



FILED

09/15/26

04:59 PM

A2505009

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric
Company for Authority, Among Other Things,
to Increase Rates and Charges for Electric and
Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2027.

(U 39 M)

Application 25-05-009
(Filed May 15, 2025)

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S (U 39 M)
SECOND STATUS REPORT ADDRESSING THE UPDATE PHASE SCHEDULE**

WALKER A. MATTHEWS
PETER OUBORG
KERRY C. KLEIN
KRISTIN D. CHARIPAR

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Law Department, 19th Floor
300 Lakeside Drive, Suite 210
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: (925) 750-0041
Facsimile: (510) 898-9696
E-mail: walker.matthews@pge.com

Dated: September 15, 2026

Attorneys for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric
Company for Authority, Among Other Things,
to Increase Rates and Charges for Electric and
Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2027.

(U 39 M)

Application 25-05-009
(Filed May 15, 2025)

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S (U 39 M)
SECOND STATUS REPORT ADDRESSING THE UPDATE PHASE SCHEDULE**

Pursuant to Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Larsen's August 11, 2026 Ruling, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) respectfully submits this second status report addressing the update phase schedule for the 2027 GRC. The Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates), The Utility Reform Network (TURN), California Community Choice Association (CalCCA), and Coalition of California Utility Employees (CUE) have authorized PG&E to submit this second status report on their behalf.

I. Background and Status Report

On September 1, 2026, in accordance with ALJ Larsen's Ruling, PG&E submitted a status report summarizing parties' discussions regarding the procedural schedule for the update phase in PG&E's 2027 GRC. The status report indicated that intervenors were still in the process of reviewing PG&E's update testimony and conducting discovery, and that they would be in a better position to determine the need for intervenor testimony and hearings following the completion of their reviews of the testimony and discovery responses. Intervening parties agreed that by September 15, 2026, they would determine whether intervenor testimony is necessary. This threshold determination provides the basis for parties' proposal for the remainder of the procedural schedule for the update phase.

Intervening parties have completed their review of PG&E's testimony and PG&E's discovery response. On September 15, 2026, counsel for Cal Advocates and TURN notified PG&E that they would not be serving testimony for the update phase. Cal Advocates further proposed that in lieu of hearings, Cal Advocates would like to have the update-phase data request responses from PG&E be made part of the record. PG&E agrees to this request. TURN indicated that it is still evaluating the need for evidentiary hearings and would be in a position to provide its decision on this issue by Friday,

September 18. No other party has indicated the need for intervenor testimony. Based on the foregoing developments, the parties' proposals contemplate the following two schedules without intervenor testimony.

Schedule Scenario No. 1 (No Intervenor Testimony / No Hearing Requested)

Event	Date
Status Report (indicating no intervenor testimony to be submitted)	September 15, 2026
Status Report (indicating no hearing requested)	September 18, 2026
Update Phase Opening Brief	October 7, 2026
Update Phase Reply Brief	October 28, 2026

Schedule Scenario No. 2 (No Intervenor Testimony / Hearing Requested)

Event	Date
Status Report (indicating no intervenor testimony to be submitted)	September 15, 2026
Status Report (indicating hearing requested)	September 18, 2026
Evidentiary Hearing	October 7, 2026
Update Phase Opening Brief	October 28, 2026
Update Phase Reply Brief	November 18, 2026

PG&E will submit an additional status report on September 18 regarding the need for evidentiary hearings, which will finalize the parties' schedule proposal. In the event that Schedule Scenario No. 1 is adopted because no party requests hearings, the parties will work together to propose a process for the admission of exhibits for the update phase into the record.

///

///

///

II. Conclusion

The parties respectfully request that the ALJs continue to allow for schedule flexibility as proposed in the schedule scenarios presented above.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Walker A. Matthews
WALKER A. MATTHEWS

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Law Department, 19th Floor
300 Lakeside Drive, Suite 210
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: (925) 750-0041
Facsimile: (510) 898-9696
E-Mail: walker.matthews@pge.com

Dated: September 15, 2026

Attorney for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY