FILED
11/24/26
03:50 PM
A2401016

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of San Pablo Bay Pipeline Company LLC (PLC-29) for Authority to Increase Rates for Its Crude Oil Pipeline Services Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 455.3.	Application 24-01-016 (Filed January 29, 2025)
In the Matter of the Application of San Pablo Bay Pipeline Company LLC (PLC-29) and Crimson California Pipeline, L.P. (PLC-26) for Authority to Increase Rates for Crude Oil Pipeline Services. (NORTHERN CALIFORNIA)	Application 25-01-009 (Filed January 25, 2024)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING SETTING PREHEARING CONFERENCE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California has set a prehearing conference in the above-entitled matters before Administrative Law Judge Patricia Miles as follows:

Date: **December 14, 2026**

Time: **9:30 am - noon**

Location: **Commission's Courtroom, State Office Building, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102.**

A prehearing conference (PHC) is called to determine the positions of the parties, issues, and other procedural matters. Parties desiring a transcript should advise the Chief Hearing Reporter at reporting@cpuc.ca.gov, no later than three

days prior to the first day of hearing. If you have questions about the hearing date, time, or place, call the Calendar Clerk at (415) 703-1203.

Before the close of business on **Friday, December 4, 2026**, the parties or their counsel are to serve the official address list with no more than a three page PHC Statement discussing the following:

1. A brief summary of any material facts that have changed since the applications were filed in January 2024 and January 2025, respectively.
2. When did parties last meet and confer to make a good faith effort to resolve either or both proceedings informally?
3. Whether parties are involved in an alternative dispute resolution (ADR) process, and if so, do parties believe that the ADR process is likely to resolve all issues?
4. Whether evidentiary hearing will be required on one or more issues in either proceeding? If so, by what date will written testimony be filed in this proceeding?
5. The deadline to resolve each of the proceedings is February 1, 2027 (by Order Extending Statutory Deadline dated July 2 and July 10, 2026, respectively). Do the parties agree that this date is still feasible for resolution of the proceedings, or will the statutory deadline need to be further extended?
6. Are there any other topics that the parties desire to discuss in the interest of justice and efficient case management?

IT IS SO RULED.

Dated November 24, 2026, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ PATRICIA B MILES
Patricia B. Miles
Administrative Law Judge