



COM/MBK/jds 08/03/2026

FILED

08/03/26

04:59 PM

A2605007

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Adoption of Electric Revenue Requirements and Rates Associated with its 2027 Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA), Generation Non-Bypassable Charges Forecast, and Greenhouse Gas Forecast Revenue Return and Reconciliation.

Application 26-05-007

ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER'S SCOPING MEMO AND RULING

This scoping memo and ruling sets forth the issues, need for hearing, schedule, category, and other matters necessary to scope this proceeding pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 1701.1 and Article 7 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules).

1. Procedural Background

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed the instant application on May 15, 2026, requesting Commission approval of its 2027 Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) forecast revenue requirement. On June 17, 2026:

- California Community Choice Association (CalCCA) and the Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) filed timely protests to the Application; and
- Direct Access Customer Coalition (DACC) filed a timely response to the Application.

A Prehearing Conference (PHC) was held on July 16, 2026, to address the issues of law and fact, determine the need for hearing, set the schedule for resolving the matter, and address other matters as necessary.

After considering the discussion at the PHC, I have determined the issues and initial schedule of the proceeding to be as set forth in this Scoping Memo. I have also determined that no environmental and social justice issues have been raised at this time.

2. Issues

After considering the Application, party protests and responses, and the discussion at the PHC, the issues to be determined or otherwise considered are:

1. Should the Commission adopt PG&E's request to approve 2027 ERRA Forecast revenue requirements in this Application for 2027 ratesetting purposes, as initially forecast in PG&E's Application and as may be updated throughout the course of this proceeding and through the Annual Electric True-UP (AET) process, including
 - (a) disposition of PG&E's forecast December 31, 2026, year-end balancing account balances, subject to adjustments for recorded balances through the AET process; and
 - (b) disposition of recorded Voluntary Allocation market Option Memorandum Account (VAMOMA) balances?

PG&E's 2027 ERRA-related revenue requirement categories are listed below and their amounts will be updated throughout the course of this proceeding, including in PG&E's Fall Update:

2027 Revenue Requirement
CAM/NSGC Ongoing CTC PCIA VAMOMA ERRA PPCP TMNBC BioMAT
<u>Adjustments for Revenue Requirements</u> <u>Authorized in Other Proceedings</u>
UOG Related Costs
RUBA-E
CCA BioMat

2. Should the Commission adopt PG&E's 2027 electric sales forecast?
3. Should the Commission adopt the following listed greenhouse gas-related (GHG) forecasts for 2027?

	2027 GHG-Related Forecasts and Administrative, Program, and Outreach Expenses
1.	GHG Administrative and Outreach Expenses
2.	Clean Energy and Energy Efficiency Programs (Error Correction)
3.	Net GHG revenue return
4.	Semi-annual California Climate Credit

4. Were PG&E's recorded 2025 GHG administrative and outreach expenses reasonable?
5. Should the Commission approve PG&E's rate proposals associated with its proposed total electric procurement related revenue requirements, to be effective in rates on January 1, 2027?

3. Need for Evidentiary Hearing

In its Application, PG&E stated that the need for hearings in this proceeding, and the issues to be considered in such hearings, will depend in part on the degree to which other parties contest its requests. PG&E's proposed schedule assumed that hearings may be necessary.¹

¹ Application at 30.

Accordingly, hearings are necessary provided that a party moves to request an evidentiary hearing in accordance with the requirements outlined in the next paragraph.

Any party who believes that an evidentiary hearing is required should file and serve a motion requesting such a hearing in accordance with the schedule as indicated in this Scoping Ruling and Memo. Any such motion must identify and describe (i) the material issues of disputed fact, (ii) the evidence the party proposes to introduce at the requested hearing, and (iii) the schedule for conducting the hearing. The motion shall also state a justification for hearing and what the moving party would seek to demonstrate through hearing. It shall also contain anything else necessary for the Commission to make an informed decision on the motion. Any right that a party may otherwise have to an evidentiary hearing will be waived if the party does not submit a timely motion requesting an evidentiary hearing. The record shall be composed of all filed and served documents and shall include evidence received at a hearing if a motion for hearing is granted.

4. Schedule

The Joint Parties proposed a procedural schedule in their July 9, 2026, Joint Prehearing Conference Statement, which I find to be reasonable. The following schedule is adopted here and may be modified by the ALJ as required to promote the efficient and fair resolution of the Application:

Event	Date
Intervenor Testimony	8/27/2026
Rebuttal Testimony	9/16/2026
Rule 13.9 Meet & Confer/ Status Conference	9/22/2026
Motion for Evidentiary Hearings Due	9/24/2026

Evidentiary Hearings if Needed	10/2/2026
Update to Prepared Testimony (Fall Update)	10/16/2026
Concurrent opening Briefs	10/21/2026
Concurrent Reply Briefs and Comments on Fall Update; proceeding submitted	11/4/2026
Proposed Decision	November 2026
Comments on Proposed Decision – Reduced Time ²	+ 4 business days after Proposed Decision
Reply Comments to Proposed Decision– Reduced Time	+ 3 business days after Proposed Decision
Targeted Commission Meeting	12/17/2026

The purpose of the September 22, 2026, Status Conference is to ascertain whether, pursuant to Rule 13.8(c), the parties stipulate to the receipt of prepared testimony into evidence without direct or cross examination or other need to convene an evidentiary hearing or, in the alternative, the parties’ resources, readiness and needs for the effective remote conduct of the evidentiary hearing, including estimates of time requested for cross-examination and identification of anticipated exhibits.

