



ALJ/CS8/abb 9/11/2026

FILED

09/14/26

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11:13 AM
A2511006

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U39G) for Approval of its 2027 Gas Cost Allocation and Rate Design Proposals for its Gas Distribution, Transmission and Storage System.

Application 25-11-006

**E-MAIL RULING GRANTING PG&E'S MOTION AND
ADOPTING THE PROPOSED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE**

Dated September 11, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ CAROLYN SISTO

Carolyn Sisto
Administrative Law Judge

From: Sisto, Carolyn <Carolyn.Sisto@cpuc.ca.gov>

Sent: Friday, September 11, 2026 10:39 AM

To: JGarlock@SheppardMullin.com; Mary.Kenaston@pge.com;
NSheriff@Buchalter.com; NSheriff@Buchalter.com; JKarp@SheppardMullin.com;
Berlin@SusieBerlinLaw.com; ABrown@B2EnergyLaw.com; KatieJorrie@dwt.com;
Alexander.Marti@cpuc.ca.gov; Kelley.Casimere@cpuc.ca.gov;
James.Bartlett@RockpointGS.com; Lisa.wan@pge.com;MMcAbee@Buchalter.com
Nicole.Broeke@RockpointGS.com; CPUC.docket@buchalter.com;
jonathannamazi@dwt.com; Mina.Botros@cpuc.ca.gov; K3FY@pge.com;
NJoubert@HullStreetEnergy.com; BCollins@consultBai.com; tlee@buchalter.com;
JMosher@ConsultBAI.com; MGorman@ConsultBai.com; Sarah.Tomalty@BP.com;
ASterling@CalicheStorage.com; Christopher.Heywood@BP.com;
Carolyn.Sisto@cpuc.ca.gov; Molly.Miller@cpuc.ca.gov;Kingsley.Cheng@pge.com;
DJohnston@AdamsBroadwell.com; Jean.Spencer@cpuc.ca.gov;
carlos.velasquez@cpuc.ca.gov; Christopher.Hogan@cpuc.ca.gov;
David.Fermino@cpuc.ca.gov; RKoss@AdamsBroadwell.com; DCheng@turn.org;
Mayuresh.Visswanathan@cpuc.ca.gov; Jennifer.ReyesLagunero@pge.com;
Nicholas.Bonino@cpuc.ca.gov; HRyu@HullStreetEnergy.com;
James@UtilityAdvocates.org; shafez@buchalter.com; MNN@MRWassoc.com;
acarrejo@buchalter.com; sfocpucdockets@dwt.com; Roderick.Hill@cpuc.ca.gov;
Avis.Kowalewski@Calpine.com;Emily.Chow@cpuc.ca.gov;EPoole@ADPlaw.com
Diana.Gallegos@Calpine.com;Vanessa.Perez@Calpine.com;centralfiles@sdge.com;
MikeFlorio@RocketMail.com;MRW@MRWassoc.com;Sutton.Payne@cpuc.ca.gov;
luke@utilityadvocates.org;Joy.Mastache@smud.org;Megan@BRBLawGroup.com;
BCragg@DowneyBrand.com;RegRelCPUccases@pge.com;Regulatory@Stoel.com
Cc: alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov; ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov;
alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov

Subject: A.25-11-006: E-mail Ruling Granting PG&E's Motion and Adopting the Proposed Procedural Schedule

To the Service List of A.25-11-006:

This e-mail ruling grants Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) motion to adopt a new procedural schedule and sets forth the procedural schedule for Application (A.) 25-11-006.

My August 25, 2026, ruling suspended the procedural schedule for A.25-11-06. This ruling noted that PG&E found that the exit of Valero's refinery from its service territory requires the utility to modify its 2027 Gas Cost Allocation and

Rate Design (CARD) forecast. I directed PG&E to confer with parties to propose a new procedural schedule.

On September 4, 2026, PG&E filed a motion explaining that parties to A.25-11-006 were provided time to review and comment on its new proposed schedule, and that party comments were incorporated in PG&E's filed motion.

PG&E's motion notes that BP Energy Company, Indicated Shippers, Moss Landing Power Company, NatGas Greenleaf Holdings, and the Northern California Generation Coalition supported PG&E's proposed schedule in comments. Calpine, Central Valley Gas Storage, Crockett Cogeneration, the Sacramento Municipal Utility District, The Utility Reform Network, Western Manufacturing Housing Association ("WMA"), and Wild Goose Storage, LLC and Lodi Gas Storage, L.L.C, did not oppose the proposed schedule. The Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission and Small Business Utility Advocates did not provide a position on the proposed schedule.

No parties objected to PG&E's proposed schedule.

Rule 9.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure authorizes the assigned Administrative Law Judge to take actions that may be necessary and appropriate to discharge their duties, so long as the action does not involve any final determination of proceedings, is consistent with the statutory or other authorities under which the Commission functions and complies with the rules and policies of the Commission. This includes modifications to the procedural schedule.

Therefore, IT IS RULED:

1. PG&E's September 4, 2026, motion is granted.
2. The schedule for A.25-11-006 is adopted as follows:

ACTIVITY	DATE
PG&E Revised Testimony and Workpapers Due	October 2, 2026
PG&E Completion of Revised Data Responses	October 23, 2026
Intervenor Testimony Due	December 4, 2026

Settlement Discussions (if relevant)	January-February 2027
Concurrent Rebuttal Testimony Due	March 12, 2027
Meet and Confer Deadline	March 26, 2027
Joint Case Management Statement Due	April 23, 2027
Evidentiary Hearing (if necessary)	May 2027
Opening Briefs Due	July 1, 2027
Reply Briefs Due	July 30, 2027
Proposed Decision	Q3 2027
Final Commission Decision	No sooner than 30 days after the mailing of the Proposed Decision

The Docket Office shall formally file this e-mail ruling.

Carrie Sisto
Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission