

C.25-02-020 ALJ/GK1/vhj

From: Kelly, Gerald F. <Gerald.Kelly@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2026 10:50 PM
To: QLiu2173@gmail.com; JFohrer@SoCalGas.com; VGarcia2@SoCalGas.com; dcaudill@socalgas.com; Kelly, Gerald F. <Gerald.Kelly@cpuc.ca.gov>; Tariffs@SoCalGas.com; Gutierrez, Thomas <Thomas.Gutierrez@cpuc.ca.gov>
Cc: ALJ Docket Office <ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Support ID <alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Process <alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: C.25-02-020 Email Ruling to Include Communications Into the Record

I issue this email ruling to include communications into the record and to advise the Complainant one final time that she must comply with all ALJ instructions and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Additional violations will result in a Rule 1 violation and an order to show cause hearing.

The original communications are included with this email ruling.

The Docket Office shall officially file this email ruling.

Gerald F. Kelly

Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission - Los Angeles Office
Gerald.kelly@cpuc.ca.gov
415.355.5506

Notice: This communication may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

From: Q Liu <qliu2173@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2026 8:59 AM
To: Fohrer, Jeffrey B <JFohrer@socalgas.com>
Cc: Caudillo, Desiree <DCaudill@socalgas.com>; Kelly, Gerald F. <Gerald.Kelly@cpuc.ca.gov>; Arroyo, Veronica <VGarcia2@socalgas.com>; Kelly, Gerald F. <Gerald.Kelly@cpuc.ca.gov>; Tariffs <Tariffs@socalgas.com>; Gutierrez, Thomas <Thomas.Gutierrez@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: C.25-02-020

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning, ALJ Kelly and All Parties,

I respectfully submit this brief response to SoCalGas's further email regarding Section V of my Reply Brief.

I do not wish to multiply disputes or respond in kind to SoCalGas's characterizations of my intent. My purpose in including Section V was limited and made in good faith: to explain, briefly, why the same factual record discussed under Issues 1-3 also bears on mootness, injury complained of, and duplication arguments that SoCalGas has raised in this proceeding. I did not intend to circumvent Your Honor's prior ruling or to file an unauthorized sur-reply.

To be clear, I respectfully submit that Section V is brief, tied to the same facts and safety issues identified in the March 13 ruling, and was not intended as a separate pleading on the Motion to Dismiss. However, if Your Honor determines that any portion of Section V exceeds the permitted scope of the Reply Brief, I will defer to the Commission's direction and request only that the Commission disregard or strike that portion as appropriate.

I respectfully request that no admonishment be issued. As a self-represented complainant, I have attempted in good faith to comply with the Commission's procedures, respond to the issues identified by Your Honor, and avoid unnecessary separate filings. I will follow any further direction from Your Honor regarding the proper scope of the Reply Brief.

Respectfully submitted,

Qian Liu
Complainant
C.25-02-020

On Wed, May 6, 2026 at 11:44 Fohrer, Jeffrey B <JFohrer@socalgas.com> wrote:

ALJ Kelly,

Complainant misrepresents the April 17 brief. SoCalGas did not rehash arguments from its motion to dismiss. Complainant simply got caught ignoring Your Honor's prior ruling denying the request for a sur reply by trying to bury the argument in a separate brief. Complainant knew better and to try and blame others is not well-taken. Moreover, Complainant is again distorting filings and ignoring rulings despite being advised to refrain from doing so. Section V should be stricken and Complainant should be admonished accordingly.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeff Fohrer, for Southern California Gas Company

From: Q Liu <qliu2173@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2026 8:29 AM
To: Fohrer, Jeffrey B <JFohrer@socalgas.com>
Cc: Caudillo, Desiree <DCaudill@socalgas.com>; Kelly, Gerald F. <Gerald.Kelly@cpuc.ca.gov>; Arroyo, Veronica <VGarcia2@socalgas.com>; gk1@cpuc.ca.gov <gk1@cpuc.ca.gov>; Tariffs <Tariffs@Socalgas.com>; tgz@cpuc.ca.gov <tgz@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]

CAUTION! External Sender

This email came from outside the company. If you're unsure whether this message is harmless, click the "Report Suspicious" button.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Good morning, ALJ Kelly and All Parties,

I respectfully submit this brief response to SoCalGas's request to strike Section V of my Reply Brief.

Section V ("Response to SoCalGas's Dismissal Arguments (Briefly)") is not intended to be a separate sur-reply, but a short explanation of why the facts discussed in Issues 1-3 also show that the case is not moot, that I have suffered "injury complained of" within the meaning of Rule 4.2 and Public Utilities Code section 1702, and that this complaint is not duplicative of other Commission

proceedings. These dismissal arguments were raised by SoCalGas in its Motion to Dismiss and again referenced in its April 17, 2026 Opening Brief, and I tried to address them briefly in the same filing rather than burdening the record with an additional separate pleading.

If the Commission believes that any portion of Section V goes beyond what is appropriate for this Reply Brief, I will of course defer to Your Honor's direction and will accept whatever treatment the Commission deems proper. I did not intend to circumvent any prior ruling and have tried in good faith to follow the Commission's procedures.

Respectfully,

Qian Liu
Complainant, C.25-02-020

On Wed, May 6, 2026 at 11:13 Fohrer, Jeffrey B <JFohrer@socalgas.com> wrote:
ALJ Kelly,

SoCalGas hereby moves to strike section V of Complainant's brief. This is an impermissible end-run around Your Honor's ruling not granting Complainant a sur-reply to SoCalGas's motion to dismiss. Complainant has been advised repeatedly to adhere to Commission rules, and flouts them by including such arguments in a brief that is simply to address questions posed by Your Honor's March 13 ruling.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeff Fohrer, for Southern California Gas Company

From: Q Liu <qliu2173@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2026 7:07 AM
To: Fohrer, Jeffrey B <JFohrer@socalgas.com>; Caudillo, Desiree <DCaudill@socalgas.com>; Kelly, Gerald F. <Gerald.Kelly@cpuc.ca.gov>; Arroyo, Veronica <VGarcia2@socalgas.com>;

C.25-02-020 ALJ/GK1/vhj

gk1@cpuc.ca.gov <gk1@cpuc.ca.gov>; Tariffs <Tariffs@Socalgas.com>;
tgz@cpuc.ca.gov <tgz@cpuc.ca.gov>;
QLiu2173@gmail.com <qliu2173@gmail.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL]

CAUTION! External Sender

This email came from outside the company. If you're unsure whether this message is harmless, click the "Report Suspicious" button.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Good morning, ALJ Kelly and All Parties,

This is to inform you that I have today e-filed "Complainant's Reply Brief to Southern California Gas Company's Opening Brief on Issues Identified in the March 13, 2026 Ruling" in Case C.25-02-020.

A copy of the filed Reply Brief has been served concurrently on all parties via this email.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Qian Liu

Complainant, C.25-02-020

[3981 E. Emory Ln, Unit 7 \[google.com\]](#)

[Ontario, CA 91761 \[google.com\]](#)

Phone: 626-560-0398 / 626-905-8515

Email: qliu2173@gmail.com