ALJ/MLQ/hma 11/10/2026

FILED
11/10/26
04:59 PM
C2607021

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ta-Cheng Tung, Complainant, vs. Complainant vs Southern California Edison Company (U338E), Defendant.	Case 26-07-021

EMAIL RULING REQUIRING INFORMATION

Dated November 10, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MINH LEQUANG
Minh LeQuang
Administrative Law Judge

From: LeQuang, Minh <Minh.LeQuang@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2026 4:07 PM
To: Anna.Valdberg@sce.com; Victor@tgrepacific.com; LeQuang, Minh <Minh.LeQuang@cpuc.ca.gov>; AdviceTariffManager@sce.com; Angela.1.whatley@sce.com; Anna.Wojtyna-Machon@sce.com; Case.Admin@sce.com; CaseAdminTeamSupportGroupList@sce.com; Robert.1.Rojas@sce.com; Tariffs.Manager@sce.com; DianaHYoon@gmail.com; TracyTung168@yahoo.com; Darrah.Morgan@sce.com; Marion, Matthew <Matthew.Marion@cpuc.ca.gov>; Joe@JFWiedman.com; Compton, Laura <Laura.Compton@cpuc.ca.gov>
Cc: ALJ Docket Office <ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Support ID <alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Process <alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: C.26-07-021 Ruling Requiring Information

To all Parties:

Pursuant to Southern California Edison's (SCE) Tariff Rule 16(A)(10)(a): If the Service Facilities must cross property owned by a third party to serve Applicant, SCE may at its option, install such Service Facilities after appropriate rights-of-way or easements, satisfactory to SCE, are obtained without cost to SCE.

SCE shall file the following additional information by Wednesday, November 18, 2026:

- All documentation supporting SCE's claim that it had obtained the appropriate rights-of-way to use the Complainant's property before installing the service facility; and
- All documentation from a California Superior Court recognizing SCE's claim that it had a prescriptive easement over the Complainant's property.

Ta-Cheng Tung may file any documentation by Wednesday, November 18, 2026, that shows the following:

- SCE did not obtain appropriate rights-of-way before installation of its service facility on Complainant's property; and
- Complainant did not have an opportunity to dispute the prescriptive easement claim by SCE in a California Superior Court.

The Docket Office shall file this ruling formally.

IT IS SO RULED.

Minh LeQuang (he / him)
Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission
(213) 266-4745
Minh.lequang@cpuc.ca.gov

Notice: This communication may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.