



Decision _____

FILED**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

10/09/26

11:48 AM

A2504004

Application of PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U39E) for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing the Construction of the S-238 Hinkley Compressor Station Electrical Upgrades Project.

Application 25-04-004
(Filed April 9, 2025)

**INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM OF THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
AND DECISION ON INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM OF THE UTILITY
REFORM NETWORK**

NOTE: After electronically filing a PDF copy of this Intervenor Compensation Claim (Request), please email the document in an MS WORD and supporting EXCEL spreadsheet to the Intervenor Compensation Program Coordinator at lcompcoordinator@cpuc.ca.gov.

Intervenor: The Utility Reform Network (TURN)	For contribution to Decision (D.) 26-08-005
Claimed: \$ 62,535.00	Awarded: \$
Assigned Commissioner: Matthew Baker	Assigned ALJ(s): Zhen Zhang
I hereby certify that the information I have set forth in Parts I, II, and III of this Claim is true to my best knowledge, information and belief. I further certify that, in conformance with the Rules of Practice and Procedure, this Claim has been served this day upon all required persons (as set forth in the Certificate of Service attached as Attachment 1).	
Signature:	
Date: October 9, 2026	Submitted by: Marcel Hawiger

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES

(to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)

A. Brief description of Decision:	Decision 26-08-005 granted PG&E's Motion to Withdraw its application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to conduct various capital upgrades on the electrical system of the Hinkley Compressor Station (the "Hinkley Project"); however, the Decision also required PG&E to provide certain specific information regarding costs and need and project scope in any future proceeding in
--	--

	which PG&E seeks cost recovery for the work conducted on the Hinkley Project.
--	---

B. Intervenor must satisfy intervenor compensation requirements set forth in Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812¹:

	Intervenor	CPUC Verification
Timely filing of notice of intent to claim compensation (NOI) (§ 1804(a)):		
1. Date of Prehearing Conference:	November 3, 2025	
2. Other specified date for NOI:	N/A	
3. Date NOI filed:	December 3, 2025	
4. Was the NOI timely filed?		
Showing of eligible customer status (§ 1802(b)) or eligible local government entity status (§§ 1802(d), 1802.4):		
5. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	N/A – see comment	
6. Date of ALJ ruling:	N/A – see comment	
7. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):		
8. Has the Intervenor demonstrated customer status or eligible government entity status?		
Showing of “significant financial hardship” (§1802(h) or §1803.1(b)):		
9. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.25-03-015	
10. Date of ALJ ruling:	July 8, 2025	
11. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):		
12. Has the Intervenor demonstrated significant financial hardship?		
Timely request for compensation (§ 1804(c)):		
13. Identify Final Decision:	D.26-08-005	
14. Date of issuance of Final Order or Decision:	August 14, 2026	
15. File date of compensation request:	October 9, 2026	
16. Was the request for compensation timely?		

¹ All statutory references are to California Public Utilities Code unless indicated otherwise.

C. Additional Comments on Part I: (use line reference # as appropriate)

#	Intervenor's Comment(s)	CPUC Discussion
5, 6	TURN did not receive an affirmative ruling on its Notice of Intent in this proceeding. As explained in the Commission's Intervenor Compensation guide, "normally, an ALJ Ruling needs not be issued unless: (a) the NOI has requested a finding of "significant financial hardship" under § 1802(g). (b) the NOI is deficient; or (c) the ALJ desires to provide guidance on specific issues of the NOI." (page 12) Since none of these factors apply to the NOI submitted in this proceeding, there was no need for an ALJ ruling in response to TURN's NOI.	
9, 10	This proceeding commenced on May 9, 2025, which is in the window of time between the expiration of TURN's rebuttable presumption created by the ALJ Ruling issued on 3/20/24 in A.23-06-008, and the new rebuttable presumption created by the ALJ Ruling issued on 7/8/25 in A.25-03-015. When such a gap has occurred in the past, the Commission has given retroactive effect to the newer ruling so as to eliminate the brief gap in timing between the expiration of one rebuttable presumption and the creation of the next rebuttable presumption.	

PART II: SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION
(to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)

A. Did the Intervenor substantially contribute to the final decision (see § 1802(j), § 1803(a), 1803.1(a) and D.98-04-059): (For each contribution, support with specific reference to the record.)

Intervenor's Claimed Contribution(s)	Specific References to Intervenor's Claimed Contribution(s)	CPUC Discussion
1. Directions for Future Cost Recovery – Reasonableness of Project Scope Due to Gas Demand TURN argued that the scope of replacement work should be evaluated in light of potential declines in throughput and possible downsizing in compression capacity.	TURN Protest, May 12, 2025, pp. 5-7; TURN Response to Motion to Withdraw, Feb. 19, 2026, pp. 3-6.	

The Commission required PG&E to submit supplemental testimony to clarify the relationship between project need and future gas demand. The Commission agreed that PG&E should provide an explanation for “why the scope of work for the electrical upgrade work was reasonable in light of possible future downsizing”; and provided directions for PG&E to submit various data and information when it seeks cost recovery for the Project.	Scoping Memo, Nov. 24, 2025, pp. 5-6. D.26-08-005, pp. 14-16; p. 16 (subpart b); p. 17 (subpart c)	
2. Directions for Future Cost Recovery – Categorization of Emergency Exemption Costs TURN argued that an emergency exemption should only be granted for costs that are truly linked to emergency conditions, not to all replacement costs. The Commission agreed and required PG&E to provide an explanation of which equipment replacements “are necessary as part of the emergency exemption”	TURN Testimony, March 25, 2026, pp. 11-16.² D.26-08-005, p. 15-16; p. 17 (subparts d and e)	
3. Directions for Future Cost Recovery – Optimization of Storage and Pipeline Capacity TURN argued that PG&E may be oversizing Hinkley compression by not optimizing the use of storage and pipeline capacity. The Commission agreed that PG&E should provide an explanation of how it “optimizes intrastate pipeline capacity and storage capacity to meet the Peak Demand Supply Standard.”	TURN Response to Motion to Withdraw, pp. 3-6. D.26-08-005, p. 16 (subpart a)	

² Available here: <https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/SupDoc/A2504004/9075/603483077.pdf>

