FILED
11/24/26
11:34 AM
A2610003

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Joint Application ofU.S. TelePacific Corp. (U-5721-C); Mpower Communications Corp. (U-5859-C); Arrival Communications, Inc. (U-5248-C); and DSCI, LLC (U-6822-C and U-1422-C) and TANGO PRIVATE HOLDINGS II, LLC For Expedited Approval to Transfer Indirect Control of U.S. TelePacific Corp., Mpower Communications Corp., Arrival Communications, Inc. and DSCI, LLC Pursuant to California Public Utilities Code Section 854(a)	Application 26-10-003

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S SECOND RULING DIRECTING FILING OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WITHIN 7-DAYS

This ruling directs U.S. TelePacific Corp. (U-5721-C), Mpower Communications Corp. (U-5859-C), Arrival Communications, Inc. (U-5248-C), and DSCI, LLC (U-6822-C and U-1422-C), and TANGO PRIVATE HOLDINGS II, LLC, to file and serve a response within 7 days of the date of this ruling.

1. Background

On October 12, 2026, U.S. TelePacific Corp. (U-5721-C), Mpower Communications Corp. (U-5859-C), Arrival Communications, Inc. (U-5248-C), and DSCI, LLC (U-6822-C and U-1422-C), and TANGO PRIVATE HOLDINGS II, LLC, (collectively, Joint Applicants), filed with the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) a Joint Application for Expedited Approval to

Transfer Indirect Control of U.S. TelePacific Corp., Mpower Communications Corp., Arrival Communications, Inc. and DSCI, LLC, pursuant to California Public Utilities Code Section 854(a) (Pub. Util. Code Sec.) (Joint Application). Joint Applicants request the indirect transfer of control of the entities listed above under Pub. Util. Code Sec. 854(a), from pre-restructured Tango Private Holdings II, LLC, to post -Chapter 11-restructured Tango Private Holdings II, LLC.

2. Discussion

Upon review of this Joint Application, the Commission requires additional information. Through March 2023, telecommunications company surcharge and user fees were assessed on a carrier's gross intrastate telecommunications revenue. Beginning April 2023, surcharges became assessed on access lines, while user fees remained assessed on revenue.

For U.S. TelePacific Corp. (U-5721-C):

U.S. TelePacific Corp. dba TPx Communications reported $54,485,386.49 for the second quarter of 2025 (202506). An adjustment request was submitted to reduce the $54,485,386.49 to $22,763,288.18.

1. Please provide information on the primary system, report, or data source used to identify the reported CPUC gross intrastate telecommunications revenue, such as billing records, customer account records, subscriber records, provisioning records, VoIP platform records, wireless subscriber records, switch records, tax/surcharge system records, or another source.
2. Provide the billing, subscriber, customer account, provisioning, or other ordinary-course report that substantiates the revised gross intrastate revenue of $22,763,288.18.
3. The explanation letter submitted with the adjustment request states that this was an error that occurred with the third-party service provider. Is the third-party a carrier

registered with CPUC or a consultant? Please identify the factors that led to the third-party service provider's error.

For MPower Communications Corp. (U-5859-C):

1. Please remit the $125 minimum user fee payment for the December 2025 report period, for which MPower Communications Corp. has received three non-compliance notices.
2. Did MPower have any end-user consumers from September 2023 through September 2026, periods for which it reported 0 access lines and zero ($0) gross intrastate revenue?
    a. If yes, please provide a narrative explanation of the service provided in California. Include technical architecture, how the services are marketed, and for April 2023 and forward explain why you believe the service does not meet the CPUC's definition (set forth in Decision 22-10-021) of an "Access Line" for surcharge purposes.
        - The response must also describe whether the service provides a real-time, two-way voice communication, PSTN connectivity, has a 10-digit NPA-NXX number or other unique identifier; and for multiline service, state the maximum number of simultaneous inbound and outbound calls supported.
    b. Please explain why it stopped reporting gross intrastate revenue subject to user fees after September 2023.
    c. Additionally, describe MPower's business model. Are the services sold to another telephone corporation (carrier) which resells to an end-user consumer(s)? If so, provide the name of each reseller and its CPUC 4-digit U#. Please identify which carrier bills the end-user.

For Arrival Communications, Inc. (U-5248-C):

Arrival Communications, Inc. (Arrival) reported $131,404.98 for January 2023; however, it reported zero ($0) gross intrastate telecommunications revenue beginning February 2023 and forward and 0 lines from April 2023 through October 2026.

