

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



FILED

08/13/26

03:27 PM

A2510008

Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS
COMPANY (U 904 G) Proposing Woody Biomass
Pilot Project.

A.25-10-008
(Filed October 15, 2025)

**AMENDED MOTION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY TO
PARTIALLY SEAL THE EVIDENTIARY RECORD**

ISMAEL BAUTISTA, JR.

Attorney for:
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS
COMPANY
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 1400, GT14G1
Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone: (213) 231-5978
Facsimile: (213) 629-9620
E-Mail: IBautista@SoCalGas.com

August 13, 2026

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS
COMPANY (U 904 G) Proposing Woody Biomass
Pilot Project.

A.25-10-008
(Filed October 15, 2025)

**AMENDED MOTION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY TO
PARTIALLY SEAL THE EVIDENTIARY RECORD**

Pursuant to Rule 11.5(b) of the California Public Utilities Commission's (Commission or CPUC) Rules of Practice and Procedure, Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) respectfully submits this Amended Motion to Partially Seal the Evidentiary Record (Motion) to protect the confidential exhibits listed on the Joint Exhibit List in the parties' Joint Motion to Admit Testimony and Exhibits Into Evidence (Joint Motion), which is being filed concurrently with this Motion.

On July 21, 2026, Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Camille Watts-Zagha issued an Email Ruling Taking Evidentiary Hearing Off Calendar and Confirming Procedural Schedule (Ruling) in this proceeding. ALJ Watts-Zagha directed SoCalGas to file and serve a joint motion to admit exhibits into evidence. Because some of the parties have confidential exhibits in this proceeding that are listed on the Joint Exhibit List, SoCalGas is submitting this Motion.

Sierra Club has identified in the Joint Exhibit List the following confidential exhibits that were previously designated as confidential by SoCalGas:

Party Pre-Mark	Witness(es)	Description/Title	SoCalGas's Factual Justification for Confidential Treatment
SC-01RC	Grubert, Sahu	Prepared Testimony of Emily Grubert and Ranajit (Ron) Sahu on Behalf of Sierra Club on the Application of Southern California Gas Company for Approval of its Woody Biomass to Methane Pilot Project (406G) (Errata) (Confidential)	Within Sierra Club's prepared testimony, there is facility operational information of West Biofuels LLC (WBF), the developer of the proposed pilot project (SB 1440 Pilot Project), that is confidential.

Party Pre-Mark	Witness(es)	Description/Title	SoCalGas's Factual Justification for Confidential Treatment
			The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure.
SC-03C - Atch-A	Lucas	April 22, 2025 Solicitation: Main Document (Confidential)	This document is the request for proposals to developers for SB 1440 Pilot Project selection. The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure.
SC-03C - Atch-B	Lucas	April 22, 2025 Solicitation: Scope of Work (Confidential)	This document is the scope of work listing requirements to developers for SB 1440 Pilot Project selection. The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure.
SC-06C	Summers	SoCalGas's Response to Sierra Club Data Request 4 (Confidential)	Within this data request response, there are CO2 capture rates that are confidential information. The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure.
SC-07C	Lucas, Summers	SoCalGas's Response to Sierra Club Data Request 5 (Confidential)	Within this data request response, there is facility operational information of WBF that is confidential. The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure.

Party Pre-Mark	Witness(es)	Description/Title	SoCalGas's Factual Justification for Confidential Treatment
SC-07C - Atch-A	Lucas	Gas Standard Material (Confidential)	This document is a SoCalGas Standard on leakage survey, and it contains proprietary and commercially valuable information that is not intended for public disclosure. This document should be treated as confidential. It also discloses the name and email of an employee who is not a director and is not in a public-facing role. Disclosing employee names is an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy and could pose a risk to employee safety. Additionally, disclosure of such information increases the risks of cyber-attacks, phishing attempts, incessant robo-calls, targeted calls, identity theft and malicious emails. Public disclosure would also constitute an "unwarranted invasion of personal privacy."
SC-10C	Lucas, Summers	SoCalGas's Response to Sierra Club Data Request 8 (Confidential)	Within this data request response, there is facility operational information of WBF that is confidential. The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure.
SC-15C - Atch-A	Lucas, Summers	SoCalGas Modeling Spreadsheet PDF (Confidential)	Within this attachment are values and formulas to compute CI values that are confidential and proprietary to the project. The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure.