4. Directions for Future Cost Recovery - Reasonableness of Cost Forecast TURN argued that the Commission should require detailed equipment performance and maintenance data to evaluate reasonableness of replacement costs. TURN further questioned the scope of the project based on the notion of obsolescence, given the potential to manage equipment through maintenance and parts replacement. TURN also argued that PG&E's cost estimate was inflated due to \$32.5 million in unjustified cost adders. TURN recommended that if the Commission grants the Motion to Withdraw, it should authorize only limited equipment work, and require PG&E to justify wholesale replacement of several MCCs in its next rate case. TURN recommended that the Commission require PG&E to provide specific information concerning costs and justification in its next rate case. The Commission agreed that in any future cost recovery application the Commission would comprehensively address the reasonableness of the Project, including the scope, required emergency actions, and details of costs associated with equipment failures.	TURN Protest, pp. 3-5; TURN Testimony, pp. 5-11. (see footnote 2) TURN Testimony, p. 5. TURN Testimony, pp. 15-16. TURN Reply to Motion to Withdraw, pp. 8-10. D.26-08-005, pp. 14-16 ("The Commission agrees with TURN that it is important that PG&E provides adequate information so the Commission can review comprehensively the reasonableness of the Project."); p. 17 (subparts f, g, and h)	
5. Motion to Withdraw TURN did not oppose the Motion to Withdraw, but argued that PG&E had failed to provide sufficient factual information to support the Motion. The Commission directed PG&E to submit a compliance filing with additional factual information	TURN Response to Motion to Withdraw, Feb. 19, 2026, pp. 2-3. ALJ Ruling on Additional Information and Revised Schedule, March 2, 2026, pp. 2-3.	

concerning the equipment failures and other issues justifying an emergency exemption.		
---	--	--

B. Duplication of Effort (§ 1801.3(f) and § 1802.5):

	Intervenor's Assertion	CPUC Discussion
a. Was the Public Advocate's Office of the Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) a party to the proceeding?	Yes	
b. Were there other parties to the proceeding with positions similar to yours?	Yes	
c. If so, provide name of other parties: Small Business Utility Advocates (SBUA)		
d. Intervenor's claim of non-duplication: In this proceeding, TURN coordinated with both Cal Advocates and SBUA to determine which issues TURN should address and/or prioritize. TURN thus did not address in detail the historical maintenance issues addressed by Cal Advocates; and TURN relied on SBUA for much of the analysis regarding the unreasonableness of cost adders. TURN was the only party that comprehensively addressed the need of the project to replace all of the equipment proposed by PG&E based on performance history and claims of obsolescence. TURN's compensation in this proceeding should not be reduced for duplication of the showings of other parties. In a proceeding involving multiple participants, it is virtually impossible for TURN to completely avoid some duplication of the work of other parties. Where such duplication was unavoidable, TURN presented material that complemented and supplemented the showing of other parties. Accordingly, TURN respectfully submits that the Commission should find that there was no undue duplication, as any duplication served to materially supplement, complement or contribute to the showing of another party, and thus TURN's work is fully compensable under PU Code Section 1802.5.		

C. Additional Comments on Part II: (use line reference # or letter as appropriate)

#	Intervenor's Comment	CPUC Discussion
A	This proceeding was terminated after the submission of testimonies, but prior to evidentiary hearings, due to the granting of PG&E's Motion to Withdraw its application.	

#	Intervenor's Comment	CPUC Discussion
	TURN devoted a significant amount of time and effort to conducting discovery and sponsoring testimony which addressed 1) the reasonableness of cost forecasts, and 2) the need for the project in light of both past equipment conditions and future compression capacity requirements. Those issues were not resolved in the final decision and were deferred to a future cost recovery proceeding. However, TURN's analysis and recommendations, highlighted above, reflect TURN's clear impact on the Commission's orders in D.26-08-005 regarding the showing PG&E must present in its next rate case or other application where it seeks cost recovery of the Hinkley Project, including requirements to which PG&E objected. Indeed, the Commission explicitly acknowledged that intervenors "made considerable effort in discovery and the served testimony," and that "the intervenors' participation at the Prehearing Conference and the Motion to Withdraw have contributed to this instant decision through the informational requirements placed on PG&E for future cost recovery." (D.26-08-005, p. 18) The Commission recently recounted the California Court of Appeal's interpretation of the intervenor compensation statutes in <i>New Cingular Wireless II</i>. As the Commission explained in D.25-12-045: "The <i>New Cingular Wireless II</i> court states that to show a substantial contribution: "[B]y the plain terms of the statute there must be some demonstrable link between a position the intervener took and a specific 'order or decision' adopted by the CPUC." (<i>New Cingular Wireless II</i>, supra, 21 Cal.App.5th at 1203.) The Public Utilities Code thus provides for compensation where the intervenor made a substantial contribution, in whole or in part, to the Commission's order or decision (section 1803(a)). <i>New Cingular Wireless I</i> states that awards may be made based on procedural recommendations as well. (<i>New Cingular Wireless I</i>, supra, 246 Cal.App.4th at 819.)" [D.25-12-045, p. 4] TURN submits that the Commission should find a demonstrable link between TURN's work and the Commission's orders in D.26-08-004 and award TURN compensation for all of its time devoted to this proceeding. Granting TURN full compensation would also be consistent with the Commission's recent conclusions in	

#	Intervenor's Comment	CPUC Discussion
	D.26-07-027 and D.26-06-028, which awarded full compensation to Environmental Defense Fund and TURN, respectively, for contributions to D.25-11-004 under analogous circumstances to this case. D.25-11-004 granted PG&E's motion to withdraw A.22-08-003. Like here, the parties in A.22-08-003 had conducted discovery and submitted multiple rounds of testimony when PG&E filed its motion to withdraw days before briefs were due. Although the Commission did not resolve the merits of any of the disputed issues in that proceeding, the Commission's orders in D.25-11-004, including post-decision compliance requirements for PG&E, stemmed from TURN's and EDF's participation. The Commission accordingly found that TURN and EDF had each made a substantial contribution to D.25-11-004 and awarded compensation for the reasonable costs of each intervenor's participation in A.22-08-003. If the Commission, nonetheless, concludes that TURN should not receive compensation for the full cost of its participation, the Commission should at a minimum fully compensate TURN for all of TURN's time through February 19, 2026, the date TURN filed its Response to the Motion to Withdraw (27 hours of attorney time and 58.50 hours of expert witness time). Compensating TURN for the time spent through February 19, 2026, would reduce the total substantive hours by 42.6% (14.25 hours of attorney time and 50 hours of expert witness time); and would reduce the total compensation request by \$21,812.50 (\$3,562.50 for attorney time and \$18,250 for expert witness time).	

PART III: REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION
(to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)

A. General Claim of Reasonableness (§ 1801 and § 1806) to be completed by Intervenor:

	CPUC Discussion
a. Intervenor's claim of cost reasonableness: TURN's request for intervenor compensation seeks an award of approximately \$62,000 as the reasonable cost of our participation in this proceeding. TURN submits that these costs are reasonable in light of the importance of the issues TURN addressed and the benefits to customers.	

	CPUC Discussion
This case involved a mix of policy and cost issues. The policy issues included 1) the nature of the factual showing necessary to obtain a CPCN for a gas project, 2) the nature of the factual showing necessary to obtain an exemption from GO 177 requirements, and 3) the nature of the factual showing necessary to obtain cost recovery for a project. The cost issues included the reasonableness of PG&E's forecast scope of the Hinkley Project and the associated costs for equipment replacement and cost adders. Because PG&E withdrew the original application based on an emergency exemption, some of the issues were mooted and not resolved in the decision. However, the Commission made clear that PG&E must provide much more detailed and comprehensive factual evidence in any future cost recovery proceeding. Those informational requirements thus both advance good public policy, and also will ensure that ratepayers only fund the necessary and reasonable costs for the Hinkley Project, which was forecast by PG&E to cost almost \$100,000,000.	
b. Reasonableness of hours claimed: <u>Summary</u> TURN devoted a total about 151 hours of substantive time to this proceeding, including 42.75 hours of attorney time and 108.50 hours of expert witness time. <u>Attorney Hours</u> TURN attorney Marcel Hawiger was the lead attorney on this case. Mr. Hawiger has been a staff attorney with TURN since 1998. Mr. Hawiger has been the lead attorney on numerous proceedings, including cases addressing demand-side management programs and policies, utility rate cases, natural gas infrastructure investments and natural gas commodity procurement. In this case, Mr. Hawiger was the attorney responsible for overall strategy, case management and litigation. Mr. Hawiger drafted both of the filed pleadings and reviewed and edited Mr. Awan's testimony. TURN attorneys Hayley Goodson and Robert Finkelstein contributed a <i>de minimis</i> amount of time consulting with Mr. Hawiger on issues within their particular expertise, including depreciation cost recovery and CEQA litigation. <u>Expert Witness Hours</u> Dr. Jalal Awan was TURN's analyst and expert witness in this proceeding. Dr. Awan holds a Ph.D. in Public Policy Analysis from the Pardee RAND Graduate School, a Master of Science in Green Technologies from the University of Southern California, and a Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering from the University of Engineering and Technology in Lahore, Pakistan. Dr. Awan worked in the utility sector as an electrical engineer at Engro Corp (formerly	

	CPUC Discussion
Exxon Mobil) in Daharki, Pakistan from 2010-2014 and 2016-2017. Dr. Awan joined the TURN staff in November of 2023. In this case, Dr. Awan performed all analyses related to the equipment conditions at the Hinkley compressor station as they relate to project need and scope. Dr. Awan drafted relevant discovery, conducted various analyses of the data responses, and wrote the testimony served on March 25, 2026. <u>Relationship of Hours to Substantial Contributions</u> As stated at pages 17-18 of the Decision, “the intervenors’ participation at the Prehearing Conference and the responses to the Motion to Withdraw have contributed to this instant decision through the informational requirements placed on PG&E for future cost recovery.” The informational requirements were discussed most extensively in TURN’s Response to the Motion to Withdraw, though the need for additional data concerning equipment conditions and project need was also addressed in the protest. However, because TURN’s work also addressed other issues related to technical need and gas demand, as discussed further in the “allocation of hours by issue” section below, TURN’s work cannot be neatly assigned to the “informational requirements” recommendations contained in TURN’s pleadings. Much of the work involved in discovery and analyses concerned overlapping issues regarding project need, equipment conditions, and future gas demand.	
c. Allocation of hours by issue: TURN generally allocates all of our attorney and expert witness time by issue area or activity, as shown in the attached timesheets. Where work cannot be easily allocated to a specific issue or does not address a particular issue that TURN eventually addresses, but is essential to participation in litigation, TURN has historically used the general work designation "GP." For example, reading Commission or ALJ Rulings typically falls into the "GP" code category. Also, early review of testimonies to identify issues may be coded as GP. Review of portions of pleadings or testimonies that may not be directly allocated to a specific issue disputed by TURN would also be coded GP. This proceeding was unusual for several reasons, and as a result TURN’s allocation of time by issue did not strictly follow our customary procedures. First, as this was one of the first cases requesting a CPCN under GO 177, the exact nature of the legal and analytical issues in the case were not obvious at the outset, and were not fully clarified until the preparation of testimony. Second, PG&E’s application did not provide sufficient data or information concerning certain salient issues, both regarding the alleged equipment problems (“need”), the impact of future gas demand reductions on project need, and the appropriate venues for seeking project cost recovery. TURN thus had to conduct extensive discovery work even prior to the Commission’s issuance of a Scoping Memo to obtain relevant data and information. Certain issues were not clarified until well through discovery, and were only addressed in testimony, which is not in the record because the Commission granted PG&E’s motion to withdraw as parties were	

		CPUC Discussion						
preparing for evidentiary hearings. Third, after the Scoping Memo defined the issues within the scope of the proceeding and set a schedule for testimony, PG&E filed its Motion to Withdraw prior to the submission of testimonies. Because PG&E did not provide sufficient evidence concerning the emergency exemption justification for the Motion to Withdraw, the Commission required supplemental testimony from PG&E and maintained a schedule for intervenor testimony, which was eventually placed in abeyance after the filing of testimonies and before the occurrence of evidentiary hearings. As a result of this procedural history, TURN's preliminary analytical work focused primarily on the issues of whether equipment conditions warranted the total electrical systems replacement as proposed by PG&E, and whether future gas demand reduction might impact the project scope and cost. This work was broadly categorized using the codes "need" and "scope." TURN's preliminary legal work focused on discovery concerning the impacts of gas demand on project need, review of relevant documents, coordination with other parties, and clarification of the nature of the cost recovery for the project in existing or future rate cases. This work was categorized also using the codes "need" and "cost," but also using the codes "coord," "disc" and "GP." TURN submitted three primary documents in the case: the original Protest dated May 12, 2025; the Response to the Motion to Withdraw dated February 19, 2026; and the Testimony served on March 25, 2026. After submitting the Protest, TURN conducted discovery on issues related to Project need, equipment conditions and history, and the relationship of project need to future gas demand. TURN addressed the following main issues as part of our discovery work and the three documents prepared in this case: • the "need" and "scope" of the project given equipment conditions at the compressor station (Protest, pp. 3-5; Testimony, pp. 5-15) • the "scope" of the project given future decreases in gas demand and thus associated potential compression capacity (Protest, pp. 5-7; Response to Motion, pp. 3-6) • the "reasonableness" of PG&E's cost forecast, given both the scope of the project and costs included in the forecast (Protest, pp. 3-5; Response to Motion to Withdraw, pp. 3-6, 8-10; Testimony, p. 5) • PG&E's alleged emergency conditions justifying an "emergency exemption" from GO 177 requirements (Response to Motion, pp. 2-3; Testimony, pp. 5-11, 15-16) Based solely on the contemporaneous time sheet entries, TURN allocated its time as follows to the main issues in the case (note that this partial allocation does not add to 100% and a full issue allocation is provided in Attachment 3 to this claim): <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Issue</th><th>Total Attorney and Expert Hours</th><th>% of Total</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Need</td><td>61.50</td><td>40.73%</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		Issue	Total Attorney and Expert Hours	% of Total	Need	61.50	40.73%	
Issue	Total Attorney and Expert Hours	% of Total						
Need	61.50	40.73%						