The proceeding will stand submitted upon the filing of Reply Briefs and Comments on the Fall Update unless the ALJ requires further evidence or

² The parties stipulated to shortened comment and reply comment periods in their Joint PHC Statement filed on July 09, 2026, as well as verbally in the PHC on July 16, 2026.

argument. Based on this schedule, the proceeding will be resolved within 18 months as required by Public Utilities Code Section 1701.5.

5. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Program and Settlements

The Commission's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) program offers mediation, early neutral evaluation, and facilitation services, and uses ALJs who have been trained as neutrals. At the parties' request, the assigned ALJ can refer this proceeding to the Commission's ADR Coordinator. Additional ADR information is available on the Commission's website.³

Any settlement between parties, whether regarding all or some of the issues, shall comply with Article 12 of the Rules and shall be served in writing. Such settlements shall include a complete explanation of the settlement and a complete explanation of why it is reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with the law and with the public interest. The proposing parties bear the burden of proof as to whether the settlement should be adopted by the Commission.

6. Category of Proceeding and Ex Parte Restrictions

This ruling confirms the Commission's preliminary determination that this is a ratesetting proceeding. Accordingly, ex parte communications are restricted and must be reported pursuant to Article 8 of the Rules.

7. Public Outreach

Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 1711(a), where feasible and appropriate, before determining the scope of the proceeding, the Commission sought the participation of those likely to be affected, including those likely to derive benefit from, and those potentially subject to, a decision in this

³ <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/adr/>

proceeding. This matter was noticed on the Commission's daily calendar. Where feasible and appropriate, this matter was incorporated into engagements conducted by the Commission's External Affairs Division with local governments and other interested parties.

8. Intervenor Compensation

Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 1804(a)(1), a customer who intends to seek an award of compensation must file and serve a notice of intent to claim compensation by August 15, 2026, 30 days after the prehearing conference.

9. Response to Public Comments

Parties may, but are not required to, respond to written comments received from the public. Parties may do so by posting such response using the "Add Public Comment" button on the "Public Comment" tab of the online docket card for the proceeding.

10. Public Advisor

Any person interested in participating in this proceeding who is unfamiliar with the Commission's procedures or has questions about the electronic filing procedures is encouraged to obtain more information at <http://cpuc.ca.gov/pao> or contact the Commission's Public Advisor at 866-849-8390 or send an e-mail to public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov.

11. Filing, Service, and Service List

The official service list has been created and is on the Commission's website. Parties should confirm that their information on the service list is

correct and serve notice of any errors on the Commission's Process office, the service list, and the ALJ. Persons may become a party pursuant to Rule 1.4.⁴

When serving any document, each party must ensure that it is using the current official service list on the Commission's website.

This proceeding will follow the electronic service protocol set forth in Rule 1.10. All parties to this proceeding shall serve documents and pleadings using electronic mail, whenever possible, transmitted no later than 5:00 p.m., on the date scheduled for service to occur. Rule 1.10 requires service on the ALJ of both an electronic and a paper copy of filed or served documents.

When serving documents upon Commissioners or their personal advisors, whether or not they are on the official service list, parties must only provide electronic service. Parties must not send hard copies of documents to Commissioners or their personal advisors unless specifically instructed to do so.

Persons who are not parties but wish to receive electronic service of documents filed in the proceeding may contact the Process Office at process_office@cpuc.ca.gov to request addition to the "Information Only" category of the official service list pursuant to Rule 1.9(f).

The Commission encourages those who seek information-only status on the service list to consider the Commission's subscription service as an alternative. The subscription service sends individual notifications to each subscriber of formal e-filings tendered and accepted by the Commission. Notices sent through subscription service are less likely to be flagged by spam or other

⁴ The form to request additions and changes to the Service list may be found at <https://www.cpsc.ca.gov/-/media/cpsc-website/divisions/administrative-law-judge-division/documents/additiontoservicelisttranscriptordercompliant.pdf>

filters. Notifications can be for a specific proceeding, a range of documents and daily or weekly digests.

12. Receiving Electronic Service from the Commission

Parties and other persons on the service list are advised that it is the responsibility of each person or entity on the service list for Commission proceedings to ensure their ability to receive emails from the Commission. Please add “@cpuc.ca.gov” to your email safe sender list and update your email screening practices, settings and filters to ensure receipt of emails from the Commission.

13. Assignment of Proceeding

Matthew Baker is the assigned commissioner, and Syche Cai is the assigned ALJ and presiding officer, for the proceeding.

IT IS RULED that:

1. The scope of this proceeding is described above and is adopted.
2. The schedule of this proceeding is set forth above and is adopted.
3. Evidentiary hearings are necessary provided a party moves to request an evidentiary hearing in accordance with the requirements outlined above in Section 3.
4. The presiding officer is Administrative Law Judge Syche Cai.
5. The category of the proceeding is ratesetting.

Dated August 3, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MATTHEW BAKER

Matthew Baker
Assigned Commissioner