1. What caused Arrival's reported revenue to decrease from $131,404.98 in January 2023 to zero ($0) beginning in February 2023 and forward?
2. Did Arrival have any end-user consumers from February 2023 through October 2026, periods for which it reported 0 access lines and gross intrastate revenue?
    a. If yes, please provide a narrative explanation of the service provided in California. Include technical architecture, how the services are marketed, and for April 2023 and forward explain why you believe the service does not meet the CPUC's definition (set forth in Decision 22-10-021) of an "Access Line" for surcharge purposes.
        - The response must also describe whether the service provides a real-time, two-way voice communication, PSTN connectivity, has a 10-digit NPA-NXX number or other unique identifier; and for multiline service, state the maximum number of simultaneous inbound and outbound calls supported.
    b. Please explain why Arrival stopped reporting gross intrastate revenue subject to user fees after September 2023.
    c. Additionally, describe Arrival's business model. Are the services sold to anther telephone corporation (carrier) which resells to an end-user consumer? If so, provide the name of each reseller and its CPUC 4-digit U#. Please identify which carrier bills the end-user.

For DSCI, LLC (U-6822-C):

Prior to the transition of the surcharge mechanism, DSCI LLC remitted surcharges. Post transition, 0 access lines to calculate surcharges were reported from April 2023 to September 2025. Additionally, DSCI's gross intrastate revenue has drastically declined and increased as follows:

For January 2023, DSCI reported $11,530.42, for February 2023 $8,388.95, for March 2023 $57.66, and $10,618.00 for April 2023.

1. What caused DSCI's reported monthly gross intrastate revenue to decrease from $11,530.42 for January 2023, to $8,388.95 for February 2023 and to $57.66 for March 2023?
2. Please confirm DSCI's gross intrastate telecommunications revenue for March 2023.
3. Did DSCI serve any end-user consumers from April 2023 through September 2025?
    a. If yes, why did DSCI report 0 access lines during that period, except for 247 lines in July 2024?
    b. If yes, please provide a narrative explanation of the service provided in California. Include technical architecture, how the services are marketed, and for April 2023 and forward explain why you believe the service does not meet the CPUC's definition (set forth in Decision 22-10-021) of an "Access Line" for surcharge purposes.
        - The response must also describe whether the service provides a real-time, two-way voice communication, PSTN connectivity, has a 10-digit NPA-NXX number or other unique identifier; and for multiline service, state the maximum number of simultaneous inbound and outbound calls supported.
4. What changed in October 2025, when DSCI began reporting access lines consistently?

5. Additionally, describe DSCI's business model. Are the services sold to anther telephone corporation (carrier) which resells to an end-user consumer? If so, provide the name of each reseller and its CPUC 4-digit U#. Please identify which carrier bills the end-user.

For DSCI, LLC (U-1422-C):

DSCI, LLC (U-1422-C) registered with the California Public Utilities Commission in February 2016. Since then, it has reported 0 data resulting in zero ($0) surcharges and zero ($0) user fees. Please provide the following information. It stopped reporting through TUFFS after May 2026.

1. DSCI, LLC must report access line data for the June 2026 reporting period.
2. Did DSCI serve any end-user consumers at any time from February 2016 to May 2026, periods for which it reported 0 access lines and gross intrastate revenue?
    a. If yes, please provide a narrative explanation of the service provided in California. Include technical architecture, how the services are marketed, and for April 2023 and forward explain why you believe the service does not meet the CPUC's definition (set forth in Decision 22-10-021) of an "Access Line" for surcharge purposes.
        - The response must also describe whether the service provides a real-time, two-way voice communication, PSTN connectivity, has a 10-digit NPA-NXX number or other unique identifier; and for multiline service, state the maximum number of simultaneous inbound and outbound calls supported.
3. Please explain why it stopped reporting gross intrastate revenue subject to user fees after September 2023.
4. Additionally, describe DSCI U-1422-C's business model. Are the services sold to another telephone corporation (carrier) which resells to an end-user consumer? If so,

provide the name of each reseller and its CPUC 4-digit U#. Please identify which carrier bills the end-user.

Joint Applicants shall be prepared to discuss these questions at the upcoming prehearing conference, scheduled for December 4, 2026, at 10 a.m.

Within 7 days of the date of this ruling, in consideration of the request for expedited treatment of the Joint Application, Joint Applicants shall provide the additional information as directed herein. Failure to provide the additional information may result in dismissal of Application 26-10-003 for failure to prosecute. Applicant's filing must be titled "Response to Administrative Law Judge Inquiry," otherwise a new protest period will be triggered.

IT IS SO RULED.

Dated November 24, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JAMIE ORMOND
Jamie Ormond
Administrative Law Judge