



ALJ/DUG/kmh 10/04/2026

**FILED**

10/06/26

03:27 PM

A2603003

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for Authority to Recover Costs, Amongst Other Things, to its Class C Water Utility and Smaller Gas Utility.

Application 26-03-003

NOT CONSOLIDATED

Application of Southern California Gas Company (U904G) to Submit Its 2021 Risk Assessment and Mitigation Phase Report.

Application 26-04-017

**EMAIL RULING RE: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY  
CATALINA REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THE CONSOLIDATED  
APPLICATION 26-03-003 & APPLICATION 26-04-017**

Dated October 4, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ DOUGLAS M. LONG

Douglas M. Long  
Administrative Law Judge

**From:** Long, Douglas <[Douglas.Long@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Douglas.Long@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 4, 2026 9:44 PM  
**To:** Kris G Vyas <[Kris.Vyas@sce.com](mailto:Kris.Vyas@sce.com)>  
**Cc:** Ang, Marybelle <[Marybelle.Ang@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Marybelle.Ang@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Aslam, Mehboob <[mehboob.aslam@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:mehboob.aslam@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Chan, Victor <[victor.chan@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:victor.chan@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Ryan Stevenson <[Ryan.Stevenson@sce.com](mailto:Ryan.Stevenson@sce.com)>; Kristen Yee <[Kristen.Yee@sce.com](mailto:Kristen.Yee@sce.com)>; Russell Archer <[Russell.Archer@sce.com](mailto:Russell.Archer@sce.com)>; Masooma Tirmazi <[Masooma.Tirmazi@sce.com](mailto:Masooma.Tirmazi@sce.com)>; ALJ Support ID <[alj\\_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov)>; ALJ Docket Office <[ALJ\\_Docket\\_Office@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Commissioner Baker's Office <[CommissionerBakersOffice@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:CommissionerBakersOffice@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
**Subject:** Email Ruling Re: SCE Catalina Rebuttal Testimony in the Consolidated A26-03-003 & A.26-04-017

To All Interested Parties:

Thank you for your email of October 2, 2026. (Contained below in this ruling.)

Indeed there was a service problem with the "017" portion of Cal Advocates' testimony.

I will grant the requested extension to October 16, 2026 for SoCalEdison to serve rebuttal testimony to the relevant portion of the consolidated proceeding.

After further considering the downstream impacts of this partial delay I may either issue a further ruling or request a separate additional WebEx status conference with the parties.

The Docket Office should please file this ruling in the formal file for the consolidated proceeding.

It is Ruled That:

1. Southern California Edison Company is granted an extension to serve rebuttal testimony to the incorrectly served testimony of Cal Advocates addressing the A26-04-017 portion of this proceeding,

ensure that copies are also provided to the Commission's Water Division, and

2. Cal Advocates must formally re-serve its testimony on October 5th, and correctly submit a notice of the re-service.

*Douglas M. Long*  
Administrative Law Judge  
(503) 516-8950

---

**From:** Kris G Vyas <[Kris.Vyas@sce.com](mailto:Kris.Vyas@sce.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 2, 2026 2:30 PM  
**To:** Long, Douglas <[Douglas.Long@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Douglas.Long@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
**Cc:** Ang, Marybelle <[Marybelle.Ang@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Marybelle.Ang@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Aslam, Mehboob <[mehboob.aslam@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:mehboob.aslam@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Chan, Victor <[victor.chan@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:victor.chan@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Ryan Stevenson <[Ryan.Stevenson@sce.com](mailto:Ryan.Stevenson@sce.com)>; Kristen Yee <[Kristen.Yee@sce.com](mailto:Kristen.Yee@sce.com)>; Russell Archer <[Russell.Archer@sce.com](mailto:Russell.Archer@sce.com)>; Masooma Tirmazi <[Masooma.Tirmazi@sce.com](mailto:Masooma.Tirmazi@sce.com)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] SCE Catalina Rebuttal Testimony in A.26-04-017

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Your Honor:

SCE is writing to you in connection with its rebuttal testimony in A.26-04-017. That rebuttal testimony was due today. No SCE team member received Cal Advocates' testimony. Our Case Administration Department has confirmed that there is no record of the testimony ever being served on SCE, and that there is no certificate of service that can be retrieved for Cal Advocates' testimony.

SCE only became aware of the existence of Cal Advocates' testimony when the subject was mentioned during a conference between the parties today. The parties have cordially discussed how to work out a solution here. So that SCE is not prejudiced, SCE respectfully requests that its rebuttal testimony be due on October 16, 2026. That two-week period is the amount of time that the procedural schedule provided for SCE to prepare and serve its rebuttal.

Cal Advocates has authorized SCE to state that Cal Advocates does not oppose this request for a modified date for serving rebuttal testimony. SCE and Cal Advocates are the only parties in A.26-04-017.

Thank you for your attention to this item. SCE is available at your convenience if you have any questions or wish to discuss further.

Kris G. Vyas  
Southern California Edison Company  
Law Department  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue, Quad 3-B  
Rosemead, CA 91770  
(e) [Kris.Vyas@sce.com](mailto:Kris.Vyas@sce.com)  
(ph) 626-302-6613