Party Pre-Mark	Witness(es)	Description/Title	SoCalGas's Factual Justification for Confidential Treatment
			Detailed project calculations and formulas should not be made public, as it contains proprietary and commercially sensitive content and public disclosure would provide a competitive advantage to other businesses. If this information is released, it could pose negative financial impacts which could be detrimental to the interconnector.
SC-18C	Summers	SoCalGas's Response 1b to Cal Advocates Data Request 1: Question 7 (Confidential)	Within this data request are project cost estimates that should not be made public, as public disclosure would impact competitive pricing and provide a competitive advantage to other businesses. If this information is released, it could pose negative financial impacts which could be detrimental to the interconnector. The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure.

The confidential and proprietary information of WBF (Exhibits SC-01RC, SC-06C, SC-07C, SC-10C) is protected pursuant to Cal. Gov't Code §7927.705 ("Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law").¹ SoCalGas's request for

¹ See also, e.g., D.11-01-036, 2011 WL 660568 (2011) (agreeing that confidential prices and contract terms specifically negotiated with a program vendor is proprietary and commercially sensitive and should remain confidential); *Valley Bank of Nev. v. Superior Court*, 15 Cal.3d 652,658 (1975) (financial information is protected - especially of nonparties); D.20-03-021, (2020) (allowing for confidential treatment of applicants' agreements and financial information); D.20-02-054, (2020) (agreeing that non-public proprietary financial information should remain confidential).

proposals and the scope of work listing requirements to developers containing confidential information is protected from public disclosure as well.²

SoCalGas's gas standard (Exhibit SC-07C - Atch-A) is protected pursuant to Gov't Code §7927.705 ("Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law") and Cal. Civil Code §§3426 *et seq.* (Uniform Trade Secrets Act).³ The name and email of an employee contained in the gas standard is protected under Cal. Gov't Code §7927.700 ("disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy"), Gov't Code §7927.705 ("Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law"), Cal. Civil Code §1798.21 (requiring agencies to "ensure the security and confidentiality of" personal data), Cal. Civil Code §1798.24 (limiting disclosure of personal information), and Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §1985.3, 1985.6 (identifying types of protected records (including employment records, the process for subpoenaing employee records and requiring notice and opportunity to object).⁴

The confidential SoCalGas Modeling Spreadsheet (Exhibit SC-15C – Attach A) and project cost estimates (Exhibit SC-18C) protected under Cal. Gov't Code §7927.705 ("Records,

² Cal. Gov't Code §7927.705 ("Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law"); Cal. Civil Code §§3426 *et seq.* (Uniform Trade Secrets Act); *TMX Funding Inc. v. Impero Technologies, Inc.*, 2010 WL 2745484 at *4 (N.D. Cal. 2010) (defining trade secret in an injunction to include "business plans and strategies"); *Whyte v. Schlage Lock Co.*, 101 Cal. App. 4th 1443, 1453, 1456 (2002) (identifying types of information that may be a trade secret, including a five-year business plan and strategic planning and holding that "[t]he ultimate determination of trade secret status is subject to proof presented at trial."); *Joshua David Mellberg LLC v. Will*, 96 F. Supp. 3d 953, 965 (D. Ariz. 2015) (under the Uniform Trade Secrets Act, training materials and business plans may qualify as trade secrets).

³ *See also Joshua David Mellberg LLC v. Will*, 96 F. Supp. 3d 953, 965 (D. Ariz. 2015) (under the Uniform Trade Secrets Act, training materials and business plans may qualify as trade secrets).

⁴ *See also Britt v. Superior Court*, 20 Cal. 3d 844, 855-856 (1978) (even highly relevant information may be shielded from discovery if its disclosure would impair a person's inalienable right of privacy provided by the California Constitution); *Alch v. Superior Court*, 165 Cal. App. 4th 1412, 1428 (2008) (recognizing that name and work history information can implicate privacy interests); *Pioneer Elecs. (USA), Inc. v. Superior Court*, 40 Cal. 4th 360, 366 (2007) ("protecting disclosure of an individual's name and other identifying information is a matter embraced within the state Constitution's privacy provision (Cal. Const., art. I, §1)"); *Sehlmeyer v. Dept. of General Services*, 17 Cal. App. 4th 1072, 1079-1080 (1993) (applying civil litigation privacy protections to administrative proceedings).

the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law”) and Cal. Civil Code §§3426 *et seq.* (Uniform Trade Secrets Act).⁵

The attached Declaration of Armando Infanzon provides further support for the Amended Motion.

Sierra Club has advised SoCalGas that it does not oppose the original Motion with respect to the above-referenced confidential exhibits. In order to protect the confidential exhibits listed on the Joint Exhibit List, SoCalGas respectfully requests that the Commission grant this motion and seal the evidentiary record with respect to the confidential exhibits.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Ismael Bautista, Jr.
Ismael Bautista, Jr.

Attorney for:
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 1400, GT14G1
Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone: (213) 231-5978
Facsimile: (213) 629-9620
E-Mail: IBautista@SoCalGas.com

August 13, 2026

⁵ See also *TMX Funding Inc. v. Impero Technologies, Inc.*, 2010 WL 2745484 at *4 (N.D. Cal. 2010) (defining trade secret in an injunction to include “business plans and strategies”); *Whyte v. Schlage Lock Co.*, 101 Cal. App. 4th 1443, 1453, 1456 (2002) (identifying types of information that may be a trade secret, including a five-year business plan and holding that “[t]he ultimate determination of trade secret status is subject to proof presented at trial”); *Morton v. Rank America, Inc.*, 812 F. Supp. 1062, 1073 (1993) (denying motion to dismiss because “actual or probable income, expenses and capital needs of [a company], the financial, operational, marketing and other business strategies and methods” could constitute trade secret).

DECLARATION

**DECLARATION OF ARMANDO INFANZON
REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN DATA/DOCUMENTS
PURSUANT TO (D.) 21-09-020**

I, Armando Infanzon, do declare as follows:

1. I am the Director of Market Development for Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas). I have been delegated authority to sign this declaration by Jawaad Malik, Senior Vice President, Strategy and Financial Planning, and Treasurer for SoCalGas and San Diego Gas and Electric. I have reviewed the confidential information included within the Amended Motion to Seal the Evidentiary Record in SoCalGas's Application for a Woody Biomass Pilot Project (A.25-10-008). I am personally familiar with the facts in this Declaration and, if called upon to testify, I could and would testify to the following based upon my personal knowledge and/or information and belief.

2. I hereby provide this Declaration, in accordance with Decision (D.) 21-09-020 and General Order (GO) 66-D Revision 2, to demonstrate that the confidential information (Protected Information) provided in the Amended Motion to Seal the Evidentiary Record is within the scope of data protected as confidential under applicable law.

3. In accordance with the narrative justification described in Attachment A, the Protected Information should be protected from public disclosure.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed this 13th day of August 2026 at Los Angeles, California.

