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DECISION AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF INDIRECT CONTROL OF

CONTERRA WIRELESS BROADBAND, LLC

# Summary

This decision grants the unopposed joint application of Conterra Wireless Broadband, LLC (U7057C) (Conterra), CUB Parent, Inc., EagleCrest CUB GP Inc. (EagleCrest CUB GP), and Draden Investors, LLC (APG US), filed on
July 27, 2020, for approval of the indirect transfer of control of Conterra to
Transferees APG US/EagleCrest CUB GP pursuant to California Public Utilities
(Pub. Util.) Code Section 854(a).

This proceeding is closed.

# Procedural Background

On July 27, 2020, the Joint Applicants Conterra Wireless Broadband, LLC (U7057C) (Conterra), CUB Parent, Inc., (CUB Parent or Transferor), EagleCrest
CUB GP Inc., (EagleCrest CUB GP), and Draden Investors, LLC (APG US) (APG
US together with EagleCrest CUB GP are hereinafter referred to as Transferees) filed Application (A.) 20-07-019 (Application) seeking approval to transfer indirect control of Conterra to the Transferees, (the Proposed Transaction). Notice of the
application was published in the California Public Utility Commission’s (Commission) Daily Calendar on July 30, 2021. The application is unopposed.

The assigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) held a prehearing
conference on January 29, 2021 to discuss the issues of law and fact, to determine
the need for hearing and to set the schedule for resolving the matter.

The assigned Commissioner issued a Scoping Memorandum and Ruling
on March 22, 2021.

# Parties to the Transaction

Conterra, a North Carolina limited liability company, is a direct
wholly-owned subsidiary of Conterra Ultra Broadband, LLC, which, in turn, is a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Conterra Ultra Broadband Holdings, Inc.,
(CUB Holdings). CUB Holdings is a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of CUB
Parent.[[1]](#footnote-1)

Conterra received a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity
(CPCN) to provide: a) limited facilities-based local exchange services pursuant
to authority granted in Decision (D.) 07-12-013. Conterra’s authority was
expanded to full facilities-based authority in the existing service territories of
AT&T, Verizon, Citizens, and SureWest in D.15-04-012.[[2]](#footnote-2) Pursuant to its CPCN, Conterra provides two product and service packages in California: 1) cellular backhaul transport; and 2) access networks and broadband networks for grades
K-12, healthcare, and government entities.[[3]](#footnote-3)

Conterra is headquartered at 2101 Rexford Road, Suite 200E, Charlotte,
North Carolina 28211.[[4]](#footnote-4)

CUB Parent is a Delaware corporation.[[5]](#footnote-5) CUB Parent is majority owned by
CSC CUB Holdings, LP (CSC CUB Holdings), which was formed for the purpose
of holding Court Square Capital Partners' investment in CUB Parent. [[6]](#footnote-6) CUB
Parent has no operations in California.

CUB Parent does not provide telecommunications services on its own.[[7]](#footnote-7)
CUB Parent is a holding company and parent of Conterra and other operating subsidiaries that are authorized competitive telecommunications providers in
several states.[[8]](#footnote-8)

CUB Parent headquartered at 2101 Rexford Road, Suite 200E, Charlotte,
North Carolina 28211. [[9]](#footnote-9)

EagleCrest CUB GP (one of the two Transferees)is a corporation
organized under the laws of Delaware.[[10]](#footnote-10) EagleCrest CUB GP has no operations in California. EagleCrest CUB GP does not provide telecommunications services on its
own. [[11]](#footnote-11) EagleCrest CUB GP is the general partner of EagleCrest Cub LP and will
be, upon consummation of the Proposed Transaction, general partner of CSC
CUB Holdings.[[12]](#footnote-12) EagleCrest CUB GP is headquartered at 145 King Street West,
Suite 1500, Toronto, Ontario M5H 1J8, Canada.[[13]](#footnote-13)