			CPUC Discussion
Scope	18.00	11.92%	
GP	15.25	10.10%	
Testimony	15.00	9.93%	
Emergency Exemption	14.25	9.44%	
Response to MtW	7.25	4.80%	
Total		86.92%	
TURN notes that the issues of project need and scope were related directly to both equipment conditions and maintenance history, as well as future gas demand forecasts affecting compression capacity; though the exact relationship between these different issues was only clarified through discovery and testimony preparation. The issues of need and potential cost recovery drove the recommendations made by TURN (especially in the Response to the Motion to Withdraw) regarding conditions the Commission should impose in any future cost recovery application.			

B. Specific Claim:*

CLAIMED						CPUC AWARD		
ATTORNEY, EXPERT, AND ADVOCATE FEES								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Hayley Goodson, TURN Attorney	2025	0.75	\$705.00	D.25-07-034	\$528.75			
Jalal Awan, TURN Energy Policy Analyst	2025	52.00	\$355.00	D.26-06-028	\$18,460.00			
Jalal Awan, TURN Energy Policy Analyst	2026 ³	56.50	\$365.00	D.26-06-028	\$20,622.50			
Marcel Hawiger,	2025	19.25	\$790.00	D.25-06-063	\$15,207.50			

³ Dr. Awan incurred 6.50 hours in 2026 through Feb. 19, 2026, when TURN filed its Response to PG&E's Motion to Withdraw, and 50.00 hours after Feb. 19, 2026.

CLAIMED						CPUC AWARD		
TURN Attorney								
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	2026 ⁴	21.50	\$250.00	See Comment 1; Billed Rate; D.26-06-028	\$ 5,375.00			
Robert Finkelstein, TURN General Counsel	2025	0.25	\$905.00	D.26-04-019	\$ 226.25			
Robert Finkelstein, TURN General Counsel	2026	0.50	\$935.00	D.26-08-031	\$ 467.50			
Subtotal: \$60,887.50						Subtotal: \$		
OTHER FEES								
Describe here what OTHER HOURLY FEES you are Claiming (paralegal, travel **, etc.):								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Reina Yanagiba, TURN Paralegal	2026	0.25	\$135.00	D.26-08-031	\$33.75			
Subtotal: \$ 33.75						Subtotal: \$		
INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM PREPARATION **								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Marcel Hawiger, TURN Attorney	2025	1.00	\$395.00	50% of 2025 authorized rate	\$395.00			
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	2026	9.75	\$125.00	50% of 2026 rate; see Comment 1	\$1,218.75			

⁴ Mr. Hawiger incurred 7.25 hours in 2026 through Feb. 19, 2026, when TURN filed its Response to PG&E's Motion to Withdraw, and 14.25 hours after Feb. 19, 2026.

CLAIMED				CPUC AWARD
<i>Subtotal: \$ 1,613.75</i>				<i>Subtotal: \$</i>
COSTS				
#	Item	Detail	Amount	Amount
1.				
2.				
<i>Subtotal: \$ 0</i>				<i>Subtotal: \$</i>
<i>TOTAL REQUEST: \$ 62,535</i>				<i>TOTAL AWARD: \$</i>
*We remind all intervenors that Commission staff may audit the records and books of the intervenors to the extent necessary to verify the basis for the award (§1804(d)). Intervenors must make and retain adequate accounting and other documentation to support all claims for intervenor compensation. Intervenors' records should identify specific issues for which it seeks compensation, the actual time spent by each employee or consultant, the applicable hourly rates, fees paid to consultants and any other costs for which compensation was claimed. The records pertaining to an award of compensation shall be retained for at least three years from the date of the final decision making the award. **Travel and Reasonable Claim preparation time are typically compensated at ½ of preparer's normal hourly rate				
ATTORNEY INFORMATION				
Attorney	Date Admitted to CA BAR ⁵	Member Number	Actions Affecting Eligibility (Yes/No?) If "Yes", attach explanation	
Hayley Goodson	December 2003	228535	No	
Marcel Hawiger	January 1998	194244	No through June 30, 2026 ⁶	
Robert Finkelstein	June 1990	146391	No	

C. Attachments Documenting Specific Claim and Comments on Part III⁷:
(Intervenor completes)

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment
Attach 1	Certificate of Service
Attach 2	Contemporaneous Time Sheets
Attach 3	Allocation of Time

⁵ This information may be obtained through the State Bar of California's website at <https://apps.calbar.ca.gov/attorney/LicenseeSearch/QuickSearch>.

⁶ See Comment 1 below, explaining that Mr. Hawiger let his California Bar license lapse on July 1, 2026, due to his retirement from TURN.

