



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FILED

10/06/26

03:27 PM

A2512012

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric
Company (U902M) for Approval of Smart Meter
2.0 Proposal.

Application 25-12-012
(Filed December 18, 2025)

**UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION NETWORK
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES**

**JOINT MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME FOR RESPONSES TO
JOINT MOTION TO DIRECT SDG&E TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY**

JANE KRIKORIAN
Staff Attorney
UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION
NETWORK
404 Euclid Avenue, Suite 377
San Diego, CA 92114
Telephone: (619) 696-6966
jane@ucan.org

DAVID CHENG
Staff Attorney
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
360 Grand Ave, Suite 150
Oakland, CA 94610
Telephone: (415) 929-8800
dcheng@turn.org

LUKE MAY
Regulatory Counsel
SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY
ADVOCATES
548 Market St., Suite 11200
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (310) 709-1213
luke@utilityadvocates.org

October 6, 2026

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric
Company (U 902 M) for Approval of Smart
Meter 2.0 Proposal.

Application 25-12-012
(Filed December 18,
2025)

**UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION NETWORK
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES**

**JOINT MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME FOR RESPONSES TO
JOINT MOTION TO DIRECT SDG&E TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY**

I. Introduction and Relief Requested.

Pursuant to Rule 11.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Utility Consumers' Action Network (UCAN), The Utility Reform Network (TURN) and Small Business Utility Advocates (SBUA) (together, the Joint Movants) move to shorten the time for responses to their Joint Motion to Direct SDG&E to File Supplemental Testimony (Joint Motion), filed concurrently, from fifteen days to seven days.¹

II. Good Cause Exists to Shorten Time.

The Joint Motion asks the presiding officer to direct San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) to serve supplemental testimony comparing its proposal with the alternative its incumbent vendor offered in 2025, and to set a schedule under which that testimony and the parties' responses enter the record before it closes. The relief bears on the procedural schedule adopted in the Scoping Memo and Ruling of August 18, 2026: rebuttal testimony is due October

¹ Rule 11.1(e) ("Responses to motions must be filed and served within 15 days of the date that the motion was served, unless the Commission or the ALJ sets a different date."). *[Counsel to confirm the current text of Rule 11.1(e).]*

16, 2026, the Rule 13.9 meet-and-confer is set for October 26, the joint case management statement for November 12, the status conference for November 17, and evidentiary hearings for December 7–9, 2026.² Under the ordinary fifteen-day period, responses would be due after rebuttal testimony has been served and shortly before the meet-and-confer, and a ruling could not issue in time for the parties to address the supplemental schedule in their case management statement. A seven-day response period allows the presiding officer to rule before the meet-and-confer and permits the parties to plan the hearings around the ruling.

The shortened period does not prejudice SDG&E. The Joint Motion's subject — SDG&E's failure to compare its proposal with the incumbent vendor's alternative — has been before SDG&E since the intervenors' direct testimony was served on September 25, 2026, and in discovery since at least March 2026.³ SDG&E's rebuttal testimony, due October 16, will address the same subject. SDG&E itself sought and received expedited treatment of its own motion for leave to serve supplemental testimony in May 2026, filing a companion motion asking that the response period be shortened to three days.⁴

The Joint Movants served the Joint Motion and this motion by electronic mail on the service list on the date of filing and notified SDG&E's counsel of their intent to file in advance.

² Scoping Memo and Ruling (August 18, 2026) at 7.

³ SDG&E Response to CalAdv-SDGE-DR-003, Q30.c (March 31, 2026) (reproduced in Attachment SG-7 (Protected Materials) to the Prepared Direct Testimony of Samuel Golding on behalf of the Utility Consumers' Action Network (September 25, 2026) (UCAN-01), Item C05 at 183); Prepared Testimony of Matt Sheriff on behalf of The Utility Reform Network and Small Business Utility Advocates (September 25, 2026) (TURN-SBUA-01) at 36–38; Prepared Testimony of the Public Advocates Office on SDG&E's Smart Meter 2.0 Application (September 25, 2026) (CA-01) at 4–5; UCAN-01, Sections III and IV.

⁴ Motion of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 M) for Leave to Serve Supplemental Testimony (May 18, 2026) at 1–2 ("SDG&E is concurrently filing a Motion to Shorten the Response Period"; requesting that "its concurrent Motion to shorten the response period to three days be granted"); Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Granting Motion to File Supplemental Testimony (June 4, 2026).

III. Conclusion.

The Joint Movants respectfully request that the presiding officer shorten the time for responses to the Joint Motion to seven days from the date of its filing.

Dated: October 6, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

_____/s/_____
JANE KRIKORIAN
Staff Attorney
UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION
NETWORK
404 Euclid Avenue, Suite 377
San Diego, CA 92114
Telephone: (619) 696-6966
jane@ucan.org

_____/s/_____
DAVID CHENG
Staff Attorney
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
360 Grand Ave, Suite 150
Oakland, CA 94610
Telephone: (415) 929-8800
dcheng@turn.org

_____/s/_____
LUKE MAY
Regulatory Counsel
SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY
ADVOCATES
548 Market St., Suite 11200
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (310) 709-1213
luke@utilityadvocates.org

APPENDIX A
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric
Company (U 902 M) for Approval of Smart
Meter 2.0 Proposal.

Application 25-12-012
(Filed December 18,
2025)

**[PROPOSED] ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING GRANTING JOINT
MOTION
TO SHORTEN TIME FOR RESPONSES**

This Ruling grants the Joint Motion of the Utility Consumers' Action Network, The Utility Reform Network and Small Business Utility Advocates to Shorten Time for Responses to their Joint Motion to Direct San Diego Gas & Electric Company to File Supplemental Testimony (Joint Motion), both filed October __, 2026.

Good cause exists to shorten the time for responses so that the Joint Motion may be resolved before the Rule 13.9 meet-and-confer on October 26, 2026 and the parties' joint case management statement due November 12, 2026.

IT IS RULED that:

1. The motion to shorten time is granted.
2. Responses to the Joint Motion shall be filed and served on or before _____, 2026.

Dated _____, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ PATRICK PETERSEN
Patrick Petersen
Administrative Law Judge