APG US (the second of the two Transferees)is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Delaware.[[14]](#footnote-14) APG US has no operations in California and does not provide telecommunications services on its own.[[15]](#footnote-15) APG US is a
special-purpose vehicle formed for the purpose of the Proposed Transaction.[[16]](#footnote-16)

APG US is headquartered at 666 Third Avenue, Second Floor, New York,
New York 10017.[[17]](#footnote-17)

# Proposed Transaction

The Joint Applicants' proposed transaction will be accomplished through a series of transactions to be finalized following the satisfaction of various
closing conditions as described in the Application.[[18]](#footnote-18) In addition, Exhibit C to the Application, entitled "Current and Post-Transaction Organization Charts", has been included as Appendix A to this Proposed Decision to provide a visual diagram of

the details surrounding the Proposed Transaction.

 Upon consummation of the Proposed Transaction, EagleCrest CUB GP
and APG US will be the ultimate owners of Conterra through a transfer of equity
interests in Conterra's parent, CUB Parent. However, Conterra will continue to
be 100-percent indirectly owned[[19]](#footnote-19) and controlled by CUB Parent.[[20]](#footnote-20)

# Jurisdiction

California Pub. Util. Code § 851 *et seq.* provides broad Commission
authority to approve transfers of control which involve public utilities operating within California, as is requested in this proceeding. Pub. Util. Code § 854(a),
which is applicable here,[[21]](#footnote-21) states:

No person or corporation, whether or not organized under
the laws of this state, shall merge, acquire, or control either

directly or indirectly any public utility organized and
doing business in this state without first securing
authorization to do so from this Commission. The
Commission may establish by order or rule the definitions
of what constitute merger, acquisition, or control activities
that are subject to this section of the statute. (Pub. Util.
Code § 854(a).)

After the transfer of control is completed, the Commission will retain the
same regulatory authority over the CPCN holder that it currently possesses.

# Standard of Review

Joint Applicants seek approval of the transaction pursuant to Pub. Util.
Code § 854(a), which requires Commission authorization before a public utility company may “merge, acquire, or control either directly or indirectly any public utility organized and doing business in this state….” The purpose of this and
related code sections is to enable the Commission, before any transfer of public
utility authority is consummated, to review the proposal and to take such action,
as a condition of transfer, as the public interest may require.[[22]](#footnote-22) Absent prior Commission approval, Pub. Util. Code § 854(a) provides that the transaction is
“void and of no effect.”

The Commission has broad discretion under Pub. Util. Code § 854(a) to approve or reject a proposed transaction. If necessary and appropriate, the Commission may attach conditions to approval of a transaction to protect and promote the public interest. The primary question in a transfer of control
proceeding under Pub. Util. Code § 854(a) is whether the transaction will be in
the public interest. When the acquiring individual or company which does not

have a CPCN seeks to acquire control of a company that possesses a CPCN, the Commission will apply the same requirements to the acquiring company as
would be applied to an initial applicant seeking the type of CPCN held by the company being acquired.

# CPCN Criteria

## **6.1. Financial Qualifications**

## To be granted a CPCN, an applicant for authority to provide full facilities‑based competitive local exchange services must demonstrate that it has a minimum of $100,000 cash or cash equivalent, reasonably liquid and readily available to meet the firm’s start-up expenses.[[23]](#footnote-23) An applicant must also demonstrate that it has sufficient additional resources to cover all deposits required by local exchange carriers (LECs) and/or interexchange carriers (IECs) in order to provide the proposed service.[[24]](#footnote-24) Acceptable forms of financial documentation include an audited balance sheet and income statements demonstrating sufficient cash flow or, in the alternative, one of several other cash equivalent financial instruments.[[25]](#footnote-25) Transferees satisfy this requirement with the funding shown in Exhibit F of this application.[[26]](#footnote-26)

## **6.2. Technical Qualifications**

An acquiring entity must also make a reasonable showing of technical
expertise in telecommunications or a related business. The indirect transfer of
control of Conterra to EagleCrest CUB GP and APG US will result in a change in
the ultimate ownership of Conterra. However, Conterra will continue to be
100% owned and controlled by CUB Parent.[[27]](#footnote-27)

