgrades, how do you
24 reconcile that with your claim that the Commission
25 shouldn't try allocating those Type 4 costs to specific

1 customers?

2 A Because those -- what I said earlier, which is:
3 There's load growth in all of those regions, based on
4 multiple causes of growth, including electrification, EV
5 load growth, climate change, regular load growth --
6 like, people moving into California and into cities.
7 So, it's all -- there isn't a specific "this amount of
8 load growth is for this class." It's all of them
9 together cause load growth, which causes upgrades that
10 are necessary.

11 Q Okay. I would like to turn you to page 6 of
12 PG&E-19.

13 A Okay.

14 Q In lines 3 through 15, you define the term
15 "Incremental Transmission Network Upgrades."

16 Do you see that?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And if it's okay with you, I'll refer to
19 Incremental Transmission Upgrades as "ITNUs" for
20 brevity.

21 Is that okay?

22 A Sure.

23 Q So just to make sure we're on the same page,
24 PG&E uses the terms "Facility Type 4" and "Transmission
25 network upgrades" interchangeably; correct?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And an ITNU is a subset of Facility Type 4 or
3 transmission network upgrade; correct.

4 A Correct.

5 Q And is it correct that under PG&E's proposal,
6 which you refer to in your testimony as "The customer
7 responsibility proposal," transmission-level customers
8 would fund only ITNUs that are directly triggered by
9 their projects?

10 A Correct.

11 Q And is it correct that your proposed definition
12 of ITNU excludes projects that are approved in the
13 CAISO TPP?

14 A Correct.

15 Q And it excludes upgrades that are not solely
16 triggered or required by a specific customer; correct?

17 A Correct.

18 Q So, for example, network upgrades that are
19 required for a customer to interconnect, but that also
20 address other needs, like reliability, those upgrades
21 would be excluded from ITNUs?

22 A Correct.

23 Q And upgrades that PG&E identifies in its PES
24 reports, such as preliminary capacity upgrades or
25 dependent network upgrades, those costs would be

1 excluded from the ITNU definition as well?

2 A Definitely the dependent ones; because those
3 are the ones that are already approved in the CAISO TPP.
4 The capacity upgrades, we would have to go through and
5 try and figure out if there is anything in there that
6 is -- that fits the incremental transmission network
7 upgrade definition.

8 Q Okay. Understood.

9 Based on this definition, and your
10 understanding of how ITNUs would be defined with all the
11 exclusions that we just went over, is it fair to say
12 that PG&E's proposal could undervalue the actual network
13 upgrade costs associated with certain customers?

14 A What do you mean undervalue?

15 Q I mean in the sense that a customer doesn't end
16 up paying for the total scope of the project?

17 A So, our proposal is -- and this is about
18 upfront payment; right? Customers, when they come
19 online, they will pay for transmission network upgrades
20 of all kinds through their transmission rate. But, in
21 terms of pre-funding for Rule 30, our proposal is: If
22 those upgrades are also upgrades needed for other
23 customers, that no individual customer cost should
24 upfront from it.]

25 Q Okay. Circling back to the CAISO TPP, you

1 recall that you just confirmed that projects approved by
2 the CAISO TPP are excluded by the ITNU definition, yes?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Would you say you're generally familiar with
5 the data center load clusters?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay. So keeping some of what we just
8 discussed in mind, I'd like to you to turn Exhibit
9 CA-25.

10 MR. McCORMACK: And your Honor, we'd like to
11 mark this one for the record.

12 ALJ TOY: Okay. I have an exhibit for cross
13 titled PG&E's 2025 Request Window Proposals - CAISO 2025
14 to 2026 Transmission Planning Process, September 25th,
15 2025.

16 And I'll be marking that as Cal Advocates-25.

17 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-25 was marked for
18 identification.)

19 ALJ TOY: So please proceed, Mr. McCormack.

20 MR. McCORMACK: Thank you, your Honor.

21 Q Ms. Ornelas, could you please turn to slide 57,
22 and I'll give you a moment to get there.

23 A Okay. I'm there.

24 Q Thank you. So this exhibit is a PG&E
25 presentation to the CAISO dated September 25th, 2025. I

1 understand slide 57 to describe large load projects
2 seeking CAISO approval. Is that your reading of this
3 slide as well?

4 A I have to ask Jens. I -- I don't know if this
5 was seeking CAISO concurrence or approval. I did not
6 present that. So perhaps Jens could -- Jens Nedrud
7 could confirm that, but it's clearly presented to CAISO.
8 I'm just not sure what form.

9 Q Okay. And could you just briefly explain the
10 difference between CAISO concurrence and CAISO approval?

11 A I would also actually defer that to Jens
12 Nedrud, who is the director of transmission planning.

13 Q Okay. Sounds good. So it states here on slide
14 57 that in the data center load cluster 2024, also
15 referred to as LC24, there are 11 data center projects
16 with a total of 840 megawatts that are interconnecting
17 to Santa Clara County and Alameda County.

18 Do you see that?

19 A Yes, I do.

20 Q And immediately below the table, a slide, it
21 states that there are eight capacity upgrade projects
22 triggered by LC24; is that right?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And just for sake of clarity, are these
25 capacity upgrade projects, as you understand it, the

1 same thing as what PG&E calls transmission network
2 upgrades or Type 4 facility upgrades?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Okay. And under the definition of ITNU that we
5 just spoke about a moment ago, these eight capacity
6 upgrade projects, would those be funded by customers, or
7 would those be excluded in the definition of ITNU?

8 A So in that same slide, you see there's a little
9 asterisk where it says "capacity upgrades." And on the
10 right of the slide, it says, "Capacity upgrades benefit
11 data center loads and broader customers served by the
12 transmission system."

13 So then they would not be included in the ITNU
14 that you -- yeah, they would not be included in the
15 ITNU.

16 Q Okay. Thank you. Let's skip forward a couple
17 of slides to slide 60.

18 A I'm there.

19 Q Do you see at the bottom of the table there it
20 lists cost estimate ranges for interconnection scope and
21 capacity upgrades --

22 A Yes.

23 Q -- and gives a range of 633 million to roughly
24 1.2 billion for interconnection scope and a range of 278
25 million to 556 million for total cost of capacity

1 upgrades? Yes?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And under PG&E's customer responsibility
4 proposal, if the CAISO approves these 11 data center
5 projects, this quarter of a billion to half a billion in
6 capacity upgrades triggered by the LC24 projects, those
7 would be socialized across ratepayers, correct?

8 A They would. But whoever is building the
9 network upgrades would pay for them upfront, if it's
10 PG&E who ends up being a third-party. If it's a new
11 build, then they would be -- to the extent that they are
12 in the 200 kV and above, they would be socialized
13 through the CAISO TAC where we pay about -- about 40
14 percent, Southern California Edison pays about 40
15 percent, and SDG&E pays about 20 percent. The remainder
16 that are not on the 230 would go through the
17 transmission's TL rate -- PG&E's TL rate.

18 Q Okay. And circling back to the cost of
19 interconnection scope, those are listed as a range of
20 633 million to over 1.2 billion, those are not Type 4
21 costs; is that your understanding?

22 A Correct. Those -- that's what we call Types 1
23 to 3.

24 Q Okay. Great. I'd next like you to turn to
25 Exhibit CA-34, please.

1 MR. McCORMACK: And, your Honor, again, we'd
2 like to mark this exhibit for the record, please.

3 ALJ TOY: Okay. I have an exhibit proposed for
4 cross titled PG&E Responses to Cal Advocates DR No. 13,
5 Questions 002 to 003, served on January 30th, 2026.

6 I'll be marking this exhibit as Cal
7 Advocates-34.

8 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-34 was marked for
9 identification.)

10 ALJ TOY: Please proceed, Mr. McCormack.

11 MR. McCORMACK: Thank you, your Honor.

12 Q So, Ms. Ornelas, this is a PG&E response to a
13 Cal Advocates data request, which is dated January 30th,
14 2026. I know you're not the witness listed on this DR
15 response. So I'll give you a moment to review that
16 question and the witness' answer. My question for you
17 relates to the response to Question 002(b).

18 A Okay.

19 Q So do you see in Answer 002(b) where it states:

20 Quote, PG&E is not aware of any anticipated
21 Facility Types 1 to 3 facilities that are
22 expected to be proposed for CAISO approval in
23 the 2025 to 2026 TPP cycle," end quote?

24 A Yes, mm-hmm.

25 Q So could you just clarify for me how, on the

1 one hand, in the presentation we just looked at, PG&E is
2 able to give the CAISO an interconnection scope cost
3 estimate for the 11 LC24 data centers but in its data
4 request response a few months later it says it's not
5 aware of any anticipated Facility Types 1 to 3?

6 A It says it's not aware that they would be
7 approved in the TPP. Again, a question for Jens. I
8 assume -- I don't know -- that that presentation that
9 was given to them, I'm guessing it was for concurrence
10 and not an actual approval by the board -- the CAISO
11 board. But I think Jens would be able to answer that.
12 But it doesn't say that there were no costs. I believe
13 we've even given the PESs to various parties that
14 requested it in data requests. It just says it wouldn't
15 be in the TPP cycle.

16 Q Okay. Thank you. Next I'd like you to please
17 turn to Exhibit CA-26, which is a PG&E advice letter.

18 A Got it.

19 MR. McCORMACK: And, your Honor, we'd like to
20 mark this exhibit for the record, please.

21 ALJ TOY: Okay. I have a document titled PG&E
22 Advice Letter 7671-E, Modifications to Proposed Electric
23 Rule 30 and Associated Form Agreements in Compliance
24 with Decision 25-07-039, served on August 8th, 2025. I
25 notice there's no link to this document, Mr. McCormack.

1 Sorry. Was that circulated in an email?

2 MR. McCORMACK: Your Honor, we had some issues
3 with the e-file documents portal. I believe that my
4 co-counsel has the up-to-date link. It was uploaded
5 yesterday. However, we weren't able to include that in
6 the exhibit list.

7 ALJ TOY: Okay. Ms. Ornelas, do you have the
8 copy of the exhibit?

9 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

10 ALJ TOY: Okay. Okay. We can proceed then.

11 MR. McCORMACK: Thank you, your Honor.

12 Q Ms. Ornelas, could you please turn to page 6 of
13 the advice letter.

14 A I'm there.

15 Q So it states in the second paragraph about
16 halfway through:

17 Quote, PG&E understands the interim
18 implementation decision provides that a
19 customer pre-funds transmission network
20 upgrades for which the customer is a, quote,
21 direct beneficiary, end quote; thus, in the
22 revisions to Electric Rule 30, PG&E has added
23 language that a customer is responsible for
24 transmission network upgrades (Facility Type 4)
25 that are, quote, solely caused and triggered

1 by, end quote, the customer, end quote.

2 Do you see that?

3 A I do.

4 Q Is that functionally the same as PG&E's
5 proposed decision for ITNUs in the customer
6 responsibility proposal?

7 A No. There's some differences. There, I think
8 we're saying solely caused by the customer. The ITNU,
9 as you noted earlier, excludes anything from the -- from
10 the transmission -- from the TPP, but it also says that
11 if a customer was studied in a cluster and that cluster
12 triggered upgrades, regardless of what type of customer
13 it is, that are only for the benefit of those entities
14 in that cluster, then they would be sharing it
15 proportionally -- proportional to the megawatt request
16 that they have. So it's a little bit different.

17 Q Okay. I think I follow what you're saying. So
18 you're -- correct me if this is -- if this is wrong.
19 Are you saying that the idea is similar, yet the ITNU
20 adds a little bit more definition in terms of clusters
21 and sort of subsequent customers?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay. Next could you please turn to Exhibit
24 CA-27.

25 MR. McCORMACK: And, your Honor, we'd like to

1 identify this one for the record as well.

2 ALJ TOY: Okay. I have a doc --

3 THE WITNESS: Is that -- sorry. Excuse me.

4 ALJ TOY: Go ahead, Ms. Ornelas.

5 THE WITNESS: I just wanted to clarify. Is
6 that the letter from the Commission on that?

7 MR. McCORMACK: Yes.

8 THE WITNESS: Okay. I have it.

9 ALJ TOY: I have a document titled Energy
10 Division Disposition Letter for Pacific Gas and Electric
11 Company AL 7671-E, Modifications to Proposed Electric
12 Rule 30 and Associated Form Agreements in Compliance
13 with Decision 25-07-039 on November 19th, 2025.

14 And this exhibit will be marked as Cal
15 Advocates-27.

16 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-27 was marked for
17 identification.)

18 ALJ TOY: Please proceed, Mr. McCormack.

19 MR. McCORMACK: Thank you, your Honor.

20 Q Ms. Ornelas, this is a letter from Energy
21 Division of the Commission to PG&E dated November 19th,
22 2025, in response to that advice letter we just looked
23 at in CA-26. On page 2, the second-to-last paragraph
24 states:

25 Quote, Energy Division staff agrees with

1 the protestants that the Commission did not
2 limit the pre-funding loan requirement during
3 interim implementation to instances where the
4 customer is the sole cause of the upgrade. The
5 pre-funded loan requirement applies to all Type
6 4 facilities.

7 Do you see that?

8 A Yes.

9 Q So would you agree with the statement that
10 Energy Division rejected PG&E's language for interim
11 implementation of Rule 30?

12 A Rejected interim implementation? I don't think
13 the Commission rejected.

14 Q No. I'm saying would you agree with the
15 statement that the Energy Division rejected PG&E's
16 language related to this sole cause of the upgrade for
17 the interim implementation of Rule 30?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And would you agree that, as we just discussed,
20 the "solely caused and triggered by" language which was
21 rejected by Energy Division is similar to the definition
22 that PG&E proposes for ITNUs?

23 A No. Like I explained earlier, what we say for
24 ITNUs is that in a cluster of the customers would share
25 anything that is an ITNU proportional to the megawatts

1 that they -- that they are asking for.

2 Q Okay. The end is near, Ms. Ornelas. This is
3 my final set of questions. Could you please direct your
4 attention back to PG&E-19.

5 A Okay.

6 Q So if you go to page 3, starting at the end of
7 line 17, you state, quote:

8 If a transmission-level customer does not
9 want to advance or pre-fund the Facility Type 4
10 costs, it may choose to locate in a service
11 area of a publicly owned utility such as
12 Silicon Valley Power or the City of Palo Alto.

13 Do you see where it says that?

14 A I do.

15 Q And you make this statement in the context that
16 there could be unintended consequences if the Commission
17 requires customers to advance those costs, right?

18 A Yes. Unintended consequences when there are
19 differing rules in the same area.

20 Q Okay. Keeping that part of your testimony in
21 mind, could you next to turn Exhibit CA-31.

22 MR. McCORMACK: Your Honor, we'd like to
23 identify CA-31 for the record.

24 ALJ TOY: I have a document titled PG&E
25 Responses to Cal Advocates Data Request No. 15,

1 Questions 001 to 007, served on April 3rd, 2026.

2 And this document will be marked as Cal
3 Advocates-31.

4 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-31 was marked for
5 identification.)

6 ALJ TOY: You have the document, Ms. Ornelas,
7 correct?

8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

9 ALJ TOY: Okay. Please proceed, Mr. McCormack.

10 MR. MCCORMACK: Thank you, your Honor.

11 Q Ms. Ornelas, are you on the first page?

12 A I am.

13 Q This is a data request response from PG&E dated
14 April 3rd, 2026, and just for the transcript, you are
15 the PG&E witness who sponsored this response, correct?

16 A Correct.

17 Q Do you recall this data request?

18 A I do.

19 Q So in Question 001, you're asked to provide any
20 data, workpapers or resources to support the claim from
21 your testimony that we were just talking about a moment
22 ago.

23 Do you see that?

24 A I do.

25 Q And in your answer to Question 001, you state

1 that you didn't rely on workpapers, analyses, studies or
2 external references to support that statement, correct?

3 A Correct.

4 Q Is it fair to say that the statement you made
5 about customers choosing to locate in other service
6 areas is a prediction?

7 A It is a prediction. And there are already
8 instances of data centers that are connecting to the
9 various publicly owned utilities where PG&E is actually
10 paying for the network upgrades or a third-party who is
11 on the bid for something that's a new bill over 200 kV.

12 Q Okay. So your prediction, that's -- it's
13 supported based on your experience working in the
14 industry?

15 A Yes. Yes. Exactly. Because if you have two
16 differing rules and you have the option to connect in
17 this one place where the rules are not the same of one
18 where the rules are more complicated, you could
19 connect -- you would have an option to connect there.

20 MR. McCORMACK: Understood. Thank you so much
21 for your time, Ms. Ornelas.

22 I do not have any other further questions, your
23 Honor.

24 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

25 PG&E, do you have any recross?

1 MR. BRENC: Thank you, your Honor. Just a
2 couple questions.

3 ALJ TOY: Okay.

4 MR. BRENC: Ms. Ornelas --

5 ALJ TOY: Go --

6 MR. BRENC: Oh.

7 ALJ TOY: Go ahead when ready.

8 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. BRENC:

10 Q Ms. Ornelas, could I please refer you back to
11 Exhibit Cal Advocates-25.

12 A Yes.

13 Q Did you draft this document, Mr. --
14 Ms. Ornelas?

15 A I did not.

16 Q Could you please take a look at slide 57. This
17 was the slide that Mr. McCormack was asking questions
18 about earlier.

19 A Yes. I'm there.

20 Q And do you see where it says, "Capacity upgrade
21 projects triggered by the LC24"?

22 A Yes.

23 Q If PG&E determined that these capacity upgrade
24 projects were solely triggered by an individual customer
25 or customers within the LC24 and were not designed for

1 broader load growth, could these projects be considered
2 incremental transmission network upgrades?

3 A Yes.

4 MR. BRENC: Thank you, Ms. Ornelas. That's all
5 I have.

6 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

7 Any recross, Mr. McCormack?

8 MR. MCCORMACK: No recross, your Honor. Thank
9 you.

10 ALJ TOY: Okay. Thank you.

11 Thank you, Ms. Ornelas, for your
12 participation -- for your participation today. You are
13 dismissed.

14 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

15 ALJ TOY: Off the record.

16 (Off the record.)

17 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

18 Sorry. We're going to take a 15-minute break.
19 Thank you.

20 Off the record.

21 (Off the record.)

22 ALJ TOY: We're going to go back on the record.

23 We are going to continue now with the
24 cross-examination of Jens Nedrud on behalf of PG&E.
25 Could you please -- on behalf of PG&E.

1 Mr. Nedrud, could you please state and spell
2 your name as well as your place of business for the
3 record.

4 THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Jens Nedrud.
5 That's spelled J-e-n-s. Last name N-e-d-r-u-d. My
6 business address is 300 Lakeside Drive, Oakland,
7 California 94612.

8 ALJ TOY: Thanks. And your testimony is on
9 behalf of PG&E?

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, on behalf of PG&E.

11 ALJ TOY: Okay. Could you please read off the
12 witness attestation before you on the screen.

13 THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 I, Jens Nedrud, do solemnly state under penalty
15 of perjury that the testimony I give in this case now
16 pending before this Commission shall be the truth, the
17 whole truth and nothing but the truth;

18 I, Jens Nedrud, attest I will testify based on
19 my own knowledge and memory free from external
20 influences or pressures;

21 I, Jens Nedrud, attest I will adhere to all
22 formal requirements of testifying under oath including
23 the prohibition against being coached;

24 I, Jens Nedrud, attest I will only refer to
25 materials provided by the parties, exhibits premarked

1 and identified by the parties and previously shared with
2 the opposing party;

3 I, Jens Nedrud, attest I will not make any
4 recordings of the proceeding. I attest I understand
5 that any recording of a proceeding held by Webex,
6 including screenshots or other visual copying of a
7 hearing, is absolutely prohibited;

8 I, Jens Nedrud, attest that I understand that
9 violation of these prohibitions may result in sanctions
10 including removal from the evidentiary hearing,
11 restricted entry to future hearings, denial of entry to
12 future hearings or any other sanctions deemed necessary
13 by the Commission;

14 I, Jens Nedrud, attest I will not engage in any
15 private communications by phone, text or email or any
16 other mode of communication while under oath and being
17 examined;

18 If I, Jens Nedrud, experience any attempts to
19 tamper with my witness testimony, I will report the
20 occurrence to the presiding officer immediately.

21 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

22 Mr. Nedrud is now sworn in and available for
23 direct examination by PG&E.

24 ///

25 ///

1 JENS NEDRUD,
2 called as a witness by Pacific Gas and
3 Electric Company, having been sworn,
4 testified as follows:

5 DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. BRENC:

7 Q Good morning, Mr. Nedrud.

8 A Good morning.

9 Q I'd like to confirm the testimony that you are
10 sponsoring today in this proceeding. In what has been
11 marked for identification as Exhibit PGE-20, PG&E's
12 rebuttal testimony submitted April 8th, 2026, are you
13 sponsoring the portions of that testimony --

14 A Yes, I am.

15 Q -- where you are identified as the witness or
16 as the co-sponsoring witness?

17 A Yes, I am. Thank you.

18 Q And in what has been marked for identification
19 as PGE-21, which is Attachment A to PG&E's rebuttal
20 testimony submitted April 8th, 2026, are you sponsoring
21 the portions of that testimony where you've been
22 identified as the witness or as a co-sponsoring witness?

23 A Yes, I am.

24 Q Were these materials prepared by you or under
25 your supervision?

1 A Yes, they were.

2 Q Do you have any changes, corrections or
3 additions to make at this time?

4 A No, I do not.

5 Q And are the facts contained in these exhibits
6 true and correct to the best of your knowledge?

7 A Yes, they are.

8 Q And do the opinions expressed therein represent
9 your best professional judgment?

10 A Yes, they do.

11 MR. BRENC: Thank you, Mr. Nedrud.

12 Your Honor, Mr. Nedrud is now available for
13 cross.

14 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

15 Cal Advocates, please proceed with your cross.

16 MS. AKIYA: Thank you, your Honor.

17 CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY MS. AKIYA:

19 Q Good morning, Mr. Nedrud. My name is Kimiko
20 Akiya. I am counsel on behalf of Cal Advocates. Were
21 you listening to Ms. Ornelas' testimony just taking
22 place a few minutes ago?

23 A Yes, I was.

24 Q Okay. And Ms. Ornelas deferred to you on some
25 of the questions that my co-counsel asked her. So I'm

1 going to follow up on some of them. So this may be --
2 feel a little repetitive, but I want to make sure we ask
3 you, who she deferred to, about some -- some
4 information.

5 A Understood.

6 Q So if you could turn to the document that's
7 already been marked as Cal Advocates-25, and go to slide
8 57.

9 A Slide 57, you said?

10 Q Yes.

11 A I've got it up. Yes.

12 Q Okay. So on this slide, it says, "project
13 seeking CAISO concurrence." Could you please explain
14 the difference between CAISO seeking -- PG&E seeking
15 CAISO approval and CAISO concurrence.

16 A Yes. The CAISO tariff has two different ways
17 that projects essentially can get approved. The main
18 one is through approval, and that's where all projects
19 are studied as part of what comes through the California
20 Energy Commission's forecast and appropriate projects
21 are identified.

22 The other approach is specific to additional
23 loads that a utility receives that they can ask for a
24 concurrence. This is typically done because of timing
25 because potentially the load needs to come on before we

1 can adequately have time to put it in the forecast. So
2 these particular data center load cluster 24 projects
3 are actually for concurrence as part of this process
4 instead of the approval.]

5 Q Okay. So if I understand correctly,
6 concurrence may take place before the CAISO approves a
7 project. Is that right?

8 A Concurrence may take place if -- I'll give you
9 an example.

10 If we get a -- a request to put in a new
11 industrial factory, but they want to come on-line in the
12 next one to two years, it would be too slow to go
13 through the California Energy Commission's forecast and
14 the transmission planning process, because that
15 typically is a two-year, so to speak, lead time, and so
16 those can be asked for concurrence along the way through
17 CAISO's process, and CAISO can acknowledge that and
18 concur with those projects. This is typically used for
19 ones where there's a short lead time to connect load;
20 for example, California High-Speed Rail was one of the
21 -- a good example of one where the concurrence process
22 was used.

23 Q Okay. Thank you. So then, looking at this
24 slide 57 that describes large load projects seeking
25 CAISO concurrence (sic), this is that process that you

1 just described that -- that load needs to come on-line
2 before it can be added to the forecast. Is that right?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Okay. And then looking at the slide 57, you
5 have two different types of interconnections that are
6 listed, data center load cluster 24, or LC 24, and
7 serial data center load interconnection.

8 Can you explain the difference between these
9 two -- these two types of interconnection listed on this
10 slide?

11 A Yes. We opened up a basics application window
12 to study a group of data centers as a cluster. That was
13 Load Cluster 24. And after that application window
14 closed, everything that was included as part of Load
15 Cluster 24, there were some additional projects after
16 Load Cluster 24 that came in, and then those were
17 included as serial data center; studied it in the same
18 timeframe, but just following that load cluster
19 application window process.

20 Q Okay. So then, if I understand correctly, in
21 the serial data center load interconnection portion of
22 this slide, the first point where it says, "Data center
23 load, seven -- 700 megawatts," is that additional load
24 that didn't get accounted for during the data center
25 load cluster study?

1 A That's correct. Those are applications that
2 came in after the Load Cluster 24 application window had
3 ended, so they are --

4 (Reporter clarification.)

5 THE WITNESS: So, to answer the question, once
6 again, the 700 megawatts listed under the serial data
7 center load interconnection is in addition to the
8 840 megawatts that was identified as LC 24, and it's
9 because those requests came in after the application
10 window for that load cluster had already closed.

11 BY MS. AKIYA:

12 Q And these two -- these two data center load
13 cluster and serial data center load interconnection --
14 they're -- they're both connected, though. So, in other
15 words, you're seeking concurrence from CAISO because all
16 of this load listed in both categories is going to need
17 to come on-line before it can be added to the forecast.
18 Is that right?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Okay. And then just to clarify -- so, for both
21 of these categories is it accurate to say that PG&E
22 moves forward with these projects and bringing their
23 load on-line before CAISO ultimately approves them?

24 A No. We are asking for concurrence in this
25 process, and then once CAISO approves them, then we move

1 forward with initiating the projects.

2 Q Okay. So CAISO approval will occur. So then
3 that means that they've been added to the forecast. Is
4 that right?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q Okay. Okay. Then, I'd like you to turn to the
7 document that's also already been marked as Exhibit Cal
8 Advocates-34.

9 A Okay. I've got it up.

10 Q And I'm looking at the answer to data request
11 question 2 B. So it starts with "PG&E is not aware."
12 Do you see that?

13 A Yes, I do.

14 Q Okay. Could you clarify for me on how PG&E
15 is -- is able to give the CAISO an interconnection scope
16 cost estimate for 11 data centers, which are the -- the
17 data centers we were just looking at for that data
18 center cluster in LC 24, but in this data request
19 response a few months later, PG&E says it's not aware of
20 any anticipated Facility Types 1 through 3 that are
21 expected to be proposed for CAISO approval?

22 A Yeah. The clarification, I think, comes from
23 the fact the word is approval versus what concurrence
24 means. So we are asking for CAISO concurrence for
25 Type 1 through 3 in that list we just showed on the

1 addition -- on the previous PowerPoint, not CAISO
2 approval on the TPP. I think that's the distinction.

3 Q Okay. And I just want to make sure I
4 understand.

5 So then -- but eventually, even though you seek
6 CAISO concurrence on these -- these facility types at
7 this time, eventually they will have to be approved by
8 CAISO. Is that correct?

9 A No. The CAISO concurrence allows us to proceed
10 forward.

11 Q Okay. All right. Thank you. Now I'd like to
12 go to the document -- or your rebuttal testimony; so if
13 you could turn to the document that's been marked
14 PGE-20.

15 A Okay. I have it.

16 Q Okay. And go to page 8, please.

17 A Yes.

18 Q Looking at lines 9 through 12 that state: More
19 importantly, as a result of the re-scoping, the
20 estimated project costs were reduced between 165 and 380
21 million. In this case, the re-scoping, driven by load
22 increases including data centers, resulted in a lower --
23 lower cost project estimate and saved costs for existing
24 customers, do you see that?

25 A I do, yes.

1 Q All right. This portion of your testimony is
2 about CAISO re-scoping a new 230-kilovolt line in
3 San Jose. Is that right?

4 A That's correct, yes.

5 Q Your testimony acknowledges that the data
6 center load was a factor for re-scoping of the San Jose
7 project. Is that accurate?

8 A Yes, it is.

9 Q That is, the load forecast increased due to
10 data center load. Correct?

11 A The overall demand increased, yes, due to data
12 center load.

13 Q And as part of that re-scoping that reduced the
14 San Jose project cost between 165 and 380 million is --
15 at least, that is what you state in your testimony. Is
16 that right?

17 A That is what I state in my testimony, that's
18 correct.

19 Q Okay. So keeping this portion of your
20 testimony in mind, could you please go to Cal -- Cal
21 Advocates-24?

22 And, your Honor, I don't think we've marked
23 this document, so I'd ask this to be marked.

24 A Yes, I've got 24.

25 ALJ TOY: I have a document titled "CAISO,

1 2024-2025 Transmission Planning Process - Reliability
2 Assessment and Study Updates, September 23rd-24th,
3 2024," and I will be marking this document as Cal
4 Advocates-24.

5 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-24 was marked for
6 identification.)

7 ALJ TOY: Please proceed, Ms. Akiya.

8 MS. AKIYA: Thank you, your Honor. So,
9 apologies. I just lost my place. Okay. I'm back.

10 Q So if you could -- first, these are slides from
11 the CAISO 2024/2025 Transmission Planning Process, or
12 TPP, Reliability Assessment and Study Updates. Is that
13 what you have in front of you?

14 A Yes, it is.

15 Q Okay. Could you go to slide 28?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Do you agree that the San Jose HVDC project
18 addressed here on this slide is the same San Jose
19 project addressed in your testimony?

20 A Yes, it is.

21 Q Now, go to the next slide, slide 29.

22 A Yes.

23 Q Looking at the last bullet, do you see where it
24 says, "The overall long term transmission plan for the
25 area was re-evaluated in light of significant load

1 growth in the area. In addition, LS Power has indicated
2 potential cost increases related to the HVDC equipment"?

3 A Yes, I do see that.

4 Q Is it accurate to say your testimony about the
5 re-scoping of the San Jose project resulted in reduced
6 costs did not take into account the potential cost
7 increases related to the HVDC redesign?

8 A No, I don't believe that's correct. I think it
9 did take into account the redesign costs.

10 Q Okay. Is it accurate to say that your
11 testimony didn't take into account the re-scoping of the
12 project where the original two transmission lines were
13 re-scoped, along with the added third new transmission
14 project?

15 A What third transmission project are you
16 referring to? There's only two segments in that project
17 that I'm aware of.

18 Q Okay. Then, if you could turn to slide 32.

19 A Yes.

20 Q And this one's labeled im- -- "Implementation
21 Plan"?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay. So the second bullet that states CAISO
24 approve -- board approval in May of 2025, the project
25 sponsor of the new NRS San Jose B 230 kV AC circuit will

1 be selected through a competitive solicitation
2 process -- do you see that?

3 A I do see it. That's correct.

4 Q Is it correct that your testimony about the
5 cost decrease for the San Jose project did not account
6 for the costs of the added transmission -- this added
7 transmission project, the San Jose 230 kV AC circuit?

8 A That additional AC circuit is -- has been
9 identified with, I believe, a cost estimate, and I
10 believe the reduction still accounts for that cost
11 within that range.

12 Q Okay.

13 A Yeah. Part of the reason is you're talking AC
14 versus DC. When you have DC, you have very expensive
15 converter stations, usually in the \$200 million range
16 per converter station at each end of the line. So
17 getting rid of a DC converter station versus adding an
18 AC line, there's typically a cost savings, which is what
19 is occurring in this case.

20 Q Okay. Then --

21 (Line muted.)

22 MR. BRENC: Ms. Akiya, I believe you're on
23 mute.

24 MS. AKIYA: Thank you. Sorry. I don't know
25 how -- how I clicked on that. Okay.

1 Q I would like -- we'll go back to your rebuttal
2 testimony now, which is PGE-20.

3 A Okay.

4 Q Page 10, please.

5 A Yes.

6 Q Okay. Looking at lines 3 through 7, starting
7 with the power-flow studies that PGE -- PG&E performs
8 when preparing PES reports include a variety of factors,
9 such as forecasted generation additions, planned load
10 growth on the disre- -- distribution and transmission
11 systems, and planned upgrades, capacity upgrades do not
12 solely reflect growth expected from new
13 transmission-level customers, do you see that?

14 A I do, yes.

15 Q Okay. And then I'd also like you to go to the
16 next page, looking at lines 21 through 24.

17 A Okay.

18 Q So I'm looking at "While PG&E has identified
19 capacity upgrades as part -- of a part of its cluster
20 study process and in preparing PES reports, these
21 upgrades are not only needed for transmission-level
22 customers, thus, these customers should not be fully
23 responsible for the costs," do you see that?

24 A I do, yes.

25 Q Okay. Keep -- talking about these two portions

1 of your testimony, is it accurate to say that capacity
2 upgrades can be triggered by a large load customer?

3 A That's possible, yes.

4 Q Okay. But, despite these capacity upgrades
5 being -- being triggered by a large load customer, it is
6 PG&E's position that these customers should not be
7 allocated Facility Type 4 costs up front. Is that
8 right?

9 A The Type 4 costs related to broader
10 transmission network upgrades that benefit not only
11 individual large load customers, but also broader growth
12 in that area from a combination of electric vehicle
13 growth, electrification, in addition to residential and
14 commercial load, in addition. So that is -- everyone
15 pays their share through the transmission rates, and --
16 which is why no individual segment of customer up-fronts
17 costs, including --

18 (Reporter clarification.)

19 THE WITNESS: No individual segment of the
20 customer up-fronts costs for transmission to serve them.
21 BY MS. AKIYA:

22 Q Okay. And then is it -- is it also accurate to
23 say that it's PG&E's position that the large load
24 customers should not be allocated even their
25 proportional share of the capacity upgrades that they

1 trigger?

2 A The large load customers, like any other
3 customer, will pay their share of the costs for
4 transmission as part of the transmission rate, just like
5 everyone within CAISO's area does, like PG&E, Southern
6 Cal Edison customers, as well as San Diego Gas and
7 Electric customers. So everyone pairs (sic) a portion
8 to their rate, but not a front demand, or up-front
9 costs, to fund those transmission upgrades.

10 Q Okay. And then if you could turn to Exhibit
11 Cal Advocates-30 -- which, your Honor, I will also ask
12 to be marked.

13 A Okay. I've got it up, I believe.

14 ALJ TOY: It looks -- off the record for a
15 second.

16 (Off the record.)

17 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

18 I have a document in front of me titled "CAISO
19 5th Replacement Tariff, Appendix DD, Generator
20 Interconnection and Deliverability Allocation
21 Procedures," and I'll be marking this document as Cal
22 Advocates-30.

23 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-30 was marked for
24 identification.)

25 ALJ TOY: Okay. Please proceed, Ms. Akiya.

1 MS. AKIYA: Thank you, your Honor.

2 Q Mr. Nedrud, if you could turn to the last page
3 of Cal Advocates-30 to Section 4.6, deliver --
4 deliverability assessments.

5 A I have that up. Thank you for the section. I
6 don't have a page number.

7 Q Okay. So I'm looking at the second full
8 sentence. I know this is a little out of text, but it's
9 the second full sentence in this paragraph that reads:
10 If deliverability assessment identifies any network
11 upgrades that are triggered by interconnection requests,
12 the interconnection customer will be responsible to pay
13 its proportionate share of the costs of those upgrades
14 pursuant to Sections 6, 7, and 8, and for posting in --
15 interconnection financial security, pursuant to the
16 rules of interconnection, customers in queue clusters,
17 pursuant to Section 11.

18 Do you see that?

19 A I do see that section, yes.

20 Q So under the CAISO's tariff, which is what this
21 document we're looking at is, the CAISO requires
22 proportionate up-front financing for delivery network
23 upgrades that are triggered by a large load customer.
24 Is that correct?

