BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED
08/31/26
01:12 PM
C2410009

City of Rolling Hills, Complainant, vs. Southern California Gas Company (U904G), Defendant.	C.24-10-009

MOTION OF THE CITY OF ROLLING HILLS TO WITHDRAW COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE

Louise Simpson
Chad Colton
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
1333 N. California Blvd, Suite 220
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
(925) 977-3336
Louise.simpson@bbklaw.com

Attorneys for
City of Rolling Hills

August 31, 2026

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

City of Rolling Hills, Complainant, vs. Southern California Gas Company (U904G), Defendant.	C.24-10-009

MOTION OF THE CITY OF ROLLING HILLS TO WITHDRAW COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE

Pursuant to California Public Utilities Commission Rule 11.1, the City of Rolling Hills (City) hereby moves to withdraw its complaint in this proceeding with prejudice.

On September 25, 2025, Complainant City and Defendant Southern California Gas Company (collectively, the Parties) moved for approval of a Stipulation for a Stay of this proceeding (Joint Motion). At paragraph 12 of the Joint Motion, the Parties agreed that "once construction is completed and gas service is restored to those residents who want to be returned to gas service...," Rolling Hills would "withdraw its complaint and this complaint proceeding would be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Rule 11.2." On October 6, 2025, Administrative Law Judge Robert M. Mason III granted the Parties' Joint Motion.

On August 18, 2026, in light of the information contained in the Parties' July 31, 2026 Joint Status Report, Judge Mason directed the City to file a motion to withdraw the complaint by August 31, 2026.

Pursuant to the Joint Motion and Judge Mason's directive, the City hereby requests that the Commission grant the motion and allow withdrawal of the complaint with prejudice.

The City notes that, although Southern California Gas Company asserts that construction is completed and gas service has been restored to eight residents who want to be returned to gas service, the vast majority of residents remain without gas service and many have expressed frustration with the customer experience. However, the City acknowledges that those individual customer service experiences are beyond the scope of this particular proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,



Louise Simpson
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

Attorneys for
City of Rolling Hills

August 31, 2026