As required, Joint Applicants attested that no affiliate, officer, director,
partner, agent or owner (directly or indirectly) of more than 10% of acquiring company, or any person acting in management capacity for that company, has:

1. held one of these positions with a company that filed for bankruptcy;
2. been personally found liable, or held one of these positions
with a company that has been found liable, for fraud, dishonesty, failure to disclose, or misrepresentations to consumers or others;
3. been convicted of a felony;
4. been (to his/her knowledge) the subject of a criminal
referral by judge or public agency;
5. had a telecommunications license or operating authority denied, suspended, revoked, or limited in any jurisdiction;
6. personally entered into a settlement, or held one of these positions with a company that has entered into settlement
of criminal or civil claims involving violations of §§ 17000
*et seq.*, §§ 17200 *et seq.*, or §§ 17500 *et seq.* of the California Business and Professions Code, or of any other statute, regulation, or decisional law relating to fraud, dishonesty, failure to disclose, or misrepresentations to consumers or others; or
7. been found to have violated any statute, law, or rule
pertaining to public utilities or other regulated industries;
or
8. entered into any settlement agreements or made any
voluntary payments or agreed to any other type of
monetary forfeitures in resolution of any action by any regulatory body, agency, or attorney general.[[28]](#footnote-28)

Also, to the best of Joint Applicants’ knowledge, neither Joint Applicants

nor any affiliate, officer, director, partner, or owner of more than 10% of Joint Applicants, or any person acting in such capacity whether or not formally
appointed, is being, or has been investigated by the Federal Communications Commission or any law enforcement or regulatory agency for failure to comply
with any law, rule, or order.[[29]](#footnote-29)

Joint Applicants have satisfied the Commission’s technical expertise requirement.

**6.3. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)**

In circumstances where telecommunications providers seek to construct or install facilities, CEQA requires the Commission to act as the designated lead
agency, and to assess the potential environmental impact of the project[[30]](#footnote-30) to
ensure that adverse effects are avoided, alternatives are investigated, and environmental quality is restored or enhanced to the fullest extent possible.

The indirect transfer of control that is the subject of this application
proposes no new construction and requests no authority for future construction;
it is merely a “paper transaction,” with no potential to have any significant
impact on the environment.[[31]](#footnote-31) Accordingly, the application is exempt from
review under CEQA. Joint Applicants must submit a new application should
they propose construction of facilities other than those within the full
facilities-based authority granted previously by the Commission in D.15-04-012.

# Discussion

As discussed above, EagleCrest CUB GP and APG US meet the
requirements for the Commission to grant CPCN authority to provide full
facilities-based local exchange telecommunication services.[[32]](#footnote-32)

In addition, we find that the transfer of indirect control of Conterra to EagleCrest CUB GP and APG US will not be adverse to the public interest. The transaction will 1) provide Conterra with access to the financial expertise of EagleCrest CUB GP and APG US; 2) permit Conterra to continue to provide
robust communications solutions to its customers; and 3) promote competition
among telecommunications providers, without resulting in any adverse impact
to Conterra's customers. Furthermore, the transfer of indirect control of Conterra
to EagleCrest CUB GP and APG US will not have an adverse effect on
competition in the markets for intrastate or interstate telecommunications
services as EagleCrest CUB GP and APG US do not offer or provide telecommunications services in California. We therefore grant the application pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 854(a).

# Motions for Confidential Treatment

Pursuant to Rule 11.4 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rule), Joint Applicants filed two Motions for Leave to File Confidential
Materials Under Seal for Exhibit D (Transaction Agreement), Exhibit E (Financial Statements of CUB Holdings and Transferor), Exhibit F (Financial Statements of Transferee), and Exhibit G (Projected Balance Sheet in Lieu of Pro Forma). Joint Applicants represent that the information is sensitive, and that disclosure of
financial information could place them at an unfair business disadvantage. We
have granted similar requests in the past and do so here.

# Waiver of Comment Period

This is an uncontested matter in which the decision grants the relief
requested. Accordingly, pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 311(g)(2) and
Rule 14.6(c)(2), the otherwise applicable 30-day period for public review and comment is waived.

# Assignment of Proceeding

Darcie L. Houck is the assigned Commissioner and Suman Mathews is

the assigned ALJ in this proceeding.

# Findings of Fact

1. Conterra is a North Carolina limited liability company.
2. Conterra was issued a CPCN in D.07-12-013 (U7057C) to provide limited facilities-based local exchange services.
3. Conterra’s authority was expanded to full facilities-based authority in the existing service territories of AT&T, Verizon, Citizens, and SureWest in
D.15‑04‑012.
4. CUB Parent is a Delaware corporation and does not provide telecommunications services on its own. CUB Parent has no operations in
California.
5. EagleCrest CUB GP is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware
and does not provide telecommunications services on its own. EagleCrest CUB
GP has no operations in California.
6. APG US is a limited liability company organized under the laws of
Delaware and does not provide telecommunications services on its own. APG
US has no operations in California and is a special-purpose vehicle formed for
the purpose of the Proposed Transaction.
7. Application 20-07-019 was filed on July 27, 2020. The application seeks authorization to transfer indirect control of Conterra to EagleCrest CUB GP and
APG US. Following the transfer, Conterra would continue to operate pursuant
to its CPCN. The proposed change in control would not result in a transfer of Conterra’s CPCN to a new entity.
8. Notice of the Application appeared in the Daily Calendar on July 30, 2021.
No protests were filed.
9. No party to the proposed transaction has gross annual California revenues
in excess of $500 million.
10. Because the proposed transfer of control is a parent-level transaction, a) customers will experience no changes in day-to-day operations of Conterra; b)
the transaction will be transparent to customers of Conterra; and c) the
Commission jurisdiction over Conterra will be the same.
11. The proposed transaction will not result in any changes to the services provided by Conterra or to rates, terms, or conditions of service.
12. The proposed transaction will not have an adverse impact on competition
in the marketplace.
13. The proposed transaction will not have an adverse impact on the public interest.
14. The proposed transaction will have no significant effect on the
environment.
15. The proposed transaction will not have an adverse impact on safety.
16. Joint Applicants have filed financial documents under seal showing they
meet the Commission’s financial requirements for a CPCN.
17. Pursuant to Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure 11.4, Joint
Applicants filed a motion for leave to file confidential material contained in
Exhibits D, E, F, and G to the July 27, 2020 Application under seal.
18. Applicants have met the requirements for a transfer of a CPCN pursuant
to Public Utilities Code Section § 854(a).

# Conclusions of Law

1. Public Utilities Code Section § 854(a) provides that no person or
corporation shall merge, acquire, or directly or indirectly control a public utility organized and doing business in California without first securing authorization
from the Commission.
2. The proposed transaction constitutes a change of control within the
meaning of Public Utilities Code Section § 854(a).
3. Public Utilities Code Section § 854(b) and (c) do not apply to this
transaction.
4. The standard to determine if a transfer of control should be granted under Pub. Util. Code Section § 854(a) is whether the transaction would be “adverse to
the public interest.”
5. In a request for a transfer of control, the prospective owner must satisfy
the same requirements as those imposed on the CPCN holder. The two major
criteria are financial resources and managerial and technical expertise.
6. Joint Applicants have met the Commission’s requirements for approval of the transfer of control applicable to the proposed transaction.
7. Conterra should continue to be bound by the terms and conditions
imposed on it when its CPCN was granted in D.07-12-013 and its authority was expanded in D.15-04-012.
8. This transfer does not require CEQA review because there is no possibility that the transaction will have a significant adverse impact on the environment.
9. The Joint Applicants’ motion for leave to file Exhibits D, E, F, and G to the Application under seal should be granted in accordance with Ordering
Paragraph 3 of this Decision.
10. Evidentiary hearings are not necessary.
11. This decision should be effective immediately.