25 A No. The section speaks to up-front costs that

1 are triggered by generators, not large-load customers.
2 That's the key differentiation.

3 Q Okay. But, the CAISO does require a
4 proportionate up-front fin -- financing for generation
5 customers. Is that right?

6 A It does for generation customers, yes; but
7 again, they also don't have the same tariff charges that
8 a load customer would. So this is the way that they
9 up-front and pay for their cost.

10 Q Okay. And so it's PG&E's position that
11 large-load customers, transmission-level customers, are
12 distinguishable from generation customers as it relates
13 to the CAISO requiring proportionate up-front financing?

14 A Yes. They are -- they are governed and --
15 differently, and there are different costs up front for
16 generation that is not applicable to large load.

17 Q Okay. And -- and it's PG&E's position that --
18 that large-load transmission cust- -- level customers
19 should not follow the same or similar process of being
20 obligated to pay their proportionate up-front financing
21 for upgrade -- transmission network upgrades at --
22 similar to the CAISO generation process allocating
23 proportionate costs. Is that correct?

24 A That is our position, because large-load
25 customers already pay for them through rates, instead of

1 up-fronting the cost, and no customer segment, whether
2 you're a large-load customer, whether you're -- all the
3 E-chargers or electrified customers -- no one pays up
4 front for that; instead, they pay that through their
5 transmission rate and their proportionate amount, based
6 on their usage.

7 Q Okay. All right. Thank you.

8 So going back to your testimony -- and
9 apologies for going back and forth between documents.
10 That's --

11 A No problem.

12 Q -- what we do here.

13 So back to PGE-20, and page 11, lines 9 through
14 12.

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. It starts with "The CAISO approves TPP
17 products." Do you see that?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Okay. So keeping this portion of your
20 testimony in mind, which is answer 17 in your -- your
21 testimony, I'd like to go to Cal Advocates-22. This is
22 Cal Advocates' limited -- limited testimony.

23 A Yes.

24 Q Okay. So I'm going to have you go to Appendix
25 B, which is the attachments to the testimony. It is the

1 first appendix, B-1, the data request response.

2 A Yes, I've got it up.

3 Q Okay. So this is a data request response from
4 PG&E provided on June 30th, 2026.

5 Looking at your response that starts at the
6 bottom of B-0002, and goes on to the next page, that
7 states: This first table identifies preliminary
8 capacity upgrades and in many PES reports is identified
9 as Table 6. Cap -- capacity upgrades are newly
10 identified preliminary Facility Type 4 upgrades that,
11 one, meet the needs of the specific project at issue in
12 the PES report, do you see that?

13 A I do see that section, yes.

14 Q Okay.

15 MR. BRENC: Just for the second -- Ms. Akiya,
16 for the record, this data response was sponsored by Adam
17 Soto, not Mr. Nedrud.

18 MS. AKIYA: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Brenc. We're
19 aware of that. If he's -- if he is unable to respond to
20 this question, then we can move on; but, if it's within
21 his knowledge, we ask for him to respond.

22 MR. BRENC: Of course.

23 You can respond, Mr. Nedrud.

24 BY MS. AKIYA:

25 Q Okay. So looking at this response, preliminary

1 capacity upgrades are defined as upgrades intending to
2 meet needs of the specific project at issue in the PES
3 report -- is that correct?

4 A Yes, that's correct.

5 Q Okay. Is it accurate to say that PES reports
6 evaluate the -- whether the incremental
7 transmission-level load from a proposed project creates
8 reliability issues on the existing system?

9 A Can you repeat that question?

10 Q Yes. Is it accurate to state that a PES report
11 evaluates whether the incremental transmission-level
12 load from a proposed project creates reliability issues
13 on the existing system?

14 A I'm sorry. The incremental load from each of
15 the applicants, or as a cluster?

16 Q Each of the applicants.

17 A So the PES report, those capacity upgrades
18 identified are ones where the studies show that, due to
19 the additional load from them -- from the data centers
20 within that cluster, it necessitates a need for
21 additional upgrades.

22 Q Okay. And -- and the -- so then, the PS -- the
23 PES reports identify preliminary capacity upgrades on a
24 project-specific basis. And when I say,
25 "project-specific basis," I mean either an individual

1 customer or when you're doing a cluster study. They
2 evaluate on a project basis rather than as part of the
3 broader system planning. Is that right?]

4 A They are evaluated as part of the overall
5 cluster, as a whole, and individual projects identified
6 each -- in each PES report tied to those specific
7 requests. But, there may be multiple overlapping -- a
8 project will benefit not only one customer; but may
9 benefit multiple customers and other -- other non-data
10 center customers as well. But, they are listed in the
11 PES report, as they are necessary upgrades to help with
12 those -- each individual data center request -- or
13 large-load request.

14 Q Okay. So, just to -- so to make sure I
15 understand, so then, preliminarily capacity upgrades
16 address reliability and capacity issues as identified on
17 a customer or cluster basis; is that right?

18 A Identified on a cluster basis and which ones
19 are applicable to each customer. Yes.

20 Q Okay.

21 ALJ TOY: Ms. Akiya, this was the exhibit
22 CalAdvocates-35; correct?

23 MS. AKIYA: This is CalAdvocates-22, our
24 limited opening testimony.

25 ALJ TOY: Okay. Sorry about that.

1 Okay. Continue.

2 MS. AKIYA: Which I believe we marked at the --
3 earlier?

4 ALJ TOY: Yeah. We did.

5 MS. AKIYA: Okay. Okay.

6 Q Mr. Nedrud, I would like to turn you to
7 CalAdvocates-25, which is already marked. It is the
8 PG&E presentation to the CAISO that we were looking at
9 earlier.

10 A Yes. I've got it up.

11 Q Okay. Go to slide 20- -- not 26 -- 36, please.

12 A Okay.

13 Q Okay. As -- kind of as a general matter for
14 the set of those slides, these slides were part of the
15 2025-2026 transmission planning process cycle, where
16 PG&E proposed transmission network upgrades -- which I
17 may also refer to as TNU's -- that incorporated
18 preliminary capacity upgrades; is that right?

19 A Yes. These were part of the stakeholder
20 process, as -- as part of the transmission planning
21 process we proposed as additional upgrades that were
22 necessary. Yes.

23 Q Okay. And looking at this Slide 36, the first
24 bullet point that says: Reconductor 5.5 miles on the
25 Monta Vista Saratoga 230 kV line to achieve at least

1 3,000 amps during summer emergency conditions.

2 Do you see that?

3 A I do see that. Yes.

4 Q Okay. Is it accurate to say that PG&E
5 addresses reliability concerns that arise in a P -- PES
6 report in a transmission planning process, such as the
7 slide that we're looking at right now?

8 A The slide that you're looking at now is
9 identified in the TPP process independent of the PES
10 reports. Those are two separate and distinct pieces.

11 Q Yes. So, as we were talking before, we were
12 talking about the preliminary capacity upgrades. And
13 those are from the PES reports. Those preliminary
14 capacity upgrades from the PES reports are incorporated
15 into these -- the proposals that you present to the
16 CAISO in the TPP process; is that correct?

17 A For the most part, the -- the projects
18 identified in the earlier section of this presentation,
19 specifically the ones on slide 36, those are driven by
20 the transmission planning process, or TPP proc- --
21 projects. They were probably referenced in the PES
22 report. But they were not driven solely by an
23 individual data center customer, they were driven by
24 broader growth in the system, inclusive of any data
25 center customer centers that have -- that are

1 still offline.

2 (Reporter clarification.)

3 THE WITNESS: Okay.

4 BY MS. AKIYA:

5 Q Okay. So if I understand correctly, these --
6 these TPP projects are -- sorry. Let me start over.

7 So these projects in these TPP slides, they
8 incorporate elements from the PES reports regarding
9 preliminary capacity upgrades that, at least in part,
10 relate to data centers; is that correct?

11 A These -- no. That's not correct.

12 These projects identified in the TPP -- sorry.
13 In the slide deck, the projects identified were not
14 related to the data centers that were studies in the
15 PES. I say that because their load was not accounted
16 for in the TPP initial process, only a small portion of
17 loads. So they are driven by broader growth across
18 the -- across the area, not any one specific data center
19 customer or large load individual.

20 Q Okay. So, I just want to make sure on -- so
21 looking at this first bullet point regarding the
22 Monta Vista Saratoga 230 kV line, it is not accurate to
23 say that the preliminary capacity upgrades, or a portion
24 of upgrades that may be identified in a PES report are
25 incorporated into, say, this type of project?

1 A This type of project -- I -- it may be
2 identified in the P -- in the PES report. Let's go back
3 and review each report to see if this applies to any
4 particular data center in those. But, in general, this
5 project is driven by what was studied in the TPP, which
6 includes load growth in general, and is needed for that.

7 It may -- it will benefit everyone in the South
8 Bay Area, which may include data center customers. And
9 so, because it may include other data center customers,
10 it may have been listed in that capacity list for a
11 specific PES report.

12 Q Okay. So, it may be related to a data center
13 customer. And so, it's incorporated. But, if I
14 understand your testimony correctly, it also includes
15 other elements that have been studied; is that right?

16 A It's driven by other needs of the system, yes.

17 Q Okay. Okay.

18 Okay. Then, I think, heading into my last set
19 of questions, if you could turn to PG&E-19, which is
20 PG&E's opening testimony?

21 A I've got it up, yes.

22 Q Okay. If you could go to page 6.

23 A Okay.

24 Q All right. I'm looking at lines 7 through 15,
25 starting with "Incremental transmission network upgrades

1 would not include."

2 Do you see that?

3 A I do see that section, yes.

4 Q My co-counsel referred to ITNUs while speaking
5 to Ms. Ornelas, which is these incremental transmission
6 network upgrades.

7 One of the exceptions to these ITNUs is that
8 "upgrades that have been approved by the CAISO."

9 Do you see that in this section of testimony?

10 A I do see that. Yes.

11 MR. BRENC: Just a real quick objection.

12 Ms. Akiya misstates the testimony. It says, quote,
13 "Upgrades that have been approved by the CAISO through
14 the TPP or are approved in a future TTP."

15 And then, secondly, this testimony is not a
16 portion that Mr. Nedrud has sponsored.

17 MS. AKIYA: So, again, if he's unable to answer
18 our line of questions, then he can provide that he
19 doesn't have knowledge to answer them. But, this does
20 relate earlier to earlier information that he provided.

21 ALJ TOY: Yeah. Mr. Nedrud, to the extent you
22 can answer, please do go ahead.

23 BY MS. AKIYA:

24 Q Okay. So, just to make sure, to re-ask:

25 So, do you see that one of the exceptions to

1 the ITNUs is, quote, "Upgrades that have been approved
2 by the CAISO through the TTP or are approved in a
3 future TTP"?

4 A I do see that. Yes.

5 Q Okay. So, going back to an earlier topic that
6 we were discussing, can you explain how concurrence --
7 CAISO concurrence factors into this definition?

8 A Yes, we submit projects for load concurrence.
9 And those projects would have to be reviewed by CAISO.
10 And CAISO would -- would approve them in a future TTP
11 cycle.

12 Q Okay. And does that mean that the 11 LC24
13 projects, that we were talking about earlier for that
14 data center cluster, that -- that PG&E was seeking CAISO
15 concurrence for, are those excluded under this ITNU
16 definition?

17 A We would have to go through each of those
18 individually, I think, to identify which one would meet
19 that test. They test for part two and part one. So,
20 it's -- it's difficult to give an exact answer without
21 the second part being addressed.

22 Q Okay. So, for both of these parts then, part
23 one and two of the tests that PG&E is proposing for the
24 ITNUs, can you think of any of the 11 projects for that
25 data center cluster that would be included under PG&E's

1 ITNU definition?

2 A I would have to go back to and evaluate each
3 one to go through -- so it's a complicated ask, not a
4 simple "I can give you off the cuff." From the
5 standpoint that each one has to be looked at to
6 understand if there's additional electrification or
7 reliability benefits that come on that project that are
8 not only for the large load customer or customers. It
9 really depends on how tightly networked the transmission
10 system is and the areas that they are going to be served
11 from. I'm sorry I can't be more specific. But it
12 would -- it requires some analysis to get to that level
13 of an answer.

14 Q Okay. Then let me ask you this: Would it be
15 accurate to say because the 11 LC24 projects are
16 considered as part of the TPP, they would be excluded
17 from the ITNU definition?

18 A I don't think that's accurate. The intent --
19 my understanding, the intent is not to -- to hide or get
20 projects through CAISO's approval. The intent of load
21 concurrence is because they just didn't make it in, from
22 a timing standpoint, when the forecast was put together,
23 partly because data center requests have come much
24 faster than the CEC could forecast them. And we need to
25 make sure we can get those customers online.

1 So, they would need to be evaluated to
2 understand if there's other reliability benefits to
3 those projects. But, I don't believe they would be
4 automatically excluded just because we've asked for
5 concurrence.

6 Q Okay. Say that these projects are excluded
7 from the ITNU definition, would -- would it be accurate
8 to say then that those costs will be passed on to
9 ratepayers, if CAISO concurs?

10 A If they are excluded from this ITNU, those
11 costs, like any other costs, are paid for by all
12 customers. For example, any of the projects that are in
13 the TPP now, when they were constructed, they are paid
14 for by PG&E customers, signed through Edison customers,
15 as well as San Diego Gas & Electric's. So, all
16 customers that benefit from the transmission system pay
17 their proportion of the share based on how much energy
18 they use from the transmission system. So, in short,
19 large customers would pay their share, just like any
20 other customer segment.

21 Q Okay. And would -- would the same -- would
22 your answer be the same for the costs associated with
23 the serial data center interconnection request that
24 added load that came after the application process
25 closed?

1 A Yes. It would be the same.

2 Q Okay. Now, Mr. Nedrud, you mentioned earlier
3 that it's PG&E's position that large load customers who
4 trigger Facility Type 4 costs should not be required to
5 pay upfront costs.

6 Do you recall that?

7 A Yes, I do.

8 Q Okay. And is it your position that because no
9 segment of customer pays upfront costs, that is Facility
10 Type 4 costs, that they pay their proportionate share
11 through transmission rates; is that right?

12 A That is correct.

13 Q Okay. And do you recall when we were looking
14 at the PG&E presentation of the CAISO that listed the
15 LC24 data center cluster study and the serial data
16 center load interconnection; correct?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Okay. So, the 840 megawatts load in the -- in
19 the LC24, the Type 4 costs necessary to bring those
20 online should be shared by all customers, because they
21 benefit -- they benefit from the upgrades.

22 Is that an accurate representation of your
23 position?

24 A In a high level, yes.

25 Q Okay. And the additional 700 megawatts of load

1 in the serial interconnection that came after the
2 application process, those Type 4 costs necessary to
3 bring the 700 megawatts online, it is also your position
4 that they should be shared by all customers because they
5 benefit from the upgrades; correct?

6 A Yes. Those upgrades benefit the South Bay
7 overall and improve the reliability of those customers
8 in and around that area. Correct.

9 Q Okay. And those Type 4 costs associated with
10 bringing those subsequent customers -- let me start
11 over.

12 So, the Type 4 costs associated with bringing
13 those subsequent customers all benefit from those
14 upgrades; and you're saying that even though those
15 subsequent customers triggered those, those -- that
16 load, that every one, all ratepayers, should still pay
17 for them; is that right?

18 A Yes. In the same way that -- that residential
19 growth or a set of new EV chargers may trigger the need
20 for a transmission upgrade. Everyone shares in the
21 transmission rate for that reason. Because at a -- a
22 Facility Type 4 benefits everybody in the area, not just
23 an individual customer or customer segment.

24 Q Okay. And just to give you an example, so if
25 the network upgrades were to bring another thousand

1 megawatts of load into the system, it is your position
2 that would benefit present residential customers?

3 A Yeah. The upgrades from that typically would
4 also provide additional reliability benefit to allow
5 more flexibility for the grid, so that you could support
6 additional growth and improve service for other
7 customers, in addition to the thousand megawatts, so to
8 speak, addition that you mentioned.

9 Q Okay. Is there any concern with the timing of
10 the -- these upgrades, for bringing such large loads
11 online within a short period of time? And that is
12 related to how they benefit all customers.

13 A The timing for getting transmission projects on
14 the system is always a concern, from the standpoint it
15 takes a long time to get transmission upgrades to
16 happen. Usually, those are 3 to 10 years, depending on
17 how complex a transmission upgrade needs to be. We're
18 only allowed -- and only bring on customers when we can
19 meet all of the applicable Federal and NERC standards to
20 ensure reliable service for customers and the grid stays
21 reliable. And that usually governs how fast we can have
22 customers come online.

23 Q Okay.

24 Your Honor, if I could just have one moment to
25 look at my notes, I think I may be wrapped. But, I just

1 want to double check.

2 ALJ TOY: Sure. Off the record.

3 (Off the record.)

4 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

5 MS. AKIYA: Thank you, Mr. Nedrud. I have no
6 further questions.

7 ALJ TOY: PG&E, any recross?

8 MR. BRENC: No re-cross, your Honor.

9 ALJ TOY: Okay. Thank you.

10 Thank you for your participation today,
11 Mr. Nedrud.

12 THE WITNESS: Thanks.

13 ALJ TOY: You are dismissed.

14 Off the record for a second.

15 (Off the record.)

16 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

17 We're going to take a lunch break until
18 1:00 o'clock, where we will continue cross-examination
19 for Cal Advocates' witness.

20 Break until 1:00 o'clock. Thank you.

21 Off the record.

22 (At the hour of 11:48 a.m., a recess was
23 taken until 1:04 p.m.)

24 * * * * *]

25

1 AFTERNOON SESSION - 1:04 P.M.

2 * * * * *

3 ALJ TOY: Let's go on the record.

4 We're coming back from our lunch break, and we
5 will now proceed with the cross-examination of
6 Sanya Kwatra.

7 Ms. Kwatra, could you please spell and state
8 your name for the record as well as your place of
9 business.

10 THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm Sanya Kwatra, spelled
11 S-a-n-y-a K-w-a-t-r-a. And my business address is 505
12 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102.

