



ALJ/J06/nd3 5/29/2026

FILED

05/29/26

08:00 AM

A2506011

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS
COMPANY (U904G) for
Authorization to Recover Costs
Recorded in Its Angeles Link
Memorandum Account.

Application 25-06-011

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
REQUESTING PARTY RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS**

This ruling requests that parties respond to questions by June 30, 2026 and replies by July 16, 2026.

1. Background

On February 17, 2022, Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) filed Application (A.) 22-02-007 to request authority to establish a memorandum account to record the costs of planning a potential project, the Angeles Link Project (Project). In Decision (D.) 22-12-055, the Commission authorized SoCalGas to establish the Angeles Link Memorandum Account to record Phase 1 Activities costs and set requirements for Phase 1 Activities, among other things.

On December 20, 2024, SoCalGas filed A.24-12-011 (Phase 2 Application) to request authorization to implement revenue requirement for costs to enable the commencement of Phase 2 Activities of the Project.

On June 12, 2025, SoCalGas filed this application (Application or A.25-06-011) with the Commission to authorize its recovery of costs recorded in its Angeles Link Memorandum Account for Phase 1 Activities. On July 17, 2025,

response or protests to the Application were filed by: Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. (Air Products); California Environmental Justice Alliance and Sierra Club (CEJA/Sierra Club); Environmental Defense Fund (EDF); First Public Hydrogen Authority (First Public H2); Indicated Shippers; Southern California Generation Coalition (SCGC); the Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates); The Utility Reform Network (TURN); and Utility Consumers Action Network (UCAN). On July 28, 2025, SoCalGas filed a reply to the response and protests to the Application.

On August 28, 2025, SoCalGas filed a Joint Prehearing Conference Statement.

A prehearing conference was held on September 5, 2025, to address the issues of law and fact, the need for hearing, the schedule, and address other matters as necessary.

On September 29, 2025, the assigned Commissioner issued a Scoping Memo and Ruling for this proceeding with a schedule based on a decision in the Phase 2 Application.

On April 30, 2026, the Commission denied SoCalGas's request for cost recovery from ratepayers for Phase 2 Activities in D.26-04-034 (Phase 2A Decision).

On May 1, 2026, the Administrative Law Judge issued a ruling suspending the schedule for this proceeding.

2. Questions

Please provide responses to the questions below by June 30, 2026, reply comments by July 16, 2026. Please consider the rationale applied to the Phase 2A Decision and how it may apply to this proceeding.

1. Is it just and reasonable for ratepayers, or a subset of ratepayers, to be responsible for the costs of Phase 1 Activities?
 - a. If yes, please provide the specific subset of ratepayers that benefit from Phase 1 Activities and those that should be responsible for the costs.
 - b. If yes, when would be an appropriate time for cost recovery from ratepayers for Phase 1 Activities?
 - c. Does the Commission need to consider compliance with D.22-12-055's requirements and reasonableness of costs for Phase 1 Activities? If so, when?
2. Please explain whether it is necessary for the commission to reach the issue of jurisdiction over the Angeles Link Project in this proceeding based on your previous responses.
3. Please provide a proposed schedule for the remainder of this proceeding based on your previous responses. Please include whether evidentiary hearings are necessary.
4. Please provide explanation for any other determinations in D.26-04-034 that should be considered in this proceeding.

IT IS SO RULED.

Dated May 29, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JOANNA PEREZ-GREEN

Joanna Perez-Green
Administrative Law Judge