⁷ Attachments not attached to final Decision

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment
Attach 4	Support for Consultant Hourly Rate – Hawiger Retainer Agreement
Comment 1	Hourly Rate for Consulting Attorney Hawiger in 2026 Mr. Hawiger retired as staff attorney on December 31, 2025, and continued to work on this case in 2026 as a consulting attorney and utility regulatory policy expert. Mr. Hawiger charged TURN an hourly rate of \$250 for his work as a consultant, as demonstrated in the retainer agreement provided in Attachment 4. The Commission previously authorized this hourly rate for Mr. Hawiger’s consulting time in D.26-06-028. TURN notes that Mr. Hawiger let his California Bar license lapse as of July 1, 2026, following his retirement. The Commission should find Mr. Hawiger’s billing rate of \$250 for work after that date reasonable even under an alternative labor role. Mr. Hawiger worked for TURN as an attorney and, as is often the case for TURN attorneys, a subject matter expert on energy and utility regulatory policy for 28 years. The hourly rate range established under Resolution ALJ-393 for a Level V - Public Policy Analyst, which “reviews the impact of state government policies and regulations[,] analyzes proposed legislative actions and determines potential impact[, and] reviews policies, plans, and programs to ensure consistency with corresponding government regulations and laws”, is \$622.74 - \$999.46 in 2026. Mr. Hawiger’s billing rate is far below that range and is in fact below the midpoint of the hourly rate range for a Public Policy Analyst with 0-1 years of experience, \$253.45.

D. CPUC Comments, Disallowances, and Adjustments (CPUC completes)

Item	Reason

PART IV: OPPOSITIONS AND COMMENTS

Within 30 days after service of this Claim, Commission Staff or any other party may file a response to the Claim (see § 1804(c))

A. Opposition: Did any party oppose the Claim?	
---	--

If so:

Party	Reason for Opposition	CPUC Discussion
-------	-----------------------	-----------------

B. Comment Period: Was the 30-day comment period waived (see Rule 14.6(c)(6))?	
---	--

If not:

Party	Comment	CPUC Discussion

(Green items to be completed by Intervenor)

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. [THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK] [has/has not] made a substantial contribution to D.26-08-005.
2. The requested hourly rates for [THE UTILITY REFORM NETOWRK]'s representatives [, as adjusted herein,] are comparable to market rates paid to experts and advocates having comparable training and experience and offering similar services.
3. The claimed costs and expenses [, as adjusted herein,] are reasonable and commensurate with the work performed.
4. The total of reasonable compensation is \$_____.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. The Claim, with any adjustment set forth above, [satisfies/fails to satisfy] all requirements of Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812.

ORDER

1. [THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK] is awarded \$_____.
2. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, _____ shall pay [THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK] the total award. [for multiple utilities: "Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, ^, ^, and ^ shall pay [THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK] their respective shares of the award, based on their California-jurisdictional [industry type, for example, electric] revenues for the ^ calendar year, to reflect the year in which the proceeding was primarily litigated. If such data are unavailable, the most recent

[industry type, for example, electric] revenue data shall be used.”] Payment of the award shall include compound interest at the rate earned on prime, three-month non-financial commercial paper as reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15, beginning [date], the 75th day after the filing of [THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK]’s request, and continuing until full payment is made.

3. The comment period for today’s decision [is/is not] waived.

This decision is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.

APPENDIX**Compensation Decision Summary Information**

Compensation Decision:		Modifies Decision?	
Contribution Decision(s):	D.26-08-005		
Proceeding(s):	A.25-04-004		
Author:			
Payer(s):			

Intervenor Information

Intervenor	Date Claim Filed	Amount Requested	Amount Awarded	Multiplier?	Reason Change/Disallowance
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK	October 9, 2026	\$62,535		N/A	

Hourly Fee Information

First Name	Last Name	Attorney, Expert, or Advocate	Hourly Fee Requested	Year Hourly Fee Requested	Hourly Fee Adopted
Marcel	Hawiger	Staff Attorney	\$790	2025	
Marcel	Hawiger	Consulting Attorney	\$250	2026	
Marcel	Hawiger	Expert Consultant	\$250	2026	
Hayley	Goodson	Attorney	\$705	2025	
Robert	Finkelstein	Attorney	\$905	2025	
Robert	Finkelstein	Attorney	\$935	2026	
Jalal	Awan	Expert	\$355	2025	
Jalal	Awan	Expert	\$365	2026	
Reina	Yanagiba	Paralegal	\$135	2026	

(END OF APPENDIX)

Revised May 2026

Attachment 1
Certificate of Service

(Filed electronically as a separate document pursuant to Rule 1.13(b)(iii))

(Served electronically as a separate document pursuant to Rule 1.10(c))