13 ALJ TOY: Great. And your testimony is on
14 behalf of Cal Advocates?

15 THE WITNESS: Yes, my testimony is on behalf of
16 Cal Advocates.

17 ALJ TOY: Okay. Could we please pull up the
18 witness attestation.

19 Are you able to see that, Ms. Kwatra?

20 THE WITNESS: I'm seeing just a gray screen
21 right now.

22 ALJ TOY: Let's go off the record.

23 (Off the record.)

24 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

25 Ms. Kwatra, could you please proceed with

1 reading the witness attestation.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 I, Sanya Kwatra, do solemnly state under
4 penalty of perjury that the testimony I give in the case
5 now pending before this Commission shall be the truth,
6 the whole truth and nothing but the truth;

7 I, Sanya Kwatra, attest I will testify based on
8 my own knowledge and memory free from external
9 influences or pressures;

10 I, Sanya Kwatra, attest I will adhere to all
11 formal requirements of testifying under oath including
12 the prohibition against being coached;

13 I, Sanya Kwatra, attest I will only refer to
14 materials provided by the parties, exhibits premarked
15 and identified by the parties and previously shared with
16 the opposing parties;

17 I, Sanya Kwatra, attest I will not make any
18 recording of the proceeding. I attest I understand that
19 any recording of a proceeding held by Webex, including
20 screenshots or other visual copying of the hearing, is
21 absolutely prohibited;

22 I, Sanya Kwatra, attest that I understand that
23 violation of these prohibitions may result in sanctions,
24 including removal from the evidentiary hearing,
25 restricted entry to future hearings, denial of entry to

1 future hearings or any other sanctions deemed necessary
2 by the Commission;

3 I, Sanya Kwatra, attest I will not engage in
4 any private communications by phone, text or email, any
5 other mode of communication while under oath and being
6 examined;

7 If I, Sanya Kwatra, experience any attempts to
8 tamper with my witness testimony, I will report the
9 occurrence to the presiding officer immediately.

10 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

11 Cal Advocates, your witness is available for
12 direct.

13 SANYA KWATRA,
14 called as a witness by Cal Advocates, having
15 been sworn, testified as follows:

16 MS. AKIYA: Thank you, your Honor.

17 DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MS. AKIYA:

19 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Kwatra. Do you have with
20 you today the document that has been marked for
21 identification as Exhibit Cal Advocates-22 and 23, which
22 are the opening and rebuttal testimony submitted by Cal
23 Advocates?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Are you sponsoring or co-sponsoring those

1 portions of the testimony in which you were listed as a
2 witness?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Was Exhibit Cal Advocates-22 and 23 prepared by
5 you or under your direction?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Do you have any changes or corrections to
8 Exhibit Cal Advocates-22 and 23?

9 A No.

10 Q To the best of your knowledge, are the
11 statements and information presented in Exhibit Cal
12 Advocates-22 and 23 true and correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And to the extent that you made statements in
15 the nature of judgments and conclusions, do those
16 represent your best professional judgments and
17 conclusions?

18 A Yes.

19 MS. AKIYA: Your Honor, the witness is
20 available for cross-examination.

21 ALJ TOY: Thank you.

22 PG&E, are you prepared to proceed with your
23 cross?

24 MR. BRENC: I am, your Honor.

25 ALJ TOY: Okay. Go ahead.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRENC:

Q Good afternoon, Ms. Kwatra.

A Hi.

Q How are you doing today?

A I'm doing fine. Thank you.

Q Do you have the rebuttal testimony submitted by Cal Advocates before you? This is Exhibit Cal Advocates-23.

A Yes.

Q Could you please turn to page 5.

A Yes, I'm there.

Q Okay. I'm going to direct your attention to line 18. It's the last sentence on that line, and it continues onto page 6, line 2.

A I'm there.

Q Do you see where you state:

Quote, multiple jurisdictions require or are considering requirements for large load customers to pay their fair share of interconnection costs, end quote?

A Yes.

Q And do you cite some materials in support of this statement at footnote 23?

A Yes.

1 Q Specifically, you cite three things, correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q So first there's a tentative order from the
4 Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Second, an application before the Wisconsin
7 Public Service Commission?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And then, third, you reference to the Sierra
10 Club's limited opening testimony?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Am I correct that you do not cite to any
13 final -- final orders from a state Public Utility
14 Commission?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Are you aware of any final orders in a state
17 Public Utility Commission that require large load
18 customers to provide upfront financing for transmission
19 network upgrades?

20 A No. But, as we all know, this is an
21 up-and-coming issue. So it is being considered in
22 different jurisdictions.

23 Q Thank you. Ms. Kwatra, could I have you take a
24 look at Cal Advocates' opening testimony, which has been
25 marked as Cal Advocates Exhibit-22.

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. If I could have you take a look at page
3 25 and specifically lines 21 to 24.

4 A Yes.

5 Q And you state here:

6 Quote, it is reasonable and feasible to
7 calculate a customer-specific financing
8 requirement for Transmission Network Upgrades
9 as demonstrated by the CAISO Generator
10 Interconnection and Deliverability Allocation
11 Procedure (CAISO GIDAP) and Generator
12 Interconnector -- Interconnection Agreement
13 (GIA), end quote.

14 Did I read that correctly?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Do you agree with that statement?

17 A I do.

18 Q Am I correct that in support of this statement
19 you include reference at footnote 92 to the CAISO Fifth
20 Replacement Tariff, Appendix DD?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And specifically Section 6.3?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And what is that document?

25 A That is -- one moment, please.

1 Q Sure.

2 A That is the fifth replacement tariff, the
3 Appendix DD to that, the generator interconnection and
4 deliverability allocation procedures.

5 Q And are you familiar with that document?

6 A I did review it for this testimony, yes.

7 Q Okay. So I'd like you to take -- you to take a
8 look at this document.

9 MR. BRENC: And your Honor, I'd like to mark
10 for the record -- this is PGE-022.

11 ALJ TOY: Okay. Let me pull that up. I have
12 in front of me a document titled CAISO Fifth Replacement
13 Tariff, Appendix DD.

14 And I'll be marking this document as PGE-22.

15 (Exhibit PGE-22 was marked for
16 identification.)

17 MR. BRENC: Thank you, your Honor.

18 ALJ TOY: Please proceed.

19 BY MR. BRENC:

20 Q Ms. Kwatra, could you please take a look at --
21 it's page 39 of the PDF in Section 6.3.

22 A Yes.

23 Q And what is the title of Section 6.3?

24 A Identification of and Cost Allocation for
25 Network Upgrades.

1 Q Is this the section that you cited in opening
2 testimony?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Could you look at Section 6.3.2.

5 A Yes.

6 Q And what's the title of this section?

7 A Deliver -- Delivery Network Upgrades.

8 Q And is it accurate that under PG&E's customer
9 responsibility proposal PG&E has analogized delivery
10 network upgrades to Facility Type 4 costs?

11 A Yes. PG&E has made that analogy.

12 Q Do you see under Section 6.3.2 there is a
13 Section 6.2. -- 6.3.2.1.1 followed by a Section
14 6.3.2.1.2?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And are the headings for these sections
17 respectfully local net -- local delivery network
18 upgrades and area delivery network upgrades?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Do you know what the difference between a local
21 delivery network upgrade is and an area delivery network
22 upgrade?

23 A I have an understanding that I developed
24 through the review of this tariff, yes.

25 Q And what is that understanding?

1 A Local delivery network upgrades address local
2 constraints and congestion, and area delivery network
3 upgrades address wider constraints.

4 Q And you agree that they are considered
5 separately under the CAISO generation tariff?

6 A What do you mean by "separately"?

7 Q They are considered as distinct types of
8 delivery network upgrades under the CAISO generator
9 interconnection tariff.

10 A Yes. They do each have their own definition.

11 Q Could you please take a look at Section 8.4 of
12 the same document, which I believe is on page 59 of the
13 PDF.

14 MS. AKIYA: I will -- I will note that this --
15 this is not a section that Ms. Kwatra cited to in her
16 testimony.

17 THE WITNESS: I'm at Section 8.4.

18 BY MR. BRENC:

19 Q Okay. And what is the title of this section,
20 Ms. Kwatra?

21 A Cost Responsibility for Delivery Network
22 Upgrades.

23 Q Is it accurate that the first paragraph under
24 this section covers, quote, "the cost responsibility for
25 local delivery network upgrades"?

1 A Just a moment while I review.

2 Q Yeah, of course. Take your time.

3 A Yes. That paragraph does address cost
4 responsibility for local delivery network upgrades.

5 Q And does the second paragraph cover, quote,
6 "the cost responsibility for area delivery network
7 upgrades"?

8 A Yes, the second paragraph does.

9 Q So would you agree that under the CAISO
10 generator interconnection tariff cost responsibility for
11 local delivery network upgrades is handled differently
12 than cost responsibility for area delivery network
13 upgrades?

14 A Well, while there are two separate paragraphs,
15 the method appears to be the same. The flow impact of
16 each such generating facility is referenced in both
17 paragraphs.

18 Q Sure. Could you please take a look at the
19 fourth line of the first paragraph.

20 A Yes.

21 Q It states here that this applies, quote,
22 "regardless of whether the interconnection customer has
23 selected option A or B."

24 Did I read that correctly?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And for the second paragraph here, this is
2 covering area delivery network upgrades. Does it state,
3 quote, "shall be assigned to interconnection customers
4 who have selected option B"?

5 A Yes.

6 Q So is it accurate that the cost responsibility
7 under this section only applies to customers for area
8 delivery network upgrades if they have selected option
9 B?

10 A Yes.

11 MR. BRENC: Thank you for your time,
12 Ms. Kwatra. I have no further questions.

13 ALJ TOY: Cal Advocates, any recross?

14 MS. AKIYA: Yes, your Honor. Just, I think,
15 one or two brief questions.

16 ALJ TOY: Okay. Go ahead when ready.

17 RE CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY MS. AKIYA:

19 Q Ms. Kwatra, I'd like you to go back to Cal
20 Advocates-23, page 5.

21 A I'm there.

22 Q Okay. And counsel for PG&E was asking you
23 about your testimony regarding your reference to what
24 other jurisdictions were doing. That was on page 5
25 going onto page 6 and footnote 23. Do you recall that?

1 A Yes.

2 Q So, just to confirm, your analysis found that
3 as a new emerging area multiple jurisdictions are
4 currently considering it and that -- currently
5 considering the allocation of costs to large load
6 customers; is that right?

7 A Different jurisdictions are considering
8 different financing requirements including upfront
9 financing requirements.

10 Q All right. And -- and the reason you -- you
11 didn't find, at least in your analysis, that there was a
12 final order is because this is a new emerging area and
13 multiple jurisdictions are actively looking into this
14 issue at this time. Is that -- do I understand that
15 correctly?

16 A Yes.

17 MS. AKIYA: Okay. No further questions. Thank
18 you.

19 ALJ TOY: Any recross, PG&E?

20 MR. BRENC: No, your Honor.

21 ALJ TOY: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Kwatra. You
22 are dismissed.

23 Okay. Why don't we proceed with entering PG&E
24 and Cal Advocates exhibits into the record, and then
25 we'll deal with the procedural issues going forward.

1 So, PG&E, would you like to move your exhibits
2 into the record?

3 MR. BRENC: Yes, your Honor. We'd like to move
4 into the record Exhibits PG&E 18, PG&E-19, PG&E-20,
5 PG&E-20-E, PG&E-21 and PGE-022.

6 ALJ TOY: Okay. Are PG&E-20 and 20-E the same,
7 just one is the errata clean version?

8 A One is the errata clean version.

9 ALJ TOY: Okay. Do we want to just do 20-E
10 instead then and not 20?

11 MR. BRENC: The only concern I have with that,
12 your Honor, is that some of the attachments on PGE-20
13 were not on PGE-20-E. So I think, to make the record
14 complete, including both would be preferable.

15 ALJ TOY: Okay. Okay. Then I have a motion to
16 move PG&E-19 to 22 into the record, including 20-E.

17 Are there any objections?

18 (No response.)

19 MR. BRENC: Oh. Your Honor, just for the
20 record, to be clear, I think it's PGE-18 through 22.

21 ALJ TOY: I think we did 18 earlier.

22 MR. BRENC: Oh. You're right. My mistake. So
23 no objections.

24 ALJ TOY: Okay. No objections. PG&E-19
25 through 22 are moved into the record.

1 (Exhibits PGE-19 - PGE-22 were received into
2 evidence.)

3 ALJ TOY: Cal Advocates, would you like to
4 move to have your exhibits moved into the record?

5 MS. AKIYA: Yes, your Honor. Cal Advocates
6 would like Cal Advocates-22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31,
7 and 34 moved into the record. I'd like to address a
8 couple of other documents that we didn't do cross on,
9 but I want to start with the ones we did do cross on.

10 ALJ TOY: Okay. I have a motion to move
11 Exhibits Cal-Advocates-22 through 27, 30 and 31 and 34
12 into the record. Are there any objections?

13 (No response.)

14 ALJ TOY: Hearing none, those exhibits are
15 moved into the record.

16 (Exhibits Cal Advocates-22 - Cal Advocates-27
17 were received into evidence.)

18 (Exhibits Cal Advocates-30 - Cal Advocates-31
19 were received into evidence.)

20 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-34 was received into
21 evidence.)

22 ALJ TOY: And I'll note that -- I don't know if
23 this will be addressed in an updated exhibit list that
24 will be sent later -- but I would like to see the copy
25 of Cal Advocates-26 still. I don't have that.

1 MS. AKIYA: Yes, your Honor. We'll -- we'll
2 update the links on that one as well as the link that
3 was not correct for Cal Advocates-30.

4 ALJ TOY: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Go ahead
5 with the other exhibits you wanted to address.

6 MS. AKIYA: Cal Advocates would like to move
7 Cal Advocates-32, 32C, which is the confidential
8 version, Cal Advocates-33, Cal Advocates-33C, which is
9 the confidential version of that document, and Cal
10 Advocates-35 into evidence. These are data request
11 responses from PG&E, and -- and they are -- we would
12 like those admitted into evidence.

13 ALJ TOY: Sorry. Could you repeat the numbers.
14 33...

15 MS. AKIYA: Yes. 32, 33 and 35.

16 ALJ TOY: Okay.

17 MS. AKIYA: 32C and 33C were served directly
18 upon parties who are able to receive confidential
19 information.

20 ALJ TOY: Okay. Any objections to moving these
21 into the record?

22 (No response.)

23 ALJ TOY: Okay. Hearing none, I'm to go ahead
24 and mark and enter them now. Sorry. I should have done
25 that first, but -- okay. Let's start with a document

1 titled PG&E Responses to Cal Advocates November 6th DR,
2 Questions 001 to 002, 004-006, served on November 20th
3 and December 1st, 2025, Public Version.

4 And this document will be marked as Cal
5 Advocates-32 and is entered into the record.

6 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-32 was marked for
7 identification.)

8 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-32 was received into
9 evidence.)

10 ALJ TOY: We have a document titled PG&E
11 Responses to Cal Advocates November 6th DR, Questions
12 001 to 002, 004 to 006, served on November 20th and
13 December 1st, 2025, Confidential Version.

14 And this document will be marked as Cal
15 Advocates-32C and is entered into the record.

16 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-32C was marked for
17 identification.)

18 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-32C was received into
19 evidence.)

20 ALJ TOY: We have a document titled PG&E
21 Responses to Cal Advocates December 30 DR, Question 002
22 to 004, served on January 13th, 2026, Public Version.

23 And that document will be marked as Cal
24 Advocates-33 and is entered into the record.

25 ///

1 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-33 was marked for
2 identification.)

3 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-33 was received into
4 evidence.)

5 ALJ TOY: We have a document titled PG&E
6 Responses to Cal Advocates December 30th, DR, Question
7 002 to 004, served on January 13th, 2026, Confidential.

8 This document will be marked as Cal
9 Advocates-33C and is entered into the record.

10 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-33C was marked for
11 identification.)

12 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-33C was received into
13 evidence.)

14 ALJ TOY: And we have a document titled PG&E
15 Responses to Cal Advocates DR No. 14, Question 005 and
16 007 to 009, 011 to 012, served on March 4th and 5th,
17 2026.

18 And that document will be marked as Cal
19 Advocates-35 and is entered into the record.

20 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-35 was marked for
21 identification.)

22 (Exhibit Cal Advocates-35 was received into
23 evidence.)

24 ALJ TOY: As far as I can tell, the only
25 outstanding exhibit then that needs to be addressed is

1 what I would guess will be marked as CLEU-1 that
2 hopefully will be addressed either via email or on
3 Friday at evidentiary hearing.

4 Is there anything else the parties would like
5 to speak to with regards to the exhibit list?

6 (No response.)

7 ALJ TOY: Okay. Thank you. I don't think I
8 need an update urgently, but sometime early next week
9 maybe if the parties could circulate an updated exhibit
10 list following the close of evidentiary hearings, that
11 would be appreciated.

12 MR. HAFEZ: Your Honor.

13 ALJ TOY: Yeah.

14 MR. HAFEZ: This is Samir Hafez.

15 So just to clarify, I will not out -- I will
16 not be in the office Thursday or Friday. What should I
17 relay to another member of my team about attending
18 hearings tomorrow and Friday?

19 ALJ TOY: I was going to get to that in a
20 second --

21 MR. HAFEZ: Got ya.

22 ALJ TOY: -- after I -- after I get to one
23 other thing, which now escapes me. Oh. The exhibits.
24 So Cal Advocates, you've told CLEU that -- from what I
25 understand, you've given CLEU a deadline of today close

1 of business to produce discovery documents. If they do
2 not meet the deadline or if they do, actually, please
3 send a procedural email to the service list letting us
4 know, I guess, what has happened. I'll respond by
5 tomorrow, if necessary, asking CLEU what is going on.

6 Right now my plan is to keep the date for
7 Friday but move the start time to 10:00 a.m. from 9:00
8 a.m., so not quite so early, and also cancel hearings
9 for tomorrow. But Friday, the only thing that's left to
10 address is this outstanding discovery request as well as
11 whether to move CLEU's testimony into the record, as far
12 as I can tell.]

