



FILED

07/20/26

03:55 PM

A2606014

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Southern California Gas
Company (U904G) for Authority, Among
Other Things, to Update its Gas Revenue
Requirement and Base Rates Effective on
January 1, 2028.

(U 39 M)

Application No. 26-06-014

And Related Matter.

Application No. 26-06-015

**RESPONSE OF
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 M)**

WALKER A. MATTHEWS, III

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Law Department, 19th Floor
300 Lakeside Drive, Suite 210
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: (925) 750-0041
Facsimile: (510) 898-9696
E-mail: walker.matthews@pge.com

Dated: July 20, 2026

Attorneys for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Southern California Gas Company (U904G) for Authority, Among Other Things, to Update its Gas Revenue Requirement and Base Rates Effective on January 1, 2028.

(U 39 M)

Application No. 26-06-014

And Related Matter.

Application No. 26-06-015

**RESPONSE OF
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 M)**

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Rules 1.4 and 2.6 of the California Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules), Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) responds to the applications of Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) for a 2026 General Rate Case (GRC) and, by this response, requests to become a party to this proceeding.

II. DISCUSSION

Rule 1.4 states that a person may become a party to a proceeding by, *inter alia*, filing a protest or response to an application. Rule 2.6 provides the content requirements for a protest or response. Rule 2.6(a)-(c) state:

(a) Unless otherwise provided by rule, decision, or General Order, a protest or response must be filed within 30 days of the date the notice of the filing of the application first appears in the Daily Calendar.

(b) A protest objecting to the granting, in whole or in part, of the authority sought in an application must state the facts or law constituting the grounds for the protest, the effect of the application on the protestant, and the reasons the protestant believes the application, or a part of it, is not justified. If the protest requests an evidentiary hearing the protest must state the facts the protestant would present at an evidentiary hearing to support its request for whole or partial denial of the application.

(c) Any person may file a response that does not object to the authority sought in an application, but nevertheless presents information that the person tendering the response believes would be useful to the Commission in acting on the application.

PG&E does not object to the authority sought in SoCalGas' and SDG&E's GRC applications. PG&E has participated in prior SoCalGas and SDG&E GRCs through the submission of rebuttal testimony, briefs, and comments on proposed decisions. In prior SoCalGas and SDG&E GRCs to which PG&E was a party, the legal and factual contentions PG&E made were based on the positions taken by other intervenors. PG&E therefore has not at this time identified the issues that PG&E will address in this proceeding. Based on its experience with prior GRCs, PG&E expects to submit rebuttal testimony or briefs on issues raised by other intervenors that may impact PG&E due to its status as a California investor-owned utility. Thus, PG&E believes it will be able to present information that would be useful to the Commission in acting on the application.

Rule 2.6(d) states:

Any person protesting or responding to an application shall state in the protest or response any comments or objections regarding the applicant's statement on the proposed category, need for hearing, issues to be considered, and proposed schedule. Any alternative proposed schedule shall be consistent with the proposed category, including a deadline for resolving the proceeding within 12 months or less (adjudicatory proceeding) or 18 months or less (ratesetting or quasi-legislative proceeding).

PG&E has no comments or objections regarding SoCalGas' and SDG&E's proposed category, need for hearings, issues to be considered, or proposed schedule, and is not proposing any alternative schedule.

III. CONCLUSION

By virtue of this response, pursuant to Rule 1.4, PG&E asks to become a party in this proceeding.

///

Respectfully Submitted,

WALKER A. MATTHEWS, III

By: /s/ Walker A. Matthews, III
WALKER A. MATTHEWS, III

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Law Department, 19th Floor
300 Lakeside Drive, Suite 210
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: (925) 750-0041
Facsimile: (510) 898-9696
E-mail: walker.matthews@pge.com

Dated: July 20, 2026

Attorney for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY