FILED
11/10/26
12:40 PM
A2605007

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Adoption of Electric Revenue Requirements and Rates Associated with its 2027 Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) and Generation Non-By passable Charges Forecast and Greenhouse Gas Forecast Revenue Return and Reconciliation (U39E)	Application 26-05-007

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING ADDRESSING EVIDENCE AND CONFIDENTIALITY MOTIONS

This ruling addresses four motions regarding the submission of evidence and confidentiality of exhibits. It also marks and admits evidence into the record. Three of the motions are granted and one motion is denied. The motions resolved in this ruling are:

1. The October 21, 2026 *Joint Motion of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, the Public Advocates Office, the California Community Choice Association, and the Direct Access Customer Coalition to Offer Exhibits into Evidence and Admit into the Record under Rule 13.8* (Joint Evidence Motion);
2. The October 21, 2026 *Joint Motion of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U 39 E), the Public Advocates Office, the California Community Choice Association, and the Direct Access Customer Coalition, to Seal Portions of the Evidentiary Record*; (Confidentiality Motion);
3. The California Community Choice Association (CalCCA)'s November 4, 2026 *Motion for Leave to Submit Confidential*

Version of Comments on Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Fall Update Testimony Under Seal (CalCCA Motion); and

4. The Public Advocates Office (Cal Advocates)' November 4, 2026 *Motion to Admit Additional Exhibits Into Evidence* (Cal Advocates Motion).

1. The Joint Evidence Motion and the Confidentiality Motion

In the Joint Evidence Motion, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), the Commission's Public Advocates Office (Cal Advocates), the California Community Choice Association (CalCCA), and the Direct Access Customer Coalition (DACC) (the Joint Parties) specified their exhibits and requested their admission into the record. These exhibits constitute the main substantive evidence in this case, ranging from PG&E's initial testimony included with its Application to intervenor testimony and replies as well as exhibits resulting from discovery.

In the Confidentiality Motion, which was submitted concurrently, the Joint Parties identifies the specific exhibits for which they request confidentiality treatment pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 454.5(g) and Decision (D.) 06-06-066, D.08-04-023, and D.14-10-033. The Joint Parties each provided declarations supporting their requested treatment.

The Joint Evidence Motion and Confidentiality Motion exhibits and their proposed marking, including those for which parties request confidentiality treatment, are identified in Table 1.

Table 1: Joint Parties' Exhibits Offered Into Evidence

Exhibit Marked as	**Sponsor/ Witness**	**Description and Date Submitted**
PG&E-1	Various	PG&E's Prepared Testimony, dated May 15, 2026
PG&E-1C	Various	[Confidential] PG&E's Prepared Testimony, dated May 15, 2026
PG&E-2	Various	PG&E's Errata Testimony, dated July 17, 2026
PG&E-2C	Various	[Confidential] PG&E's Errata Testimony, dated July 17, 2026
PG&E-3	Various	PG&E Supplemental Testimony, dated July 29, 2026
PG&E-4	Various	PG&E Rebuttal Testimony, dated September 26, 2026
PG&E-4C	Various	[Confidential] PG&E Rebuttal Testimony, dated September 26, 2026
PG&E-5	Various	PG&E Fall Update Testimony, dated October 16, 2026
PG&E-5C	Various	PG&E Fall Update Testimony, dated October 16, 2026
CalCCA-01	Matley, Ryan	Prepared Direct Testimony of Ryan Matley on Behalf of the California Community Choice Association in Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 2027 ERRA Forecast Proceeding, dated August 27, 2026
CalCCA-01C	Matley, Ryan	Prepared Direct Testimony of Ryan Matley on Behalf of the California Community Choice Association, dated August 27, 2026

Exhibit Marked as	Sponsor/ Witness	Description and Date Submitted
CalCCA-02	Keller, Marcus	PG&E response to DR 10.04, September 23, 2026
CalCCA-03	Keller, Marcus	PG&E response to DR 10.10, October 12, 2026
CalCCA-04	Keller, Marcus	PG&E response to DR 10.14, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-05	Keller, Marcus	PG&E response to DR 10.14- Attach, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-06	Keller, Marcus	PG&E response to DR 10.16- Attach, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-06C	Keller, Marcus	PG&E response to DR 10.16-conf, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-07	Keller, Marcus	PG&E response to DR 11.02, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-08	Keller, Marcus	PG&E response to DR 11.06, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-09	Hoffman, Christa	PG&E response to DR 10.06, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-09C	Hoffman, Christa	PG&E response to DR 10.06-conf, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-10	Hoffman, Christa	PG&E response to DR 10.07, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-10C	Hoffman, Christa	PG&E response to DR 10.07-conf, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-11	Hoffman, Christa	PG&E response to DR 12.01, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-12	Hoffman, Christa	PG&E response to DR 12.02, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-13	Hoffman, Christa	PG&E response to DR 12.03, dated October 12, 2026

Exhibit Marked as	Sponsor/ Witness	Description and Date Submitted
CalCCA-14	Meraz, Jorge	PG&E response to DR 10.01, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-14C	Meraz, Jorge	PG&E response to DR 10.01-conf, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-15	Meraz, Jorge	PG&E response to DR 10.02, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-16	Meraz, Jorge	PG&E response to DR 10.03, dated October 12, 2026
CalCCA-16C	Meraz, Jorge	PG&E response to DR 10.03-conf, dated October 12, 2026
CalAdv-01	Luong, Maya	PG&E response to DR 02.01, dated October 16, 2026
CalAdv-02	Luong, Maya	PG&E response to DR 02.02, dated October 16, 2026
CalAdv-03	Luong, Maya	PG&E response to DR 02.03, dated October 16, 2026
CalAdv-04	Luong, Maya	PG&E response to DR 02.04, dated October 16, 2026
CalAdv-05	Luong, Maya	PG&E confidential response to DR 02.05 dated October 16, 2026 PUBLIC
CalAdv-05C	Luong, Maya	PG&E confidential response to DR 02.05 dated October 16, 2026 CONF
CalAdv-06	Luong, Maya	PG&E response to DR 02.06, dated October 16, 2026

No party protested either the Joint Evidence Motion or the Confidentiality Motion.

Good cause being shown, and with no objection from any party, this ruling marks the exhibits in Table 1 and admits them to the record.

2. CalCCA Motion

The CalCCA Motion accompanied CalCCA's Comments on the Fall Update, which referred to content that PG&E had marked confidential in its Fall Update filing (Exhibit PG&E-5C) and which CalCCA did not believe was already public or could be protected in a way that allows partial disclosure. For those reasons, CalCCA requested leave to file a confidential version of its November 4, 2026 Fall Update Comments under seal. No party protested the CalCCA Motion. Accordingly, good cause being shown, this ruling grants CalCCA leave to file its confidential Fall Update Comments under seal.

3. Cal Advocates Motion

The Cal Advocates Motion was filed on November 4, 2026, which was the last date of any filing on the proceeding schedule (Reply Briefs and Fall Update Comments both were due on that day). It moved to admit five additional exhibits: four public documents from the California Energy Commission's Integrated Energy Report docket and a PG&E workpaper. The exhibits related to and supported Cal Advocates' November 4, 2026 Fall Update Comments, which focused on data center forecasting issues.

I am concerned about the procedural impacts that the admission of evidence this late in the proceeding could have. No other party has had the opportunity to respond to Cal Advocates' comments or the related exhibits, and should the motion be granted, any request to do so could affect the overall timing for resolving this case. I note that there was extensive discussion at the July 16, 2026 prehearing conference regarding party concerns about unanticipated submissions of evidence and any potential related delays, and that a proposed decision resolving this case is imminent (in November 2026, as

identified in the schedule). While I appreciate Cal Advocates' diligence in investigating these issues, this ruling therefore denies the Cal Advocates Motion.

IT IS RULED that:

1. The October 21, 2026 *Joint Motion of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, the Public Advocates Office, the California Community Choice Association, and the Direct Access Customer Coalition to Offer Exhibits into Evidence and Admit into the Record under Rule 13.8* is granted.

2. The exhibits in Table 1 of this Ruling are marked as identified and are admitted into the evidentiary record.

3. The October 21, 2026 *Joint Motion of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U39E), the Public Advocates Office, the California Community Choice Association, and the Direct Access Customer Coalition, to Seal Portions of the Evidentiary Record* is granted. The requested confidentiality treatment shall be granted for a period of three years. At any point from six months from the date of this Ruling to the conclusion of the three-year period of confidentiality, each moving party may move to seek a furtherance of the confidentiality treatment on the basis of whether additional good cause is shown.

4. The California Community Choice Association's November 4, 2026 *Motion for Leave to Submit Confidential Version of Comments on Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Fall Update Testimony Under Seal* is granted. The requested confidentiality treatment shall be granted for a period of three years. At any point from six months from the date of this Ruling to the conclusion of the three-year period of confidentiality, the moving party may move to seek a furtherance of the confidentiality treatment on the basis of whether additional good cause is shown.

5. The Public Advocates Office's November 4, 2026 *Motion to Admit Additional Exhibits Into Evidence* is denied.

Dated November 10, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MARIA SOTERO
Maria Sotero
Administrative Law Judge