13 MS. AKIYA: Yes, your Honor. Once we wrap up
14 today, I'll -- I'll see if I have any new emails from
15 them, and -- and we'll send that around to the service
16 list, as you've provided.

17 ALJ TOY: Okay. And I'll send an email around
18 to everybody relaying what I've just said and letting
19 CLEU know that they need to show up on Friday.

20 Okay. Does that answer your question,
21 Mr. Hafez?

22 MR. HAFEZ: Yes, it does. Thank you, your
23 Honor.

24 ALJ TOY: Okay. Is there anything anybody else
25 would like to address, starting with PG&E?

1 MR. BRENC: No, your Honor.

2 ALJ TOY: Okay. Cal Advocates?

3 MR. MCCORMACK: Nothing from Cal Advocates,
4 your Honor.

5 ALJ TOY: Okay. And I guess, either TURN or
6 CLECA, if you want to jump in; otherwise, I'll take your
7 silence as a no. Or any other parties.

8 Sierra Club? Okay.

9 MS. GERSEN: No, nothing further. Thank you.

10 ALJ TOY: Okay. Thank you.

11 Hearing nothing else, I'll, once again, repeat
12 that hearings for tomorrow are cancelled, given the lack
13 of witnesses. We will keep the hearings on the schedule
14 for Friday, but I will move the start time to
15 10:00 a.m., since there's not a lot on the agenda for
16 that day; and I'll send a procedural email around to
17 everybody with that information, as well.

18 Okay. Off the record for a second.

19 (Off the record.)

20 ALJ TOY: Back on the record.

21 Hearing nothing, we are adjourned today for
22 evidentiary hearing. And then, we'll continue
23 tentatively -- tentatively, right now, on Friday at
24 10:00 a.m.

25 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

(At the hour of 1:38 p.m., this matter having
been continued to 10:00 a.m., April 17, 2026,
the Commission then adjourned.)]


* * * * *

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING

I, DORIS HUAMAN, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
NO. 10358, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
THIS MATTER ON APRIL 15, 2026.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
EXECUTED THIS APRIL 21, 2026.


DORIS HUAMAN
CSR NO. 10538

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING

I, KARLY POWERS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
NO. 13991, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
THIS MATTER ON APRIL 15, 2026.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.

EXECUTED THIS APRIL 21, 2026.


KARLY POWERS
CSR NO. #13991

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING

I, REBEKAH L. DE ROSA, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND
REPORTER NO. 8708, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
THIS MATTER ON APRIL 15, 2026.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
EXECUTED THIS APRIL 21, 2026.


REBEKAH L. DE ROSA
CSR NO. 8708

\$	13991 572:8	499:14 503:5,14,23 510:1,14 516:12,20 534:4 565:22 566:7,17 570:2 571:12,15 572:12,15 573:12,15	566:6
\$200 527:15	13th 478:10 481:17,22 483:22 565:22 566:7		31 563:6,11
0	14 566:15		32 526:18 564:15
	14th 482:5 491:23	2045 493:24	32C 564:7,17
001 510:1,19,25 565:2, 12	15 473:2 496:14 509:25 540:24 571:12 572:12 573:12	20th 565:2,12	33 564:14,15
002 503:5 565:2,12,21 566:7	15-minute 513:18	21 493:22 528:16 555:3 571:15 572:15 573:15	33C 564:17
002(b) 503:17,19	15th 478:5 485:11	22 562:16,20,25	34 563:7,11
003 503:5	165 523:20 524:14	23 551:21 552:4,8,12 553:24 560:25 563:6	35 564:15
004 565:12,22 566:7	17 509:7 533:20 570:2	230 502:16 526:25 527:7 537:25 539:22	36 537:11,23 538:19
004-006 565:2	17th 478:9	230-kilovolt 524:2	380 523:20 524:14
005 566:15	18 553:14 562:4,21	23rd-24th 525:2	39 556:21
006 565:12	18.7 494:5		3rd 510:1,14
007 510:1 566:16	19 493:14 494:10	24 519:2 520:6,13,15,16 521:2,8 522:18 524:24 528:16 555:3 563:6	4
009 566:16	19th 507:13,21	24-11-007 473:9	4 493:19 494:15,22 495:2,25 496:24 497:2 501:2 502:20 505:24 508:6 509:9 529:7,9 534:10 545:4,10,19 546:2,9,12,22 557:10
011 566:16	1:00 548:18,20	25 555:3 563:6	4-15 485:22
012 566:16	1:04 548:23 549:1	25,241 494:4	4.6 531:3
1	1:38 570:1	25-07-039 504:24 507:13	40 502:13,14
	1st 565:3,13		481 472:9,10
1 502:22 503:21 504:5 522:20,25	2	25th 499:14,25	482 472:10,11,12
1-A 493:11	2 493:8,10,14 507:23 522:11 553:15	26 537:11 563:6	483 472:12,13
1-to-1 495:4,6	20 502:15 562:10	27 563:6,11	484 472:14,15
1.2 501:24 502:20	20- 537:11	278 501:24	485 472:13,15
10 528:4 547:16	20-E 562:6,9,16	28 525:15	486 472:14,15
10358 571:8	200 502:12 511:11	29 525:21	487 472:9
10538 571:21	2024 500:14 525:3	3	491 472:3
10:00 568:7 569:15,24 570:2	2024-2025 525:1	3 496:14 502:23 503:21 504:5 509:6 522:20,25 528:6 547:16	492 472:3
10th 477:25 478:1	2024/2025 525:11	3,000 538:1	499 472:16
11 500:15 502:4 504:3 522:16 531:17 533:13 542:12,24 543:15	2025 493:23 499:13,15, 25 503:23 504:24 507:13,22 526:24 565:3,13	30 473:11 482:20 483:2 498:21 504:23 505:22 507:12 508:11,17 563:6,11 565:21	4th 566:16
11:48 548:22	2025-2026 537:15	300 514:6	5
12 523:18 533:14	2026 473:2 481:17,22 482:1,6 483:22 484:2 491:16,23 493:22	30th 503:5,13 534:4	5 553:11 560:20,24
13 503:4			5.5 537:24

503 472:16	545:25 546:3	558:23 560:6	13,17 477:18,20 482:17
505 549:11	7671-E 504:22 507:11	achieve 537:25	483:7 488:12,14 492:18
507 472:17	7th 477:22	acknowledge 519:17	493:2 503:4,13 509:25
510 472:17		acknowledges 524:5	517:15,20 549:14,16
512 472:4	8	actively 561:13	551:11,14,23 553:8
516 472:5	8 523:16 531:14	actual 498:12 504:10	554:25 560:13 561:24
517 472:5	8.4 558:11,17	Adam 534:16	563:3,5 564:6 565:1,11,
525 472:18	840 500:16 521:8	added 505:22 520:2	21 566:6,15 567:24
530 472:18	545:18	521:17 522:3 526:13	569:2,3
551 472:6	8708 573:8,21	527:6 544:24	Advocates' 487:8
553 472:7	8th 482:1 484:1 491:16	adding 527:17	488:10 533:22 548:19
556 472:19 501:25	504:24 516:12,20	addition 493:15 521:7	554:24
560 472:7	9	523:1 526:1 529:13,14	Advocates-22 472:12,
563 472:16,19,20	9 523:18 533:13	547:7,8	20 482:18,22 533:21
565 472:21	92 555:19	additional 518:22	551:21 552:4,8,12
566 472:22,23	94102 549:12	520:15,23 527:8	563:6,16
57 499:21 500:1,14	94612 514:7	535:19,21 537:21 543:6	Advocates-23 472:12
512:16 518:8,9 519:24	9:00 568:7	545:25 547:4,6	482:25 483:5 553:9
520:4	9:20 473:2	additions 492:6 517:3	560:20
59 558:12		528:9	Advocates-24 472:18
5th 530:19 566:16	A	address 478:16 479:19	524:21 525:4,5
6	A-K-I-Y-A 475:10	497:20 514:6 536:16	Advocates-25 472:16
6 496:11 505:12 531:14	A-N-I-R-U-D-H 477:3	549:11 558:1,3 559:3	499:16,17 512:11 518:7
534:9 540:22 553:15	a.m. 473:2 548:22	563:7 564:5 568:10,25	Advocates-26 563:25
560:25	568:7,8 569:15,24	addressed 525:18,19	Advocates-27 472:17,
6.2 557:13	570:2	542:21 563:23 566:25	20 507:15,16 563:16
6.3 555:22 556:21,23	absolutely 487:20	567:2	Advocates-30 472:18,
6.3.2 557:4,12	489:23 515:7 550:21	addresses 538:5	20 530:11,22,23 531:3
6.3.2.1.1 557:13	AC 526:25 527:7,8,13,	adds 506:20	563:18 564:3
6.3.2.1.2 557:14	18	adequately 519:1	Advocates-31 472:17,
60 501:17	account 494:5,10	adhere 473:19 487:15	20 510:3,4 563:18
633 501:23 502:20	526:6,9,11 527:5	489:12 514:21 550:10	Advocates-32 472:21
6th 565:1,11	accounted 520:24	adjourned 569:21	564:7 565:5,6,8
7	539:15	570:3	Advocates-32c
7 528:6 531:14 540:24	accounts 527:10	Administrative 473:4,	472:21 565:15,16,18
700 520:23 521:6	accurate 494:21	12	Advocates-33 472:22
	521:21 524:7 526:4,10	admit 479:8 486:8	564:8 565:24 566:1,3
	529:1,22 535:5,10	admitted 479:2 564:12	Advocates-33c
	538:4 539:22 543:15,18	advance 509:9,17	472:22 564:8 566:9,10,
	544:7 545:22 557:8	advice 504:17,22	12
		505:13 507:22	Advocates-34 472:16
		advisors 473:16	503:7,8 522:8 563:20
		Advocates 475:5,6,9,	Advocates-35 472:23
			564:10 566:19,20,22
			affirmations 488:9
			afternoon 549:1
			551:19 553:3

agenda 569:15	564:4,13,16,20,23 565:10,20 566:5,14,24 567:7,13,19,22 568:17, 24 569:2,5,10,20	approval 482:19 483:2 500:2,5,10 503:22 504:10 518:15,18 519:4 522:2,21,23 523:2 526:24 543:20	attest 487:11,13,15,17, 21,25 488:3 489:9,12, 15,19,20,24 490:5 514:18,21,24 515:3,4,8, 14 550:7,10,13,17,18, 22 551:3
agree 473:18 487:11, 13,15 488:3,22 495:11, 15,21 508:9,14,19 525:17 555:16 558:4 559:9	allocate 493:19	approve 526:24 542:10	attestation 487:7 489:3 514:12 549:18 550:1
Agreement 555:12	allocated 529:7,24	approved 497:12 498:3 499:1 504:7 518:17 523:7 541:8,13, 14 542:1,2	attestations 488:10,22
Agreements 504:23 507:12	allocating 494:15 495:25 532:22	approves 502:4 519:6 521:23,25 533:16	attorney 474:4 487:6
agrees 507:25	allocation 530:20 555:10 556:4,24 561:5	April 473:2 477:22,25 478:5,9,10 482:1,5 484:1 485:11 491:16,23 510:1,14 516:12,20 570:2 571:12,15 572:12,15 573:12,15	attorneys 487:8,9,11, 13,15,17,21,25 488:2, 10 493:2
ahead 481:15,20 484:22 492:18 507:4 512:7 541:22 552:25 560:16 564:4,23	allowed 547:18	area 494:6 509:11,19 525:25 526:1 529:12 530:5 539:18 540:8 546:8,22 557:18,21 558:2 559:6,12 560:2,7 561:3,12	audio 474:10
Akiya 472:5,6,7 475:8, 9,10,15 477:19,20 479:12,24 480:9,12 481:6 483:9 488:13,14 517:16,18,20 521:11 525:7,8 527:22,24 529:21 530:25 531:1 534:15,18,24 536:21,23 537:2,5 539:4 541:12, 17,23 548:5 551:16,18 552:19 558:14 560:14, 18 561:17 563:5 564:1, 6,15,17 568:13	Alto 509:12	areas 495:16,23 511:6 543:10	Avenue 549:12
Alameda 500:17	amount 496:7 533:5	arise 538:5	aware 503:20 504:5,6 522:11,19 526:17 534:19 554:16
ALJ 474:2 475:1,4,11, 19 476:1,8,13,23 477:1, 5,8 479:9,21 480:8,11, 15 481:8,10,20,25 482:4,9,13,16,24 483:7, 10,17,20,25 484:5,8,14, 19,22 485:7,10,14,18, 21 486:3,10,13,21,24 487:2,4 488:8,15,19 489:1 490:12,20 492:17,21 499:12,19 503:3,10 504:21 505:7, 10 507:2,4,9,18 509:24 510:6,9 511:24 512:3,5, 7 513:6,10,15,17,22 514:8,11 515:21 517:14 524:25 525:7 530:14, 17,25 536:21,25 537:4 541:21 548:2,4,7,9,13, 16 549:3,13,17,22,24 551:10 552:21,25 556:11,18 560:13,16 561:19,21 562:6,9,15, 21,24 563:3,10,14,22	amps 538:1	assessment 525:2,12 531:10	back 474:2 477:8 481:10 487:4 488:8 489:1 498:25 502:18 509:4 512:10 513:17,22 525:9 528:1 530:17 533:8,9,13 540:2 542:5 543:2 548:4,16 549:4, 24 560:19 569:20
	analogized 557:9	assessments 531:4	background 474:11
	analogy 557:11	assigned 473:14 560:3	based 489:9 494:13 496:3 498:9 511:13 514:18 533:5 544:17 550:7
	analyses 511:1	Association 476:3,10 483:13	basically 479:5
	analysis 543:12 561:2, 11	assume 504:8	basics 520:11
	and/or 487:19 491:18, 25	assuming 479:9	basis 535:24,25 536:2, 17,18
	Anirudh 476:22 484:10,15	asterisk 501:9	Bay 540:8 546:6
	anticipated 503:20 504:5 522:20	Attachment 482:10 493:21 516:19	
	apologies 525:9 533:9	attachments 533:25 562:12	
	appears 559:15	attempts 490:9 515:18 551:7	
	appendix 482:21 483:3,4 530:19 533:24 534:1 555:20 556:3,13	attendance 480:12	
	applicable 532:16 536:19 547:19	attending 567:17	
	applicants 535:15,16	attention 493:5 509:4 553:13	
	application 473:9,10 482:18 483:1 520:11, 13,19 521:2,9 544:24 546:2 554:6		
	applications 474:13 521:1		
	applies 508:5 540:3 559:21 560:7		
	appreciated 567:11		
	approach 518:22		

begin 493:4	building 502:8	566:1,3,6,8,10,12,15, 18,20,22 567:24 569:2, 3	538:23,25 539:18 540:4,8,9,12 542:14,25 543:23 544:23 545:15, 16
behalf 475:12 476:17, 20 477:10,20 483:12 484:10,16 485:4 488:14,18 513:24,25 514:9,10 517:20 549:14,15	bullet 525:23 526:23 537:24 539:21	Cal-advocates-22 563:11	centers 494:4,9,13 504:3 511:8 520:12 522:16,17 523:22 535:19 538:25 539:10, 14
beneficiary 505:21	business 478:4,21 479:25 480:1,4 490:16 514:2,6 549:9,11 568:1	Caladvocates-22 536:23	CERTIFICATION 571:6 572:6 573:6
benefit 501:10 506:13 529:10 536:8,9 540:7 544:16 545:21 546:5,6, 13 547:2,4,12	button 473:24	Caladvocates-25 537:7	CERTIFIED 571:7 572:7 573:7
benefits 543:7 544:2 546:22	C	Caladvocates-35 536:22	CERTIFY 571:9,13 572:9,13 573:9,13
bid 511:11	C-L-E-U 477:12	calculate 555:7	change 496:5
bill 511:11	CA-25 499:9	California 476:2,9 477:13 483:12 493:17 496:6 502:14 514:7 518:19 519:13,20 549:12 571:3,8 572:3,8 573:3,8	chargers 546:19
billion 501:24 502:5,20	CA-26 504:17 507:23	call 473:21 502:22	charges 532:7
bit 479:6 506:16,20	CA-27 506:24	called 490:24 516:2 551:14	check 548:1
blank 489:3	CA-31 509:21,23	calls 501:1	checked 477:9
board 504:10,11 526:24	CA-34 502:25	cancel 568:8	Choice 476:10
bottom 501:19 534:6	CAISO 494:6 497:13 498:3,25 499:2,13,25 500:2,5,7,10 502:4,13 503:22 504:2,10 518:13,14,15,16 519:6, 17,25 521:15,23,25 522:2,15,21,24 523:1,6, 8,9 524:2,25 525:11 526:23 530:18 531:21 532:3,13,22 533:16 537:8 538:16 541:8,13 542:2,7,9,10,14 544:9 545:14 555:9,11,19 556:12 558:5,8 559:9	cancelled 569:12	choose 509:10
break 513:18 548:17,20 549:4	CAISO's 519:17 530:5 531:20 543:20	Cap 534:9	choosing 511:5
Brenc 472:3,4,5,7 474:23,24,25 475:3 482:15 486:17 488:17, 18 491:2,4 512:1,4,6,9 513:4 516:6 517:11 527:22 534:15,18,22 541:11 548:8 552:24 553:2 556:9,17,19 558:18 560:11 561:20 562:3,11,19,22 569:1	Cal 472:12,16,17,18,20, 21,22,23 475:6,9,12,16 477:18,20 482:16,17, 22,25 483:5,7 487:8 488:10,12,14 492:18 493:2 499:16,17 503:4, 6,8,13 507:14,16 509:25 510:2,4 512:11 517:15,20 518:7 522:7 524:20 525:3,5 530:6, 11,21,23 531:3 533:21, 22 548:19 549:14,16 551:11,14,21,22 552:4, 8,11 553:8 554:24,25 560:13,19 561:24 563:3,5,6,16,18,20,25 564:3,6,7,8,9 565:1,4,6, 8,11,14,16,18,21,23	capacity 495:6,12 497:24 498:4 500:21,25 501:5,9,10,21,25 502:6 512:20,23 528:11,19 529:1,4,25 534:8,9 535:1,17,23 536:15,16 537:18 538:12,14 539:9,23 540:10	Chrome 474:12
brevice 496:20		case 489:6 514:15 523:21 527:19 550:4	circling 498:25 502:18
briefly 478:25 500:9		categories 521:16,21	circuit 526:25 527:7,8
briefs 479:18,20		caused 505:25 506:8 508:20	circulate 567:9
bring 545:19 546:3,25 547:18		CCA 476:10	circulated 505:1
bringing 521:22 546:10,12 547:10		CEC 482:10 493:18,22 494:3,11 543:24	cite 553:23 554:1,12
broader 501:11 513:1 529:9,11 536:3 538:24 539:17		center 495:15,22 499:5 500:14,15 501:11 502:4 519:2 520:6,7,17,21,22, 24 521:7,12,13 522:18 524:6,10,12 536:10,12	cited 557:1 558:15
build 502:11			cities 496:6
			cities' 495:19
			City 509:12
			claim 495:24 510:20
			Clara 500:17
			clarification 521:4 522:22 529:18 539:2
			clarify 494:24 503:25 507:5 521:20 522:14 567:15
			clarity 478:13 486:3 500:24