By: _____



Armando Infanzon
Director of Market Development
Southern California Gas Company

ATTACHMENT A
SoCalGas Request for Confidentiality on the following Protected
Information in its Amended Motion to Seal the Evidentiary Record
regarding the Woody Biomass Proceeding

Location of Protected Information	Legal Citations	Narrative Justification
Confidential Information: Contains facility operational information of West Biofuels LLC (WBF), the developer of the proposed pilot project (SB 1440 Pilot Project), that is confidential within SC-01RC, SC-07C, and SC-10C.	CPRA Exemption, Gov’t Code § 6254.7(d) (Trade Secrets) CPRA Exemption, Gov’t Code § 6254(k) (“Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law”) • Cal. Evid. Code § 1060 • Cal. Civil Code §§ 3426 <i>et seq.</i> (relating to trade secrets) • Cal. Civil Code §§ 3426 <i>et seq.</i> (Uniform Trade Secrets Act) • <i>TMX Funding Inc. v. Impero Technologies, Inc.</i>, 2010 WL 2745484 at *4 (N.D. Cal. 2010) (defining trade secret in an injunction to include “business plans and strategies”) • <i>Whyte v. Schlage Lock Co.</i>, 101 Cal. App. 4th 1443, 1453, 1456 (2002) (identifying types of information that may be a trade secret, including a five-year business plan and strategic planning and holding that “[t]he ultimate determination of trade secret status is subject to proof presented at trial.”) • <i>O2 Micro Int’l Ltd. v. Monolithic Power Sys., Inc.</i>, 420 F. Supp. 2d 1070, 1089–1090 (N.D. Cal. 2006) (“It does not matter if a portion of the trade secret is generally known, or even that every individual portion of the trade secret is generally known, so long as the combination of all such information is not generally known.”) • <i>Joshua David Mellberg LLC v. Will</i>, 96 F. Supp. 3d 953, 965 (D. Ariz. 2015) (under the Uniform Trade Secrets Act, training materials and business plans may qualify as trade secrets)	The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure. Contains facility operational information of West Biofuels LLC (WBF), the developer of the proposed pilot project (SB 1440 Pilot Project), that is confidential.

Location of Protected Information	Legal Citations	Narrative Justification
Confidential Information: SC-03C-Atch-A and SC-03C-Atch-B contain the request for proposals and requirements for the SB1440 Pilot Project selection which is confidential.	CPRA Exemption, Gov't Code § 6254.7(d) (Trade Secrets) CPRA Exemption, Gov't Code § 6254(k) ("Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law") • Cal. Evid. Code § 1060 • Cal. Civil Code §§ 3426 <i>et seq.</i> (relating to trade secrets) • Cal. Civil Code §§ 3426 <i>et seq.</i> (Uniform Trade Secrets Act) • <i>TMX Funding Inc. v. Impero Technologies, Inc.</i>, 2010 WL 2745484 at *4 (N.D. Cal. 2010) (defining trade secret in an injunction to include "business plans and strategies") • <i>Whyte v. Schlage Lock Co.</i>, 101 Cal. App. 4th 1443, 1453, 1456 (2002) (identifying types of information that may be a trade secret, including a five-year business plan and strategic planning and holding that "[t]he ultimate determination of trade secret status is subject to proof presented at trial.") • <i>Morton v. Rank America, Inc.</i>, 812 F. Supp. 1062, 1073 (1993) (denying motion to dismiss because "actual or probable income, expenses and capital needs of [a company], the financial, operational, marketing and other business strategies and methods" could constitute trade secret) • <i>O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Monolithic Power Sys., Inc.</i>, 420 F. Supp. 2d 1070, 1089–1090 (N.D. Cal. 2006) ("It does not matter if a portion of the trade secret is generally known, or even that every individual portion of the trade secret is generally known, so long as the combination of all such information is not generally known.")	These documents contain the request for proposals and requirements for the SB1440 Pilot Project selection. The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure.