ORDER

**IT IS ORDERED** that:

1. The transfer of indirect control of Conterra to the Transferees, EagleCrest CUB GP and APG US, upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Application is approved.
2. The motion of Joint Applicants to file Exhibits D, E, F, and G to the
Application under seal is granted subject to Ordering Paragraph 3.
3. The designated confidential materials referenced in Ordering Paragraph 2, above, shall remain under seal for three years after the date of this order. During this three-year period, the confidential materials shall remain under seal and not
be accessible or disclosed to persons other than the Commissioners and
Commission staff except on further order or ruling of the Commission, the
assigned Administrative Law Judge, or the designated Law and Motion Judge at
the time of such ruling. If any interested party believes it is necessary for any of
this information to remain under seal longer than three years, that party shall file a new motion stating the justification of further withholding the information
from public inspection. The motion shall be filed at least 30 days before
expiration of the instant order.
4. Application 20-07-019 is closed.

This decision is effective today.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, at San Francisco, California.
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**CUB PARENT, INC. PRE‐CLOSE OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE**

All interests are voting and equity unless otherwise stated; GP interests stated as voting interests; LP interests stated as economic interests.

Court Square Capital

GP III, LLC

*(Delaware)*

100%

voting

100%

voting

84.3%

equity

15.7%

equity

EagleCrest CUB LP

*(Delaware)*

75.4% Class L

shares

Management investors

15.9% Class

L share; 100% Class A shares

8.7% (Class L shares)

100%

Conterra Ultra Broadband Holdings, Inc. *(Delaware)*

100%

**Conterra Wireless Broadband, LLC *(North Carolina)***

Contrra Ultra Broadband, LLC

*(South Carolina)*

CUB Parent, Inc.

*(Delaware)*

CSC CUB Holdings LP

Court Square investment funds

Red arrows reflect GP or other control.

The CPUC‐regulated entity is in the green box.

Other operating subsidiaries of CUB Parent, Inc. that do not hold authorizations in California have been omitted.

# CUB PARENT, INC. POST‐CLOSE OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE

Fiera Capital Corporation

*(Ontario)*

Aquila Management Holdco *(Canada)*

**Post‐Close Voting and Equity Interest Percentages in CUB Parent Inc.:**

**APG US: 49.9%**

**Combined Fiera: 48.7% (33.8% with potential co‐investor)**

**Conterra management: 1.4%**

*General partner (100% voting control)*

75%

25%

100% (indirect)

**EagleCrest CUB GP Inc.**

***(Delaware)***

Fiera Infrastructure Inc.

*(Canada)*

(Manager of LPs)

*General partner (100% voting control)*

*Sub‐A Agreem*

*Management Agreement & POA*

*Non‐member Manager (100% voting control)*

|  |
| --- |
| APG Groep N.V. (*Netherlands*) |
|  | 100% |
| APG Asset Management N.V. *(Netherlands*) |
| *dvisory ent* | 100% *POA* |
| APG Asset Management US Inc. (*Delaware*) |

~99.4%

Stichting Pensioen‐ fonds ABP

*(Netherlands)*

*Non‐managing member (100% equity)*

APG Infrastructure Pool 2020‐2021 (*Dutch FGR*)

Stichting Depositary APG Infrastructure Pool 2020‐2021

Potential Co‐Investment

*(Delaware)*

EagleCrest CUB LP

*(Delaware)*

CSC CUB Holdings LP

*(Delaware)*

**Draden Investors, LLC**

***(Delaware)***

up to 14.9%

**CUB Parent Inc.**

***(Delaware)***

100%

Conterra Management

Conterra Ultra Broadband Holdings, Inc. *(Delaware)*



100%

100%

All interests are voting and equity unless otherwise stated; GP interests stated as voting interests; LP interests stated as economic interests.

The CPUC‐regulated entity is in the green box.

**Conterra Wireless Broadband, LLC *(North Carolina)***

Conterra Ultra Broadband, LLC *(South Carolina)*

Other operating subsidiaries of CUB Parent, Inc. that do not hold authorizations in California have been omitted.
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