class 494:20 496:8	478:13 487:25 489:7	519:3,6,8,16,21 521:15,	496:25 497:3,4,5,10,11,
clean 483:22 562:7,8	493:17,18 494:15	24 522:23,24 523:6,9	14,16,17,22 502:7,22
clear 480:6 562:20	495:24 507:6,21 508:1,	542:6,7,8,15 543:21	506:18 510:7,15,16
CLECA 476:4,7 483:14	13 509:16 514:16	544:5	511:2,3 517:6 520:3
485:1,4 569:6	515:13 550:5 551:2	concur 544:9	521:1 522:5 523:8
CLECA-03 472:13	554:4,7,14,17 570:3	conditions 538:1	524:4,10,18 526:8
483:11,18 485:6,8,10,	571:1 572:1 573:1	confer 479:1	527:3,4 531:24 532:23
12 486:3	Commission's 475:5	conference 474:15	535:3,4 536:22 538:16
CLEU 477:10,12,21	518:20 519:13	confidential 564:7,9,	539:10,11 545:12,16
478:2,11 479:10 480:13	commissioner 473:14	18 565:13 566:7	546:5,8 552:12 554:1,
567:24,25 568:5,19	Commissioning	confirm 488:11,13,17	12 555:18 564:3 571:10
CLEU's 568:11	490:4	491:7 495:8 500:7	572:10 573:10
CLEU-1 567:1	communication	516:9 561:2	corrections 492:5
clicked 527:25	487:14 490:6,7 515:16	confirmed 499:1	517:2 552:7
climate 495:19 496:5	551:5	congestion 558:2	correctly 519:5 520:20
close 479:24,25 480:4	communications	connect 511:16,19	539:5 540:14 555:14
567:10,25	515:15 551:4	519:19	559:24 561:15
closed 520:14 521:10	Community 476:9	connected 521:14	correlates 494:22,25
544:25	Company 474:19	connecting 511:8	cost 494:18 498:23
Club 476:18,20 484:8,	482:19 483:2 490:19,25	consequences	501:20,25 502:18 504:2
11,16,19 486:5,7,8	507:11 516:3	509:16,18	522:16 523:23 524:14
569:8	Company's 473:10	considered 513:1	526:2,6 527:5,9,10,18
Club's 554:10	compel 479:13	543:16 554:21 558:4,7	532:9 533:1 556:24
cluster 500:14 506:11,	competitive 527:1	constraints 558:2,3	558:21,24 559:3,6,10,
14 508:24 519:2 520:6,	complete 562:14	constructed 544:13	12 560:6
12,13,15,16,18,25	complex 547:17	Consumers 476:3	costs 493:19 494:16,23
521:2,10,13 522:18	Compliance 504:23	483:12	495:2,25 497:25 498:13
528:19 535:15,20	507:12	consumption 494:1	502:21 504:12 509:10,
536:1,5,17,18 542:14,	complicated 511:18	contact 478:18	17 523:20,23 526:6,9
25 545:15	543:3	contained 492:9 517:5	527:6 528:23 529:7,9,
clusters 499:5 506:20	COMPRISE 571:10	context 509:15	17,20 530:3,9 531:13,
531:16	572:10 573:10	continue 513:23 537:1	25 532:15,23 544:8,11,
co-counsel 505:4	concentrated 495:16,	548:18 569:22	22 545:4,5,9,10,19
517:25 541:4	22	continued 570:2	546:2,9,12 553:21
co-sponsoring	concern 547:9,14	continues 553:15	557:10 561:5
491:13,19,25 516:16,22	562:11	converter 527:15,16,	counsel 473:18,19
551:25	concerns 538:5	17	474:19 475:4 517:20
coached 489:14	concluded 478:3	copy 505:8 563:24	560:22
514:23 550:12	conclusion 479:4	copying 487:20 489:22	counsels 475:20
coaching 487:17	conclusions 552:15,	515:6 550:20	County 500:17
Coalition 477:13	17	correct 476:24,25	couple 501:16 512:2
combination 529:12	concur 519:18	492:9 493:12 494:11,12	563:8
commercial 529:14	concurrence 500:5,10		cover 559:5
Commission 473:6	504:9 518:13,15,24		covering 560:2

503:4 517:13,15 552:23 563:8,9	17,21,22,24 521:6,12, 13 522:10,16,17,18 523:22 524:5,10,11 534:1,3,16 535:19 536:12 538:23,24 539:10,14,18 540:4,8,9, 12 542:14,25 543:23 544:23 545:15 564:10	demonstrate 493:18 demonstrated 555:9 denial 487:23 490:2 515:11 550:25 dependent 497:25 498:2 depending 547:16 depends 543:9 describe 500:1 describes 519:24 designed 512:25 determined 512:23 developed 493:17 557:23 Diego 530:6 544:15 difference 500:10 518:14 520:8 557:20 differences 506:7 differentiation 532:2 differently 532:15 559:11 differing 509:19 511:16 difficult 542:20 direct 472:3,5,6 490:22 491:3 505:21 509:3 515:23 516:5 551:12,17 553:13 directing 493:4 direction 552:5 directly 473:21 494:22, 24 497:8 564:17 director 500:12 discovery 478:15 479:7 568:1,10 discuss 477:17 478:25 discussed 499:8 508:19 discussing 542:6 dismissed 513:13 548:13 561:22	Disposition 507:10 disre- 528:10 distinct 538:10 558:7 distinction 523:2 distinguishable 532:12 distribution 528:10 Division 507:10,21,25 508:10,15,21 doc 507:2 document 482:24 483:10,20,25 484:9,14 493:5 504:21,25 507:9 509:24 510:2,6 512:13 518:6 522:7 523:12,13 524:23,25 525:3 530:18,21 531:21 551:20 555:24 556:5,8, 12,14 558:12 564:9,25 565:4,10,14,20,23 566:5,8,14,18 documents 488:4 505:3 533:9 563:8 568:1 DORIS 571:7,21 double 548:1 draft 512:13 Drive 514:6 driven 495:12 523:21 538:19,22,23 539:17 540:5,16 drivers 493:23,25 494:18 dropped 476:14 due 524:9,11 535:18
D		E	
data 477:21 478:16 494:4,9,13 495:15,22 499:5 500:14,15 501:11 502:4 503:13 504:3,14 509:25 510:13,17,20 511:8 519:2 520:6,7,12,	date 480:3,10 568:6 dated 499:25 503:13 507:21 510:13 day 478:4 481:2 569:16 DC 527:14,17 DD 530:19 555:20 556:3,13 DE 573:7,21 deadline 567:25 568:2 deal 561:25 December 565:3,13,21 566:6 decision 504:24 505:18 506:5 507:13 deck 539:13 decorum 473:19 487:16 decrease 527:5 deemed 487:24 490:3 515:12 551:1 defer 500:11 deferred 517:24 518:3 define 496:14 defined 498:10 535:1 definition 497:11 498:1,7,9 499:2 501:4,7 506:20 508:21 542:7,16 543:1,17 544:7 558:10 deliver 531:3 557:7 deliverability 530:20 531:4,10 555:10 556:4 delivery 531:22 557:7, 9,17,18,21 558:1,2,8, 21,25 559:4,6,11,12 560:2,8 demand 524:11 530:8	data 477:21 478:16 494:4,9,13 495:15,22 499:5 500:14,15 501:11 502:4 503:13 504:3,14 509:25 510:13,17,20 511:8 519:2 520:6,7,12,	

562:21	entry 485:5 487:23 490:2 515:11 550:25	Excuse 507:3	F
early 567:8 568:8	equipment 474:16 526:2	EXECUTED 571:15 572:15 573:15	facilities 503:21 508:6
Edison 502:14 530:6 544:14	Eratta 491:22	exhibit 481:15,18,23 482:2,7,11,22 483:5,15, 18,23 484:3,12,17 485:2,6,12,24 486:1,8, 15,16 487:1 491:9,15, 21 499:8,12,17,24 502:25 503:2,3,6,8 504:17,20 505:6,8 506:23 507:14,16 509:21 510:4 512:11 516:11 522:7 525:5 530:10,23 536:21 551:21 552:4,8,11 553:8 556:15 563:20,23 565:6,8,16,18 566:1,3, 10,12,20,22,25 567:5,9	facility 493:19 494:22 495:2 496:24 497:2 501:2 503:21 504:5 505:24 509:9 522:20 523:6 529:7 534:10 545:4,9 546:22 557:10 559:16
efficiency 484:25	errata 482:5 562:7,8	Exhibit-22 554:25	fact 494:2,13 522:23
electric 473:10 474:19 482:19,20,21 483:1,2,3 490:19,25 494:1 504:22 505:22 507:10,11 516:3 529:12 530:7	escapes 567:23	exhibits 472:9 477:14 480:19 481:11,12 482:14 483:8 484:5,6, 20,23 485:16,22 486:6 487:12 488:1 489:16 492:9 514:25 517:5 550:14 561:24 562:1,4 563:1,4,11,14,16,18 564:5 567:23	factor 524:6
Electric's 544:15	essentially 518:17	existing 523:23 535:8, 13	factors 528:8 542:7
electrification 494:2 495:19 496:4 529:13 543:6	Establish 473:10	expect 479:7	factory 519:11
electrified 533:3	estimate 501:20 504:3 522:16 523:23 527:9	expected 503:22 522:21 528:12	facts 492:9 517:5
elements 539:8 540:15	estimated 523:20	expedite 479:14	failure 474:16
Elise 475:23	EV 495:18 496:4 546:19	expensive 527:14	fair 498:11 511:4 553:20
email 474:15 478:16,17 481:4 490:6 505:1 515:15 551:4 567:2 568:3,17 569:16	evaluate 535:6 536:2 543:2	experience 490:9 511:13 515:18 551:7	familiar 499:4 556:5
emails 568:14	evaluated 536:4 544:1	explain 500:9 518:13 520:8 542:6	fast 477:22 547:21
emergency 538:1	evaluates 535:11	explained 508:23	faster 543:24
emerging 561:3,12	event 474:16	expressed 492:11 517:8	feasible 555:6
end 478:4,21 480:2,6, 17 481:1 488:23 498:15 503:23 505:21 506:1 509:2,6 527:16 553:21 555:13	EVENTS 571:14 572:14 573:14	extent 502:11 541:21 552:14	February 481:17
ended 521:3	eventually 523:5,7	external 489:10 511:2 514:19 550:8	Federal 547:19
ends 502:10	evidence 478:24 479:8 485:13,25 486:1,15,16 487:1 563:2,17,19,21 564:10,12 565:9,19 566:4,13,23		feel 518:2
energy 476:2 477:13 483:12 493:17 507:9, 20,25 508:10,15,21 518:20 519:13 544:17	evident 493:24		figure 498:5
engage 490:5 515:14 551:3	evidentiary 473:8,17 488:1,3 490:1 515:10 550:24 567:3,10 569:22		fin 532:4
ensure 474:11 547:20	exact 542:20		final 509:3 554:13,16 561:12
enter 480:19,21 481:12 564:24	examination 472:3,5,6 490:22 491:3 515:23 516:5 551:17		financial 531:15
entered 485:22 565:5, 15,24 566:9,19	examined 490:8 515:17 551:6		financing 531:22 532:4,13,20 554:18 555:7 561:8,9
entering 473:20 561:23	exceptions 541:7,25		find 561:11
entities 506:13	excluded 497:21 498:1 499:2 501:7 542:15 543:16 544:4,6,10		fine 553:6
	excludes 497:12,15 506:9		fits 498:6
	exclusions 498:11		flexibility 547:5
			flow 559:15
			follow 506:17 518:1 532:19

footnote 553:24 555:19 560:25	544:15	547:6	Honor 474:23 475:3,8, 14,23 476:6,19 477:19 478:23 479:6,14 481:6 482:15 483:9,16 484:7 485:3,15 486:7,17,19 488:11,13,17 489:4 491:2 492:15,20 499:10,20 503:1,11 504:19 505:2,11 506:25 507:19 509:22 510:10 511:23 512:1 513:8,14 517:12,16 524:22 525:8 530:11 531:1 547:24 548:8 551:16 552:19,24 556:9,17 560:14 561:20 562:3,12,19 563:5 564:1 567:12 568:13,23 569:1,4
forecast 518:20 519:1, 13 520:2 521:17 522:3 524:9 543:22,24	general 479:11 537:13 540:4,6	guess 479:11 480:24 481:4,5 567:1 568:4 569:5	
forecasted 528:9	generally 499:4	guessing 504:9	
forecasts 493:16	generating 559:16		
form 500:8 504:23 507:12	generation 528:9 532:4,6,12,16,22 558:5	H	
formal 473:19 487:15 489:13 514:22 550:11	generator 530:19 555:9,11 556:3 558:8 559:10	H-A-F-E-Z 476:7	
forward 501:16 521:22 522:1 523:10 561:25	generators 532:1	Hafez 476:6 483:16 485:3 567:12,14,21 568:21,22	
found 561:2	geographic 495:16,22	half 502:5	
fourth 559:19	GERSEN 484:21 486:7 569:9	halfway 505:16	
Francisco 549:12	Gerson 476:19,20,25 477:3	hand 473:23 504:1	
free 489:10 514:19 550:8	GIA 555:13	handled 559:11	
Friday 478:1 479:11, 16,25 480:1 567:3,16, 18 568:7,9,19 569:14, 23	GIDAP 555:11	happen 547:16	
Friday's 478:8	give 477:25 478:4 480:3,5 489:6 499:22 503:15 504:2 514:15 519:8 522:15 542:20 543:4 546:24 550:4	happened 568:4	
front 478:14 480:14 525:13 529:7 530:8,18 532:15 533:4 556:12	goals 495:19	Harper 483:12	
full 474:21 490:15 531:7,9 571:10 572:10 573:10	good 473:6 474:23 475:8 476:19 491:5,6 492:24,25 500:13 516:7,8 517:19 519:21 551:19 553:3	heading 540:18	
fully 528:22	Google 474:12	headings 557:16	
functionally 506:4	governed 532:14	headphones 474:10	
fund 497:8 530:9	governs 547:21	hear 480:25 481:1	
funded 501:6	graph 493:22,24	heard 473:23	
future 487:23,24 490:2, 3 515:11,12 541:14 542:3,10 550:25 551:1	gray 549:20	hearing 473:16,18,21 475:2 478:8 479:22,23 480:10 485:10,21 486:13,24 487:6,20 488:1,4 489:22 490:1 515:7,10 550:20,24 563:14 564:23 567:3 569:11,21,22	
G	Great 502:24 549:13	hearings 473:9 477:24 478:3 487:23,24 490:2, 3 515:11,12 550:25 551:1 567:10,18 568:8 569:12,13	
G-E-R-S-E-N 476:21	grid 547:5,20	held 487:18 489:21 515:5 550:19 571:11 572:11 573:11	
Garrett 473:12	ground 473:17	hide 543:19	
Gas 473:9 474:19 482:19 483:1 490:19,24 507:10 516:2 530:6	group 493:20 520:12	high 545:24	
	growth 493:23,25 494:3,6,10,14,22 495:1, 5,13,18 496:3,4,5,8,9 513:1 526:1 528:10,12 529:11,13 538:24 539:17 540:6 546:19	High-speed 519:20	
			im- 526:20