Location of Protected Information	Legal Citations	Narrative Justification
Confidential Information: Document SC-06C contains CO2 capture rates that are confidential information to the project.	CPRA Exemption, Gov't Code § 6254.7(d) (Trade Secrets) CPRA Exemption, Gov't Code § 6254(k) ("Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law") • Cal. Evid. Code § 1060 • Cal. Civil Code §§ 3426 <i>et seq.</i> (relating to trade secrets) • Cal. Civil Code §§ 3426 <i>et seq.</i> (Uniform Trade Secrets Act) • <i>TMX Funding Inc. v. Impero Technologies, Inc.</i>, 2010 WL 2745484 at *4 (N.D. Cal. 2010) (defining trade secret in an injunction to include "business plans and strategies") • <i>Whyte v. Schlage Lock Co.</i>, 101 Cal. App. 4th 1443, 1453, 1456 (2002) (identifying types of information that may be a trade secret, including a five-year business plan and strategic planning and holding that "[t]he ultimate determination of trade secret status is subject to proof presented at trial.") • <i>O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Monolithic Power Sys., Inc.</i>, 420 F. Supp. 2d 1070, 1089–1090 (N.D. Cal. 2006) ("It does not matter if a portion of the trade secret is generally known, or even that every individual portion of the trade secret is generally known, so long as the combination of all such information is not generally known.")	Within this document there are CO2 capture rates that are confidential information. The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure.

Location of Protected Information	Legal Citations	Narrative Justification
Confidential Information: SC-07C-Atch-A is a SoCalGas Gas Standard that is not intended for public disclosure.	California Public Records Act (CPRA) Exemption, Gov't Code § 7924.510(f) (Trade Secrets) CPRA Exemption, Gov't Code § 7927.705 ("Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law") • Cal. Civil Code §§ 3426 <i>et seq.</i> (Uniform Trade Secrets Act) • D.13-11-025, 2013 WL 6202871 (2013) ("The contracting IOU should not be required to allow use or provide access to data or test results if such use or disclosure could compromise the safe operation or confidential operating details of any IOUs' infrastructure.") • <i>O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Monolithic Power Sys., Inc.</i>, 420 F. Supp. 2d 1070, 1089–1090 (N.D. Cal. 2006) ("It does not matter if a portion of the trade secret is generally known, or even that every individual portion of the trade secret is generally known, so long as the combination of all such information is not generally known.") • Cal. Gov't Code §7927.700 ("disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy") • Gov't Code §7927.705 ("Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law") • Cal. Civil Code §1798.21 (requiring agencies to "ensure the security and confidentiality of" personal data), Cal. Civil Code §1798.24 (limiting disclosure of personal information) • Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §1985.3, 1985.6 (identifying types of protected records (including employment records, the process for subpoenaing employee records and requiring notice and opportunity to object) • <i>Britt v. Superior Court</i>, 20 Cal. 3d 844, 855-856 (1978) (even highly relevant information may be shielded from discovery if its disclosure would impair a person's inalienable right of privacy provided by the California Constitution) • <i>Alch v. Superior Court</i>, 165 Cal. App. 4th 1412, 1428 (2008) (recognizing that name and work history information can implicate privacy interests) • <i>Pioneer Elecs. (USA), Inc. v. Superior Court</i>, 40 Cal. 4th 360, 366 (2007) ("protecting disclosure of an individual's name and other	This document is a SoCalGas Standard on leakage survey, and it obtains proprietary and commercially valuable information that is not intended for public disclosure. Document should be considered confidential. It also discloses the name and email of an employee who is not a director and is not in a public-facing role. Disclosing employee names is an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy and could pose a risk to employee safety. Additionally, disclosure of such information increases the risks of cyber-attacks, phishing attempts, incessant robo-calls, targeted calls, identity theft and malicious emails. Public disclosure would also constitute an "unwarranted invasion of personal privacy."

Location of Protected Information	Legal Citations	Narrative Justification
	identifying information is a matter embraced within the state Constitution’s privacy provision (Cal. Const., art. I, §1)” • <i>Sehlmeyer v. Dept. of General Services</i>, 17 Cal. App. 4th 1072, 1079-1080 (1993) (applying civil litigation privacy protections to administrative proceedings).	

