

ALJ/GK1/kmh 05/07/2026



FILED

05/07/26

04:59 PM

C2512025

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ronzell Phillips,

Complainant,

vs.

Case 25-12-025

Southern California Edison Company
(U338E),

Defendant.

**EMAIL RULING INCLUDING COMMUNICATION INTO THE RECORD
AND GRANTING REQUEST**

Dated May 7, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ GERALD F. KELLY

Gerald F. Kelly
Administrative Law Judge

C.25-12-025 ALJ/GK1/kmh

From: Kelly, Gerald F. <Gerald.Kelly@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2026 9:53 PM
To: PhillipsRonzell@yahoo.com; Pauline.Nguyen@sce.com; Pertusati, Amanda <Amanda.Pertusati@cpuc.ca.gov>; Kelly, Gerald F. <Gerald.Kelly@cpuc.ca.gov>; Anna.Wojtyna-Machon@sce.com; CaseAdminTeamSupportGroupList@sce.com; Case.Admin@sce.com; Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com; Tariffs.Manager@sce.com; Lakhapal, Manisha <Manisha.Lakhapal@cpuc.ca.gov>
Cc: ALJ Docket Office <ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Support ID <alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Process <alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: C.25-12-025 Email Ruling Including Communication Into the Record and Granting Request

I Issue this email ruling to include a communication into the record and grant the request of the parties. The Motion to Dismiss is now due August 7, 2026, and the response is now due September 4, 2026. The original communication is included with this email ruling.

The docket office shall officially file this email ruling.

Gerald F. Kelly
Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission - Los Angeles Office
Gerald.kelly@cpuc.ca.gov
415.355.5506

Notice: This communication may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

From: Pauline Nguyen <pauline.nguyen@sce.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2026 2:38 PM
To: Kelly, Gerald F. <Gerald.Kelly@cpuc.ca.gov>
Cc: Jennifer Shigekawa <Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com>; PhillipsRonzell@yahoo.com; Tariffs Manager <Tariffs.Manager@sce.com>; Case Admin Team Support Group List <caseadminteamsupportgrouplist@sce.com>; Case Admin <Case.Admin@sce.com>; Anna M Wojtyna-Machon

C.25-12-025 ALJ/GK1/kmh

<Anna.Wojtyna-Machon@sce.com>; Pertusati, Amanda

<Amanda.Pertusati@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] C.25-12-025 Ronzell Phillips v. Southern California Edison Company

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Your Honor,

Pursuant to Rule 11.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Southern California Edison Company ("SCE") and Ronzell Phillips, ("Parties") submit this email motion for extension of time and ask that the date for filing SCE's motion to dismiss be extended to August 7, 2026 and the date for Complainant to file a response be extended to September 4, 2026.

The Parties previously requested an extension of the motion to dismiss deadline and response to April 17, 2026 and May 15, 2026, respectfully, in order for the Parties to have time to come to a resolution without the need for further motion practice. That request was granted on April 2, 2026. The Parties previously requested another extension of the motion to dismiss and response deadline to May 8, 2026 and June 5, 2026, respectively, because the Parties were still discussing a potential resolution. That request was granted on April 16, 2026.

Since then, SCE has been attempting to contact Complainant's former landlord as a way to resolve the Complaint. SCE made contact with the former landlord on May 4, 2026 and is in the process of transferring the past due charges that accrued under her account back to her from Complainant's account. The former landlord has indicated that payment cannot be made until July. Before resolving this Complaint, SCE seeks to confirm that the former landlord's acceptance of the charges is in good faith and that payments will be made in July as stated.

Because SCE is still attempting to confirm payment with the former landlord, it would greatly assist the Parties if the date for SCE to file its motion to dismiss be extended to August 7, 2026, and the Complainant's date to file a response be extended to September 4, 2026, and the Parties so move.

Respectfully,

Pauline Nguyen

Pauline Nguyen

Attorney

Customer & Tariff Law

T. 626-302-2187 | M. 626-760-9915

pauline.nguyen@sce.com

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue | Rosemead, CA 91770