immediately 490:11 500:20 515:20 551:9	information 478:12 518:4 541:20 552:11 564:19 569:17	J	kinds 498:20
impact 559:15	informed 478:2,19	J-E-N-S 514:5	knew 477:22
implementation 505:18 508:3,11,12,17 526:20	initial 539:16	January 493:22 503:5, 13 565:22 566:7	knowledge 489:10 492:8 514:19 517:6 534:21 541:19 550:8 552:10
importantly 523:19	initiating 522:1	Jens 472:4 500:4,6,11 504:7,11 513:24 514:4, 14,18,21,24 515:3,8,14, 18 516:1	Krishna 476:22 484:10, 16
improve 546:7 547:6	instances 508:3 511:8	John 473:14	Krishna's 477:2
include 479:18 505:5 528:8 540:8,9 541:1 555:19	intending 535:1	joined 476:21	kv 502:12 511:11 526:25 527:7 537:25 539:22
included 478:18 493:21 501:13,14 520:14,17 542:25	intent 543:18,19,20	joining 477:24	Kwatra 472:6 549:6,7, 10,19,25 550:3,7,10,13, 17,22 551:3,7,13,19 553:3 554:23 556:20 558:15,20 560:12,19 561:21
includes 540:6,14	interchangeably 496:25	Jose 524:3,6,14 525:17,18 526:5,25 527:5,7	L
including 487:16,19 489:13,21 490:1 496:4 514:22 515:6,10 523:22 529:17 550:11,19,24 561:8 562:14,16	interconnect 497:19	judge 473:4,12,21 474:5,7	labeled 526:20
inclusive 538:24	interconnecting 500:16	judgment 492:12 517:9	lack 569:12
incorporate 539:8	interconnection 501:20,24 502:19 504:2 520:7,9,21 521:7,13 522:15 530:20 531:11, 12,15,16 544:23 545:16 546:1 553:21 555:10,12 556:3 558:9 559:10,22 560:3	judgments 552:15,16	Lakeside 514:6
incorporated 537:17 538:14 539:25 540:13	interconnections 520:5	jump 569:6	language 505:23 508:10,16,20
increase 495:5	interconnector 555:12	June 534:4	large 476:2 477:13 483:12 500:1 519:24 529:2,5,11,23 530:2 531:23 532:16 539:19 543:8 544:19 545:3 547:10 553:19 554:17 561:5
increased 524:9,11	INTEREST 571:13 572:13 573:13	K	large-load 532:1,11, 18,24 533:2 536:13
increases 494:3,19 523:22 526:2,7	interim 505:17 508:3, 10,12,17	K-A-R-E-N 490:18	late 477:24 478:15,24
increasing 495:2	issue 478:19 480:22 534:11 535:2 554:21 561:14	K-I-M-I-K-O 475:9	Law 473:4,12
incremental 496:15,19 498:6 513:2 535:6,11, 14 540:25 541:5	issues 474:14 477:16 479:19 482:13 483:7,14 484:19,21 485:7,18 505:2 535:8,12 536:16 561:25	K-R-I-S-H-N-A 477:4	LC 520:6 521:8 522:18
independent 538:9	ITNU 497:2,12 498:1 499:2 501:4,7,13,15 506:8,19 508:25 542:15 543:1,17 544:7,10	K-W-A-T-R-A 549:11	LC24 500:15,22 502:6 504:3 512:21,25 542:12 543:15 545:15,19
INDEX 472:1	ITNUS 496:19 497:8,21 498:10 506:5 508:22,24 541:4,7 542:1,24	Karen 472:2 489:5,9, 12,15,19,24 490:5,9,17, 23	lead 519:15,19
individual 498:23 512:24 529:11,16,19 535:25 536:5,12 538:23 539:19 546:23		KARLY 572:7,21	left 568:9
individually 542:18		keeping 499:7 509:20 524:19 533:19	letter 504:17,22 505:13 507:6,10,20,22
industrial 519:11		key 532:2	
industry 511:14		Kimiko 475:9 477:20 488:14 517:19	
influences 489:11 514:20 550:9		kind 479:5 480:10 537:13	

letting 568:3,18	long 525:24 547:15	materials 489:16 492:2 514:25 516:24 550:14 553:23	moment 499:22 501:5 503:15 510:21 547:24 555:25 559:1
level 482:20 483:3 532:18 543:12 545:24	looked 504:1 507:22 543:5	matter 537:13 570:1 571:12,14 572:12,14 573:12,14	Monday 478:10,11
light 525:25	lost 525:9	Mccormack 472:3 475:14,16 488:11,12 492:20,23 493:1 499:10,19,20 503:1,10, 11 504:19,25 505:2,11 506:25 507:7,18,19 509:22 510:9,10 511:20 512:17 513:7,8 569:3	Monta 537:25 539:22
limit 508:2	lot 569:15	means 522:3,24	months 504:4 522:19
limited 481:21 482:18, 25 491:9 493:6 533:22 536:24 554:10	lower 523:22,23	meet 478:25 534:11 535:2 542:18 568:2	morning 473:6 474:23 475:8 476:19 491:5,6 492:24,25 516:7,8 517:19
lines 496:14 523:18 526:12 528:6,16 533:13 540:24 555:3	LS 526:1	meet all 547:19	Morsony 476:13
link 504:25 505:4 564:2	lunch 548:17 549:4	megawatt 506:15	motion 478:17 479:13 562:15 563:10
links 564:2	M	megawatts 494:4 500:16 508:25 520:23 521:6,8 545:18,25 546:3 547:1,7	move 477:11 485:2,5 486:6,19 487:5 488:20 521:25 534:20 562:1,3, 16 563:4,10 564:6 568:7,11 569:14
list 474:16,17 477:14,15 478:18 481:1 505:6 522:25 540:10 563:23 567:5,10 568:3,16	M-A-R-T-I-N 475:17	member 567:17	moved 485:11,17 486:4,14,25 562:25 563:4,7,15
listed 502:19 503:14 520:6,9 521:6,16 536:10 540:10 545:14 552:1	M-C-C-O-R-M-A-C-K 475:18	memory 489:10 514:19 550:8	moves 486:8 521:22
listening 473:16 517:21	made 511:4 552:14 557:11	mentioned 545:2 547:8	moving 482:16 484:23 485:7,19 486:5,11,22 496:6 564:20
lists 501:20	main 493:23 518:17	method 559:15	multiple 494:18 496:4 536:7,9 553:18 561:3, 13
load 493:16,23,25 494:3,5,10,14,22 495:1, 5,13,18 496:3,5,8,9 499:5 500:1,14 513:1 518:25 519:2,19,24 520:1,6,7,13,14,16,18, 21,23,25 521:2,7,10,12, 13,16,23 523:21 524:6, 9,10,12 525:25 528:9 529:2,5,11,14,23 530:2 531:23 532:8,16 535:7, 12,14,19 539:15,19 540:6 542:8 543:8,20 544:24 545:3,16,18,25 546:16 547:1 553:19 554:17 561:5	make 479:12 489:19 492:6 496:23 509:15 515:3 517:3 518:2 523:3 536:14 539:20 541:24 543:21,25 550:17 562:13	miles 537:24	mute 527:23
loads 495:15,22 501:11 518:23 539:17 547:10	March 481:22 483:22 566:16	million 501:23,25 502:20 523:21 524:14 527:15	muted 473:20 474:7 527:21
loan 508:2,5	mark 481:11,15 483:11, 21,25 484:9,15 499:11 503:2 504:20 556:9 564:24	mind 499:8 509:21 524:20 533:20	MW 494:4
local 557:17,20 558:1, 25 559:4,11	marked 472:9 481:18, 23 482:2,7,11,22 483:5, 18,23 484:3,12,17 485:6 491:8,15,21 493:5 499:17 503:8 507:14,16 510:2,4 516:11,18 518:7 522:7 523:13 524:22,23 525:5 530:12,23 537:2,7 551:20 554:25 556:15 565:4,6,14,16,23 566:1, 8,10,18,20 567:1	minutes 517:22	N
localized 495:12	marking 482:14 483:8, 15 484:6,20 499:16 503:6 525:3 530:21 556:14	misstates 541:12	N-E-D-R-U-D 514:5
locate 509:10 511:5	Martin 475:16 488:12 493:1	mistake 562:22	nature 478:22 479:3 552:15
		mm-hmm 503:24	necessitates 535:20
		mode 490:7 515:16 551:5	Nedrud 472:4 500:6,12 513:24 514:1,4,14,18, 21,24 515:3,8,14,18,22 516:1,7 517:11,12,19 531:2 534:17,23 537:6 541:16,21 545:2 548:5, 11
		Modifications 504:22 507:11	
		Moffatt 486:18	

needed 498:22 528:21 540:6	563:12 564:20	OUTCOME 571:14 572:14 573:14	passed 544:8
NERC 547:19	obligated 532:20	outlined 493:15	pay 498:19 502:9,13 530:3 531:12 532:9,20, 25 533:4 544:16,19 545:5,10 546:16 553:20
Ness 549:12	occur 522:2	outstanding 566:25 568:10	paying 498:16 511:10
net 557:17	occurrence 490:11 515:20 519:25 551:9	overlapping 536:7	payment 498:18
network 475:20 495:17,23 496:15,25 497:3,18,25 498:6,12, 19 501:1 502:9 505:19, 24 511:10 513:2 529:10 531:10,22 532:21 537:16 540:25 541:6 546:25 554:19 555:8 556:25 557:7,10,17,18, 21 558:1,2,8,21,25 559:4,6,11,12 560:2,8	occurring 527:19	owned 509:11 511:9	pays 502:14,15 529:15 533:3 545:9
networked 543:9	office 475:5 567:16	P	PDF 556:21 558:13
newly 534:9	officer 473:13 490:11 515:20 551:9	p.m. 548:23 549:1 570:1	peak 493:23,25 494:2, 5,10,14,22 495:1
NO.#13991 572:21	offline 539:1	Pacific 473:9 474:19 482:19 483:1 490:19,24 507:10 516:2	penalty 489:6 514:14 550:4
noise 474:11	on-line 519:11 520:1 521:17,23	PAGES 571:9 572:9 573:9	pending 489:7 490:11 514:16 550:5
non-data 536:9	one's 526:20	paid 544:11,13	Pennsylvania 554:4
note 494:9 558:14 563:22	one-fifth 494:14	pairs 530:7	people 496:6
noted 506:9	online 498:19 543:25 545:20 546:3 547:11,22	Palo 509:12	percent 494:5,10 502:14,15
notes 547:25	opened 520:11	paragraph 505:15 507:23 531:9 558:23 559:3,5,8,19 560:1	performs 528:7
notice 504:25	opening 479:18 481:21 491:10 493:6,16 536:24 540:20 551:22 554:10, 24 557:1	paragraphs 559:14,17	period 547:11
November 507:13,21 565:1,2,11,12	operational 474:9	part 495:12 509:20 518:19 519:3 520:14 524:13 527:13 528:19 530:4 536:2,4 537:14, 19,20 538:17 539:9 542:19,21,22 543:16	perjury 489:6 514:15 550:4
NRS 526:25	opinions 492:11 517:8	participate 476:16,24	person 473:21
number 481:16 531:6	opportunity 473:23	participating 487:9	PES 497:23 528:8,20 534:8,12 535:2,5,10,17, 23 536:6,11 538:5,9,13, 14,21 539:8,15,24 540:2,11
numbers 564:13	opposing 488:5 489:18 515:2 550:16	participation 513:12 548:10	PESS 504:13
O	optimal 474:11	parties 473:18,20 474:17 477:16 478:25 479:1 481:13 485:7,18 486:17 488:2 489:16,17 504:13 514:25 515:1 550:14,15,16 564:18 567:4,9 569:7	PG&E 474:20,24 475:2 481:16,21,25 482:5,10, 13 486:19 488:16,18 490:22 496:24 497:23 499:24 501:1 502:10 503:4,12,20 504:1,17, 21 505:17,22 507:21 508:22 509:24 510:13, 15 511:9,25 512:23 513:24,25 514:9,10 515:23 518:14 521:21 522:11,14,19 528:7,18 530:5 534:4 537:8,16 538:4 542:14,23 544:14 545:14 548:7 552:22 557:9,11 560:22
O-R-N-E-L-A-S 490:18	option 511:16,19 559:23 560:4,8	partly 543:23	
Oakland 514:6	oral 479:13	parts 542:22	
oath 489:13 490:7 514:22 515:16 550:11 551:5	order 473:7 554:3 561:12	party 474:5,9 478:17,18 488:5 489:18 515:2	
oaths 487:13	orders 554:13,16		
objection 486:22,24 541:11	original 526:12		
objections 485:21 486:10 562:17,23,24	Ornelas 472:2 488:20, 21 489:2,5,9,12,15,19, 24 490:5,9,17,21,23 491:5 492:14,15,24 499:21 503:12 505:7,12 507:4,20 509:2 510:6, 11 511:21 512:4,10,14 513:4,11 517:24 541:5		
	Ornelas' 517:21		

561:19,23 562:1,4 564:11 565:1,10,20 566:5,14 568:25	planning 495:11 499:14 500:12 519:14 525:1,11 536:3 537:15, 20 538:6,20	538:15 547:2	16,20 539:16 544:24 546:2
PG&E's 487:8 491:9, 16,22 493:6,16 497:5 498:12 499:13 502:3,17 506:4 508:10,15 516:11,19 529:6,23 532:10,17 540:20 542:25 545:3 557:8	point 520:22 537:24 539:21	presentation 499:25 504:1,8 537:8 538:18 545:14	produce 479:22 480:22 568:1
PG&E-18 486:18,20	portal 505:3	Presentations 482:10	products 533:17
PG&E-19 491:9 493:5 496:12 509:4 540:19 562:4,16,24	portion 487:6 520:21 524:1,19 530:7 533:19 539:16,23 541:16	presented 487:12 500:7 552:11	professional 492:12 517:9 552:16
PG&E-20 562:4,6	portions 491:11,17,23 516:13,21 528:25 552:1	president 473:14,15	prohibited 487:21 489:23 515:7 550:21
PG&E-20-E 562:5	position 529:6,23 532:10,17,24 545:3,8, 23 546:3 547:1	presiding 473:13 515:20 551:9	prohibition 487:16 489:14 514:23 550:12
PG&E-21 562:5	possibly 479:24	pressures 489:11 514:20 550:9	prohibitions 487:22 489:25 515:9 550:23
PGE 528:7	posting 531:14	previous 523:1	project 498:16 518:12 519:7 523:20,23 524:7, 14 525:17,19 526:5,12, 14,15,16,24 527:5,7 534:11 535:2,7,12 536:2,8 539:25 540:1,5 543:7
PGE-022 556:10 562:5	potential 478:9 526:2,6	primary 474:4 493:25	project-specific 535:24,25
PGE-18 472:9 481:16, 18 486:22,24 487:1 562:20	potentially 518:25	prior 478:1	projects 497:9,12 499:1 500:1,15,21,25 501:6 502:5,6 512:21, 24 513:1 518:17,18,20 519:2,18,24 520:15 521:22 522:1 536:5 538:17,21 539:6,7,12, 13 542:8,9,13,24 543:15,20 544:3,6,12 547:13
PGE-19 472:10,19 481:21,23 563:1	Power 509:12 526:1	private 490:6 515:15 551:4	proportion 544:17
PGE-20 472:10 481:25 482:2 491:15 516:11 523:14 528:2 533:13 562:12	power-flow 528:7	problem 480:17 533:11	proportional 506:15 508:25 529:25
PGE-20-E 491:21 562:13	Powerpoint 523:1	proc- 538:20	proportionally 506:15
PGE-20E 472:11 482:4, 7	POWERS 572:7,21	procedural 477:16 561:25 568:3 569:16	proportionate 531:13, 22 532:4,13,20,23 533:5 545:10
PGE-21 472:11 482:9, 11 516:19	pre-fund 509:9	Procedure 555:11	proposal 497:5,7 498:12,17,21 502:4 506:6 557:9
PGE-22 472:19 556:14, 15 563:1	pre-funded 508:5	procedures 530:21 556:4	proposals 499:13 538:15
phone 490:6 515:15 551:4	pre-funding 498:21 508:2	proceed 479:14 481:3 484:23 485:14 492:21 499:19 503:10 505:10 507:18 510:9 517:15 523:9 525:7 530:25 549:5,25 552:22 556:18 561:23	propose 479:10 481:2
pieces 538:10	pre-funds 505:19	proceeding 473:1,13 477:25 479:11 487:18 489:20,21 491:8 493:3 515:4,5 516:10 550:18, 19 571:6,14 572:6,14 573:6,14	proposed 477:15 480:20 481:13 484:24
place 473:8 490:16 511:17 514:2 517:22 519:6,8 525:9 549:8	prediction 511:6,7,12	PROCEEDINGS 571:11 572:11 573:11	
plan 476:24 486:17 525:24 526:21 568:6	preferable 562:14	process 478:23 499:14 519:3,14,17,21,25 520:19 521:25 525:1,11 527:2 528:20 532:19,22 537:15,20,21 538:6,9,	
planned 528:9,11	preliminarily 536:15		
	preliminary 497:24 534:7,10,25 535:23 537:18 538:12,13 539:9,23		
	premarked 488:2 489:16 514:25 550:14		
	prepared 482:18,25 484:10,15 492:2,18 493:22 516:24 552:4,22 571:10 572:10 573:10		
	preparing 528:8,20		
	present 473:15 500:6		