Revised May 2026

Attachment 2

Attorney and Experts Time Sheet Detail

**A.25-04-004 (Hinkley Station Upgrades) TURN Compensation Claim
Attorney Time Sheets**

Staff	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
Robert Finkelstein	A25-04-004	Cost recovery	Draft e-mail memo responding to MHawiger/JAwan questions on depreciation	7/25/25	0.25
Robert Finkelstein	A25-04-004	MtW	Respond to MHawiger memo re: strategies in light of PG&E Mtn to Withdraw on Hinkley	2/5/26	0.50
Hayley Goodson	A25-04-004	Legal	call with Marcel re legal issues in protest re GO 177 vs CEQA	5/5/25	0.25
Hayley Goodson	A25-04-004	Protest	review and provide feedback on Marcel's draft protest	5/12/25	0.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Write data request questions (consultation with Marcel)	4/24/25	3.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Scope	Review Hinkley Compressor application, evaluate proposed scope of replacement, and follow-up on data request in PG&E-arranged Meet & Confer (consultation with Marcel)	4/24/25	1.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Reviewed and evaluated Hinkley Compressor confidential DR 001 responses; analyzed electrical equipment replacement scope.	6/3/25	3.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Analyzed and compared PG&E engineer's response to DR - shared short-list of DR questions that need PG&E response.	6/3/25	1.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Scope	Reviewed Hinkley Compressor DR 001 responses; summarized electrical equipment replacement scope and data gaps.	7/9/25	5.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Drafted Hinkley DR_1 follow_up (edits to 2_1(c), 2_6(d)(e)); coordinated with Marcel; finalized for send_out.	7/21/25	3.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Reviewed PG&E_10 ch.9 depreciation tables; framed questions on service life ASL/survivor curves for MCC/switchgear assets.	7/21/25	2.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Prepared depreciation schedule questions for MCC/switchgear; aligned with survivor curves in PG&E_10.	7/21/25	2.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Scope	Analyzed historical spend vs proposed Hinkley scope; separated 76P (Elect Upgrade) vs 76N routine; identified data needs by account.	7/22/25	3.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Scope	Built cross_walk of 76P/76N historical spend to proposed scope; flagged MCC/switchgear gaps for DR_2.	7/22/25	2.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Prepared for Hinkley DR discussion; synthesized site_specific need vs Topock analog concerns; drafted meet_and_confer points.	7/23/25	2.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Meeting: Hinkley Compressor follow_up with Marcel; aligned on depreciation data requests and equipment comparison language.	7/23/25	1.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Reviewed/edited TURN DR No. 2 per Marcel's redlines; finalized wording; shared with PG&E.	7/24/25	1.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Hinkley upgrades D built needs assessment outline for substation redundancy; drafted cost justification checklist.	7/31/25	3.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Drafted technical rationale on substation redundancy needs for Hinkley; compiled checklist items to justify scope.	7/31/25	2.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Reviewed and analyzed PG&E's Response to TURN_002 with 4 confidential attachments on Hinkley Station electrical upgrades; evaluated scope justification.	8/7/25	3.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	GP	Reviewed and signed NDA for Hinkley Station Upgrades proceeding; completed administrative confidentiality requirements.	8/19/25	0.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	scope	Reviewed and analyzed PG&E's Response to TURN_002 second review; evaluated electrical equipment replacement cost justifications and depreciation methodology.	8/20/25	2.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	GP	Reviewed ALJ Ruling in Hinkley Compressor Station proceeding; outlined implications for discovery.	10/13/25	2.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	GP	Reviewed Hinkley Compressor Station filing and associated discovery responses; prepared summary for Marcel.	10/24/25	1.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Reviewed and analyzed Hinkley MCC project summary materials (pp. 2-4 to 2-6)-assessed need for cost-effective changes to MCCs for safety/reliability.	11/1/25	3.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	MtW	Reviewed and analyzed PG&E's Motion to Withdraw Hinkley compressor station application (A.25-04-004) filed February 4 and PG&E's assertion that cost recovery can be addressed in future GRC proceedings; assessed ratemaking implications of emergency exemption from GO 177 and coordinated with Marcel Hawiger on TURN's response strategy.	2/5/26	2.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Emergency Exemption	Reviewed and analyzed Marcel Hawiger's draft TURN response to PG&E's Motion to Withdraw Hinkley compressor station application; added to Section II re: emergency justification and added attachments; circulated clean version to Reina Yanagiba for filing.	2/16/26	4.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Emergency Exemption	Reviewed and analyzed Marcel Hawiger's draft DR-03 for Hinkley CPCN withdrawal proceeding; assessed questions re: 2020D2025 throughput data, compressor capacity factor, and equipment failure justification for emergency exemption claim; provided edits and additions to question re: alleged equipment failures since summer 2025.	2/26/26	3.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Emergency Exemption	Reviewed and analyzed PG&E compliance filing materials for Hinkley compressor station (A.25-04-004); assessed confidential attachment designations and coordinated with Marcel Hawiger on TURN DR 001-Q016Atch01CONF.	3/10/26	2.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Testimony	Reviewed and analyzed Marcel Hawiger's feedback on Hinkley compressor station testimony (TURN-01); refined sections on emergency justification and equipment failure history since summer 2025.	3/18/26	3.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Testimony	Drafted and refined Hinkley compressor station testimony (TURN-01), attachments incorporating Marcel Hawiger's revisions on Sec. II emergency justification and 2020-2025 throughput data analysis.	3/23/26	1.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Testimony	Prepared and circulated near-final Hinkley compressor station testimony (TURN-01C confidential version) to Marcel Hawiger; compiled DR attachments including TURN DR Hinkley tabs.	3/24/26	4.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Testimony	Finalized and submitted Hinkley compressor station intervenor testimony (TURN-01 and TURN-01C confidential); coordinated with Reina Yanagiba on e-filing logistics and Marcel Hawiger on confidentiality designation for TURN DR 001-Q016Atch01CONF.	3/25/26	3.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Emergency Exemption	Reviewed and analyzed PLG rationale re: Hinkley emergency exemption, compared statutory language vs. intent re: qualification for emergency exemption for maintenance and O&M related urgencies.	3/30/26	0.50

A.25-04-004 (Hinkley Station Upgrades) TURN Compensation Claim
Attorney Time Sheets

Staff	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Reviewed and analyzed PG&E supplemental discovery responses on Hinkley compressor station equipment age and replacement justifications; coordinated with Marcel Hawiger on follow-up DR scope ahead of hearing preparation.	4/2/26	4.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Reviewed and analyzed PG&E obsolescence and failing equipment claims in Tables 1, 2 - identified, line by line, what equipment, MCC, switchgear each line item in Tables 1,2 belonged to - identified 5 out of 11 items in need of genuine modification / replacement.	4/2/26	6.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Coordinated with Marcel Hawiger on Hinkley compressor station ALJ Filing related responses in Tables 1 and 2 of PG&E's filing.	4/10/26	3.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Reviewed and analyzed PG&E ALJ-requested filing on Hinkley compressor station; coordinated with Marcel Hawiger on TURN response to Table 1 and Table 2 obsolescence claims.	5/4/26	2.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Drafted and refined TURN reply on Hinkley compressor station ALJ filing; coordinated with Marcel Hawiger on EUL position framing and equipment failure history.	5/6/26	3.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	scope	Reviewed and analyzed PG&E rebuttal materials and Q&A on Hinkley compressor station scope of work; coordinated with Marcel Hawiger on cross-examination outline and emergency-exemption framing.	5/12/26	3.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Emergency Exemption	Drafted and circulated TURN follow-up data requests on PG&E Hinkley compressor station equipment age, EUL, and emergency-exemption justification; coordinated with Marcel Hawiger on DR 005 scope.	5/14/26	3.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	Need	Coordinated with Marcel Hawiger on TURN DR 005 service in A.25-04-004 Hinkley compressor station and circulated DR-002 Q002 attachments highlighted for cross-examination preparation materials.	5/26/26	2.00
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	GP	Reviewed and analyzed ALJ ruling suspending procedural schedule in A.25-04-004 Hinkley proceeding; coordinated with Marcel Hawiger on TURN follow-up strategy.	5/26/26	1.50
Jalal Awan	A25-04-004	GP	Reviewed and analyzed PG&E response to ALJ Ruling on pipe replacement filing; coordinated with Marcel Hawiger on TURN position (no substantive response warranted).	5/27/26	1.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Need	Read portions of the Application and the PEA; identify possible issues for protest regarding need and cost	4/29/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Need	Write DR 1 (re historical operation; planned compression; cost details; historical upgrade costs; and potential modifications in size)	4/29/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Need	Research prior GT&S decisions authoring upgrade costs for Hinkley	4/29/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Need	Revise DR 001 (include questions from Jalal re equipment specifications; add questions re obsolescence)	4/30/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Need	T/c w/ Jalal re DR 001	4/30/25	2.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Protest	Start drafting protest re application deficiencies	4/30/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Need	Research requirements of GO-177 re. project necessity	5/5/25	1.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Legal	T/C mtg w/ Hayley re. role of CPCN and CEQA	5/5/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Protest	Write protest re. lack of compliance with Section VI.A	5/5/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Protest	Continue writing protest on all issues related to Section VI of GO-177	5/12/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Disc	Meet and Confer w/ PG&E re TURN DR 001 (TURN attorney and PG&E attorney)	5/13/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	GP	Review and execute NDA	5/14/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Disc	Meet and Confer w/ PG&E re TURN DR 001 (TURN attorney and expert; PG&E attorney and two experts)	5/15/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Disc	Write email to PG&E memorializing agreement re DR 01	5/15/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Disc	Skim PG&E responses to DR 001	6/2/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	GP	Read PG&E Reply to Protests	6/2/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Disc	Email correspondence with PG&E to register and access ESFT account for confidential documents	6/3/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Disc	Review CONF spreadsheet response to DR 001-011	7/18/25	1.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Need	Review GRC testimony PG&E-03 ch. 6 re historical work in MAT 76N and 76P related to Hinkley	7/18/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Disc	Respond to Jalal email re completeness of discovery responses to DR 001	7/18/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Need	Review responses to DR 001 to prep for mtg w/ Jalal	7/22/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Need	T/C mtg w/ Jalal to discuss PG&E responses to TURN DR 001 and next steps	7/23/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Disc	Skim responses to DR 002 for completeness and objections	8/18/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Coord	Write email to PAO re. PHC and coordination of issues and schedule	10/28/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	PHC	Review documents and notes to prepare for PHC	10/28/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Coord	T/C mtg w/ PAO team to discuss issues for PHC	10/30/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	PHC	T/c from PG&E re scheduling issues for PHC	10/30/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Legal	Read portions of the Mitigated Neg Dec report	10/31/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Coord	Write email to PAO re cost recovery in light of PG&E's plan to do project in 2026	10/31/25	1.00
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Cost recovery	Read cost recovery portion of the application	10/31/25	0.25
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	PHC	Read documents to prep for PHC	11/3/25	0.75
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	PHC	Attend PHC and represent TURN	11/3/25	0.75
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Comp	Write NOI	11/6/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Coord	Write email to PAO discussing M&C with PG&E re relationship of proposed work to gas throughput	11/20/25	0.75
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	Comp	Write NOI	12/2/25	0.50
Marcel Hawiger	A25-04-004	GP	Close read of AC Scoping Memo of 11/24/25	12/2/25	1.75
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Legal	T/c mtg w/ PG&E re PG&E decision to construct via emergency exemption	1/15/26	1.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	GP	Read PG&E Supplemental Testimony of 1/9/26	2/3/26	1.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	MtW	Read PG&E Motion to Withdraw and supporting Advice Letter	2/4/26	1.50
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	MtW	Write internal memo re ratemaking issues related to Motion to Withdraw and 2026 capital costs	2/4/26	0.75
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	MtW	Draft response to PG&E Motion to Withdraw	2/9/26	1.00

A.25-04-004 (Hinkley Station Upgrades) TURN Compensation Claim
Attorney Time Sheets

Staff	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	MtW	T/C mtg w/ expert re cost recovery and grc review of Hinkley capital expenditures	2/9/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	MtW	Continue Drafting response to PG&E Motion to Withdraw	2/11/26	0.75
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	MtW	Finalize TURN Response to MtW	2/15/26	0.50
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Disc	T/c mtg w/ Awan re data request on MtW and how to proceed with testimony	2/23/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Coord	Write email to PAO re. addressing testimony due date and MtW	2/23/26	1.75
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	GP	Write email to ALJ re procedural schedule in light of Motion to Withdraw	2/23/26	2.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Disc	Draft portion of TURN DR 03 re Supp Testimony and MtW	2/25/26	0.50
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Disc	Final edits on DR 003 re supp testimony and MtW	3/3/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Emergency Exemption	Read public version of P&GE compliance filing in response to ALJ Ruling (re emergency need)	3/12/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Testimony	Review and edit J Awan draft testimony v 1	3/23/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Emergency Exemption	Review PG&E CONFID responses to DR 003 and the confid version of compliance filing	3/23/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Testimony	T/C w/ JA re testimony issues	3/23/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Testimony	Edit versions 3, 4 and 5 of testimony	3/25/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Testimony	Write email to PG&E re confidentiality of certain numbers	3/25/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	GP	Write extension request email to parties pursuant to Rule 11.6	3/30/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Testimony	Read PG&E Rebuttal Testimony	4/22/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Testimony	Read CalAdvocates Testimony	4/22/26	0.75
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Cost recovery	Write DR 004 re. PG&E rebuttal testimony and cost recovery	4/23/26	0.50
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	GP	Attend meet and confer with parties pursuant to ALJ Ruling	4/24/26	0.50
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Sett	T/c mtg w/ PG&E re possible settlement	4/29/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Cost recovery	Review PG&E responses to DR 004 re. cost recovery and GRC forecasts	5/4/26	0.50
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Sett	Mtg of intervenors (SBUA, CalAdvocates, TURN) to discuss possible settlement and strategy	5/4/26	0.50
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Cost recovery	Prepare cross of Redmond re cost recovery	5/7/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Sett	Mtg of intervenors (SBUA, CalAdvocates, TURN) to discuss settlement positions and strategy	5/7/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Cost recovery	Write DR 005 re. actual v forecast costs	5/18/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Emergency Exemption	Mtg w/ Jalal to discuss cross examination of PG&E re scope and emergency exemption issues	5/18/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Need	Prepare cross of Redmond and Rodriguez re equipment conditions and scope of project	5/26/26	0.50
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	GP	All-party Meet and Confer to comply with ALJ Ruling	5/26/26	1.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	PD	Read PD granting motion to withdraw and adopting requirements for future cost recovery	7/9/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	PD	Write comments on PD re intervenor compensation	7/10/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	PD	Write comments on PD re intervenor compensation (research New Cingular; write comments)	7/26/26	0.50
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	PD	Write comments on PD re emergency exemption and reporting requirements	7/28/26	0.50
Reina Yanagiba	A25-04-004	Disc	Format and send DR TURN-PG&E-003 to PG&E; update tracking list	3/3/26	0.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Comp	Write comp request	9/9/26	0.75
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Comp	Write comp request	9/14/26	0.50
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Comp	Write comp request	9/15/26	2.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Comp	Write comp request	9/20/26	2.25
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Comp	Write comp request	9/21/26	0.75
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Comp	Write comp request	9/22/26	0.75
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	A25-04-004	Comp	Finalize all sections of comp request	10/6/26	2.50
Substantial Total					151.00
Comp Total					10.75
Grand Total					161.75

