



FILED

06/25/26

08:39 AM

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Compliance Review of Utility-Owned Generation Operations, Portfolio Allocation Balancing Account Entries, Energy Resource Recovery Account Entries, Contract Administration, Economic Dispatch of Electric Resources, Utility-Owned Generation Fuel Procurement, and Other Activities for the Record Period January 1 through December 31, 2021.

Application 22-02-015

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL THE CONFIDENTIAL VERSION OF APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF D.25-06-045 AND DIRECTING ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR REPONSIBILITY TO FILE A CORRECTED VERSION OF ITS PUBLIC APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF D.25-06-045

This ruling grants in part and denies in part the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility's Motion for Leave to File Under Seal the Confidential Version of Application for Rehearing of Decision 25-06-045. To the extent that the redacted portions of its public Application for Rehearing of Decision 25-06-045 have been granted confidential treatment in past rulings, confidentiality is granted. To the extent that the redacted portions of the public Application for Rehearing of Decision 25-06-045 have not been granted confidential treatment, this ruling directs the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility to correct its public Application

for Rehearing of Decision 25-06-045 to unredact references or quotes, or portions thereof, that have not been granted confidential treatment in this proceeding.

1. Procedural Background

On February 28, 2022, Pacific Gas and Electric Company filed Application 22-02-015, for compliance review of Utility-Owned Generation Operations, Portfolio Allocation Balancing Account Entries, Energy Resource Recovery Account Entries, Contract Administration, Economic Dispatch of Electric Resources, Utility-Owned Generation Fuel Procurement, and Other Activities for the Record Period January 1 through December 31, 2021 (Record Year). This proceeding is also known as the Erra Compliance Review for the Record Year.

The Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission, Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility (A4NR), and California Community Choice Association¹ filed timely protests to the Application on April 6, 2022. PG&E filed a response to the protests on April 18, 2022.

On June 8, 2022, the assigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) held a prehearing conference.

On August 9, 2022, the assigned Commissioner issued a Scoping Memo and Ruling (Scoping Memo) dividing the scoped issues for testimony and briefing into two parts – issues one through seven, and issue eight.

¹ California Community Choice Association represents the interests of 23 community choice electricity providers in California: Apple Valley Choice Energy, Central Coast Community Energy, Clean Energy Alliance, Clean Power Alliance, CleanPowerSF, Desert Community Energy, East Bay Community Energy, Lancaster Choice Energy, Marin Clean Energy, Orange County Power Authority, Peninsula Clean Energy, Pico Rivera Innovative Municipal Energy, Pioneer Community Energy, Pomona Choice Energy, Rancho Mirage Energy Authority, Redwood Coast Energy Authority, San Diego Community Power, San Jacinto Power, San José Clean Energy, Santa Barbara Clean Energy, Silicon Valley Clean Energy, SonomaClean Power, and Valley Clean Energy.

On October 11, 2024, the assigned ALJ issued a ruling to admit testimony and exhibits into the evidentiary record and ruled on the confidential treatment of testimony, opening and reply briefs for issues one to seven (Evidence Ruling).

On October 23, 2024, the assigned ALJ issued a ruling granting the Joint Motion to enter exhibits relating to issue eight into the evidentiary record.

On June 26, 2025, the Commission approved Decision (D.)25-06-045 and closed the proceeding.

On August 1, 2025, A4NR filed a timely application for rehearing of D.25-06-045 (Application). A4NR concurrently filed a Motion for Leave to File Under Seal Confidential Version of Application for Rehearing of D.25-06-045.

2. Discussion

2.1. Application for Rehearing of D.25-06-045-Public Version

In the Evidence Ruling, the assigned ALJ provided significant detail regarding which portions of the Root Cause Evaluations (RCE) that A4NR cited in its testimony would be provided confidential treatment, going so far as to delineate confidential treatment by line numbers. Confidential treatment was denied for any redacted information from the public version of A4NR's testimony that was not otherwise addressed in the Evidence Ruling.²

The public version of A4NR's Application redacted excerpts from the RCE that were not granted confidential treatment in this proceeding. Specifically, on page two of the Application, A4NR redacted language from page 16 of the RCE (Application, footnotes 5 and 6). Likewise on page three of the Application, A4NR redacted quotes from pages 12 and 31 of the RCE (Application, footnotes 7

² Evidence Ruling, at 10.

and 8). Redactions of non-confidential language deprive the public of a complete understanding of the Application.

Therefore, A4NR must correct these errors and must re-file and serve the corrected public version of its Application within five days of the date of this ruling. A4NR is admonished not to make any additional changes to its Application, other than those directed in this ruling.

IT IS RULED that:

1. The Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility shall correct the public version of its Application for Rehearing of Decision 25-06-045 to unredact the information on pages two and three that has not been granted confidential treatment in this proceeding.

2. The Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility shall re-file the public version of its Application for Rehearing of Decision 25-06-045 that complies with this ruling within five days of the date of this ruling.

3. To the extent that the redacted portions of the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility's public Application for Rehearing of Decision 25-06-045 have been granted confidential treatment in past rulings, confidentiality is granted.

Dated June 25, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ LEAH S. GOLDBERG

Leah S. Goldberg
Administrative Law Judge