



FILED

05/12/26

01:32 PM

A2502013

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Compliance Review of Utility Owned Generation Operations, Portfolio Allocation Balancing Account Entries, Energy Resource Recovery Account Entries, Contract Administration, Economic Dispatch of Electric Resources, Utility Owned Generation Fuel Procurement, and Other Activities for the Record Period January 1 Through December 31, 2024. (U39E)

Application 25-02-013

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO ENTER SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE INTO THE EVIDENTIARY RECORD

This ruling grants the parties' Joint Motion of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, the Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission, and the California Community Choice Association to Enter Supplemental Evidence into the Evidentiary Record.

1. Procedural Background

On February 28, 2025, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed this Application for Compliance Review of Utility Owned Generation Operations, Portfolio Allocation Balancing Account Entries, Energy Resource Recovery Account Entries, Contract Administration, Economic Dispatch of Electric Resources, Utility Owned Generation Fuel Procurement, and Other Activities for the Record Period January 1 Through December 31, 2024 (Application).

On April 4, 2025, the California Community Choice Association¹ (Cal CCA) and the Public Advocates Offices of the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) filed protests to the Application.

The assigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) held a prehearing conference on April 18, 2025. On May 2, 2025, Commissioner John Reynolds issued a Scoping Memo and Ruling (Scoping Memo) setting forth the issues to be decided and the schedule for this proceeding.

On August 15, 2025, CalCCA moved to amend the procedural schedule to extend the discovery timeline until the beginning of evidentiary hearings. On August 15, 2025, the assigned ALJ denied the motion without prejudice.

On November 3, 2025, the parties filed a joint status conference statement requesting additional time to conduct settlement discussions and requesting to reopen discovery between PG&E and CalCCA.

On November 10, 2025, the assigned ALJ issued a ruling reopening discovery for a limited time, amending the proceeding schedule to remove the timeline for settlement, and directing the parties to file an updated status conference statement. The parties filed a joint status conference statement on November 25, 2025.

¹ California Community Choice Association represents 24 community choice electricity providers in California: Apple Valley Choice Energy, Ava Community Energy, Central Coast Community Energy, Clean Energy Alliance, Clean Power Alliance of Southern California, CleanPowerSF, Desert Community Energy, Energy For Palmdale's Independent Choice, Lancaster Energy, Marin Clean Energy, Orange County Power Authority, Peninsula Clean Energy, Pico Rivera Innovative Municipal Energy, Pioneer Community Energy, Pomona Choice Energy, Rancho Mirage Energy Authority, Redwood Coast Energy Authority, San Diego Community Power, San Jacinto Power, San José Clean Energy, Santa Barbara Clean Energy, Silicon Valley Clean Energy, Sonoma Clean Power, and Valley Clean Energy.

On December 2, 2025, the assigned ALJ held a virtual status conference, at which, the assigned ALJ modified the proceeding schedule to remove evidentiary hearings.

On December 15, 2025, the parties filed a joint motion to enter evidence into the evidentiary record, accompanied by PG&E's motion to seal the evidentiary record.

On December 22, 2025, the assigned ALJ issued a ruling directing the parties to prepare supplemental testimony regarding vintaging of a specific group of community choice aggregator customers. The ruling also amended the proceeding schedule to allow time for the additional testimony.

On December 23, 2025, the assigned ALJ issued a ruling granting the joint motion to enter evidence into the evidentiary record and granting PG&E's motion to seal the evidentiary record.

On January 6, 2026, PG&E sent a procedural email to the assigned ALJ advising that it would likely need more time to prepare the testimony requested and that the other parties to the proceeding would like more time to respond to the additional testimony.

On January 9, 2026, PG&E made a motion to extend the deadline for filing supplemental testimony by roughly six months. On behalf of CalCCA, PG&E requested six additional weeks for reply testimony. Briefing would not occur until September 2026. On January 26, 2026, the assigned ALJ held a status conference to discuss the extension request.

On February 2, 2026, the assigned ALJ issued a ruling amending the proceeding schedule to give the parties additional time to prepare the supplemental testimony and responses thereto and to reopen discovery for a limited time but denying PG&E's motion to modify the proceeding schedule by

six months. The ruling also required PG&E to concurrently serve its workpapers with its supplemental testimony.

On March 17, 2026, the proceeding was reassigned from Commissioner John Reynolds to Commissioner Matthew Baker.

On April 14, 2026, the parties filed a joint motion to admit supplemental testimony and workpapers into the evidentiary record.

2. Joint Motion to Enter Evidence into the Evidentiary Record

I hereby mark, identify and admit the following documents into the evidentiary record:

Exhibit Number	Party	Exhibit Name, Description, Sponsoring Witness
PG&E-07	PG&E	Prepared Supplemental Testimony (Public)
PG&E-08	PG&E	Workpapers Supporting PG&E Supplemental Testimony

2.1. The Parties' Requests to Make Corrections to the December 23, 2025 Ruling Admitting Evidence into the Evidentiary Record

The joint motion to enter supplemental evidence and workpapers into the evidentiary record requested that two corrections be made to the December 23, 2025 ruling admitting evidence into the evidentiary record. First, the motion contends that exhibit PG&E-03-C was erroneously omitted from being entered into the evidentiary record in this proceeding. While the ruling identified, marked and granted confidential treatment to exhibit PG&E-03-C, PG&E is correct that that exhibit was not explicitly identified in the ruling's ordering paragraph 1. This ruling expressly enters PG&E-03-C into the evidentiary record.

Second, footnote 3 in the joint motion contends that the December 23, 2025 ruling contained the incorrect name of the witness listed for CalCCA-01-C. Both the December 23, 2025 ruling and the joint motion list the sponsoring witness as Brian Dickman for this exhibit. Therefore, no correction is necessary.

IT IS RULED that:

1. The following documents are marked, identified, and entered into the evidentiary record in this proceeding: PG&E-03-C, PG&E-07, and PG&E-08.

Dated May 12, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ LEAH S. GOLDBERG

Leah S. Goldberg
Administrative Law Judge