Revised May 2026

Attachment 3

MRW consulting agreement and April 2025 invoice

A.25-04-004 (Hinkley Station Upgrades) TURN Compensation Claim
TURN Hours Allocated by Issue

			Coord	Cost recovery	Disc	Emergency Exemption	GP	MTW	Need	PD	PHC	Protest	Scope	Sett	Testimony	Legal	Substantive Hours	Substantive \$\$\$	Comp	Compensation (on Comp)	Travel	Compensation (Travel)
	Billing Period	Hourly Rate																		1/2 of hourly rate		1/2 of hourly rate
Jalal Awan	2025	\$355	-	-	-	-	4.00	-	33.00	-	-	-	15.00	-	-	-	52.00	\$ 18,460.00	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
Jalal Awan	2026	\$365	-	-	-	13.50	3.00	2.00	22.00	-	-	-	3.00	-	13.00	-	56.50	\$ 20,622.50	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
Marcel Hawiger	2025	\$790	2.50	0.25	4.00	-	2.75	-	6.00	-	2.25	0.75	-	-	-	0.75	19.25	\$ 15,207.50	1.00	\$ 395.00	-	\$ -
Marcel Hawiger, Outside Consultant	2026	\$250	1.75	1.50	1.00	0.75	5.50	4.75	0.50	1.50	-	-	-	1.00	2.00	1.25	21.50	\$ 5,375.00	9.75	\$ 1,218.75	-	\$ -
Hayley Goodson	2025	\$705	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.50	-	-	-	-	0.75	\$ 528.75	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
Reina Yanagiba	2026	\$135	-	-	0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.25	\$ 33.75	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
Robert Finkelstein	2025	\$905	-	0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.25	\$ 226.25	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
Robert Finkelstein	2026	\$935	-	-	-	-	-	0.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.50	\$ 467.50	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
TOTAL			4.25	2.00	5.25	14.25	15.25	7.25	61.50	1.50	2.25	1.25	18.00	1.00	15.00	2.25	151.00	\$ 60,921.25	10.75	\$ 1,613.75	-	\$ -
TOTAL % HOURS ALLOCATED			2.81%	1.32%	3.48%	9.44%	10.10%	4.80%	40.73%	0.99%	1.49%	0.83%	11.92%	0.66%	9.93%	1.49%	98.51%					

Top Issues	Hours	%
Emergency Exemption	14.25	9.44%
GP	15.25	10.10%
Need	61.50	40.73%
MTW	7.25	4.80%
Testimony	15.00	9.93%
Scope	18.00	11.92%
	86.92%	

Issue	Total Attorney and Expert Hours	% of Total
Need	61.50	40.73%
Scope	18.00	11.92%
GP	15.25	10.10%
Testimony	15.00	9.93%
Emergency Exemption	14.25	9.44%
MTW	7.25	4.80%
Total		86.92%

Substantial Contribution	\$ 60,921.25
Intervenor/Compensation	\$ 1,613.75
Travel Time Compensation	-
Expenses Compensation	-
Grand Total	\$ 62,535.00

Revised May 2026

Attachment 4

Support for Consultant Hourly Rate – Hawiger Retainer Agreement



360 Grand Avenue, #150
Oakland, CA 94610

415-929-8876 • www.turn.org

Mark Toney, Ph.D., Executive Director

Lower bills. Livable planet.

December 12, 2025

Marcel Hawiger
[REDACTED]

Re: Agreement for Legal Consulting Services

Dear Mr. Hawiger:

This letter is to confirm the terms of the agreement for legal and consulting services between The Utility Reform Network ("TURN") and Marcel Hawiger ("Hawiger"), for work that Hawiger performs at TURN's request related to two existing CPUC proceedings, or other related matters as may be agreed upon.

SCOPE OF WORK

Starting on December 15, 2025, Hawiger will complete certain work on behalf of TURN, unless TURN determines that this work is unnecessary. This work is summarized as follows:

A.25-05-009 (PG&E GRC):

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

A.22-04-004 (PG&E Hinkley Compressor Station)

- Conduct all work necessary to complete this proceeding with expert Jalal Awan, including
 - Any additional discovery
 - Settlement negotiations
 - Assistance with testimony
 - Any work at evidentiary hearings
 - All briefing and post-hearing advocacy
- The work on this case will terminate with the submission of the reply briefs. Any post-briefing work (for example, responding to proposed decisions, participating in oral arguments) will depend on Hawiger's availability and be negotiated at a later date.

Other Proceedings

- [REDACTED]

COMPENSATION AND BILLING

Hawiger agrees to provide these services at an hourly rate of \$250 in 2026, with the rate to be updated periodically through an addendum to this agreement. In addition, Hawiger will bill at cost for reasonable costs of travel outside of the Bay Area.

Billing for Compensable Work

Upon receipt of a monthly invoice from Hawiger, TURN will **pay 50% of the amount invoiced** (i.e. at an hourly billing rate of \$125) for services performed in that month within 30 days.

TURN will request compensation for Hawiger's work at the billed rate, and will pay Hawiger any remaining amount after an award of compensation. Hawiger will bear the entire risk that any award of compensation is less than the full requested amount; though in no case would Hawiger be liable to repay any of the 50% amount already paid by TURN.

Billing for non-Compensable Work

Hawiger may from time to time perform work for TURN that is not eligible for recovery under the intervenor compensation program, such as staff training. [REDACTED]

TIME SHEETS AND INVOICES

Hawiger will submit an invoice and detailed daily timesheets for work on behalf of TURN, and a detailed accounting of any expenses, in a format suitable for TURN's compensation requests. Timesheets shall include sufficient detail to identify the nature of the work and the nature of the issues addressed. Any monthly billing will include a cover email invoice that includes the hourly rate, total hours by case, and total billed by case for the month.

We are pleased to have you consulting for TURN on an ongoing basis. The signatures on this letter signify agreement to the terms set forth in this letter.

Very truly yours,



Hayley Goodson
Managing Attorney

Agreement to the terms described above:



Marcel Hawiger
Consultant to TURN