497:11 503:3,22 504:22 506:5 507:11 522:21 535:7,12 537:16,21		14 563:1,17,19,20 565:8,18 566:3,12,22	referenced 538:21 559:16
proposes 508:22	R	receives 518:23	references 511:2
proposing 542:23	Rail 519:20	recent 493:16	referred 500:15 541:4
protestants 508:1	range 501:23,24 502:19 527:11,15	recess 548:22	referring 526:16
provide 478:12 510:19 541:18 547:4 554:18	ranges 501:20	recommends 474:10	reflect 528:12
provided 478:16 489:16 514:25 534:4 541:20 550:14 568:16	rate 498:20 502:17 530:4,8 533:5 546:21	reconcile 495:24	Reform 475:20
PS 535:22	ratepayers 502:7 544:9 546:16	Reconductor 537:24	regard 479:6
public 475:5 478:12 554:4,7,13,17 565:3,22 571:1 572:1 573:1	rates 529:15 532:25 545:11	record 473:5,7,25 474:1,2,22 476:15 477:2,6,7,8,9 480:23 481:8,9,10,12 484:23 485:2,8,11,17,19,22 486:4,6,9,11,14,20,22, 25 487:2,3,4 488:6,7,8, 24,25 489:1 490:16 493:11 499:11 503:2 504:20 507:1 509:23 513:15,16,17,20,21,22 514:3 530:14,16,17 534:16 548:2,3,4,14,15, 16,21 549:3,8,22,23,24 556:10 561:24 562:2,4, 13,16,20,25 563:4,7,12, 15 564:21 565:5,15,24 566:9,19 568:11 569:18,19,20	regions 496:3
publicly 509:11 511:9	ratio 495:4,7		regular 496:5
pull 549:17 556:11	re-ask 541:24		rejected 508:10,12,13, 15,21
purposes 484:24 495:13	re-cross 548:8		relate 539:10 541:20
pursuant 531:14,15,17	re-evaluated 525:25		related 493:11 508:16 526:2,7 529:9 539:14 540:12 547:12
put 519:1,10 543:22	re-scoped 526:13		relates 503:17 532:12
	re-scoping 523:19,21 524:2,6,13 526:5,11		relay 567:17
Q	read 487:6 488:21 489:2 514:11 555:14 559:24		relaying 568:18
quality 474:11	reading 500:2 550:1		reliability 495:13 497:20 525:1,12 535:8, 12 536:16 538:5 543:7 544:2 546:7 547:4
quarter 502:5	reads 531:9	recording 487:18 489:20,21 515:5 550:18,19	reliable 547:20,21
question 493:11 503:16,17 504:7 510:19,25 521:5 522:11 534:20 535:9 565:21 566:6,15 568:20	ready 479:12 512:7 560:16	recordings 515:4	relief 478:23
questioning 474:4	real 541:11	recross 511:25 513:7,8 548:7 560:13 561:19	rely 511:1
questions 503:5 509:3 510:1 511:22 512:2,17 517:25 540:19 541:18 548:6 560:12,15 561:17 565:2,11	reason 527:13 546:21 561:10	Recross-examination 472:4,7 512:8 560:17	remainder 502:15
queue 531:16	reasonable 555:6	redesign 526:7,9	remote 487:14
quick 541:11	reasons 493:15	reduce 474:10	removal 490:1 515:10 550:24
quote 503:20,23 505:17,20,21,25 506:1 507:25 509:7 541:12 542:1 553:18,21 555:6, 13 558:24 559:5,21 560:3	REBEKAH 573:7,21	reduced 523:20 524:13 526:5	repeat 535:9 564:13 569:11
	rebuttal 482:1,5,10,25 483:11 484:1,16 491:16,22 516:12,19 523:12 528:1 551:22 553:7	reduction 527:10	repetitive 518:2
	recall 499:1 510:17 545:6,13 560:25	refer 474:20 489:15 496:18 497:6 512:10 514:24 537:17 550:13	replacement 530:19 555:20 556:2,12
	receive 478:14 564:18	reference 475:6,21 476:3,10 554:9 555:19 560:23	replacing 489:3
	received 472:9 485:12, 24 486:1,15,16 487:1,		report 490:10 515:19 534:12 535:3,10,17 536:6,11 538:6,22 539:24 540:2,3,11 551:8

reporter 521:4 529:18 539:2 571:7 572:7 573:8	response 476:12 479:10 485:9,20 486:12,23 487:7 503:12,15,17 504:4 507:22 510:13,15 522:19 534:1,3,5,16,25 562:18 563:13 564:22 567:6	S	sections 531:14 557:16
reports 497:24 528:8, 20 534:8 535:5,23 538:10,13,14 539:8	responses 477:25 478:4,7,15,16,21,22,24 479:2,3,7,15,18,19 480:3,6 503:4 509:25 564:11 565:1,11,21 566:6,15	S-A-M-I-R 476:7	security 531:15
represent 474:18 492:11 517:8 552:16	responsibility 497:7 502:3 506:6 557:9 558:21,24 559:4,6,10, 12 560:6	S-A-N-Y-A 549:11	seek 523:5
representation 545:22	responsible 505:23 528:23 531:12	S-A-R-A 476:21	seeking 500:2,5 518:13,14 519:24 521:15 542:14
representative 476:2, 9	restricted 487:23 515:11 550:25	sake 500:24	segment 529:16,19 533:1 544:20 545:9 546:23
representing 475:2 493:2	restrictive 490:2	Sam 483:11	segments 526:16
request 477:21 478:16 485:16 499:13 503:13 504:4 506:15 509:25 510:13,17 519:10 522:10,18 534:1,3 536:12,13 544:23 564:10 568:10	result 478:6 487:22 489:25 515:9 523:19 550:23	Samir 476:6 485:3 567:14	selected 527:1 559:23 560:4,8
requested 504:14	resulted 523:22 526:5	San 524:3,6,14 525:17, 18 526:5,25 527:5,7 530:6 544:15 549:12	send 568:3,15,17 569:16
requests 486:19 504:14 521:9 531:11 536:7 543:23	Retail 482:20 483:3	sanctions 487:22,24 489:25 490:3 515:9,12 550:23 551:1	sending 478:15
require 532:3 553:18 554:17	review 503:15 540:3 556:6 557:24 559:1	Santa 500:17	sense 498:15
required 497:16,19 545:4	reviewed 542:9	Sanya 472:6 549:6,10 550:3,7,10,13,17,22 551:3,7,13	sentence 531:8,9 553:14
requirement 508:2,5 555:8	revisions 505:22	Sara 476:20	separate 538:10 559:14
requirements 489:13 514:22 550:11 553:19 561:8,9	Reynolds 473:14,15	Saratoga 537:25 539:22	separately 558:5,6
requires 509:17 531:21 543:12	rid 527:17	saved 523:23	September 499:14,25 525:2
requiring 532:13	ROSA 573:7,21	savings 527:18	serial 520:7,17,21 521:6,13 544:23 545:15 546:1
reserved 474:14 478:8	roughly 501:23	SC-01 472:14 484:9,12 486:8,11,13,15	serve 494:19 529:20
residential 529:13 546:18 547:2	Rule 473:10 482:20 483:2 498:21 504:23 505:22 507:12 508:11, 17	SC-02 472:15 484:15, 17 486:8,11,13,16	served 501:11 503:5 504:24 510:1 543:10 564:17 565:2,12,22 566:7,16
resources 510:20	rules 473:17,19 487:16 509:19 511:16,17,18 531:16	schedule 569:13	service 474:15 478:18 481:1 482:21 483:3 509:10 511:5 547:6,20 554:7 568:3,15
respectfully 485:16 486:19 557:17	run 474:12	scope 498:16 501:20, 24 502:19 504:2 522:15	SESSION 549:1
respond 478:2 534:19, 21,23 568:4		screen 474:6,8 514:12 549:20	set 509:3 537:14 540:18 546:19
responded 477:10 478:11		screenshots 487:19 489:22 515:6 550:20	share 508:24 529:15,25 530:3 531:13 544:17,19 545:10 553:20
		SDG&E 502:15	shared 488:5 489:17 515:1 545:20 546:4 550:15
		second-to-last 507:23	
		section 493:10,12 494:9 531:3,5,17,19,25 534:13 538:18 541:3,9 555:22 556:21,23 557:1,4,6,12,13 558:11, 15,17,19,24 560:7	

shares 546:20	Sounds 500:13	560:2 571:3,8 572:3,8 573:3,8	13 536:3 538:24 540:16 543:10 544:16,18 547:1,14
sharing 506:14	South 540:7 546:6		system-wide 495:13
short 519:19 544:18 547:11	Southern 502:14 530:5	stated 476:15	systems 528:11
SHORTHAND 571:7 572:7 573:7	speak 473:22 474:7 519:15 547:8 567:5	statement 508:9,15 509:15 511:2,4 553:24 555:16,18	
show 535:18 568:19	speaking 474:3,5,9 541:4	statements 476:15 552:11,14	T
showed 522:25	speaks 531:25	states 500:13,21 503:19 505:15 507:24 526:23 534:7 559:21	T-O-R-R-E-S 475:24
sic 484:5 519:25 530:7	specific 493:20 494:16 495:25 496:7 497:16 518:22 534:11 535:2 536:6 539:18 540:11 543:11	station 527:16,17	table 500:20 501:19 534:7,9
Sierra 476:18,20 484:8, 11,16,19 486:5,7,8 554:9 569:8	specifically 538:19 554:1 555:3,22	stations 527:15	TAC 502:13
signed 544:14	spell 474:21 475:7,22 476:4,11 477:1 490:15 514:1 549:7	status 478:17	takes 547:15
significant 525:25	spelled 475:17 476:7, 21 514:5 549:10	stays 547:20	taking 517:21
silence 569:7	spoke 501:5	stipulate 479:2	talking 510:21 527:13 528:25 538:11,12 542:13
Silicon 509:12	sponsored 493:12 510:15 534:16 541:16	stipulated 481:13	tamper 490:10 515:19 551:8
similar 506:19 508:21 532:19,22	sponsoring 491:8,11, 17,23 516:10,13,20 551:25	studied 506:11 518:19 520:17 540:5,15	tariff 473:10 518:16 530:19 531:20 532:7 555:20 556:2,13 557:24 558:5,9 559:10
simple 543:4	staff 478:13 507:25	studies 511:1 528:7 535:18 539:14	team 567:17
skip 476:14 501:16	stakeholder 537:19	study 520:12,25 525:2, 12 528:20 536:1 545:15	technical 474:14
slide 499:21 500:1,3, 13,20 501:8,10,17 512:16,17 518:7,9,12 519:24 520:4,10,22 525:15,18,21 526:18 537:11,23 538:7,8,19 539:13	standards 547:19	submit 478:24 542:8	teleconference 487:19
slides 501:17 525:10 537:14 539:7	standpoint 543:5,22 547:14	submitted 481:17,22 482:1,5 483:22 484:1 491:16,22 516:12,20 551:22 553:7	tentative 554:3
slow 519:12	stands 477:12	subsequent 506:21 546:10,13,15	tentatively 569:23
small 539:16	start 485:1 539:6 546:10 563:9 564:25 568:7 569:14	subset 497:2	term 496:14 525:24
socialized 502:7,12	starting 493:14 509:6 528:6 540:25 568:25	suggests 474:12	terms 496:24 498:21 506:20
sole 486:18 508:4,16	starts 522:11 533:16 534:5	summer 538:1	test 542:19
solely 497:15 505:25 506:8 508:20 512:24 528:12 538:22	state 489:5 490:15 509:7 510:25 514:1,14 523:18 524:15,17 535:10 549:7 550:3 553:17 554:13,16 555:5	supervision 492:3 516:25	testified 491:1 516:4 551:15
solemnly 489:5 514:14 550:3		Supplemental 481:16 483:21	testify 489:9 514:18 550:7
solicitation 527:1		support 510:20 511:2 547:5 553:23 555:18	testifying 489:13 514:22 550:11
sort 506:21		supported 511:13	testimony 480:23 481:16,21 482:1,5,10, 18 483:1,11,21 484:1, 10,15 487:12 489:6 490:10 491:7,10,12,16,
Soto 534:17		sworn 490:21,25 515:22 516:3 551:15	
sound 474:11		system 501:12 535:8,	

17,22,24 493:6,12,21 497:6 509:20 510:21 514:8,15 515:19 516:9, 12,13,20,21 517:21 523:12 524:1,5,15,17, 20 525:19 526:4,11 527:4 528:2 529:1 533:8,20,21,22,25 536:24 540:14,20 541:9,12,15 549:13,15 550:4 551:8,22 552:1 553:7 554:10,24 556:6 557:2 558:16 560:23 568:11 571:11 572:11 573:11	today 473:15 475:2 476:16,18,24 478:1,4, 21,22 479:10 480:4,5,7 481:2 487:10 513:12 516:10 548:10 551:20 553:5 567:25 568:14 569:21 told 567:24 tomorrow 567:18 568:5,9 569:12 topic 542:5 Torres 475:23,24 484:7 485:15 486:2 total 498:16 500:16 501:25 totalling 494:4 Toy 473:4,12 474:2 475:1,4,11,19 476:1,8, 13,23 477:1,5,8 479:9, 21 480:8,11,15 481:8, 10,20,25 482:4,9,13,16, 24 483:7,10,17,20,25 484:5,8,14,19,22 485:7, 10,14,18,21 486:3,10, 13,21,24 487:2,4 488:8, 15,19 489:1 490:12,20 492:17,21 499:12,19 503:3,10 504:21 505:7, 10 507:2,4,9,18 509:24 510:6,9 511:24 512:3,5, 7 513:6,10,15,17,22 514:8,11 515:21 517:14 524:25 525:7 530:14, 17,25 536:21,25 537:4 541:21 548:2,4,7,9,13, 16 549:3,13,17,22,24 551:10 552:21,25 556:11,18 560:13,16 561:19,21 562:6,9,15, 21,24 563:3,10,14,22 564:4,13,16,20,23 565:10,20 566:5,14,24 567:7,13,19,22 568:17, 24 569:2,5,10,20	571:6,9,11 572:6,9,11 573:6,9,11 transmission 482:20 483:3 495:11,17 496:15,19,24 497:3 498:6,19,20 499:14 500:12 501:1,12 505:19,24 506:10 513:2 519:14 525:1,11,24 526:12,13,15 527:6,7 528:10 529:10,15,20 530:4,9 532:18,21 533:5 537:15,16,20 538:6,20 540:25 541:5 543:9 544:16,18 545:11 546:20,21 547:13,15,17 554:18 555:8 transmission's 502:17 transmission-level 497:7 509:8 528:13,21 532:11 535:7,11 trigger 495:16,23 530:1 545:4 546:19 triggered 497:8,16 500:22 502:6 505:25 506:12 508:20 512:21, 24 529:2,5 531:11,23 532:1 546:15 true 492:9 517:6 552:12 571:10 572:10 573:10 truth 489:7,8 514:16,17 550:5,6 TTP 541:14 542:2,3,10 turn 473:22 475:21,25 483:20,21 484:1,5 485:14,16 493:8 496:11 499:8,21 502:24 504:17 505:12 506:23 509:21 518:6 522:6 523:13 526:18 530:10 531:2 537:6 540:19 553:11 569:5 TURN's 485:21 TURN-03 472:13 483:21,23 TURN-03E 472:15 485:17,19,23,24 TURN-04 472:14 484:1,	3 485:17,19,23 486:1 turnaround 477:23 two-year 519:15 type 493:19 494:15,22 495:2,25 496:24 497:2 501:2 502:20 505:24 506:12 508:5 509:9 522:25 529:7,9 534:10 539:25 540:1 545:4,10, 19 546:2,9,12,22 557:10 types 502:22 503:21 504:5 520:5,9 522:20 523:6 558:7 typically 518:24 519:15,18 527:18 547:3
tests 542:23 text 490:6 515:15 531:8 551:4 thing 501:1 567:23 568:9 things 479:15 554:1 third-party 502:10 511:10 thousand 546:25 547:7 Thursday 567:16 tied 536:6 tight 477:24 tightly 543:9 time 473:8 478:8 486:9 492:6 511:21 517:3 519:1,15,19 523:7 547:11,15 559:2 560:11 561:14 568:7 569:14 timeframe 520:18 timing 477:24 518:24 543:22 547:9,13 title 556:23 557:6 558:19 titled 482:25 483:11 484:9,15 499:13 503:4 504:21 507:9 509:24 524:25 530:18 556:12 565:1,10,20 566:5,14 TL 502:17 TNUS 537:17	transcript 510:14	transmission's 502:17 transmission-level 497:7 509:8 528:13,21 532:11 535:7,11 trigger 495:16,23 530:1 545:4 546:19 triggered 497:8,16 500:22 502:6 505:25 506:12 508:20 512:21, 24 529:2,5 531:11,23 532:1 546:15 true 492:9 517:6 552:12 571:10 572:10 573:10 truth 489:7,8 514:16,17 550:5,6 TTP 541:14 542:2,3,10 turn 473:22 475:21,25 483:20,21 484:1,5 485:14,16 493:8 496:11 499:8,21 502:24 504:17 505:12 506:23 509:21 518:6 522:6 523:13 526:18 530:10 531:2 537:6 540:19 553:11 569:5 TURN's 485:21 TURN-03 472:13 483:21,23 TURN-03E 472:15 485:17,19,23,24 TURN-04 472:14 484:1,	3 485:17,19,23 486:1 turnaround 477:23 two-year 519:15 type 493:19 494:15,22 495:2,25 496:24 497:2 501:2 502:20 505:24 506:12 508:5 509:9 522:25 529:7,9 534:10 539:25 540:1 545:4,10, 19 546:2,9,12,22 557:10 types 502:22 503:21 504:5 520:5,9 522:20 523:6 558:7 typically 518:24 519:15,18 527:18 547:3
U			
ultimately 521:23			
unable 534:19 541:17			
unclear 478:20			
understand 479:16 487:18,21 489:20,24 500:1,25 515:4,8 519:5 520:20 523:4 536:15 539:5 540:14 543:6 544:2 550:18,22 561:14 567:25			
understanding 498:10 502:21 543:19 557:23, 25			
understands 505:17			
Understood 498:8 511:20 518:5			
undervalue 498:12,14			
unintended 509:16,18			
unknown 479:6			
up-and-coming 554:21			
up-front 530:8 531:22, 25 532:4,9,13,20			
up-fronting 533:1			
up-fronts 529:16,20			
up-to-date 505:4			

update 564:2 567:8

updated 563:23 567:9

Updates 525:2,12

upfront 498:18,24
502:9 545:5,9 554:18
561:8

upgrade 497:3 498:7,
13 500:21,25 501:6
508:4,16 512:20,23
532:21 546:20 547:17
557:21,22

upgrades 494:19
495:17,23 496:9,15,19,
25 497:15,18,20,23,24,
25 498:4,19,22 501:2,9,
10,21 502:1,6,9 505:20,
24 506:12 511:10 513:2
528:11,19,21 529:2,4,
10,25 530:9 531:11,13,
23 532:21 534:8,9,10
535:1,17,21,23 536:11,
15 537:16,18,21
538:12,14 539:9,23,24
540:25 541:6,8,13
542:1 545:21 546:5,6,
14,25 547:3,10,15
554:19 555:8 556:25
557:7,10,18 558:1,3,8,
22,25 559:4,7,11,13
560:2,8

uploaded 505:4

urgently 567:8

usage 533:6

Users 477:13

utilities 511:9 554:4
571:1 572:1 573:1

utility 475:20 509:11
518:23 554:13,17

V

vague 480:2

Valley 509:12

Van 549:12

variety 528:8

vehicle 529:12

vehicles 494:1

versa 495:3

version 483:22 562:7,8
564:8,9 565:3,13,22

versus 522:23 527:14,
17

vice 495:3

video 474:9

violation 487:22
489:25 515:9 550:23

virtual 473:1,17

visible 474:6,8

Vista 537:25 539:22

visual 487:20 489:22
515:6 550:20

W

W-I-L-L-I-A-M 474:24

wanted 477:16 507:5
564:5

ways 518:16

Webex 473:20 474:10,
12 487:13,14,19 489:21
515:5 550:19

week 480:2 567:8

wider 558:3

William 474:24 488:18

window 499:13 520:11,
13,19 521:2,10

Wisconsin 554:6

witness' 503:16

witnesses 472:2
487:14,17 569:13

word 522:23

words 521:15

work 490:18

working 511:13

workpapers 510:20
511:1

wrap 568:13

wrapped 547:25

write 493:14

wrong 506:18

Y

ya 567:21

years 519:12 547:16

yesterday 505:5