Confidential Information: Detailed project specific air emissions data and calculations (contained in the Microsoft Excel file) within SC-15C-Atch-A.	CPRA Exemption, Gov't Code § 6254.7(d) (Trade Secrets) CPRA Exemption, Gov't Code § 6254(k) ("Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law") • Cal. Evid. Code § 1060 • Cal. Civil Code §§ 3426 <i>et seq.</i> (relating to trade secrets) • <i>TMX Funding Inc. v. Impero Technologies, Inc.</i>, 2010 WL 2745484 at *4 (N.D. Cal. 2010) (defining trade secret in an injunction to include "business plans and strategies") • <i>Whyte v. Schlage Lock Co.</i>, 101 Cal. App. 4th 1443, 1453, 1456 (2002) (giving a list of what may be trade secret and holding that "[t]he ultimate determination of trade secret status is subject to proof presented at trial") • <i>O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Monolithic Power Sys., Inc.</i>, 420 F. Supp. 2d 1070, 1089–1090 (N.D. Cal. 2006) ("It does not matter if a portion of the trade secret is generally known, or even that every individual portion of the trade secret is generally known, so long as the combination of all such information is not generally known.") • 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4) (Exemption 4 of FOIA protecting "trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential") • <i>Morton v. Rank America, Inc.</i>, 812 F. Supp. 1062, 1073 (1993) (denying motion to dismiss because "actual or probable income, expenses and capital needs of [a company], the financial, operational, marketing and other business strategies and methods" could constitute trade secret)	The information is proprietary and represents and contains proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure. Detailed project calculations and formulas should not be made public, as it contains proprietary and commercially sensitive content and public disclosure would provide a competitive advantage to other businesses. If this information is released, it could pose negative financial impacts which could be detrimental to the interconnector. This information is also covered by contractual confidentiality obligations, and SoCalGas is restricted from disclosing this information.
---	---	--

Confidential Information: Detailed project costs contained within SC-18C.	CPRA Exemption, Gov’t Code § 6254.7(d) (Trade Secrets) CPRA Exemption, Gov’t Code § 6254(k) (“Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law”) • Cal. Evid. Code § 1060 • Cal. Civil Code §§ 3426 <i>et seq.</i> (relating to trade secrets) • <i>TMX Funding Inc. v. Impero Technologies, Inc.</i>, 2010 WL 2745484 at *4 (N.D. Cal. 2010) (defining trade secret in an injunction to include “business plans and strategies”) • <i>Whyte v. Schlage Lock Co.</i>, 101 Cal. App. 4th 1443, 1453, 1456 (2002) (giving a list of what may be trade secret and holding that “[t]he ultimate determination of trade secret status is subject to proof presented at trial”) • <i>Morton v. Rank America, Inc.</i>, 812 F. Supp. 1062, 1073 (1993) (denying motion to dismiss because “actual or probable income, expenses and capital needs of [a company], the financial, operational, marketing and other business strategies and methods” could constitute trade secret) • 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4) (Exemption 4 of FOIA protecting “trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential”) • <i>See, e.g.</i>, D.11-01-036, 2011 WL 660568 (2011) (agreeing that confidential prices and contract terms specifically negotiated with a program vendor is proprietary and commercially sensitive and should remain confidential) <i>Valley Bank of Nev. v. Superior Court</i>, 15 Cal.3d 652, 658 (1975) (financial information is protected – especially of non-parties)	The information is proprietary and represent and contain proprietary, commercially sensitive, trade secrets, and content not intended for public disclosure. Detailed project cost should not be made public, as public disclosure would impact competitive pricing and provide a competitive advantage to other businesses. If this information is released, it could pose negative financial impacts which could be detrimental to the interconnector. This information is also covered by contractual confidentiality obligations and SoCalGas is restricted from disclosing this information.
---	--	--