



ALJ/RIM/cg7 08/26/2026

FILED

08/27/26

08:00 AM

C2408008

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Linda McDow,

Complainant

vs

Southern California Edison Company
(U338E),

Defendant.

(ECP)
Case 24-08-008

EMAIL RULING REGARDING STATUS REPORT

Dated August 26, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ROBERT M. MASON III

Robert M. Mason III
Administrative Law Judge

From: Mason, Robert <robert.mason@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2026 12:16 PM
To: Anna.Valdberg@sce.com; Louise.Simpson@bbklaw.com; chad.colton@bbklaw.com; Kari.Cameron@BBKlaw.com; Nicolas.papajohn@bbklaw.com; Divina, Marianne <Marianne.Divina@cpuc.ca.gov>; KBanales@CityOfRH.net; Tariffs.Manager@sce.com; Anna.Wojtyna-Machon@sce.com; CaseAdminTeamSupportGroupList@sce.com; Case.Admin@sce.com; Darrah.Morgan@sce.com; Joel.Mallord@sce.com; Robert.Pontelle@sce.com; Robert.1.Rojas@sce.com; Ryan.Baron@BBKlaw.com; Sanchez, Claudia <Claudia.Sanchez@cpuc.ca.gov>; Yergovich, Matthew <Matthew.Yergovich@cpuc.ca.gov>; Mason, Robert <robert.mason@cpuc.ca.gov>; LEdelstein@jenner.com; SCai@jenner.com
Cc: ALJ Support ID <alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Docket Office <ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Process <alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: C.2408008: email ruling regarding status report

Dear Parties:

This email ruling directs that City of Rolling Hills and Southern California Edison Company answer the following questions in their next status report(s).

In its complaint, City of Rolling Hills claims that Southern California Edison Company (SCE) improperly de-energized 51 homes. (Complaint at 2.) In its prehearing conference statement of March 3, 2025, SCE claims that 26 Rolling Hills customers have had their power re-energized, leaving 28 customers remaining. Of this remainder, SCE claims that

- Five have opted out of restoring service with SCE;
- Three require repairs to their electrical panels by an electrician before SCE can restore their power;
- One is ready to be re-energized; and
- The remaining 19 customers have not scheduled city inspections, or those inspections have not yet taken place. (SCE PHC Statement at 4.)

As part of the next upcoming status report, the parties shall inform me whether all the remaining customers getting their service with SCE have had their homes re-energized. Specifically,

- Did the three customers have their electrical panels repaired and has their power been re-energized;

- Have the inspections for the remaining 19 customers taken place and has the power to these customers been re-energized; and
- If all the re-energizations have not been completed, what is the timeline for completing the re-energization for the remaining customers?

Docket Office shall file this ruling.

Robert M. Mason III
Senior Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission
San Francisco, California